뇌수술의 비방수성 경막 봉합

조용운 · 문재곤* · 황용순** · 박인석 · 전병찬 · 김한규

=Abstract=

Non-Watertight Intermittent Dural Closure in Neurological Surgery

Yong Woon Cho, M.D., Jae Gon Moon, M.D.,* Yong Soon Hwang, M.D.,**
In Suk Park, M.D., Byung Chan Jeon, M.D., Han Kyu Kim, M.D.

Department of Neurosurgery, Kosin University Gospel Hospital, Pusan, Korea Hallym University Kang-Nam Sacred Heart Hospital, * Seoul, Korea Inje University Sanggye Paik Hospital, ** Seoul, Korea

bjective: In neurosurgical practice, it has been generally accepted that when the dura is opened, it should be watertightly closed, and traditionally non-watertight closure has not been performed. We clinically tried non-watertight closure, analyzed the frequency of CSF leakage and evaluated the possible clinical application of non-watertight closure.

Methods: After classifying our cases with supratentorial and infratentorial approach, we tried non-watertight and watertight closures and compared the results. We also analyzed the cases with or without dural graft.

Results: In supratentorial approach, the rate of cerebrospinal fluid leakage noted in non-watertight closure was similar to that of watertight closure. In infratentorial approach, except microvascular decompression(MVD), the rate of cerebrospinal fluid leakage in non-watertight closure was higher than that of watertight closure. Dura graft application did not seemed to influence the cerebrospinal fluid leakage.

Conclusion: Since the frequency of cerebrospinal fluid leakage was not higher in non-watertight closure than that of watertight closure, non-watertight closure can be applied in supratentorial approach. In infratentorial approach, non-watertight closure may be applied in surgery with relatively short dural incision, such as MVD. However, non-watertight closure doesn't seem to be appropriate in surgery that requires wide dural incision, such as skull base surgery.

KEY WORDS: Non - watertight dural suture · Watertight dural suture · Brain surgery.

서 론 가

Table 1. Comparision of CSF leakage in watertight and non-

watertight suture 대상 및 방법 Watertight suture Nonwatertight suture 25 49 Total 1997 8 1998 9 1 Leakage 5 (Fisher's exact test, p >0.05) 가 4 49 1 Table 2. Compraison of CSF leakage in supratentorial approach Watertight suture Nonwatertight suture 37 Total 21 Leakage (Fisher's exact test, p >0.05) Table 3. Comparision of CSF leakage in nonwatertight suture Supratentorial approach Infratentorial approach Total 37 12 1 4 Leakage (Fisher's exact test, p=0.023) 1cm Gelfoam Gelfoam (barrier) 가 1 (lyoplant : bovine pericard -3 ium) (fistula) (leakage) 37 (collection) (fi-1 가, 12 3 가 stula) (Table 3). (collection) 12 1 49 25 4 3 1 Fisher's exact test 2 3 결 과 1 49 5 33 25 (Table 1). 8 1 37 1, 21 3 16 (Table 2). 12 1 3 가

Table 4. Comparison of CSF leakage according to dura graft in nonwatertight suture in supratentorial approach

Supratentorial approach		
Dura graft	Suture only	
12	25	
1	0	
	Dura graft	

(Fisher's exact test, p >0.05)

Table 5. Comparison of CSF leakage according to dura graft in nonowatertight suture in infratentorial approach

	Infratentorialapproach	
	Dura graft	Suture only
Total	4	8
Leakage	3	1

(Fisher's exact test, p >0.05)

(Fisher's

exact test, p = 0.101).

4 3 , 8

가 (Table 4, 5).

23

20.4cm 18.7 , 5 18.2cm, 31 .

고 찰

,

, 가 . 가

가 . Gelfoam

 $\begin{array}{cc} & & & \\ \text{Schievink} & & ^{5)} & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & &$

.

가

5

가

. 가

(dead space) 가 . 가 가

•

가

Van Calenbergh⁶⁾ 78

· , 가 .

, 가 , 가 가 . 가 가

결 론

.

가 . : 1999 7 13: 1999 12 2

:

150 - 071 1 948 - 1

: 02) 829 - 5175, : 02) 833 - 0219 E - mail : moonnsun@chollian.net

References

- 1) Kosnik EJ: Use of ligamentum nuchae graft for dural closure in posterior fossa surgery. Technical note. J Neurosurg 89(1):155-156, 1998
- 2) Meirowsky AM, Caveness WF, Dillon JD, Rish BL, Mohr JP, Kistler JP, et al: Cerebrospinal fluid fistulas complicating missle wounds of the brain. J Neurosurg 54: 44-48, 1981
- 3) Sakas DE, Beale DJ, Ameen AA, Whitwell HL, Whittacker KW, Krebs AJ, et al: Compound anterior cranial base fractures: classification using computerized tomography scanning as a basis for selection of patients for dural repair. J Neurosurg 88(3): 471-477, 1998
- 4) Schick B, Kahle G, Weber R, Draf W: Experiences in diag-

- nosis of occult traumatic dural lesions of the anterior cranial base. Laryngorhinootologie 77(3): 144-149, 1998
- 5) Schievink WI, Morreale VM, Atkinson JL, Meyer FB, Piepgras DG, Ebersold MJ: Surgical treatment of spontaneous spinal cerebrospinal fluid leaks. J Neurosurg 88(2): 243-246, 1998
- 6) Van Calenbergh F, Quintens E, Sciot T, Van Loon J, Goffin J, Plets C: The use of Vicryl Collagen as a dura substitute: a clinical review of 78 surgical cases. Acta Neurochir(Wein) 139(2): 120-123, 1997
- 7) Vanaclocha V, Saiz Sapena N: Duraplasty with freeze-dried cadaveric dura versus occipital pericranium for Chiari type I malformation: comparative study. Acta Neurochir(Wien) 139(2): 112-119, 1997
- 8) Vanaclocha V, Saiz N, Panta F: Repair of dural defects in awkward areas-technical note. Acta Neurochir(Wien) 140(6): 615-618, 1998
- 9) Verheggen R, Schulte Baumann WJ, Hahm G, Lang J, Freudenthaler S, Schaake T, et al: A new technique of dural closure-experience with a vicryl mesh. Acta Neurochir(Wien) 139(11): 1074-1079, 1997