

A NOTE ON LATTICE IMPLICATION ALGEBRAS

ZHU YIQUAN AND TU WENBIAO

ABSTRACT. In this paper, a simple axiom system of lattice implication algebras is presented, it is convenient for verifying whether an algebra of type $(2,2,2,1,0,0)$ becomes a lattice implication algebra.

1. Introduction

In order to do research on the logical system whose propositional value is given in a lattice, Y. Xu [6] proposed the concept of lattice implication algebras. Some of their fundamental properties were obtained in [1, 2, 4, 6, 7]. In this paper we will give an equivalent axiom system of lattice implication algebras, which simplifies Xu's axioms in [6]. This is convenient for verifying whether an algebra of type $(2,2,2,1,0,0)$ becomes a lattice implication algebra.

2. Preliminaries

According to the notion of lattice implication algebras, originally given by Y. Xu [6], we can also describe it as follows:

DEFINITION. An algebra $(X, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, ', 0, 1)$ of type $(2,2,2,1,0,0)$ is called

Received July 25, 2000. Revised October 17, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 03G10, 06B10, 54E15.

Key words and phrases: lattice, lattice implication algebra, axiom system, *BCK*-algebra.

The first author wishes to acknowledge the financial support of Natural Science Foundation of HBP in China, Project No.1998(98A067).

a *lattice implication algebra* if it satisfies the following axioms:

- | | |
|--|---|
| (L1) $x \wedge x = x,$ | (L'1) $x \vee x = x,$ |
| (L2) $x \wedge y = y \wedge x,$ | (L'2) $x \vee y = y \vee x,$ |
| (L3) $(x \wedge y) \wedge z = x \wedge (y \wedge z),$ | (L'3) $(x \vee y) \vee z = x \vee (y \vee z),$ |
| (L4) $x \wedge (x \vee y) = x,$ | (L'4) $x \vee (x \wedge y) = x,$ |
| (B1) $x \wedge 0 = 0,$ | (B'1) $x \vee 1 = 1,$ |
| (L) $(x \wedge y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \vee (y \rightarrow z),$ | (L') $(x \vee y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \wedge (y \rightarrow z),$ |
| (C1) $x \wedge y = x \Rightarrow x' \wedge y' = y',$ | |
| (C2) $(x')' = x,$ | |
| (I1) $x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z),$ | |
| (I2) $x \rightarrow x = 1,$ | |
| (I3) $x \rightarrow y = y' \rightarrow x',$ | |
| (I4) $x \rightarrow y = 1 = y \rightarrow x \Rightarrow x = y,$ | |
| (I5) $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x,$ | |

for all $x, y, z \in X$.

LEMMA 1 ([6]). In a lattice implication algebra $(X, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, ', 0, 1)$, the following hold (for all $x, y, z \in X$):

- (1) $0 \rightarrow x = 1,$
- (2) $x \rightarrow 0 = x',$
- (3) $x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x,$
- (4) $x \wedge y = ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y')' = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x')'.$

LEMMA 2 ([5]). An algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is a commutative BCK-algebra if and only if the following conditions are satisfied (for all $x, y, z \in X$):

- (1) $0 * x = 0,$
- (2) $(x * y) * (x * z) = (z * y) * (z * x),$
- (3) $x * 0 = x.$

LEMMA 3 ([3]). Suppose $(X; *, 0)$ is a bounded commutative BCK-algebra with unit 1. For any x, y, z in X , let $Nx = 1 * x$, $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$, $x \vee y = N(Nx \wedge Ny)$. Then (X, \vee, \wedge) is a distributive lattice with a least element 0 and a greatest element 1.

3. Result

The following theorem gives a very simpler axiom system of lattice implication algebras.

THEOREM 1. *An algebra $(X, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, ', 0, 1)$ of type $(2, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$ is a lattice implication algebra if and only if it satisfies the following conditions (for all $x, y, z \in X$):*

- (Z1) $0 \rightarrow x = 1$,
- (Z2) $(x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y)$,
- (Z3) $x \rightarrow y = y' \rightarrow x'$,
- (Z4) $(x')' = x$,
- (Z5) $x' = x \rightarrow 0$,
- (Z6) $x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$,
- (Z7) $(x \wedge y)' = x' \vee y'$.

Proof. Suppose $(X, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, ', 0, 1)$ is a lattice implication algebra. By the definition of lattice implication algebras and Lemma 1, (Z1) and (Z3)~(Z6) hold. For (Z2), from (I1) and (I3)~(I5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) &= x \rightarrow ((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow y) = x \rightarrow (y' \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)') \\ &= y' \rightarrow (x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)') = y' \rightarrow ((z' \rightarrow x') \rightarrow x') \\ &= y' \rightarrow ((x' \rightarrow z') \rightarrow z') = (x' \rightarrow z') \rightarrow (y' \rightarrow z') \\ &= (z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y). \end{aligned}$$

For (Z7), by (Z3), (Z4), (Z6) and Lemma 1 (4), we have

$$x' \vee y' = (x' \rightarrow y') \rightarrow y' = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y' = (x \wedge y)'.$$

So far, the necessity is proved.

Conversely, suppose $(X, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, ', 0, 1)$ satisfies the conditions (Z1)~(Z7). For all $x, y, z \in X$, let

$$x * y = (x \rightarrow y)'.$$

We first prove $(X; *, 0)$ is a bounded commutative *BCK*-algebra. In fact, by (Z1) and (Z5) we have $0' = 1$, and so $1' = 0$ by (Z4). Therefore,

by (Z1) and (Z3)~(Z5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 * x &= (0 \rightarrow x)' = 1' = 0, \\ x * 0 &= (x \rightarrow 0)' = (x')' = x, \\ x * 1 &= (x \rightarrow 1)' = (0 \rightarrow x')' = 1' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by (Z2)~(Z5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x * y) * (x * z) &= ((x \rightarrow y)' \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z)')' = ((x \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y))' \\ &= ((z \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (z \rightarrow y))' = ((z \rightarrow y)' \rightarrow (z \rightarrow x)')' \\ &= (z * y) * (z * x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by Lemma 2 it is proved that $(X; *, 0)$ is a bounded commutative *BCK*-algebra with unit 1.

Next, by (Z3)~(Z5) we have

$$Nx = 1 * x = (1 \rightarrow x)' = (x' \rightarrow 0)' = (x'')' = x'.$$

By (Z3), (Z4), (Z6) and (Z7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge y &= (x' \vee y')' = ((x' \rightarrow y') \rightarrow y')' \\ &= (y \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x)')' = y * (y * x), \\ x \vee y &= (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = y' \rightarrow (y' \rightarrow x')' \\ &= (y' * (y' * x'))' = N(Nx \wedge Ny). \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above discussions, by Lemma 3 it is proved that (X, \vee, \wedge) is a distributive lattice with a least element 0 and a greatest element 1. Therefore (L1)~(L4), (L' 1)~(L' 4), (B1) and (B' 1) hold, and so (I5) holds by (L' 2) and (Z6). Since $x \wedge y = x$ implies $y = x \vee y$, by (Z4) and (Z7) we have $y' = (x \vee y)' = x' \wedge y'$, i.e., (C1) holds.

Finally, from the fundamental properties [3] of bounded commutative

BCK-algebras we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(I1)} \quad x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) &= N(x * N(y * z)) = N((y * z) * Nx) \\
 &= N((y * Nx) * z) = N((x * Ny) * z) \\
 &= N((x * z) * Ny) = N(y * N(x * z)) \\
 &= y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{(I2)} \quad x \rightarrow x = N(x * x) = N0 = 1.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(I4)} \quad x \rightarrow y = 1 = y \rightarrow x &\Leftrightarrow N(x \rightarrow y) = N1 = N(y \rightarrow x) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow x * y = 0 = y * x \Leftrightarrow x = y.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(L)} \quad (x \wedge y) \rightarrow z &= N((x \wedge y) * z) = N((x * z) \wedge (y * z)) \\
 &= N(x * z) \vee N(y * z) = (x \rightarrow z) \vee (y \rightarrow z).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(L')} \quad (x \vee y) \rightarrow z &= N((x \vee y) * z) = N((x * z) \vee (y * z)) \\
 &= N(x * z) \wedge N(y * z) = (x \rightarrow z) \wedge (y \rightarrow z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Noticing that (I3) and (C2) hold in the case of the sufficiency, we obtain all the axioms of the definition are satisfied. So $(X, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, ', 0, 1)$ is a lattice implication algebra. The proof is complete. \square

References

- [1] Y. B. Jun, *Implicative filters of lattice implication algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **34** (1997), 193–198.
- [2] Y. B. Jun and S. M. Hong, *A uniform structure of lattice implication algebras*, Far East J. Sci. **5** (1997), no. 3, 381–385.
- [3] M. Jen and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-Algebras*, Kyung Moonsa Co., Seoul, Korea (1994).
- [4] Y. B. Jun, E. H. Roh, and Y. Xu, *LI-lattice implication algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **35** (1998), no. 1, 13–24.
- [5] B. Y. Shen, *On equational systems of the commutative BCK-algebra*, J. of Mathematical Research and Exposition **4** (1984), no. 4, 79–80.
- [6] Y. Xu, *Lattice implication algebras*, J. Southwest Jiaotong University **28** (1993), no. 1, 20–27.
- [7] Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin, *On filters of lattice implication algebras*, J. Fuzzy Math. **2** (1993), 251–260.

ZHU YIQUAN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUANGGANG NORMAL UNIVERSITY /ZHAOQING COLLEGE, HUBEI 438000, P. R. CHINA
E-mail: ZHUYIQUAN@21CN.COM

TU WENBIAO, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NANTONG NORMAL UNIVERSITY, JIANGSU 226007, P. R. CHINA