A STATISTICAL STUDY ON THE DENTAL DISEASE OF THE HANDICAPPED

Nam-Ki Choi, D.D.S., Ph.D., Kyu-Ho Yang, D.D.S., Ph.D.

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Dental Science Research Institute, College of Dentistry Chonnam National University

국문초록

심신장애인의 구강질환에 대한 통계학적 연구

최남기 · 양규호

전남대학교 치과대학 소아치과학교실 및 치의학연구소

최근들어 국내에서 심신장애인수가 계속 증가하고 있지만 이들에 대한 구강건강상태의 전반적인 기초자료는 아직까지 부족한 실정이다. 본 연구는 장애인의 구강상태를 조사하고 정상인과의 차이점을 연구하여 건강한 구강상태를 유지 시키는 참고자료를 얻기위하여 시행하였으며, 267명의 장애인에 대해 치아우식증, 치주질환 상태, 교합상태를 조사하여 다음과 같은 결론을 얻었다.

- 1. 장애인의 우식유치경험율, 우식경험유치면지수, 우식경험영구치지수가 정상인보다 낮았다.
- 2. 장애인의 치은염유병자율이 나이에 따라 증가하는 양상을 보였으며, 정상인보다 높았다.
- 3. 장애인들은 정상인 보다 Ⅱ급, Ⅲ급 부정교합빈도가 더 높았다.

주요어 : 심신 장애인, 치아우식증, 치은염, 교합

I. Introduction

It is believed that the number of handicapped persons is increasing in proportion to the general growth of the world's population. A number of surveys and control studies have been conducted to determine the effect of cerebral palsy on the prevalence of oral disease¹⁻⁴⁾. The results of these studies have generally proved inconclusive. The reports concerning dental disease in handicapped children are contentious, and there are many differences of opinion as to what extent handicapped chil-

dren differ in oral health and disease from healthy children⁵⁾.

Regarding studies on the oral health of cerebral palsy children, Koster⁶⁾, Magnusson and Deval⁷⁾, Fishman et al.⁸⁾, Foster et al.⁹⁾ have reported and regarding on the mental retarded children, Cohen et al.¹⁰⁾, Pollack and Shapiro¹¹⁾, Gullickson¹²⁾, An and Kim¹³⁾, Chway and Kim¹⁴⁾ have studied. As to mongolism, Brown and Schodel¹⁵⁾, Cutress¹⁶⁾ have reported and as to autistic children Lowe and Lindemann¹⁷⁾, Chung et al.¹⁸⁾ and Ryu et al.¹⁹⁾ have showed the results of their studies. In addi-

^{*}This paper was supported by Grant B of Chonnam University Hospital in 1999.

Table 1. Distribution of age, sex between the handicapped and the normal in examined persons

	·	4	h i I	Handicapped			persons			Normal	persons
Age	Male	Female	CP	D & D	MR	Epilepsy	Hydro cephalus	Down's syndrome	Others	Male	Female
Below 5	5	8	10		1		1		1	1	
6	4	4	6		1		1			7	5
7	2	2	2	2					1	8	8
8	4	2	4	1						10	9
9	6	4	4	3	1		2			9	14
10	3	2	2		2		1			9	13
11	5	8	8	2	2				1	7	5
12	3	5	3	5							
13	8	13	6	4	2	4	2		1	2	
14	9	5	9	3	1		1				
15-19	45	20	26	16	13	2	2	4	4		
20-24	26	29	26	9	10	6	1	2	2		
25-29	18	18	17	4	11	1			1		
30-34	9	11	11	2	4	2			1		
Total	145	122	130	51	48	11	9	6	12	66	62

CP: Cerebral Palsy
D & D: Deaf and Dumb
MR: Mental Retardation

tion, as to general handicapped persons, Leeds²⁰⁾, Lee²¹⁾, KDA²²⁾, McDermott and Elbadrawy²³⁾, Costello²⁴⁾, and Kim and Yang²⁵⁾ have reported.

The present study was carried out to assess the oral health status of persons suffering from different handicapping conditions.

This was done by conducting a comprehensive study and evaluation of the oral health of a group of handicapped children. Caries rate, occlusion and the condition of the periodontium in different handicapped conditions was compared to a control group of non-handicapped children.

I. Materials and Methods

An oral examination of 267 persons with handicaps between three and thirty-four years was made at two facilities for handicapped persons in Gwangju(Table 1). A control group of 128 normal children between six and thirteen years also took part in the study.

In addition, the data from the Korean Dental Association were used as the normal persons after 13 years of age.

First, a mirror and explorer were used to evaluate the presence of decay in each tooth, and the number of missing and filled teeth was recorded. A caries rate was expressed as the number of decayed, missing, and filled

teeth.

In order to determine the degree of dental carries. df, DMF rate and dft index, DMFT index were used. In addition, gingival conditions and types of molar occlusion were noted. When there was a severe redness, swelling or bleeding tendency to pressure around the gingiva surrounding a tooth, it was concluded that gingivitis existed. Occlusal classification was then recorded as the Angle Classification and, in cases of primary dentition, as a terminal plane.

A statistical analysis of data was later performed utilizing a Chi square test and a pared T-test of significance. All data was conducted on SPSS.

A unparied T-test was used to compare the caries prevalence and gingivitis between the handicapped and the normal, and a Chi-Square test also to do the class of occlusion.

II. Results

Dental Caries

The dft, dfs and DMFT indices of all handicapped persons examined according to age were significantly lower than those of report of normal persons(p(0.05)(Table 2, 4).

Besides this, there was no significant differences between the two groups.

Table 2. df rate, dft and dfs indexes of handicapped persons

	df:	rate	- dft index	dfs index
Age	No. of exam	% of affected	- ut mex	uis iliuex
Below 5	13	38(5)	0.92	1.23
6	8	63(5)	3.5	5.88
7	4	75(3)	1.75	3
. 8	6	67(4)	1.5	1.5
9	10	70(7)	2.2	4.4
10	5	40(2)	0.8	1
11	13	38(5)	0.85	1.38
12	8	0	0	0
13	10	20(2)	0.2	0.6
14	14	14(2)	0.21	0.5
15-19	65	9(6)	0.17	0.2

(): No. of teeth

Table 3. DMF rate, DMFT and DMFS indexes of handicapped persons

Age	DMF	rate	DMFT index	DMFS index
	No. of exam	% of affected	- Divirg index	Diviro index
6	8	13(1)	0.13	0.13
7	$\overset{\circ}{4}$	0	0	O
8	6	50(3)	1.33	1.5
9	10	30(3)	0.4	0.4
10	5	100(5)	1.8	2.6
11	13	54(7)	1.31	1.69
12	8	63(5)	1.13	2
13	10	60(6)	2.1	4.2
14	14	64(9)	2.36	2.79
15-19	65	85(55)	2.74	4.8
20-24	55	73(40)	3.33	5.96
25-29	36	89(32)	4.36	7.5
30-34	20	85(17)	4.15	9.7

(): No. of teeth

Table 4. df rate, dft and dfs indexes of normal persons

Age -	df r	ate	dft index	dfs index
, Age	No. of exam	% of affected	ut nidex	un muex
Below 5	1	100(1)	6	15
6	12	100(12)	6.75	19.8
7	16	100(16)	6.93	15.2
8	19	100(19)	5.79	11.5
9	23	87(20)	4.17	10.2
10	22	36(8)	0.68	1.18
11	12	8(1)	0.75	1.25
12	21	14(3)	0.29	0.48

(): No. of teeth

The DMF rate, DMFT and DMFS index incressed with age in both normal and handicapped persons(Table 3, 5).

From Table 6 to Table 14, the results of dental caries

studies according to the different handicapped types examined are presented.

Table 5. DMF rate. DMFT and DMFS indexes of normal persons

Ago	DMF	rate	- DMFT index	DMFS index
Age	No. of exam	% of affected	- Divir I midex	Divirio index
6	12	17(2)	0.17	0.25
7	16	56(9)	1.75	2
8	19	84(16)	2.53	3.95
9	23	65(15)	2.09	3.4
10	22	18(4)	0.36	0.55
11	12	42(5)	0.42	1.67
12	21	76(16)	2.1	3.33
13	2	50(1)	2	3

(): No. of teeth

Table 6. df rate, dft and dfs indexes of Cerebral Palsy

Age -	d f	rate	dft index	dfs index
. Age	No. of exam	% of affected	uit ingex	us nuex
Below 5	10	40(4)	1.1	1.5
6	6	50(3)	2.5	3.67
7	2	50(1)	0.5	• 1
8	. 4	75(3)	1.5	1.5
9	4	75(3)	3.25	7
10	2	0	0	0
11	8	38(3)	0.75	1
. 12	3	0	0	0
13	2	0	0	0
14	9	22(2)	0.33	0.78
15-19	26	4(1)	0.04	0.04

(): No. of teeth

Table 7. DMF rate. DMFT and DMFS indexes of Cerebral Palsy

Age	DMF	rate	DMFT index	DMFS index
receive and the relation	No. of exam	% of affected	- DIVITI Index DIV	DIVITO INCX
6	6	17(1)	0.17	0.17
7	2	0	0	. 0
8	4	50(2)	1.75	2
9	4	50(2)	0.75	0.75
10	2	100(2)	3	4
11	8	50(4)	1.13	1.5
12	3	0	0	0
13	2	0	0	0
14	9	56(5)	0.78	0.89
15-19	26	85(22)	2.69	4.27
20-24	26	69(18)	3.04	5.62
25-29	17	88(15)	3	5.59
30-34	11	100(11)	5	11.7

(): No. of teeth

Gingivitis

Comparison of the status of persons with different handicaps is difficult to make.

Prevalence of gingivitis in handicapped persons was

82% in epilepsy, 63% in mental retardation, 59% in others, 57% in cerebral palsy, and 49% in deaf and dumb.

Prevalence of gingivitis of handicapped persons incresed with age and was significantly higher than that of

Table 8. df rate, dft and dfs indexes of Others

Age –	df r	ate		and the same of th
2460	No. of exam	% of affected	dft index dfs in	dfs index
Below 5	2	50(1)	0.5	1
6	1	100(1)	9	19
7	1	100(1)	1	1
8				
9	2	50(1)	0.5	0.5
10	1	0		
11	1	0		
12				
13	1			
14	1			
15-19		10(1)	0.1	0.2

(): No. of teeth

Others: involving Hydrocephalus and Down's syndrome etc.

Table 9. DMF rate, DMFT and DMFS indexes of Others

Age	DMF	rate		
150	No. of exam	% of affected	- DMFT index	DMFS index
6				
. 7				
8				
9				
10	1	100(1)	1	1
11	1	100(1)	1	1
12				
13	1	100(1)	1	1
14	1	100(1)	16	20
15-19	10	90(9)	3.9	5.9
20-24	4	75(3)	3.5	6.75
25-29	1	100(1)	6	12
30-34	1	100(1)	1	2

 $\overline{\text{Others}: \text{involving Hydrocephalus and Down's syndrome etc.}}$

Age	DMF	'rate'	DMDT :- 44.	
	No. of exam	% of affected	DMFT index	DMFS index
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15-19	2	100(2)	1	1
20-24	6	100(6)	4	6
25-29	1	100(1)	16	4.5
30-34	2	100(2)	6	14
30-34 (): No. of teeth	2	100(2)	6	14

): No. of teeth

Table 11. df rate, dft and dfs indexes of Deaf and Dumb

Age	df rat	e	dft index	dfs index
Age	No. of exam	% of affected	alt max	dis index
Below 5				
6				
7	2	50(1)	2.5	4.5
8	1	100(1)	3	3
9	3	67(2)	1.67	3
10				
11	2	50(1)	2.5	5
12	5			
13	4			
14	3			
15-19	16	19(3)	0.5	0.56

(): No. of teeth

Table 12. DMF rate, DMFT and DMFS indexes of Deaf and Dumb

Age	DM	Frate	- DMFT index	DMFS index
Made Age	No. of exam	% of affected	- DWITT IIIGEX	
6			: V	
7				
8	1	100(1)	1	1
9	3	33(1)	0.33	0.33
10				
11	2	50(1)	2	2
12	5	100(5)	1.8	3.2
13	4	100(4)	3.2	7.75
14	3	67(2)	1.67	1.67
15-19	16	81(13)	2.69	6.44
20-24	9	67(6)	3.33	9
25-29	4	100(4)	4.75	7.75
30-34	2			

): No. of teeth

Table 13. df rate, dft and dfs indexes of Mental Retardation

Age -	df i	rate **	dft index	dfs index	
	No. of exam	% of affected	ur maex	uis muex	
Below 5		•			
6	. 1	100(1)	4	6	
7					
8					
9	1	100(1)	3	6	
10	2	50(1)	2	2.5	
11					
12					
13	2	100(2)	1	3	
14	1				
15-19	13	8(1)	0.08	0.08	

(): No. of teeth

Table 14. DMF rate, DMFT and DMFS indexes of Mental Retardation

Age	DMF	rate	DMFT index	DMFS index	
HE STATE OF THE ST	No. of exam	% of affected	DWITT IIIGEX	Diving a second	
6					
7					
8					
9					
10	2	100(2)	1	2	
11	2	50(1)	1.5	2	
12°					
13	2	50(1)	2	5	
14	1	100(1)	5	6	
15-19	13	69(9)	1.85	2.85	
20-24	10	70(7)	3.6	6.5	
25-29	11	100(11)	5.91	9.55	
30-34	4	75(3)	3.75	8.75	

(): No. of teeth

Table 15. The distribution of gingivitis in examined persons

Age		Handicapped Persons					
rge CP	CP .	D&D	MR	Epilepsy	Others	Total (%)	Normal Persons
Below 5	1				1	2(8%)	
6	1		1			2(25%)	2(17%)
7	. 1					1(25%)	#
8	2					2(33%)	1(5%)
9	3	2	1		1	7(70%)	3(13%)
10	2		2			4(80%)	3(14%)
11	4	2	1			7(54%)	2(17%)
12	1	2				3(38%)	6(29%)
13	1	1	2		1	5(40%)	
14	4	1			1	6(36%)	
15-19	19	9	8	2	7	45(69%)	
20-24	16	4	5	6	3	34(62%)	
25-29	13	3	7		1	24(67%)	
30-34	6	1	3	1	1	12(60%)	
Total	74(57%)	25(49%)	30(63%)	9(82%)	16(59%)		

CP: Cerebral Palsy
D & D: Deaf and Dumb
MR: Mental Retardation

Others: involving Hydrocephalus and Down's syndrome etc.

Table 16. Type of molar occlusion in examined persons

Normal			Handic	apped	Total		
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
Class I	96	75.0%	138	51.9%	234	59.4%	
Class II	15	11.7%	64	24.1%	79	20.1%	
Class III	17	13.3%	64	24.1%	81	20.6%	
Total	128	100%	266	100%	394	100%	

x2 = 19.226 df = 2 p-value : 0.0001

report of normal persons (p(0.001) (Table 15).

Malocclusion

Table 16 shows that the distribution of the class of occlusion in the handicapped group was statistically different from that of the control group and had a significant difference in the distribution of the class of occlusion, with a higher percentage of Class \mathbb{I} and Class \mathbb{I} occlusion from that observed in the control group when handicapped persons were joined together(p(0.001)).

On the contrary, a number of normal persons had Class I occlusion.

IV. Discussion

It is more meaningful to compare the df, DMF rate, gingivitis, and classification of occlusion simultaneously than to do them separately. As expected, those children with orthopedic handicaps of a more debilitating nature exhibited a higher prevalence of oral disease. A number of surveys and control studies have been conducted to determine the effects of handicaps on the prevalence of oral disease. The results of these studies, however, have proved generally inconclusive. As Swallow suggests, "there are conflicting accounts of the prevalence of dental disease in handicapped children."He found that a group of physically handicapped children in England showed no appreciable difference in their cumulative caries indices when compared to a normal control group²⁶⁾. Lyons, in his studies of orthopedically handicapped children, found the prevalence of periodontal disease, dental caries, and malocclusion to be noticeably high, and he attributed this, in part, to a loss of oral function²⁷⁾. In his study on the oral health status of cerebral palsied children and their siblings, Lyons also found that the DMF rate and the status of oral hygiene in cerebral palsied children was not statistically higher than in their non-handicapped siblings, whereas the incidence of periodontal disease and oral habits was greater in the cerebral palsied children²⁸⁾.

Further, this investigation showed that there were few differences in caries prevalence when comparing handicapped children with children attending normal school. Although no single group consistently rated highest or lowest, the nature of the handicap seemed to have a definite effect upon the prevalence of oral disease in the orthopedically handicapped children included in the study.

Down's syndrome subjects, age for age, do have less caries, but this is probably due to local factors rather than inherent resistance. In addition, the institutionalized handicapped groups have a lower caries incidence than those living at home, probably as a result of dietary control.

Swallow demonstrated a trend of lower caries incidence in the primary teeth of children with a wide range of physical and medical handicaps^{26,30)}, while Miller and Taylor showed a somewhat greater cares incidence in the permanent teeth of orthopedically handicapped children²⁹⁾. In short it was found that the dental caries rate was generally higher in handicapped children than normal children. Its prevalence was highest in the mentally retarded children, followed by the cerebral palsied, the blind, the epileptic, the physically handicapped children with Down's syndrome, and the deaf and dumb.

In Korean research, Lee reported on the oral status of the handicapped, and Kim and Yang showed that the dmf rate and dft index in handicapped persons were lower than those of normal persons^{21,22,25)}. In addition, Rue et al also found that autistic persons had lower dft rates than normal persons¹⁹⁾.

In our study, the dft, dfs and DMFT indices of handicapped persons were significantly lower, than those reported for normal persons(p(0.05)). Beyond this, there were no significant differences between the two groups.

The DMF rate, and the DMFT and DMFS indices increased with age in both normal and handicapped persons(Table 17).

In general, oral hygiene is poorer in the handicapped than in normal patients, and this is paralleled by a greater incidence of gingivitis and periodontal disease. The severity of a handicap seems to be an important factor in determining hygiene status. While Magnusson and Deval found greater incidence of gingivitis in noninstitutionalized cerebral palsied children, Swallow did not^{7,30)}. In Down's syndrome, an inherent susceptibility to periodontal disease exists, but this relation has not been fully explained. The institutionalized handicapped appear to have higher incidence of periodontal disease. This is likely to be related to less adequate oral hygiene for handicapped persons in institutions¹⁵⁾.

Johnson, Seymour, and Greeley et al reported that the type of handicapped condition had a significant effect on the periodontal problems observed: children with mental retardation having the poorest levels of oral hygiene and the greatest peridontal treatment requirements³¹⁻³³⁾.

Table 17. Comparison of oral health status between the handicapped and normal persons

	Handicapp	ndicapped Person		Normal Person		
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD SD	- t-value	p-value
Gingivitis	0.47	0.21	0.17	0.13	6.087	0.0001**
df rate	0.49	0.25	0.68	0.41	-1.967	0.090
dft index	1.44	1.07	3.92	2.9	-3.067	0.018*
dfts index	2.30	1.98	9.33	7.48	-3.194	0.015*
DMF rate	0.59	0.3	0.64	0.26	-0.603	0.558
DMFT index	1.93	1.43	2.71	2.05	-2.46	0.030*
DMFS index	1.57	1.42	2.27	1.37	-1.11	0.303

*: p<0.05 **: p<0.01

Various investigators have founded poor oral hygiene in handicapped children. Prolonged retention of food particles in the oral cavity might result in a higher incidence of dental caries and gingival inflammation. First of all, the most obvious reason for poor oral hygiene in an orthopedically handicapped child is a physical inability to clean the oral cavity adequately. Another reason is a lack of self-discipline because of over-protective parents²⁶⁾. In our study prevalence of gingivitis in handicapped persons was 82 % in epileptics, 63% in the menental retarded, 59% in others, 56% in cerebral palsied and 51% in deaf and dumb. As Kim²⁵⁾ has mentioned, these figures are significantly higher than that of normal persons(p(0.001)(Table 17).

Elfenbaum stated that patients with a history of poliomyelitis often retain vestages of atropic masticatory musculature which can result in malocclusion. He also indicated that patients afflicted with arthritic conditions are often subject to disturbances of the temporomandibular joint, with a subsequent lack of oral functions³⁴⁾. Swallow, however, did not show significant differences from the norm for malocclusion in a group of physically and medically handicapped children³⁰⁾. Lyons did not find altered incidence of malocclusion in teenagers affected with poliomyelitis, while Miller and Taylor's figures for the orthopedically handicapped do not differ widely from the norm²⁷⁻²⁹⁾.

Previous reports have indicated that children with cerebral palsy and poliomyelitis have an increased incidence of malocclusion, which has been attributed to lack of muscular coordination. A significantly higher percentage of cerebral palsy children exhibited oral habits such as bruxism, tongue-thrusting, and mouth breathing. It has been suggested that the dyskinetic movement of the muscles and joints associated with cerebral palsy might be an influencing factor in causing occlusal disharmony⁸⁾.

In a comparison of the class distribution of occlusion among the orthopedic handicapped groups. Brown and Schodel have reported that the handicapped group had a significant difference in the distribution, with a higher percentage of Class \mathbb{I} and Class \mathbb{I} occlusion from that observed in the control group¹⁵⁾. In Down's syndrom patients there is an alteration in cranial base relationships, which predisposes them to Class \mathbb{I} malocclusion.

While malocclusion has been reported to be higher in the mental retarded and in cerebral palsied patients, a basis for this has only been established in the case of mental retardates with Down's syndrome, and in the more severe cases of cerebral palsy¹⁵.

In Korean research, Lee has investigated the distribution of malocclusion in the handicapped and reported that mongoloids had a significantly higher incidence of malocclusion than the other handicapped groups²¹⁾.

In our study, it was found that the handicapped group had a significant difference in the class distribution of occlusion, with a higher combined percentage of Class \mathbb{I} and Class \mathbb{I} occlusion from that observed in the control group($\mathfrak{p}(0.001)$ (Table 16).

Of the handicapped groups, on average $44\sim57\%$ of the patients had Class I occlusion.

In contrast, 75% of normal persons had Class I occlusion.

V. Conclusion

In order to investigate the degree of dental caries, the gingival condition and the type of occlusion, an oral examination of 267 persons with handicaps between three and thirty-four years of age was made at two facilities for them in Gwangju.

We also examined 128 normal children between six and thirteen years of age as a control group.

The results were as follows:

- 1. The dft, the dfs and DMFT indices of handicapped persons according to age were significantly lower than those of normal persons(p(0.05)). Besides this, there were no significant differences between two groups.
- 2. The prevalence of gingivitis in handicapped persons increased with age and was significantly higher than those reported for normal persons (p(0.001)).
- 3. It was found that the handicapped group had a significant difference in the class distribution of occlusion, with a higher percentage of Class

 occlusion from that observed in the control group(p(0.05).

References

- 1. Russell AL: Some Epidemiological Characteristics of Periodontal Disease in a Series of Urban Populations. J Peridont 28:386-393, 1957.
- Breene JC, Vermillion JR: The Oral Hygiene Index
 A Method for Classifying Oral Hygiene Status. J
 Am Dent Assoc 61:172-179, 1960.
- 3. Russel AL, Ayers, Polly: Periodontal Disease and Socio-Economic Status in Birmingham, Ala. Am J Pub Health 50:206-214, 1960.
- Englander HR, White CL: Periodontal and Oral Hygiene Status of Teen-Agers in Optimum and Fluoride-Deficient Cities. J Am Dent Assoc 68:173– 177, 1964.
- 5. Drader HL: Measuring Dento-Facial Handicaps. Health News 39:14-19, 1962.
- 6. Koster S: The Diagnosis of Discussion of Occlusion in children With Cerebral Palsy. J Dent Child 23:81-83, 1956.
- Magnusson B, DeVal R: Oral conditions in a Group of children With Cerebral Palsy. I General Survey. Odontologist Revy 14:385-410, 1963.
- 8. Fishman SR, Young WO, Haley JB, Sword C: The status of oral health in cerebral palsy children and their siblings, J Dent Child 34:219-227, 1967.
- Foster TD, Griffiths MI, Gordon PH: The effects of cerebral palsy on the size and form of the skull. Am J Orthod 66:40-49, 1974.
- Cohen MM, Winer RA, Shklar G: Periodontal disease in a group of mentally subnormal children. J Dent Res 39:745, 1960.

- 11. Pollack BR, Shapiro S: Comparison of caries experience in mentally retarded and normal children. J Dent Res 50:1364-1365, 1971.
- 12. Gullikson JS: Oral findings of mentally retarded children. J Dent Child 36:133-137, 1969.
- 13. An JG, Kim S: A study on the difference of dental caries status by their socioeconomic backgrounds and home dental care of mentally retarded children. JKAPD 19:172–182, 1992.
- 14. Chway GL, Kim CY: A study of the dental caries incidences according to the types of mentally retarded and the factors that affect caries incidence. JKO-HD 35: 237-253, 1991.
- 15. Brown RH, Schodel DR: A review of controlled surveys of dental disease in handicapped persons. J Dent Child 43:313-320, 1976.
- 16. Cutress TW: Dental caries in Trisomy 21. Arch Oral Biol 16:1329-1344, 1971.
- 17. Lowe O, Lindemann R: Assessment of the autistic patient's dental needs and ability to undergo dental examination. J Dent Child 52:29–35, 1985.
- Chung SB, Choi YC, Lee KH: Behavior management technics for autistic children: A case report. JKAPD 25:525-532, 1998.
- 19. Ryu YD, Lee KH, Choi YC: A statistical study on the dental caries incidence in autistic person. JKAPD 26:162-171, 1999.
- 20. Leeds JJ: Clinical modifications for treatment of handicapped children. J Dent Child 43:42–43, 1976.
- 21. Lee KH: A survey of the oral status in handicapped. JKAPD 10:13-23, 1983.
- 22. Korean Dental Association: Report on the survey of dental disease of Korean. KDA Seoul p 77-133, 1983.
- 23. McDermott RE, Elbadrawy HE: A survey of parent's perception of the dental needs of their handicapped child. J Can Dent Assoc 5:425-428, 1986.
- 24. Costello PJ: Dental health status of mentally and physically handicapped children and adults in the Galway Community care Area of the Western Health Board. J Ir Dent Assoc 36:99–101, 1990.
- 25. Kim SM, Yang KH: An epidemiologic study of oral status of handicapped persons. JKAPD 25:441-449, 1998.
- 26. Swallow JN: The Dental Care of the Cerebral Palsied Child. Cerebral Palsy Bulletin 3:488-492, 1961.

- 27. Lyons DC: The dental health of a group of handicapped adolescent children. J Periodontol 31:52-55, 1960.
- 28. Lyons DC: An evaluation of the effects of cerebral palsy on dentofacial development, especially occlusion of the teeth. J Pediatrics 49:432-436, 1956.
- 29. Miller JB, Taylor PP: A survey of oral health of orthopedically handicapped children. J Dent Child 37:331-332, 1970.
- 30. Swallow JN: Dental disease in handicapped children on Epidemiological study. Ist J Dent Med 21:41-51, 1972.

- 31. Johnson CD: Preventing factitious gingival injury in a autistic patient. J Am Dent Assoc 127:244-247, 1996.
- 32. Seymour RA, Thomason JM, Ellis JS: The pathogenesis of drug-induced gingival overgrowth. J Clin Periodontol 23:165–175, 1996.
- 33. Greeley CB, Goldstein PA, Forrester DJ: Oral manifestations in a group of blind students. J Dent Child 43:39-41, 1976.
- 34. Elfenbaum A: Consultation clinic: the self-cleansing mouth. Oral Hygiene 50:52-55, 1960.

Abstract

A STATISTICAL STUDY ON THE DENTAL DISEASE OF THE HANDICAPPED

Nam-Ki Choi, D.D.S., Ph.D., Kyu-Ho Yang, D.D.S., Ph.D.

Department of Pediatric Dentistry, Dental Science Research Institute, Chonnam National University

The reports concerning dental disease in handicapped children are contentious, and there are many differences of opinion as to what extent handicapped children differ in oral health and disease from healthy children.

The present study was done by conducting a comprehensive study and evaluation of the oral health between the 267 handicapped and the 128 normal persons with regard to caries rate, occlusion and the condition of the periodontium

The result showed that the dft, the dfs and DMFT indices of handicapped persons according to age were significantly lower than those of normal persons (p(0.05)).

The prevalence of gingivitis in handicapped persons increased with age and was significantly higher than those reported for normal persons (p $\langle 0.001 \rangle$).

It was found that the handicapped group had a significant difference in the class distribution of occlusion, with a higher percentage of Class II and Class III occlusion from that observed in the control group (p(0.05)).

Key words: Handicapped persons, Dental caries, Gingivitis, Occlusion