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#### Abstract

We consider the special hypersurface of the first approximate Matsumoto metric with $b_{2}(x)=\partial_{2} b$ being the gradient of a scalar function $b(x)$ In this paper, we consider the hypersurface of the first approximate Matsumoto space with the same equation $b(x)=$ constant We are devoted to finding the condition for this hypersurface to be a hyperplane of the first or second kind We show that this hypersurface is not a hyper-plane of third kind


## 1. The first approximate Matsumoto space

The Matsumoto metric is expressed as the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha-\beta}=\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} \alpha \sum_{k=0}^{r}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{k} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $|\beta|<|\alpha|$. We regard $b_{i}(x)$ as very small numerically. If we neglect all the powers which are greater than $r$ of $b_{2}(x)$ in (1.1), then $(\alpha, \beta)$ metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{r}\left(\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)^{k} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$
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is an approximate metric to the Matsumoto metric. Then we shall call the ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric (1.2) the general approxmate Matsumoto metric. If we put $r=2$, then $L$ is the first approximate Matsumoto metric. That is to say, we have as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\alpha+\beta+\frac{\beta^{2}}{\alpha} . \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, by taking a general Riemannian metric $\alpha$ and a general non-zero 1-form $\beta$ on a general differentiable manifold $M^{n}$, Hong-Suh Park, Il-Yong Lee and Chan-Keun Park [8] give as follows:

Definition 1.1. On an $n$-dimensional differential manifold $M^{n}$, an ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metric $L$ of type (1.3) is called the first approximate Matsumoto metric and the Finsler space ( $M^{n}, L$ ) is called the first approximate Matsumoto space.

The derivatives of the first approximate Matsumoto metric $L$ with respect ot $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{\alpha}=\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) / \alpha^{2}, \quad L_{\beta}=(\alpha+2 \beta) / \alpha, \\
& L_{\alpha \alpha}=2 \beta^{2} / \alpha^{3}, \quad L_{\beta \beta}=2 / \alpha,  \tag{1.4}\\
& L_{\alpha \beta}=-2 \beta / a^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{\alpha}=\partial L / \partial \alpha, L_{\beta}=\partial L / \partial \beta$.
If in the first approximate Matsumoto space $F^{n}=\left(M^{n}, L\right)$ where $L=\alpha+\beta+\beta^{2} / \alpha$, we put

$$
\alpha=\left(a_{\imath \jmath}(x) y^{2} y^{j}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \beta=b_{\imath}(x) y^{i},
$$

then the normalized element of support $l_{\imath}=\partial_{\imath} L$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{i}=\alpha^{-1} L_{\alpha} y_{i}+L_{\beta} b_{i} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{\imath}=a_{i j} y^{2}$. The angular metric tensor $h_{\imath \jmath}=L^{-1} \dot{\partial}_{\imath} \dot{\partial}_{j} L$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{i j}=p a_{i j}+q_{0} b_{2} b_{j}+q_{1}\left(b_{2} Y_{j}+b_{j} Y_{i}\right)+q_{2} Y_{1} Y_{j}, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=L L_{\alpha} \alpha^{-1}=\frac{\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha \beta+\beta^{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}\right)}{\alpha^{k}}, \\
& q_{0}=L L_{\beta \beta}=\frac{2\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha \beta+b^{2}\right)}{\alpha^{2}},  \tag{1.7}\\
& q_{1}=L L_{\alpha \beta} \alpha^{-1}=-\frac{2 \beta\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha \beta+\beta^{2}\right)}{\alpha^{k}}, \\
& q_{2}=L \alpha^{-2}\left(L_{\alpha \alpha}-L_{\alpha} \alpha^{-1}\right)=\frac{\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha \beta+\beta^{2}\right)\left(3 \beta^{2}-\alpha^{2}\right)}{\alpha^{6}} .
\end{align*}
$$

The fundamental tensor $g_{\imath \jmath}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_{\imath} \dot{\partial}_{\jmath} L^{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{v j}=p a_{2 j}+p_{0} b_{2} b_{j}+p_{1}\left(b_{2} Y_{j}+b_{j} Y_{2}\right)+q_{2} Y_{2} Y_{j}, \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{0}=q_{0}+L_{\beta}^{2}=\frac{3\left(\alpha^{2}+2 \alpha \beta+\beta^{2}\right)}{\alpha^{2}}, \\
& p_{1}=q_{1}+L^{-1} p L_{\beta}=\frac{\alpha^{3}+4 \alpha^{2} \beta+\alpha \beta^{2}}{\alpha^{4}},  \tag{1.9}\\
& p_{2}=q_{2}+p^{2} L^{-2}=\frac{-\alpha^{3} \beta+3 \alpha \beta^{3}+4 \beta^{4}}{\alpha^{6}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, the reciprocal tensor $g^{2 j}$ of $g_{2 j}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{23}=p^{-1} a^{23}-S_{0} b^{2} b^{3}-S_{1}\left(b^{2} y^{3}+b^{3} y^{i}\right)-S_{2} y^{2} y^{3}, \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& b^{2}=a^{2} b_{3}, \quad S_{0}=\left(p p_{0}+\left(p_{0} p_{2}-p_{1}^{2}\right) \alpha^{2}\right) / \zeta p, \\
& S_{1}=\left(p p_{1}+\left(p_{0} p_{2}-p_{1}^{2}\right) \beta\right) / \zeta p,  \tag{1.11}\\
& S_{2}=\left(p p_{2}+\left(p_{0} p_{2}-p_{1}^{2}\right) b^{2}\right) / \zeta p, \quad b^{2}=a_{i j} b^{i} b^{3}, \\
& \zeta=p\left(p+p_{0} b^{2}+p_{1} \beta\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{2}-p_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\alpha^{2} b^{2}-\beta^{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The $h v$-torsion tensor $C_{\imath \jmath k}=\frac{1}{2} \dot{\partial}_{k} g_{\imath \jmath}$ is given by ([9])

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 p C_{\imath \jmath k}=p_{1}\left(h_{\imath \jmath} m_{k}+h_{\jmath k} m_{\imath}+h_{k i} m_{j}\right)+\gamma m_{\imath} m_{\jmath} m_{k} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}=p \frac{\partial p_{0}}{\partial \beta}-3 p_{1} q_{0}, \quad m_{i}=b_{\imath}-\alpha^{-2} \beta Y_{i} \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noted that the covariant vector $m_{\imath}$ is a non-vanishing one, and is orthogonal to the element of support $y^{2}$.

Let $\left\{\begin{array}{c}i \\ j k\end{array}\right\}$ be the components of Christoffel's symbol of the associated Riemannian space $R^{n}$ and $\nabla_{k}$ be covariant differentiation with respect to $x^{k}$ relative to this Christoffel's symbol. We shall use the following tensors.

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 E_{\imath j}=b_{\imath j}+b_{j 2}, \quad 2 F_{i j}=b_{\imath j}-b_{j \imath} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{i j}=\nabla_{j} b_{i}$.
If we denote the Cartan's connection $C \Gamma$ as $\left(\Gamma_{j}^{* \imath}{ }_{k}, \Gamma_{0}^{* \imath}{ }_{k}, C_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}\right)$, then the difference tensor $D_{j}{ }^{2} k=\Gamma_{j}^{* i} k-\left\{\begin{array}{c}i \\ j k\end{array}\right\}$ of the first approximate Matsumoto space is given by ([10]).

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{j}{ }_{k}= & B^{2} E_{j k}+F_{k}{ }_{k} B_{j}+F_{j}^{i} B_{k}+B_{j}^{i} b_{0 k}+B_{k}^{i} b_{0_{j}}  \tag{1.15}\\
& -b_{0 m} g_{\cdot}^{2 m} B_{j k}-C_{j}^{i}{ }_{m} A^{m}{ }_{k}-C_{k}{ }_{m} A^{m}{ }_{j}+C_{j k m} A^{m}{ }_{s} g^{2 s} \\
& +\lambda^{s}\left(C_{j}^{i}{ }_{m} C_{s}^{m}{ }_{k}+C_{k}{ }_{m}^{i} C_{s}^{m}{ }_{j}-C_{j}^{m}{ }_{k} C_{m}{ }_{s}{ }_{s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{k}=p_{0} b_{k}+p_{1} Y_{k}, \quad B^{i}=g^{\imath j} B_{j}, \quad F_{i}^{k}=g^{k_{3}} F_{j i} \\
& B_{i j}=\left\{p_{1}\left(a_{\imath \jmath}-\alpha^{-2} Y_{i} Y_{j}\right)+\frac{\partial p_{0}}{\partial \beta} m_{\imath} m_{j}\right\} / 2 \\
& B_{\imath}^{k}=g^{k \jmath} B_{\jmath \imath},  \tag{1.16}\\
& A_{k}^{m}=B_{k}^{m} E_{00}+B^{m} E_{k_{0}}+B_{k} F_{0}^{m}+B_{0} F_{k}^{m} \\
& \lambda^{m}=B^{m} E_{00}+2 B_{0} F_{0}^{m}, \quad B_{0}=b_{i} y^{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Here and in the following we denote 0 as contraction with $y^{2}$ except for the quantities $p_{0}, q_{0}$ and $s_{0}$.

## 2. Induced Cartan connection

Let $F^{n-1}$ be a hypersurface of $F^{n}$ given by the equations $x^{2}=$ $x^{i}\left(u^{\alpha}\right)$. Suppose that the matrix of the projection factor $B_{\alpha}=\partial x^{i} / \partial u^{\alpha}$ is of rank $n-1$. The element of support $y^{2}$ of $F^{n}$ is to be taken tangential to $F^{n-1}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{2}=B_{\alpha}^{2}(u) v^{\alpha} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $v^{\alpha}$ is the element of support of $F^{n-1}$ at the point $u^{\alpha}$. The metric tensor $g_{\alpha \beta}$ and $H V$-torsion tensor $C_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ of $F^{n-1}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \beta}=g_{\imath \jmath} B_{\alpha}^{2} B_{\beta}^{\jmath}, \quad C_{\alpha \beta \gamma}=C_{\imath \jmath k} B_{\alpha}^{\imath} B_{\beta}^{\jmath} B_{\gamma}^{k} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

At each point $u^{\alpha}$ of $F^{n-1}$, a unit normal vector $N^{2}(u, v)$ is defined by
(2.3) $g_{\imath j}(x(u, v), y(u, v)) B_{\alpha}^{i} N^{i}=0, \quad g_{\imath \jmath}(x(u, v), y(u, v)) N^{i} N^{j}=1$.

As for the angular metric tensor $h_{i j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\alpha \beta}=h_{\imath j} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j}, \quad h_{i j} B_{\alpha}^{i} N^{3}=0, \quad h_{i j} N^{\imath} N^{\jmath}=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If ( $B^{\alpha}{ }_{2}, N_{2}$ ) denote the inverse of ( $B_{\alpha}^{2}, N^{2}$ ), then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& B_{2}^{\alpha}=g^{\alpha \beta} g_{i j} B_{\beta}^{3}, \quad B_{\alpha}^{2} B_{2}^{\beta}=\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\
& B^{\alpha}{ }_{2} N^{2}=0, \quad B_{\alpha}^{2} N_{\imath}=0, \quad N_{\imath}=g_{i j} N^{j}  \tag{2.5}\\
& B_{\alpha}^{2} B_{j}^{\alpha}+N^{i} N_{j}=\delta_{j}^{\imath}
\end{align*}
$$

The induced connection $I C \mathrm{~T}=\left(\Gamma_{\beta}^{*}{ }_{\gamma}, G^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, C_{\beta}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}\right)$ of $F^{n-1}$ induced from the Cartan's connection $C \Gamma=\left(\Gamma_{j k}^{* z}, \Gamma_{0}^{* z}, C_{j}{ }^{2}\right.$ ) is given by ([6])

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{\beta}^{*} \gamma_{\gamma}=B_{i}^{\alpha}\left(B_{\beta}^{2}{ }_{\gamma}+\Gamma_{\jmath}^{* 2} B_{\beta}^{i} B_{\gamma}^{k}\right)+M_{\beta}^{\alpha} H_{\gamma}  \tag{2.6}\\
& G_{b}^{\alpha}=B_{i}^{\alpha}\left(B_{0}^{2} \beta_{\beta}+\Gamma_{0}^{* 2} B_{\beta}^{j}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
& C_{\beta}^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}=B_{\imath}^{\alpha} C_{j}^{i}{ }_{k} B_{\beta}^{\jmath} B_{\gamma}^{k} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\beta \gamma}=N_{i} C_{j}^{2}{ }_{k} B_{\beta}^{3} B_{\gamma}^{k}, \quad M_{\beta}^{\alpha}=g^{\alpha \gamma} M_{\beta \gamma} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\beta}=\dot{N}_{2}\left(B_{0}^{2} \beta+\Gamma_{0}^{* 2}, B_{\beta}^{3}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $B_{\beta}{ }^{2}{ }_{\gamma}=\partial B^{2} \beta / \partial u^{r}, B_{0}{ }^{i}{ }_{\beta}=B_{\alpha}{ }^{2}{ }_{\beta} v^{\alpha}$. The quatities $M_{\beta \gamma}$ and $H_{\beta}$ are called second fundamental $v$-tensor and normal curvature vector respectively ([6]). The second fundamental $h$-tensor $H_{\beta \gamma}$ is defined as ([6])

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\beta \gamma}=N_{\imath}\left(B_{\beta_{\gamma}^{2}}+\Gamma_{\jmath k}^{* 2} B_{\beta}^{\jmath} B_{\gamma}^{k}\right)+M_{\beta} H_{\gamma} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\beta}=N_{i} C_{j k}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j} N^{k} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relative $h$ - and $v$-covariant derivatives of projection factor $B_{\alpha}^{i}$ with respect to $I C \Gamma$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\alpha \mid \beta}^{2}=H_{\alpha \beta} N^{i}, \quad B_{\alpha \mid \beta}^{i}=M_{\alpha \beta} N^{\imath} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equation (2.11) shows that $H_{\beta \gamma}$ is generally not symmetric and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\alpha \gamma}-H_{\gamma \beta}=M_{\beta} H_{\gamma}-M_{\gamma} H_{\beta} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore (2.10), (2.11) and (2.12) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0 \gamma}=H_{\gamma}, \quad H_{\gamma 0}=H_{\gamma}+M_{\gamma} H_{0} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We qnote the following Lemma which is due to Matsumoto [6] as follows:

LEMMA 2.1 ([6]). The normal curvature $H_{0}=H_{\beta} v^{\beta}$ vanishes if and only if the normal curvature vector $H_{\beta}$ vanishes.

Lemma 2.2 ([6]). A hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ is a hyperplane of the first kind if and only if $H_{\alpha}=0$.

Lemma 23 ([6]) A hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ is a hyperplane of the second kind with respect to the connection $C \Gamma$ if and only of $H_{\alpha}=0$ and $H_{\alpha \beta}=0$.

Lemma 2.4 ([6]) A hypersurface $F^{n-1}$ is a hyperplane of the third kind with respect to the connection $C \Gamma$ if and only of $H_{\alpha}=0$ and $M_{\alpha \beta}=H_{\alpha \beta}=0$.

## 3. Hypersurface $F^{n-1}(c)$ of the first approximate Matsumoto space

Let us consider a special Matsumoto metric with a gradient $b_{\imath}(x)=$ $\partial_{i} b$ for a scalar function $b(x)$ and consider a hypersurface $F^{n-1}(c)$ which is given by the equation $b(x)=c$ (constant). From parametric equations $x^{2}=x^{i}\left(u^{\alpha}\right)$ of $F^{n-1}(c)$ we get $\partial_{\alpha} b(x(u))=0=b_{2} B^{2}{ }_{\alpha}$, so that $b_{2}(x)$ are regarded as covariant components of a normal vector field of $F^{n-1}(c)$. Therefore, along the $F^{n-1}(c)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\imath} B_{\alpha}^{2}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad b_{\imath} y^{2}=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the induced metric $L(u, v)$ from the Matsumoto metric is given by

$$
L(u, v)=\left(a_{\imath \jmath}(x) B_{\alpha_{\alpha}} B^{J}{ }_{\beta} v^{\alpha} v^{\beta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+b_{2}(x) B_{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha} v^{\alpha}+\frac{b_{2}(x) b_{\jmath}(x) B^{2}{ }_{\alpha} B^{3}{ }_{\beta} v^{\alpha} v^{\beta}}{\sqrt{a_{i \jmath}(x) B^{2}{ }_{\alpha} B^{j}{ }_{\beta} v^{\alpha} v^{\beta}}}
$$

Therefore, the induced metric of the $F^{n-1}(c)$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(u, v)=\sqrt{a_{\alpha \beta}(u) v^{\alpha} v^{\beta}}, \quad a_{\alpha \beta}=a_{\imath \jmath}(x) B_{\alpha}^{\alpha} B^{\jmath}{ }_{\beta} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the Riemanman metric.
At the point of $F^{n-1}(c)$, form (1.7), (1.9) and (1.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& p=1, \quad q_{0}=2, \quad q_{1}=0, \quad q_{2}=-\alpha^{-2}, \quad p_{0}=2, \quad p_{1}=\alpha^{-1}, \quad p_{2}=0,  \tag{3.3}\\
& \zeta=1+2 b^{2}, \quad S_{0}=2 /\left(1+2 b^{2}\right), \quad S_{1}=\left\{\alpha\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)\right\}^{-1}, \\
& S_{2}=-b^{2} /\left\{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, from (1.10) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g^{23}=a^{i 3}-\frac{2}{1+2 b^{2}} b^{2} b^{3}-\frac{1}{\alpha\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)}\left(b^{2} y^{3}-b^{j} y^{2}\right)+\frac{b^{2}}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)} y^{i} y^{3} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus along $F^{n-1}$, (3.4) and (3.1) lead to $g^{i} b_{2} b_{j}=\frac{b^{2}}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)}$.
Therefore, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}(x(u))=\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)}} N_{2}, \quad b^{2}=a^{2 J_{2}} b_{j} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again from (3.4) and (3.5) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{2}=a^{2 j} b_{j}=\sqrt{b^{2}\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)} N^{2}+b^{2} \alpha^{-1} y^{i} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we have the following
Theorem 31 Let $F^{n}$ be the first approximate Matsumoto space with a gradient $b_{2}(x)=\partial_{2} b(x)$ and let $F^{n-1}(c)$ be a hypersurface of $F^{n}$ which is given by $b(x)=c$ (constant). Suppose the Riemannian metric $a_{i j}(x) d x^{i} d x^{j}$ is posituve definte and $b_{2}$ is a non-zero field. Then the induced metric on $F^{n-1}(c)$ is a Riemannian metric given by (3.2) and relatzon (3.5) and (3.6) hold.

Along $F^{n-1}(c)$, the angular metric tensor and metric tensor are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{i j} & =a_{i j}+2 b_{2} b_{j}-\frac{Y_{\imath} Y_{3}}{\alpha^{2}}  \tag{3.7}\\
g_{i j} & =a_{\imath \jmath}+3 b_{i} b_{\jmath}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(b_{\imath} y_{j}+b_{\jmath} y_{\imath}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.1), (3.7) and (2.4) it follows that if $h_{\alpha \beta}^{(\alpha)}$ denote the angular metric tensor of the Riemannian $a_{i j}(x)$, then along $F^{n-1}(c) h_{\alpha \beta}=$ $h_{\alpha \beta}^{(a)}$. From (1.11), we get $\frac{\partial p_{0}}{\partial \beta}=12 \alpha^{4} /(\alpha-\beta)^{5}$. Thus along $F^{n-1}(c)$,
$\frac{\partial p_{0}}{\partial \beta}=\frac{12}{\alpha}$ and therefore (1.13) gives $r_{1}=6 / \alpha, m_{2}=b_{i}$. Therefore, the $h v$-torsion tensor becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{2 j k}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(h_{\imath \jmath} b_{k}+h_{j k} b_{\imath}+h_{k \imath} b_{j}\right)+\frac{3}{\alpha} b_{\imath} b_{\jmath} b_{k} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, (2.4), (2.9), (2.12), (3.1) and (3.9) give

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha} \sqrt{\frac{b^{2}}{1+2 b^{2}}} h_{\alpha \beta}, \quad M_{\alpha}=0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (2.14) it follows that $H_{\alpha \beta}$ is symmetric.
Theorem 32 The second fundamental v-tensor of $F^{n-1}(c)$ is given by (3.10) and the second fundamental h-tensor $H_{\alpha \beta}$ ss symmetric.

Next from (3.1) we get $b_{2 \mid \beta} B_{\alpha}^{2}+b_{2} B_{\alpha \mid \beta}^{2}=0$. Therefore, from (2.13) and the fact that $b_{2 \mid \beta}=b_{i \mid j} B_{\beta}^{2}+b_{i \mid j} N^{3} H_{\beta}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\imath!j} B_{\alpha}^{i} B_{\beta}^{j}+b_{i \backslash j} B_{\alpha}^{i} N^{j} H_{\beta}+b_{\imath} H_{\alpha \beta} N^{i}=0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left.b_{2}\right|_{j}=-b_{h} C_{2}{ }^{h}$, from (2.12), (3.5) and (3.10) we get

$$
\left.b_{i}\right|_{\jmath} B_{\alpha}^{2} N^{\jmath}=\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)}} M_{\alpha}=0
$$

Thus (3.11) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}}{1+2 b^{2}}} H_{\alpha \beta}+b_{z \mid \jmath} B_{\alpha}^{2} B_{\beta}{ }_{\beta}=0 . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is noted that $b_{2 \mid j}$ is symmetric. Furthermore, contracting (3.12) with $v^{\beta}$ and $v^{\alpha}$ respectively and using (2.1), (2.15) and (3.10) we get
(3.13) $\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}}{1+2 b^{2}}} H_{\alpha}+b_{2 \mid j} B^{2}{ }_{\alpha} y^{2}=0, \quad \sqrt{\frac{b^{2}}{1+2 b^{2}}} H_{0}+b_{2 \mid j} y^{2} y^{\prime}=0$.

In view of Lemmas (2.1), and (2.2), the hypersurface $F^{n-1}(c)$ is hyperplane of the first kind if and only if $H_{0}=0$. Thus from (3.13) it follows that $F^{n-1}(c)$ is a hyperplane of the first kind if and only if $b_{\imath \mid j} y^{2} y^{3}=0$. This $b_{\imath \mid j}$ being the covariant derivative with respect to $C \Gamma$, of $F^{n}$, it may depend on $y^{2}$. On the other hand $\nabla_{j} b_{i}=b_{\imath j}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to the Riemannian connection $\left\{\begin{array}{c}i \\ j k\end{array}\right\}$ constructed from $a_{\imath \jmath}(x)$, therefore $b_{\imath \jmath}$ does not depend on $y^{i}$. We shall consider the difference $b_{i \mid j}-b_{i j}$ in the following. The difference tensor $D_{j}{ }^{i}{ }_{k}=\Gamma_{j}^{* 2} k-\left\{\begin{array}{c}i \\ j k\end{array}\right\}$ is given by (1.15). Since $b_{i}$ is a gradient vector, from (1.14) we have $E_{i j}=b_{2 j}, F_{2 j}=0, F^{2}{ }_{j}=0$. Thus (1.17) reduces to

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{j}{ }^{2} k= & B^{2} b_{j k}+B^{2}{ }_{j} b_{0 k}+B^{2}{ }_{k} b_{0_{j}}-b_{0 m} g^{2 m} B_{j k} \\
& -C_{j}{ }_{m} A^{m}{ }_{k}-C_{k}{ }^{2}{ }_{m} A^{m}{ }_{j}+C_{j k m} A^{m}{ }_{s} g^{2 k}  \tag{3.14}\\
& +\lambda^{s}\left(C_{j}{ }_{m}{ }_{m} C_{s}^{m}{ }_{k}+C_{k}{ }_{m} C_{s}{ }^{m}{ }_{j}-C_{j}{ }^{m}{ }_{k} C_{m}{ }^{2} s\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

But in view of (3.3) and (3.4), the expressions (1.16) reduce to (3.15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{i}=3 b_{\imath}+\alpha^{-1} y_{\imath}, \quad B^{i}=\frac{2 b^{i}}{1+2 b^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{\alpha\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)}, \\
& B_{2 \jmath}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(a_{2 \jmath}-\alpha^{-2} y_{\imath} y_{j}+12 b_{\imath} b_{j}\right), \\
& B^{2}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(\delta_{j}^{2}-\alpha^{-2} y_{j} y^{2}\right)+\frac{5}{\alpha\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)} b^{i} b_{j}-\frac{1+12 b^{2}}{2 \alpha^{2}\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)} y^{2} b_{\jmath}, \\
& A^{m}{ }_{k}=B^{m}{ }_{k} b_{00}+B^{m} b_{k 0}, \\
& \lambda^{m}=B^{m} b_{00} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of (3.1) we have $B^{2}{ }_{0}=0, B_{20}=0$ which gives $A^{m}=$ $B^{m} b_{00}$.

We, therefore, have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{j}{ }^{2}{ }_{0}=B^{2} b_{j 0}+B^{2}{ }_{j} b_{00}-B^{m} C_{j}{ }^{2}{ }_{m} b_{00}, \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{0}{ }^{i}{ }_{0}=B^{2} b_{00}=\left[\frac{2 b^{i}}{1+2 b^{2}}+\frac{y^{2}}{\alpha\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)}\right] b_{00} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus paying attention to (3.1) along the $F^{n-1}(c)$, we finally get

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\imath} D_{3}^{2}{ }_{0}=\frac{2 b^{i}}{1+2 b^{2}} b_{\jmath 0}+\frac{1+12 b^{2}}{2 \alpha\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)} b_{00}-2 b^{m} b_{i} C_{\jmath}^{2} b_{00} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i} D_{0}{ }^{i}{ }_{0}=\frac{2 b^{2}}{1+2 b^{2}} b_{00} . \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.12), (3.5), (3.6) and (3.10) it follows that

$$
b^{m} b_{\imath} \cdot C_{\jmath}{ }_{m}^{2} B_{\alpha}^{\jmath}=b^{2} M_{\alpha}=0
$$

Therefore, the relation $b_{2 \mid \jmath}=b_{2 \jmath}-b_{r} D_{2}{ }^{r}$, and equations (3.18), (3.19) give

$$
b_{2 \mid, 3} y^{2} y^{3}=b_{00}-b_{r} D_{0}^{r}{ }_{0}=\frac{1}{1+2 b^{2}} b_{00}
$$

Consequently, (3.13) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{b^{2}} H_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2 b^{2}}} b_{r 0} B_{\alpha}^{2}=0, \quad \sqrt{b^{2}} H_{0}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+2 b^{2}}} b_{00}=0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the condition $H_{0}=0$ is equivalent to $b_{00}=0$, where $b_{23}$ does not depend on $y^{2}$. Since $y^{2}$ is to satisfy (3.1), the condition is written as $b_{\imath j} y^{i} y^{\jmath}=\left(b_{\imath} y^{2}\right)\left(c_{j} y^{i}\right)$ for some $c_{j}(x)$, so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 b_{23}=b_{2} C_{3}+b_{3} C_{2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1) and (3.21) it follows that $b_{00}=0, b_{\imath \jmath} B_{\alpha}^{2} B_{\beta}^{3}=0$, $b_{2 \jmath} B_{\alpha}{ }_{\alpha} y^{j}=0$. Hence, (3.20) gives $H_{\alpha}=0$. Again from (3.21) and (3.15) we get $b_{i 0} b^{i}=\frac{c_{0} b^{2}}{2}, \lambda^{m}=0, A_{3}{ }_{3} B_{\beta}{ }_{\beta}=0$ and $B_{2 j} B_{\alpha}^{2} B^{j}{ }_{\beta}=$ $\frac{1}{2 \alpha} h_{\alpha \beta}$. Thus (2.9), (3.4), (3.5), (3.6), (3.10) and (3.14) give

$$
b_{r} D_{2}^{r}{ }_{3} B_{\alpha}^{t} B_{\beta}^{j}=\frac{-C_{0} b^{2}}{4 \alpha\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{\alpha \beta}
$$

Therefore, equation (3.12) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}}{1+2 b^{2}}} H_{\alpha \beta}+\frac{C_{0} b^{2}}{4 \alpha\left(1+2 b^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{\alpha \beta}=0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence the hypersurface $F^{n-1}(c)$ is umbilic.

Theorem 33 The necessary and sufficient condition for $F^{n-1}(c)$ to be a hyperplane of the first kind is (3.21) and in this case the second fundamental tensor of $F^{n-1}(c)$ is proportional to its angular metric tensor.

In view of Lemma (2.3), $F^{n-1}(c)$ is hyperplane of second kind if and only if $H_{\alpha}=0$, and $H_{\alpha \beta}=0$. Thus from (3.22) we get $C_{0}=C_{I}(x) y^{i}=$ 0 . Therefore, there exist a function $e(x)$ such that $c_{2}(x)=e(x) b_{2}(x)$. Thus (3.21) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\imath j}=e b_{2} b_{j} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 34 The necessary and sufficient condition for $F^{n-1}(c)$ to be a hyperplane of the second kand is (3.23).

Finally (3.10) and Lemma 2.4 show that $F^{n-1}(c)$ does not become a hyperplane of the third kind.

Theorem 3.5 The hypersurface $F^{n-1}(c)$ is not a hyperplane of the therd kind.
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