

A GENERALIZATION OF STRONGLY CLOSE-TO-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

YOUNG OK PARK AND SUK YOUNG LEE

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to study several geometric properties for the new class $G_k(\beta)$ including geometric interpretation, coefficient estimates, radius of convexity, distortion property and covering theorem.

1. Introduction

Let $C_\alpha (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1)$ be the class of all functions

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

analytic in $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ that satisfy $f'(z) \neq 0$ and

$$\int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \arg[e^{i\theta} f'(re^{i\theta})] d\theta \geq -\alpha\pi$$

for all $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. The class C_1 is the class of close-to-convex functions introduced by Kaplan [4], and the class C_α are subclass of C_1 . The class C_0 consists of all convex functions.

Ch. Pommerenke [9] showed that a function $f(z)$ of the form (1.1) belongs to C_α if and only if there exists a function $h(z)$ starlike in E with $h(0) = 0, h'(0) = 1$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad \left| \arg \frac{zf'(z)}{h(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\alpha, \quad (z \in E).$$

Received April 18, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45.

Key words and phrases: strongly close-to-convex function, bounded boundary rotation.

Let V_k ($2 \leq k \leq 4$) be the class of all functions $f(z)$ represented by (1.1) in E and satisfy $f'(z) \neq 0$ in E and

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 1} \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} \right| d\theta \leq k\pi \quad (z = re^{i\theta}, r < 1).$$

V_k is the class of functions with boundary rotation at most $k\pi$. Every function $f \in V_k$ can be given by the Stieltjes integral representation

$$(1.3) \quad 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + e^{i\theta}z}{1 - e^{i\theta}z} d\psi(\theta),$$

where $\int_0^{2\pi} d\psi(\theta) = 2\pi$, $\int_0^{2\pi} |d\psi(\theta)| \leq k\pi$ and $\psi(\theta)$ is a function of bounded variation on $[0, 2\pi]$.

We shall generalize the definition (1.2) of Pommerenke's class of strongly close-to-convex functions by using a function $g(z)$ in the class V_k of bounded boundary rotation for $2 \leq k \leq 4$. We shall denote this new class of functions by $G_k(\beta)$.

DEFINITION. Let $f(z)$ be a holomorphic function in E with normalizations $f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1$. $f(z)$ belongs to the class $G_k(\beta)$ if $f'(z) \neq 0$ in E and satisfies the condition

$$\left| \arg \frac{f'(z)}{g'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\beta\pi}{2}, \quad (0 \leq \beta \leq 1, z \in E)$$

for some $g(z)$ in V_k ($2 \leq k \leq 4$).

Note that if $k = 2, G_k(\beta)$ reduces to the class of strongly close-to-convex functions of order β which was studied by Ch. Pommerenke [9]. If $k = 2, \beta = 0, G_k(\beta)$ reduces to the class of convex functions. If $k = 2, \beta = 1, G_k(\beta)$ reduces to the class of close-to-convex functions. If $\beta = 0, 2 \leq k \leq 4$, then $G_k(\beta)$ reduces to the class V_k .

In this note, we reduce several geometric properties for the new class $G_k(\beta)$ including geometric interpretation, radius of convexity, distortion property, covering theorem and coefficient estimates.

2. Properties for the class $G_k(\beta)$

THEOREM 2.1. *For the class of functions $G_k(\beta)$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$, the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\theta_1}^{\theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + re^{i\theta} \frac{f''(re^{i\theta})}{f'(re^{i\theta})} \right) d\theta > \frac{-k\beta}{2} \pi,$$

holds for all $\theta_1 < \theta_2$ and for all $0 \leq r < 1$.

Proof. Suppose $f \in G_k(\beta)$, and let g be an associated bounded boundary rotation function. Then for a suitable choice of arguments,

$$|\arg f'(z) - \arg g'(z)| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2} \leq \frac{k\beta}{4}\pi, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 1.$$

Let

$$F(r, \theta) = \arg \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} f(re^{i\theta}) \right\} = \arg f'(re^{i\theta}) + \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta$$

and

$$G(r, \theta) = \arg \left\{ \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} g(re^{i\theta}) \right\} = \arg g'(re^{i\theta}) + \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta.$$

Since g is a bounded boundary rotation function, $G(r, \theta)$ is an increasing function of θ . The definition of $G_k(\beta)$ gives us that

$$|F(r, \theta) - G(r, \theta)| \leq \frac{k\beta}{4}\pi, \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq 1.$$

Thus for $\theta_1 < \theta_2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & F(r, \theta_2) - F(r, \theta_1) \\ &= [F(r, \theta_2) - G(r, \theta_2)] + [G(r, \theta_2) - G(r, \theta_1)] + [G(r, \theta_1) - F(r, \theta_1)] \\ &> -\frac{k\beta}{4}\pi + 0 - \frac{k\beta}{4}\pi \\ &= -\frac{k\beta}{2}\pi, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to the condition (2.1). □

REMARK. From Theorem 2.1, we can interpret some geometric meaning for the class $G_k(\beta)$. If we suppose that the image domain is bounded by an analytic curve C , the outward drawn normal at a point on C has an angle $\arg[e^{i\theta} f'(e^{i\theta})]$. Then from (2.1), it follows that the angle of the outward drawn normal turns back at most $\frac{k\beta}{2}\pi$. This is a necessary condition for a function f to belong to $G_k(\beta)$. It will be interesting to see if this condition is also sufficient.

LEMMA 1 ([2]). *Let $g \in V_k$ ($2 \leq k \leq 4$). Then there are two starlike functions s_1 and s_2 such that for $z \in E$*

$$g'(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{s_2(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $C(\beta)$ denote the class of close-to-convex functions of order β . Then $f \in G_k(\beta)$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $2 \leq k \leq 4$ if and only if*

$$f'(z) = \frac{m_1'(z)^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}}{m_2'(z)^{\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}}, \quad m_1(z), m_2(z) \in C(\beta).$$

Proof. From definition of $G_k(\beta)$, we have

$$f'(z) = g'(z)h^\beta(z), \quad g \in V_k \text{ and } |\arg h(z)| < \frac{1}{2}\pi.$$

Using Lemma 1, we know that there are two starlike functions s_1 and s_2 such that for $z \in E$,

$$g'(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{s_2(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}}.$$

Thus

$$f'(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{s_2(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}} h^\beta(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{s_1(z)h^\beta(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}}{\left(\frac{s_2(z)h^\beta(z)}{z}\right)^{\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}} = \frac{(m_1'(z))^{\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}}}{(m_2'(z))^{\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}},$$

where m_1 and m_2 are two suitable selected close-to-convex functions of order β . □

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $f \in G_k(\beta)$. Then the radius r_0 of convexity for the function f is given by*

$$r_0 = \frac{1}{2}[(k + 2\beta) - \sqrt{k^2 + 4\beta(k + \beta) - 4}], \quad 2 \leq k \leq 4.$$

Proof. By definition of $G_k(\beta)$

$$zf'(z) = zg'(z)h^\beta(z), \quad g \in V_k, \quad |\arg h(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} = \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} + \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)}$$

and so

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \geq \operatorname{Re} \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} - \left| \frac{zh'(z)}{h(z)} \right|.$$

For $g \in V_k$, it is well-known that, for $z = re^{i\theta}$, $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(zg'(z))'}{g'(z)} \geq \frac{r^2 - kr + 1}{1 - r^2}.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{(zf'(z))'}{f'(z)} \geq \frac{r^2 - kr + 1}{1 - r^2} - \beta \frac{2r}{1 - r^2} = \frac{r^2 - (k + 2\beta)r + 1}{1 - r^2}.$$

Therefore the radius of convexity $r_0 = \frac{1}{2}[(k + 2\beta) - \sqrt{k^2 + 4\beta(k + \beta) - 4}]$. \square

LEMMA 2 ([11]). *Let $Q(z)$ be analytic for $z \in E$ with $Q(0) = 1$. Then $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) \geq \gamma$ if and only if*

$$Q(z) = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\gamma)g(z)}{1 - g(z)},$$

where $g(z)$ is analytic, $g(0) = 0$ and $|g(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$.

THEOREM 2.4. Let $f(z) \in G_k(\beta)$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then

$$(1) |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(1+r)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-r)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^\beta.$$

$$(2) |f'(z)| \geq \frac{(1-r)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+r)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^\beta.$$

Equality holds in (1) for the function

$$f_1(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\beta dt$$

and equality holds in (2) for the function

$$f_2(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1-t}{1+t}\right)^\beta dt.$$

Proof. Let $\frac{f'(z)}{\phi'(z)} = Q^\beta(z)$, where $\operatorname{Re} Q(z) \geq 0$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, $\phi(z) \in V_k$. Then from the Lemma 2

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{\phi'(z)} = \left[\frac{1+g(z)}{1-g(z)} \right]^\beta,$$

where $g(0) = 0$ and $|g(z)| < 1$ for $z \in E$. Since $g(z)$ satisfies the conditions of Schwarz's lemma, (2.2) yields

$$(2.3) \quad \left[\frac{1-r}{1+r} \right]^\beta \leq \left| \frac{f'(z)}{\phi'(z)} \right| \leq \left[\frac{1+r}{1-r} \right]^\beta.$$

In [8] it was shown that

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{(1-r)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+r)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \leq |\phi'(r)| \leq \frac{(1+r)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-r)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}}.$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4)

$$\frac{(1-r)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+r)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r}\right)^\beta \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(1+r)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-r)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)^\beta.$$

To prove that $f_1(z) \in G_k(\beta)$ and $f_2(z) \in G_k(\beta)$, let

$$f_1(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\beta dt,$$

$$f_2(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1-t}{1+t}\right)^\beta dt$$

and

$$\phi_1(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} dt, \quad \phi_2(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} dt.$$

Since $\frac{f'_1(z)}{\phi'_1(z)} = \left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right)^\beta$, $\frac{f'_2(z)}{\phi'_2(z)} = \left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)^\beta$ have argument which is less than $\frac{\beta\pi}{2}$, it suffices to show that $\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z) \in V_k$. However, it was already shown in [8] that $\phi_1(z), \phi_2(z)$ belongs to V_k . □

THEOREM 2.5. *Let $f(z) \in G_k(\beta), 0 \leq \beta \leq 1$, then*

$$\int_0^r \frac{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1-t}{1+t}\right)^\beta dt \leq |f(z)| \leq \int_0^r \frac{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\beta dt.$$

Equality holds on the right-hand side for

$$f_1(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\beta dt$$

and on the left-hand side for

$$f_2(z) = \int_0^z \frac{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1-t}{1+t}\right)^\beta dt.$$

Proof. Integrating along the straight line segment from the origin to $z = re^{i\theta}$ and applying Theorem 2.4, we obtain

$$|f(z)| \leq \int_0^r |f'(te^{i\theta})| dt \leq \int_0^r \frac{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1+t}{1-t}\right)^\beta dt,$$

which proves the right-hand inequality. To prove the left-hand inequality, for every r we choose $z_0, |z_0| = r$, such that

$$|f(z_0)| = \min_{|z|=r} |f(z)|.$$

If $L(z_0)$ is the preimage of the segment $\{0, f(z_0)\}$, then

$$|f(z)| \geq |f(z_0)| \geq \int_{L(z_0)} |f'(z)| |dz| \geq \int_0^r \frac{(1-t)^{\frac{k}{2}-1}}{(1+t)^{\frac{k}{2}+1}} \left(\frac{1-t}{1+t}\right)^\beta dt. \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.6. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ be in $G_k(\beta)$ for $2 \leq k \leq 4$, $0 \leq \beta \leq 1$. Then the coefficients satisfy the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a_2| &\leq \frac{k}{2} + \beta \\
 (2.5) \quad |a_3| &\leq \frac{k^2}{4} + \left(\frac{2\beta + 1}{3}\right)k + \left(\frac{2\beta^2 - 1}{3}\right) \\
 |a_4| &\leq \frac{k^3}{24} + \frac{3\beta}{8}k^2 + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{3} + \frac{\beta}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right)k + \left(\frac{\beta^2}{3} + \frac{\beta}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $f(z)$ is in $G_k(\beta)$, there exists a function $\phi(z) \in V_k, 2 \leq k \leq 4$, satisfying $|\arg \frac{f'(z)}{\phi'(z)}| < \frac{\beta\pi}{2}$. We may assume that $f(z)$ and $\phi(z)$ are $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ and $\phi(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} c_n z^n$. If we write

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{f'(z)}{\phi'(z)} = [g(z)]^\beta = \left[1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n\right]^\beta, \quad |\arg g(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2},$$

then we obtain the following relations among the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
 2a_2 &= 2c_2 + \beta b_1 \\
 6a_3 &= 6c_3 + 4\beta b_1 c_2 + \beta(\beta - 1)b_1^2 + 2\beta b_2 \\
 (2.7) \quad 24a_4 &= 24c_4 + 18\beta b_1 c_3 + 4\beta(\beta - 1)b_1^2 c_2 + 8\beta b_2 c_2 \\
 &\quad + 2(\beta - 1)b_1^2 b_2 + (\beta - 1)(\beta - 2)b_1^3 \\
 &\quad + 6(\beta - 1)b_1 b_2 + 4b_2 c_2 + 6b_3.
 \end{aligned}$$

For the function (2.6), we have the well-known bounds [1]

$$(2.8) \quad |b_n| \leq 2 \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

and for the function $\phi(z) \in V_k, 2 \leq k \leq 4$, we have the inequalities [5]

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.9) \quad |c_2| &\leq \frac{k}{2} \\
 |c_3| &\leq \frac{k^2}{4} + \frac{k - 1}{3} \\
 |c_4| &\leq \frac{k^3 + 8k}{24}.
 \end{aligned}$$

From (2.7), (2.8), and (2.9), we obtain the results. □

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $f(z) \in G_k(\beta)$ with $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ for $0 \leq \beta \leq 1, 2 \leq k \leq 4$. If $f(z) \neq c$ for $z \in E$, then*

$$|c| \geq \frac{2}{4 + 2\beta + k}.$$

Proof. If $f(z)$ does not assume the value c , then

$$\frac{cf(z)}{c - f(z)} = z + \left(a_2 + \frac{1}{c}\right)z^2 + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} b_n z^n$$

is in the class S of normalized univalent functions in E . Hence,

$$(2.10) \quad \left|a_2 + \frac{1}{c}\right| \leq 2.$$

Applying the triangle inequality and the coefficient estimates for $G_k(\beta)$ to (2.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\frac{1}{c}\right| - |a_2| &\leq 2, \\ \left|\frac{1}{c}\right| &\leq 2 + |a_2| \leq 2 + \beta + \frac{k}{2} = \frac{4 + 2\beta + k}{2}, \\ |c| &\geq \frac{2}{4 + 2\beta + k}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3. *Let H be analytic and be defined as*

$$H(z)g'(z) = (zg'(z))', \quad g \in V_k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= \left(\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2}\right)h_1(z) - \left(\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2}\right)h_2(z), \\ |\arg h_1(z)| &< \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad |\arg h_2(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad h_1(0) = h_2(0) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |H(z)|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{1 + (k^2 - 1)r^2}{1 - r^2}, \quad z = re^{i\theta}.$$

Proof. From the representation formula by Paatero [7], we can write

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1 + ze^{it}}{1 - ze^{it}} d\mu(t),$$

where $\int_0^{2\pi} d\mu(t) = 2\pi$ and $\int_0^{2\pi} |d\mu(t)| \leq k\pi$. Let $H(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n$.

Then

$$c_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{-int} d\mu(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |c_n| \leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |d\mu(t)| \leq k.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |H(z)|^2 d\theta &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 r^{2n} \leq 1 + k^2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{2n} \\ &= \frac{1 + (k^2 - 1)r^2}{1 - r^2}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

THEOREM 2.8. Let $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in G_k(\beta)$. Then for $n \geq 1$, $||a_{n+1}| - |a_n|| \leq c(k, \beta)n^{\frac{k}{2}-1}$, where $c(k, \beta)$ is a constant and depends on k and β .

Proof. Since $f \in G_k(\beta)$, we can write for $z \in E$,

$$f'(z) = g'(z)h^\beta(z), \quad g \in V_k \quad \text{and} \quad |\arg h(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Let

$$(2.11) \quad F(z) = z(zf'(z))' = zg'(z)[H(z)h(z) + \beta zh'(z)]h^{\beta-1}(z),$$

where $|\arg h(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $H(z)g'(z) = (zg'(z))'$, with $H(z) = (\frac{k}{4} + \frac{1}{2})h_1(z) - (\frac{k}{4} - \frac{1}{2})h_2(z)$,

$$|\arg h_1(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad |\arg h_2(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}, \quad h_1(0) = h_2(0) = 1.$$

Thus, we have for $\xi \in E$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$|(n + 1)^2 \xi a_{n+1} - n^2 a_n| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^{n+1}} \int_0^{2\pi} |z - \xi| |F(z)| d\theta,$$

and by using Lemma 1 and (2.11),

$$\begin{aligned} & |(n + 1)^2 \xi a_{n+1} - n^2 a_n| \\ (2.12) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^{n+1}} \int_0^{2\pi} |z - \xi| \frac{|s_1(z)|^{\frac{1}{4}k + \frac{1}{2}}}{|s_2(z)|^{\frac{1}{4}k - \frac{1}{2}}} |[H(z)h(z) \\ & + \beta z h'(z)] h^{\beta-1}(z)| d\theta, \end{aligned}$$

where s_1, s_2 are starlike functions. We know that for starlike function $s \in S^*$,

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{r}{(1+r)^2} \leq |s(z)| \leq \frac{r}{(1-r)^2}.$$

Let $0 < r < 1$. Then by a result of Goluzin [3], there exists a z_1 with $|z_1| = r$ such that for all $z, |z| = r$,

$$(2.14) \quad |z - z_1| |s(z)| \leq \frac{2r^2}{1-r^2}.$$

From (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(n + 1)^2 \xi a_{n+1} - n^2 a_n| \\ (2.15) \quad & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi r^{n+1}} \left(\frac{4}{r}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}k - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{2r^2}{1-r^2}\right) \left(\frac{r}{(1-r)^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}k - \frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \int_0^{2\pi} |[H(z)h(z) + \beta z h'(z)] h^{\beta-1}(z)| d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Now as in [10], we have with $z = re^{i\theta}$,

$$(2.16) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(z)|^2 d\theta \leq \frac{1+3r^2}{1-r^2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |zh'(z)| d\theta \leq \frac{2r}{1-r^2}, \quad \text{where } |\arg h(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

Also, by using Schwarz's inequality, Lemma 3 and (2.16),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.17) \quad & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |[H(z)h(z) + \beta zh'(z)]h^{\beta-1}(z)|d\theta \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |H(z)h^\beta(z)|d\theta + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \beta |zh'(z)h^{\beta-1}(z)|d\theta \\
 & \leq \left(\frac{1+(k^2-1)r^2}{1-r^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1+3r^2}{1-r^2} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} + \beta \frac{2r}{1-r^2} \left(\frac{1+3r^2}{1-r^2} \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (2.15) and (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |(n+1)^2 \xi a_{n+1} - n^2 a_n| \\
 & \leq \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} 2^{\frac{1}{2}k} [(1+(k^2-1)r^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} + r\beta] \frac{1}{(1-r)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}} \left(\frac{1+3r^2}{1-r^2} \right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}},
 \end{aligned}$$

and choosing $|\xi| = r = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^2$, we obtain for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & n^2 ||a_{n+1}| - |a_n|| \\
 & \leq (k+\beta) e^2 2^{\frac{1}{2}k+2} \left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}k+1} \left(\frac{19}{15}\right)^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} n^{\frac{1}{2}k+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $||a_{n+1}| - |a_n|| \leq c(k, \beta) n^{\frac{1}{2}k-1}$. □

References

- [1] S. D. Bernardi, *A Survey of the development of the theory of schlicht functions*, Duke Math. J. **19** (1952), 263-287.
- [2] D. A. Brannan, *On functions of Bounded Boundary Rotation*, Proc. Edin Math. Soc. **2** (1968-69), 330-347.
- [3] G. M. Golusin, *Geometric theory of functions of a complex variable*, Trans. of Math. Mono., American Math. Soc. **26** (1969).
- [4] W. Kaplan, *close-to-convex schlicht functions*, Michigan Math. J. **1** (1952), 169-185.
- [5] E. J. Moulis, JR., *A generalization of univalent functions with Bounded Boundary Rotation*, Tran. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **174** (1972), 369-381.
- [6] K. I. Noor, *On a generalization of close-to-convexity*, Internat. J. Math., Math. Sci. **6** (1983), no. 2, 327-334.
- [7] V. Paatero, *Über Gebiete von beschränkter Randdrehung*, Annal/Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. **A 37** (1933), no. 9, p. 20.
- [8] A. Pfluger, *Functions of Bounded Boundary Rotation and convexity*, Journal D'Analyse Math. **30** (1976), 437-451.

- [9] Ch. Pommerenke, *On close-to-convex analytic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 176–186.
- [10] ———, *On starlike and close-to-convex functions*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **3** (1963), 290–304.
- [11] H. Silverman, *On a class of close-to-convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (1972), no. 2, 477–484.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EWHA WOMEN'S UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 120-750,
KOREA

E-mail: yopark2274@hanmail.net
mathdept@mm.ewha.ac.kr