### NEAR ROTATIONAL DIRECTED TRIPLE SYSTEMS

## Chung Je Cho

ABSTRACT. A directed triple system of order v, denoted by DTS(v), is said to be k-near rotational if it admits an automorphism consisting of exactly three fixed elements and k cycles of length  $\frac{v-3}{k}$ . In this paper, we obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of k-near rotational DTS(v)s for every positive integer k.

#### 1. Introduction

A directed triple is a set of three ordered pairs of the forms (x,y), (y,z) and (x,z). A directed triple system of order v, denoted by DTS(v), is a v-set X of elements together with a set  $\mathfrak B$  of directed triples of distinct elements of X, called blocks, such that every ordered pair of distinct elements of X occurs in exactly one block of  $\mathfrak B$ . The notation [x,y,z] will be used for the block containing the three ordered pairs (x,y), (y,z) and (x,z). It is well-known [3] that there exists a DTS(v) if and only if  $v \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 3). An automorphism of a DTS(v),  $(X,\mathfrak B)$ , is a permutation  $\alpha$  of X such that  $\{\alpha(B) | B \in \mathfrak B\} = \mathfrak B$ .

A permutation  $\alpha$  of degree v is said to be of  $type [\alpha] = [\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_v]$  if its disjoint cyclic decomposition contains  $\alpha_i$  cycles of length i for  $i=1,2,\ldots,v$ . A set of blocks  $\beta$  is said to be a set of starter blocks for a DTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$  if the orbits of the blocks of  $\beta$  under  $\alpha$  produce the DTS(v) and each orbit contains exactly one block of  $\beta$ . An interesting problem is the following: Given a permutation  $\alpha$  of degree v, for what orders v does there exist a DTS(v) with  $\alpha$  as an automorphism? If a DTS(v) admits an automorphism  $\alpha$ , it is denoted

Received January 10, 2000.

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 05B05, 05B07, 05B10.

Key words and phrases: directed triple system, automorphism of a directed triple system.

This research is supported by KISTEP, 1999.

by  $DTS_{\alpha}(v)$ . The problem has been studied for the following types of automorphisms:

- (i) If  $\alpha$  is of type  $[0, 0, \dots, 0, 1]$ , a  $DTS_{\alpha}(v)$  is said to be *cyclic*. There exists a cyclic DTS(v) if and only if  $v \equiv 1, 4$  or 7 (mod 12) [2].
- (ii) If  $\alpha$  is of type  $[1,0,\ldots,0,k,0,\ldots,0]$ , a  $DTS_{\alpha}(v)$  is called k-rotational. There exists a 1-rotational DTS(v) if and only if  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  [1].
- (iii) There exists a 3-rotational DTS(v) if and only if  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  [1].

The spectrum of rotational directed triple systems is derived from the existence of 1– and 3–rotational systems: There exists a k–rotational DTS(v) if and only if

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{k} \equiv 1, 2 \; (\text{mod } 3), \; v \equiv 0 \; (\text{mod } 3) \; \text{and} \; v \equiv 1 \; (\text{mod } k) \; \text{or} \\ \mathbf{k} \equiv 0 \; (\text{mod } 3) \; \text{and} \; v \equiv 1 \; (\text{mod } k). \end{array} \right.$$

We are concerned with automorphisms of the type [f, 0, ..., 0, k, 0, ..., 0],  $f \geq 3$ . A  $DTS_{\alpha}(v)$  with type  $[\alpha] = [f, 0, ..., 0, k, 0, ..., 0]$  as an automorphism is said to be (f, k)-near rotational and is denoted by (f, k)-near RDTS(v). A (3, k)-near RDTS(v) is called a k-near RDTS(v). In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of k-near RDTS(v)s.

#### 2. One-near rotational directed triple systems

1-near rotational DTS(v)s admit an automorphism of the type  $[3, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$ . We will construct these on the set  $X = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\} \cup Z_{v-3}$  with the automorphism  $\alpha = (\infty_1)(\infty_2)(\infty_3)(0, 1, \ldots, v-4)$ . It is easy to see the following necessary condition.

LEMMA 2.1. If there exist a (f, k)-rotational DTS(v), then

- (i)  $v \equiv 0 \text{ or } 1 \pmod{3}$ ,
- (ii)  $f \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{3}$ ,
- (iii)  $v \equiv f \pmod{k}$ ,
- (iv)  $k[v(v-1) f(f-1)] \equiv 0 \pmod{3(v-f)}$ .

REMARK 2.2. If there exists a 1-near RDTS(v), then  $v \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{6}$  or v = 3.

We now show that the above necessary condition is sufficient. We first require the use of the following four structures. An (A, k)-system

is a set of ordered pairs  $\{(a_r,b_r)|r=1,2,\ldots,k\}$  that partition the set  $\{1,2,\ldots,2k\}$  with the property that  $b_r-a_r=r$  for each  $r=1,2,\ldots,k$ . There exists an (A,k)-system if and only if  $k\equiv 0$  or  $1\pmod 4$  [5, 6]. A (B,k)-system is a set of ordered pairs  $\{(a_r,b_r)|r=1,2,\ldots,k\}$  that partition the set  $\{1,2,\ldots,2k-1,2k+1\}$  with the property that  $b_r-a_r=r$  for each  $r=1,2,\ldots,k$ . There exists a (B,k)-system if and only if  $k\equiv 2$  or  $3\pmod 4$  [4, 5]. A (C,k)-system is a set of ordered pairs  $\{(a_r,b_r)|r=1,2,\ldots,k\}$  that partition the set  $\{1,2,\ldots,k,k+2,\ldots,2k+1\}$  with the property that  $b_r-a_r=r$  for each  $r=1,2,\ldots,k$ . There exists a (C,k)-system if and only if  $k\equiv 0$  or k0 or k2 or k3. There exists a set of ordered pairs k4. With the property that k5. A k6. There exists a k6. A k7. System if and only if k8. There exists a k8. There exists a k9. System if and only if k9. A k9. System if and only if k1. There exists a k8. There exists a k9. System if and only if k1. There exists a k9. System if and only if k1. There exists a k9. System if and only if k1.

It is trivial that there exists a 1-near RDTS(3).

THEOREM 2.3 [see 5]. There exists a cyclic Steiner triple system of order v if and only if  $v \equiv 1$  or  $3 \pmod 6$  and  $v \neq 9$ .

LEMMA 2.4. If  $v \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$ , then there exists a 1-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. If v = 6k + 4, then there exists a cyclic Steiner triple system of order 6k + 1 with k starter blocks. So let  $\{0, a_r, b_r\}$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ , be the starter blocks for a cyclic Steiner triple system of order 6k + 1 under the cyclic automorphism  $(0, 1, \ldots, 6k)$ . Then the following directed triples

$$[\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3], \quad [\infty_3, \infty_2, \infty_1], \quad [0, a_k, b_k]$$
  
 $[a_k, \infty_1, 0], \quad [b_k, \infty_2, 0], \quad [b_k - a_k, \infty_3, 0],$   
 $[0, a_r, b_r], \quad [b_r, a_r, 0], \quad r = 1, 2, \dots, k-1,$ 

form a set of starter blocks for a 1–near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 2.5. If  $v \equiv 7$  or  $13 \pmod{24}$ , then there exists a 1-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v = 6k + 7 and let  $k \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $\{(a_r, b_r) | r = 1, 2, ..., k\}$  be an (A, k)-system. Then the following directed triples

$$egin{align} [\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3]\,,& [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1]\,,\ [0,\infty_1,3k+2]\,,& [0,\infty_2,3k+1]\,,& [0,\infty_3,3k+3]\,,\ [0,r,b_r+k]\,,& [b_r+k,r,0]\,,& r=1,2,\ldots,k \ \end{pmatrix}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 1-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 2.6. If  $v \equiv 1$  or  $19 \pmod{24}$ , then there exists a 1-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v = 6k+7 and let  $k \equiv 2$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$  and let  $\{(a_r, b_r)|r = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$  be a (B, k)-system. Then the following cyclic triples

$$egin{align} [\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3],& [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1]\,,\ [0,\infty_1,3k+2]\,,& [0,\infty_2,3k]\,,& [0,\infty_3,3k+4]\,,\ [0,r,b_r+k]\,,& [b_r+k,r,0]\,,& r=1,2,\ldots,k \ \end{pmatrix}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 1-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

Remark 2.2 and Lemmas 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 together yield the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.7. Then there exists a 1-near RDTS(v) if and only if  $v \equiv 1, 4 \pmod{6}$  or v = 3.

## 3. Three-near rotational directed triple systems

It is easy to see that if there exists a k-near RDTS(v), then  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$ . Let us consider the existence of 3-near rotational directed triple systems. 3-near RDTS(v)s admit an automorphism  $\alpha$  of the type  $[\alpha] = [3, 0, \ldots, 0, 3, 0, \ldots, 0]$ . We will construct these on the set  $\{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\} \cup (Z_{\frac{v-3}{3}} \times \{1, 2, 3\})$  with the automorphism  $\alpha = (\infty_1) (\infty_2) (\infty_3) (0_1, 1_1, \ldots, (\frac{v-3}{3} - 1)_1) (0_2, 1_2, \ldots, (\frac{v-3}{3} - 1)_2) (0_3, 1_3, \ldots, (\frac{v-3}{3} - 1)_3)$  where we write for brevity  $x_i$  instead of (x, i). First of all, we have immediately the following necessary condition for the existence of a 3-near RDTS(v).

THEOREM 3.1. If there exists a 3-near RDTS(v), then  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

PROOF. Since  $v \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{3}$  and v-3 is divisible by 3, we have  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

LEMMA 3.2. There exists a 3-near RDTS(30).

PROOF. The following directed triples

$$\begin{split} &[\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1], & [0_3,0_2,0_1], \\ &[0_1,\infty_1,2_1], & [2_1,\infty_2,0_1], & [0_2,\infty_1,2_2], \\ &[2_2,\infty_2,0_2], & [0_3,\infty_1,2_3], & [2_3,\infty_2,0_3], \\ &[0_1,\infty_3,0_2], & [0_2,0_3,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,0_1,0_3], \\ &[0_i,1_i,4_i], & [4_i,1_i,0_i], & i=1,2,3, \\ &[0_1,x_2,(2x)_3], & [(2x)_3,x_2,0_1], & x=1,2,\dots,8 \end{split}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 3-near RDTS(30) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3.3. If  $v \equiv 12 \pmod{18}$ , then there exists a 3-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v=18t+12. The case t=1 has been treated in Lemma 3.2. Let t>1 and let  $\{(a_r,b_r)|r=1,2,\ldots,t\}$  be a (C,t)-system if  $t\equiv 0$ , 3 (mod 4) or a (D,t)-system if  $t\equiv 1, 2 \pmod 4$ ). Then the following directed triples

$$\begin{split} &[\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1], & [0_3,0_2,0_1], \\ &[0_1,\infty_1,(2t+1)_1], & [(2t+1)_1,\infty_2,0_1], & [0_2,\infty_1,(2t+1)_2], \\ &[(2t+1)_2,\infty_2,0_2], & [0_3,\infty_1,(2t+1)_3], & [(2t+1)_3,\infty_2,0_3], \\ &[0_1,\infty_3,0_2], & [0_2,0_3,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,0_1,0_3], \\ &[0_i,r_i,(b_r+t)_i], & [(b_r+t)_i,r_i,0_i], & i=1,2,3, & r=1,2,\ldots,t, \\ &[0_1,x_2,(2x)_3], & [(2x)_3,x_2,0_1], & x=1,2,\ldots,6t+2 \end{split}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 3-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3.4. If  $v \equiv 6 \pmod{18}$ , then there exists a 3-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v = 18t + 6 and let  $\{(a_r, b_r) | r = 1, 2, ..., t\}$  be an (A, t)-system if  $t \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$  or a (B, t)-system if  $t \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ . Then

the following directed triples

$$\begin{split} &[\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1], & [2_3,1_2,0_1], \\ &[0_1,\infty_1,0_2], & [0_3,\infty_2,0_2], & [0_1,\infty_3,1_2], \\ &[0_2,0_3,\infty_1], & [0_2,0_1,\infty_2], & [1_2,2_3,\infty_3], \\ &[\infty_1,0_1,0_3], & [\infty_2,0_3,0_1], & [\infty_3,0_1,2_3], \\ &[0_i,r_i,(b_r+t)_i], & [(b_r+t)_i,r_i,0_i], & i=1,2,3, & r=1,2,\ldots,t, \\ &[0_1,x_2,(2x)_3], & [(2x)_3,x_2,0_1], & x=2,3,\ldots,6t \end{split}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 3-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3.5. If  $v \equiv 18 \pmod{36}$ , then there exists a 3-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v = 18t + 18 and  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Then  $2t + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ; so let  $\{(a_r, b_r) | r = 1, 2, \dots, 2t + 1\}$  be an (A, 2t + 1)-system. Then the following directed triples

$$\begin{split} &[\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1], \\ &[(4t+3)_i,\infty_1,0_1], \quad i=1,2,3, \\ &[0_i,\infty_2,(4t+3)_i], \quad i=1,2,3, \\ &[0_1,\infty_3,0_2], & [\infty_3,0_1,0_3], & [0_2,0_3,\infty_3], \\ &[0_3,0_2,0_1], & \\ &[0_1,x_2,(2x)_3], & [(2x)_3,x_2,0_1], \quad x=1,2,\dots,6t+4, \\ &[r_i,0_i,(b_r)_i], & i=1,2,3, & r=1,2,\dots,2t+1 \end{split}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 3-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3.6. If  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{36}$ , then there exists a 3-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v = 18t + 18 and  $t \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Then  $2t + 1 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ; so let  $\{(a_r, b_r) | r = 1, 2, ..., 2t + 1\}$  be an (B, 2t + 1)-system. Then

the following directed triples

$$\begin{split} &[\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1], \\ &[(4t+2)_i,\infty_1,0_1], & i=1,2,3, \\ &[0_i,\infty_2,(4t+2)_i], & i=1,2,3, \\ &[0_1,\infty_3,0_2], & [\infty_3,0_1,0_3], & [0_2,0_3,\infty_3], \\ &[0_3,0_2,0_1], & [0_1,x_2,(2x)_3], & [(2x)_3,x_2,0_1], & x=1,2,\dots,6t+4, \\ &[r_i,0_i,(b_r)_i], & i=1,2,3, & r=1,2,\dots,2t+1 \end{split}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 3-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3.7. If  $v \equiv 3$  or  $9 \pmod{24}$ , then there exists a 3-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v = 6k + 3 and  $k \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4), and let  $\{(a_r, b_r)|k = 1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$  be a (C, k-1)-system. Then the following directed triples

$$\begin{split} &[\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3],\quad [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1],\\ &[0_i,\infty_1,k_i],\qquad i=1,2,3,\\ &[0_1,\infty_2,k_2],\qquad [0_2,\infty_2,k_3],\qquad [0_3,\infty_2,k_1],\\ &[k_2,\infty_3,0_1],\qquad [k_3,\infty_3,0_2],\qquad [k_1,\infty_3,0_3],\\ &[0_1,0_2,0_3],\qquad [0_3,0_2,0_1],\\ &[0_i,r_i,(b_r)_{i+1}],\quad [(b_r)_{i+1},r_i,0_i],\quad i=1,2,3,\quad r=1,2,\ldots,k-1 \end{split}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 3-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3.8. If  $v \equiv 15 \pmod{24}$ , then there exists a 3-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v = 6k + 3 and  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , and let  $\{(a_r, b_r) | k = 1, 2, ..., k - 1\}$  be a (A, k - 1)-system. Then the following directed

triples

$$\begin{split} &[\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1], \\ &[0_i,\infty_1,k_i], & i=1,2,3, \\ &[0_1,\infty_2,(2k-1)_2], & [0_2,\infty_2,(2k-1)_3], & [0_3,\infty_2,(2k-1)_1], \\ &[(2k-1)_2,\infty_3,0_1], & [(2k-1)_3,\infty_3,0_2], & [(2k-1)_1,\infty_3,0_3], \\ &[0_1,0_2,0_3], & [0_3,0_2,0_1], \\ &[0_i,r_i,(b_r)_{i+1}], & [(b_r)_{i+1},r_i,0_i], & i=1,2,3, & r=1,2,\ldots,k-1 \end{split}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 3-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

LEMMA 3.9. If  $v \equiv 21 \pmod{24}$ , then there exists a 3-near RDTS(v).

PROOF. Let v = 6k + 3 and  $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and let  $\{(a_r, b_r) | k = 1, 2, \ldots, k - 1\}$  be a (B, k - 1)-system. Then the following directed triples

$$\begin{split} &[\infty_1,\infty_2,\infty_3], & [\infty_3,\infty_2,\infty_1], \\ &[0_i,\infty_1,k_i], & i=1,2,3, \\ &[0_1,\infty_2,(2k-2)_2], & [0_2,\infty_2,(2k-2)_3], & [0_3,\infty_2,(2k-2)_1], \\ &[(2k-2)_2,\infty_3,0_1], & [(2k-2)_3,\infty_3,0_2], & [(2k-2)_1,\infty_3,0_3], \\ &[0_1,0_2,0_3], & [0_3,0_2,0_1], \\ &[0_i,r_i,(b_r)_{i+1}], & [(b_r)_{i+1},r_i,0_i], & i=1,2,3, & r=1,2,\ldots,k-1 \end{split}$$

form a set of starter blocks for a 3-near RDTS(v) under the automorphism  $\alpha$ .

We can now conclude the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.10. There exist a 3-near RDTS(v) if and only if  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  or v = 4.

# 4. Concluding remark

REMARK 4.1. If a permutation  $\alpha$  of degree v is of type  $[3, 0, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0]$  and  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$ , then  $\alpha^k$  is of type  $[3, 0, 0, \dots, 0, k, 0, \dots, 0]$  which is a permutation consisting of exactly three fixed elements

and k cycles of length  $\frac{v-3}{k}$ . If  $k \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{3}$  and there exists a k-near RDTS(v), then  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  since  $k(v+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ; and if  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and there exists a k-near RDTS(v), then  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  since  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$ . Thus, the existence of a 1-near RDTS(v) implies the existence of a k-near RDTS(v), provided that  $v \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$ , and  $k(v+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  for  $k \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{3}$ , and the existence of a 3-near RDTS(v) implies the existence of a k-near RDTS(v), provided that  $v \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}$  for  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Therefore, we can conclude the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.2. There exists a k-near RDTS(v) if and only if

- (i)  $v \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod{3}$ ,
- (ii)  $v \equiv 3 \pmod{k}, k > 1$ ,
- (iii)  $k(v+2) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

### References

- C. J. Cho, Y. Chae, and S. G. Hwang, Rotational Directed Triple Systems, J. Korean Math. Soc. 24 (1987), 133-142.
- [2] M. J. Colbourn and C. J. Colbourn, The analysis of directed triple systems by refinement, Annals Of Discrete Mathematics 15 (1982), 97-103.
- [3] S. H. Y. Hung and N. S. Mendelsohn, Directed triple systems, J. Combin. Th. (A) 14 (1973), 319-323.
- [4] E. S. O'Keef, Verification of a conjecture of Th. Skolem, Math. Scand. 9 (1961), 80-82.
- [5] A. Rosa, Poznámka o cycklických Steinerových systémoch trojíc, Math.-Fzy. Čas 16 (1966), 285–290.
- [6] Th. Skolm, On certain distributions of integers in pairs with given differences, Math. Scand. 5 (1957), 57-68.

Department of Mathematics College of Sciences Sookmyung Women's University Seoul 140-742, Korea E-mail: cjcho@sookmyung.ac.kr