

# Rate Effects of Swine Manure Fermented with Sawdust on Efficiency of Nitrogen Utilization of Silage Corn and Soil Fertility

Wan Bang Yook, Dong Ho Choi\* and Ki Chun Choi

## Abstract

This study was carried out to examine the effects of animal manure on efficiency of the nitrogen utilization of silage corn (*Zea mays* L.) and soil fertility. The experiment was conducted on the field plot at Gongiam, Kwangju, Kyunggi-Do for 3 years, from 1996 to 1998, and arranged in split-plot design with three replications. The main plots were two kinds of composts such as swine manure fermented with sawdust (SMFWS) and swine manure fermented without sawdust (SMF). Subplots were the nitrogen fertilization rate (0, 100, 200, 300 and 400kgN/ha/year). The nitrogen (N) yield increased as the nitrogen fertilization rate increased up to a rate of 300 kg N/ha, but decreased at rate of 400 kg N/ha. Nitrogen yield in SMF treatments was higher than that of SMFWS treatments. But there were no significant differences between SMFWS and SMF treatments. Organic matter (OM) content of the soils in SMFWS was higher than that of SMF, and was not significantly different between SMFWS and SMF treatments. OM content increased with increasing the nitrogen fertilization rate. Total nitrogen (TN) content of the soils increased as the nitrogen fertilization rate increased. No difference of TN content was found between SMFWS and SMF treatments.

(Key words : Swine manure, Compost, Corn, Sawdust, Nutritive value)

## I. Introduction

It is necessary for Korean livestock producers to increase their efforts of becoming self-supportive with herbage for livestock production. Currently, some livestock producers have biased concepts and feed imported forages such as pellet and cubes, but the rising costs of these materials make their usage unsustainable. In addition, Korean livestock producers have other burdens such as 45 million tons livestock wastes produced per year due to an increase in livestock numbers. Animal manure has many nutrients that can be utilized by plants fertilizer as well as organic matter that improves

chemical and physical properties of soils (Gilley et al., 1999; Eghball and Power, 1994; Fraser et al., 1988, Campbell et al., 1986). These properties of animal manure encouraged an increase in manure utilization on fields of rice paddies and forage crops (Yook et al., 1999; Choi, 1999; Shin, 1999; Yook, 1997). Nutrient contents of animal manure, however, vary with animal species, age, feedings, feed stuffs, and processing types, so that excessive application of manure to soils can result in polluting the environment. Therefore, it is very important to match application rates with crop nutrient requirements and to manage manure systems as a system of nutrient recycling thereby utilizing natural

Dept. of Animal Sci., College of Agri., Konkuk Univ., Seoul 143-701, Korea.

\* You Won Feed Co., LTD, Seoul 138-160, Korea.

resources and protecting the environment.

When animal manure is applied to agricultural lands, high levels of nitrogen in the manure can cause environmental. Inorganic nitrogen such as nitrate ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ) and ammonium N ( $\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$ ) is taken up by plants and utilized for plant growth and development. Otherwise, nitrogen can be lost due to volatilization (Pain et al, 1990), denitrification (Stevens et al, 1987), leaching (Shin, 1999; Yook, 1999; Davies et al, 1996; Owens et al., 1995; Jemison, 1994), and runoff (Hall, 1986; Dam Kofoed, 1981). In particular, runoff and leaching of nitrogen and phosphorus resulting from high rainfall is regarded as a major non-point source of pollution of ground water, streams, lakes, and oceans as compared to volatilization and denitrification (Jarvis et al, 1987; Pye, 1983). Since Korea has a rainy season from June through August, organic and inorganic materials in the crop fields are very susceptible to runoff and leaching due to heavy rainfall. Therefore, it is necessary to evaluate and analyze the effectiveness of nitrogen recovery by forage crops and the level of nitrogen in the soil organic matter and the total nitrogen of soils in different types of manure fermentation. The objective of this study was to examine the effects of application rates of swine manure fermented with either sawdust or without sawdust on the efficiency of nitrogen utilization of silage corn and soil fertility.

## II. Materials and Methods

### 1. Experimental place and soil characteristics

This experiment was carried out at the test

pasture and forage crop fields of Livestock Technology Institute at Gongnam, Kwangju, Kyung-gi Province in South Korea and physical- and chemical characteristics of soil are shown in Table 1.

### 2. Materials and experimental design

Pioneer 3352, mid- and late maturity silage corn was used and experimental design was randomized complete block with split plot design with three replications. Main plot was two types of swine manure (swine manure fermented with sawdust: SMFWS and swine manure fermented without sawdust: SMF) and subplot was five different rates of nitrogen application (0, 100, 200, 300, and 400 kg N/ha/year). Plot size was 15 m<sup>2</sup> (3 m × 5 m). Swine manure fermented with sawdust had 0.46 % total nitrogen, 62% organic matter, 43% moisture content and was commercial compost which was fermented more than six months whereas swine manure fermented without sawdust had 1.07% total nitrogen, 2.3% organic matter, 98% moisture content and was completely fermented one.

### 3. Nitrogen yield and efficiency of N recovery

Nitrogen yield of silage corn by different manure types and application rates was calculated from dry matter yield and nitrogen content of silage corn. Efficiency of nitrogen recovery was calculated using a Westerman et al's method.

$$\text{N recovery (\%)} = (\text{Wn} + \text{Cn} - \text{Wc} + \text{Cc}) \times 100 / \text{Fn}$$

Wn: dry matter yield of nitrogen applied treatment

Cn: total nitrogen content of nitrogen applied treatment

Wc: dry matter yield of control treatment

Table 1. Chemical properties of the soil used in this experiment

| pH<br>(1:5H <sub>2</sub> O) | Total nitrogen<br>(%) | Organic<br>matter<br>(%) | Available<br>P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub><br>(mg/kg) | Exchangeable Cations(cmol <sup>+</sup> /kg) |      |      |      | C.E.C<br>(cmol <sup>+</sup> /kg) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|---|---|------|------|------|----------------------------------|
|                             |                       |                          |   | Ca  | K    | Mg   | Na   |                                  |
| 5.1                         | 0.14                  | 2.22                     | 212.2   | 4.25  | 0.68 | 1.98 | 0.20 | 14.3                             |

Cc: total nitrogen content of control treatment

Fn: total amount of nitrogen applied per year

#### 4. Total nitrogen content

Dried silage corn samples were ground through 2 mm mesh screen using a Wiley mill and kept in the desiccator, and analyzed using a Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 1990).

#### 5. Organic matter and total nitrogen of soils

Soil samples for organic matter and total nitrogen content of soil were taken from the 10 cm depth and dried. Organic matter was analyzed using a Tyurin method and total nitrogen content of soil was analyzed using a Kjeldahl method (AOAC, 1990).

#### 6. Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed statistically using a SPSS/PC to test the significance at the alpha level of 0.05.

### III. Results and Discussion

#### 1. Nitrogen yield and efficiency of nitrogen recovery

Nitrogen yield of silage corn applied with compost of swine manure fermented with either sawdust or without sawdust is shown in Table 2. There was no significant difference ( $p > 0.05$ ) in nitrogen yield although the SMF had higher nitrogen yield than the SMFWS by 11 kg N/ha. Nitrogen yield increased in both the SMFWS and the SMF with increasing application rates up to 300 kg N/ha and beyond that nitrogen yield decreased. At the 400 kg N/ha, the decreasing extent of nitrogen yield was much higher at the SMFWS than the SMF treatment. There was a nitrogen yield difference in different years and in the first and second production years nitrogen yield was decreased at the 400 kg N/ha in both the SMFWS and SMF. In the

third production year, however, nitrogen yield rather increased at the 400 kg N/ha. This was most likely due to the increased mineralization rate of organic nitrogen in the third year.

Like the results mentioned above, the SMF had higher nitrogen yield than the SMFWS, this might be due to temporary immobilization resulting from high C : N ratio of sawdust itself. Kissel et al. (1976) reported that 20% of nitrogen applied became immobilized by soil microorganisms and these immobilization reduced nitrogen nutrient that can be utilized by crops and consequently reduced the utilization efficiency resulting from competition between crops and soil microorganisms (Inbar et al., 1990).

The SMF had a higher efficiency of nitrogen recovery than the SMFWS by 6% and efficiency of nitrogen recovery decreased with increasing nitrogen application rates (Table 3). The 100 kg N/ha treatment showed the highest efficiency of nitrogen recovery and the least at the 400 kg N/ha. In both the SMF and the SMFWS, efficiency of nitrogen recovery decreased with increasing nitrogen application rates and the highest efficiency of nitrogen recovery occurred at the 100 kg N/ha and the least at the 400 kg N/ha. These results were similar to Watson's report (1987) where perennial ryegrass had 31% of efficiency of nitrogen recovery per year with applying urea fertilizer. Efficiency of nitrogen recovery in crops is different because nitrogen applied to the soil can be varied with the degree of taking up nutrients by crops, resulting from different climates, crop species, types of fertilizers, and application rates (George et al., 1973; Lee et al., 1968; Kim et al., 1966).

#### 2. Soil organic matter

To examine the improvement of soil quality with applying a compost swine manure, soil organic matter was measured (Table 4). Soil organic matter was 2.22 and 2.55% at the beginning and at the end of experiment, respectively indicating that soil

Table 2. Effects of type and nitrogen fertilization rate of composts injected on nitrogen yield of corn

| Composts                 | N rate(kgN/ha) | N yield(kg/ha)           |             |             | Mean        |
|--------------------------|----------------|--------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                          |                | 1996                     | 1997        | 1998        |             |
| SMFWS <sup>1)</sup>      | 0              | 92 ± 31.79 <sup>3)</sup> | 87 ± 12.17  | 85 ± 4.00   | 88 ± 17.48  |
|                          | 100            | 152 ± 30.73              | 98 ± 13.32  | 117 ± 9.00  | 122 ± 29.30 |
|                          | 200            | 159 ± 29.82              | 105 ± 2.08  | 134 ± 58.03 | 133 ± 41.81 |
|                          | 300            | 175 ± 19.08              | 124 ± 14.15 | 145 ± 20.55 | 148 ± 27.45 |
|                          | 400            | 146 ± 20.55              | 123 ± 43.68 | 151 ± 42.74 | 140 ± 34.98 |
| SMF <sup>2)</sup>        | 0              | 94 ± 23.52               | 86 ± 0.58   | 86 ± 13.05  | 89 ± 14.23  |
|                          | 100            | 157 ± 26.92              | 108 ± 19.22 | 131 ± 39.55 | 132 ± 33.21 |
|                          | 200            | 160 ± 31.80              | 131 ± 7.64  | 142 ± 9.07  | 144 ± 21.01 |
|                          | 300            | 177 ± 25.24              | 157 ± 34.77 | 146 ± 17.56 | 160 ± 26.77 |
|                          | 400            | 171 ± 21.39              | 141 ± 35.59 | 170 ± 8.50  | 161 ± 27.55 |
| Mainplot                 |                |                          |             |             |             |
|                          | SMFWS          | 145a                     | 107a        | 126a        | 126a        |
|                          | SMF            | 152a                     | 125a        | 135a        | 137a        |
| Subplot (kgN/ha)         |                |                          |             |             |             |
|                          | 0              | 93b                      | 87b         | 86b         | 89b         |
|                          | 100            | 155a                     | 103ab       | 124ab       | 127a        |
|                          | 200            | 159a                     | 118a        | 138a        | 138a        |
|                          | 300            | 176a                     | 141a        | 145a        | 154a        |
|                          | 400            | 159a                     | 132a        | 161a        | 151a        |
| Interaction effects      |                |                          |             |             |             |
| Main plot × subplot plot |                | NS                       | NS          | NS          | NS          |

<sup>1)</sup> SMFWS : compost of swine manure fermented with sawdust.

<sup>2)</sup> SMF : compost of swine manure fermented without sawdust.

<sup>3)</sup> Mean ± SD

a and b: values with different letters in same column are significantly different at the 5% level.

NS: not significant.

Table 3. The efficiency of N recovery of corn by type and N levels of composts injected

| Composts           | Nitrogen fertilization rate(kgN/ha) |     |     |     | Mean |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|
|                    | 100                                 | 200 | 300 | 400 |      |
|                    | ..... % .....                       |     |     |     |      |
| SMFW <sup>1)</sup> | 34                                  | 22  | 20  | 13  | 22   |
| SMF <sup>2)</sup>  | 43                                  | 27  | 24  | 18  | 28   |
| Mean               | 39                                  | 25  | 22  | 16  | 25   |

<sup>1)</sup> SMFWS : compost of swine manure fermented with sawdust.

<sup>2)</sup> SMF : compost of swine manure fermented without sawdust.

Table 4. Effects of type and nitrogen fertilization rate of composts injected on organic matter(OM) and total nitrogen(TN) contents of soil after the experiment finished

| Composts                 | N rate<br>(kgN/ha) | OM (%)      |             | TN (%)      |             |             |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
|                          |                    | 1998        | 1996        | 1997        | 1998        | Mean        |
| SMFWS <sup>1)</sup>      | 0                  | 2.23 ± 0.01 | 0.17 ± 0.17 | 0.19 ± 0.05 | 0.18 ± 0.01 | 0.18 ± 0.03 |
|                          | 100                | 2.48 ± 0.05 | 0.18 ± 0.01 | 0.20 ± 0.02 | 0.18 ± 0.01 | 0.19 ± 0.01 |
|                          | 200                | 2.52 ± 0.22 | 0.21 ± 0.01 | 0.23 ± 0.02 | 0.18 ± 0.01 | 0.21 ± 0.02 |
|                          | 300                | 2.69 ± 0.40 | 0.23 ± 0.04 | 0.25 ± 0.01 | 0.20 ± 0.02 | 0.23 ± 0.03 |
|                          | 400                | 3.05 ± 0.59 | 0.26 ± 0.07 | 0.26 ± 0.01 | 0.21 ± 0.03 | 0.24 ± 0.04 |
| SMF <sup>2)</sup>        | 0                  | 2.24 ± 0.37 | 0.18 ± 0.01 | 0.18 ± 0.01 | 0.17 ± 0.01 | 0.18 ± 0.03 |
|                          | 100                | 2.33 ± 0.10 | 0.19 ± 0.01 | 0.20 ± 0.01 | 0.18 ± 0.03 | 0.19 ± 0.03 |
|                          | 200                | 2.48 ± 0.01 | 0.22 ± 0.02 | 0.22 ± 0.01 | 0.19 ± 0.03 | 0.21 ± 0.02 |
|                          | 300                | 2.65 ± 0.25 | 0.26 ± 0.04 | 0.23 ± 0.01 | 0.19 ± 0.01 | 0.23 ± 0.03 |
|                          | 400                | 2.80 ± 0.26 | 0.27 ± 0.02 | 0.24 ± 0.03 | 0.20 ± 0.01 | 0.24 ± 0.03 |
| Main plot                |                    |             |             |             |             |             |
|                          | SMFWS              | 2.59a       | 0.21        | 0.23a       | 0.19a       | 0.21a       |
|                          | SMF                | 2.50a       | 0.22        | 0.21a       | 0.19a       | 0.21a       |
| Subplot (kgN/ha)         |                    |             |             |             |             |             |
|                          | 0                  | 2.24b       | 0.17b       | 0.19c       | 0.18a       | 0.18b       |
|                          | 100                | 2.41b       | 0.19b       | 0.20c       | 0.18a       | 0.19b       |
|                          | 200                | 2.50ab      | 0.22ab      | 0.23b       | 0.19a       | 0.21ab      |
|                          | 300                | 2.67a       | 0.25a       | 0.24ab      | 0.20a       | 0.23ab      |
|                          | 400                | 2.93a       | 0.27a       | 0.25a       | 0.21a       | 0.24a       |
| Interaction effects      |                    |             |             |             |             |             |
| Main plot × subplot plot |                    | NS          | NS          | NS          | NS          | NS          |

<sup>1)</sup> SMFWS : compost of swine manure fermented with sawdust.

<sup>2)</sup> SMF : compost of swine manure fermented without sawdust.

<sup>3)</sup> Mean ± SD

a and b; values with different letters in same column are significantly different at the 5% level.

NS: not significant.

organic matter increased by compost of swine manure. Soil organic matter contents at the SMFWS and SMF treatments were 2.59 and 2.50%, respectively, however, there was no significant difference between two manure treatments. As shown in Table 4, soil organic matter consistently increased

with increasing nitrogen application rates up to 300 kg N/ha, but there was no significant difference. Soil organic matter at the 400 kg N/ha was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher than the control treatment. In the relationship between swine manure types and nitrogen application rates, soil organic

matter contents in both the SMFWS and the SMF treatments increased with increasing nitrogen application rates. There was a significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ) in organic matter when the SMFWS (0.82%) was compared with the SMF treatment (0.56%). The facts that soil organic matter increased with increasing nitrogen application rates from swine manure were in agreement with reports from Sommerfeldt et al. (1988) and Gilley et al. (1999). Higher soil organic matter content at the SMFWS treatment than at the SMF treatment might be attributed to a higher organic matter at the SMFWS (62% organic matter) by adding sawdust than at the SMF (46% organic matter). Therefore, increasing application rates of swine manure fermented with sawdust increased soil organic matter content.

### 3. Soil nitrogen

Soil nitrogen at the silage corn field from swine manure types and application rates were shown in Table 4. Soil nitrogen content in both the SMFWS and the SMF was 0.21% when averaged over three years and there was no significant difference between two swine manure types. As application rate increased every 100 kg N/ha, soil nitrogen content increased by about 0.01%. Three years showed different trends in soil nitrogen; in 1996 the SMF had higher soil nitrogen than the SMFWS whereas it was opposite in 1997. There was no difference in soil nitrogen between the SMFWS and the SMF in 1998. Soil nitrogen at the 300 kg N/ha was significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) higher than the control treatment. Although soil nitrogen increased very little with increasing nitrogen application rates, there was no significant difference. This was most likely due to surface runoff loss of manure resulting from very frequent heavy rainfall in 1998. Results of current study were similar to reports from Mathers et al. (1974), Mugwire (1979) and Cooper (1984) where total soil nitrogen increased with increasing nitrogen application rates from compost of swine manure fermented with either sawdust or without sawdust.

In summary, total soil nitrogen increased with increasing nitrogen application rates up to 300 kg N/ha from fermented swine manure. However, a total soil nitrogen was not affected by swine manure types in silage corn production.

## IV. References

1. AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis(15th ed). Association Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, Virginia.
2. Campbell. C.M., M. Schnitzer, W.B. Stewart, J.V.O. Biederbeck and F. Selles. 1986. Effect of manure and P fertilizer on properties of a Black Chernozem in southern Saskatchewan. *Can. J. Soil Sci.* 66:601-613
3. Choi, D.H. 1999. The effects of fermented swine manure with/out sawdust and their application levels on the productivity of silage corn(*Zea mays* L.) and soil fertility. Konkuk University.
4. Cooper, J.R., R.B. Reneau, J.W. Kroontje and G.D. Jones. 1984. Distribution of nitrogenous compound in a Rhodic paleudult following heavy manure application. *J. Environ. Qual.* 13:189-193.
5. Daliparthi, J., S.J. Hervert and P.L.M. Veneman. 1994. Dairy manure application to alfalfa; Crop response, soil nitrate, and nitrate in soil water. *Agronomy J.* 86(6):927-933
6. Dam Kofoed A. 1981. Water pollution caused by run-off of manure and fertilizer. In: Brogan J.C.(ed) Nitrogen losses and surface run-off from landspreading of manures. pp 70-75. The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff.
7. Davies, D.B., T.W.D. Garwood and A.D.H. Rochford. 1996. Factors affecting nitrate leaching from a calcareous loam in East Anglia. *Journal of Agricultural Science, Cambridge* 126:75-86.
8. Eghball, B. and J.F. Power. 1994. Beef cattle manure management. *J. Soil Water Conserv.* (49)2:113-122

9. Fraser, D.G., J.W. Doran, W.W. Sahs and G.W. Lesoing. 1988. Soil microbial populations and activities under conventional and organic management. *J. Environ. Qual.* 17(4):585-590.
10. George, J.R., C.L. Rhykerd, C.H. Noller, J.E. Dillon and J.C. Burns. 1973. Effect of N fertilization on dry matter yield, total-N, N recovery and nitrate-N concentration of three cool-season forage grass species. *Agron. J.* 65:211-216.
11. Gilley, J.E., B. Eghball, J.M. Blumenthal and D.D. Baltensperger. 1999. Runoff and erosion from Interrill areas as affected by the application of manure. *Transactions of the American Society Agricultural Engineers* 42(4):975-980.
12. Hall, J.E. 1986. Soil injection research in the UK. In: Dam Kofoed A., J.H. Williams and P. L'Hermite.(eds) *Efficient Land Use of Sluge and Manures.* pp 108-115. London: Elsevier Applied Science.
13. Inbar, Y., Y. Chen, Y. Hadar and H.A.J. Hoitink. 1990. Niw Approaches to Compost Maturity. *Biocycle.* 64-68.
14. Jarvis, S.C., M. Sherwood and J.H.A.M. Steenvoorden. 1987. Notrogen losses from animal manures:from grazed pastures and from applied slurry. In: Van Der Meer, H.G.,` R.J. Unwin, T.A. Van Dijk and G.C. Ennik. (eds) *Animal Manure on Grassland and Fodder Crops. Fertilizer or Waste?* pp 195-212. Dordrecht, the Netherlands:Martinus Nijhoff.
15. Jemison, J.M., Jr., J.D. Jabro and R.H. Fox. 1994. Evaluation of LEACHM: II. Simulation of nitrate leaching from nitrogen-fertilized and manured corn. *Agron. J.* 86:852-859.
16. Kim, H.S., B.H. Cho, C.R. Lee and S.C. Shim. 1966. Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on rice paddy. Seoul National University.
17. Kissel, D.E., S.J. Smith and D.W. Dillow. 1976. Disposition of fertilizer nitrate applied to swelling clay soil in the field. *J. Environ. Qual.* 5:66-71.
18. Lee, C.Y., E.W. Lee., S.H. Yoo and Y.W. Kwon. 1968. The efficiency of various nitrogen source and their effect on the utilization of superphosphate. *Seoul Univ. J. (b).* 19:10-18.
19. Mathers, A.C. and B.A. Atewart. 1974. corn silage yield and soil chemical properties as affected by cattle feedlot manure. *J. Environ. Qual.* 3:143-147.
20. Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry. 1997. Symposium of manure treatment and forage production. Korea.
21. Mugwira, L.M. 1979. Residual effects of diary cattle manure on millet and rye forage and soil properties. *J. Environ. Qual.* 8:251-155.
22. Owens, L.B., W.M. Edwards and M.J. Shipitalo. 1995. Nitrate leaching through lysimeter in a corn-soybean rotation. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 59:902-907.
23. Pain, B.F., R.B. Thompson, Y.J. Rees and J.H. Skinner. 1990. Reducing gaseous losses of nitrogen from cattle slurry applied to grassland by the use of additives. *Journal of Science of Food and Agriculture.* 50:141-153.
24. Pye, V.I. 1983. Groundwater contamination in tje United States. Workshop on Groundwater Resources and Contamination in the United States(Summary and Papers). Natl. Sci. Foundation. Washington. D.C.
25. Shin, D.E. 1999. Effects of different liquid manure type and nitrogen application rate on forage yield and quality, and soil characteristics. ph. D. thesis. Seoul National University.
26. Sommerfeldt, T.G., C. Chang and T. Entz. 1988. Long-term annual manure applications increase carbon to nitrogen ratio. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 52:1667-1672.
27. Stevens, R.J., R.J. Laughlin and H.J. Logan. 1987. The interaction between cow slurry and 15N-labelled calcium nitrate for ryegrass production. *Journal of Science of Food and Agri.* 41:309-314.
28. Watson, C.J. 1987. The comparative effects of ammonium nitrate, urea, or a combination of nitrate/urea granular fertilizer on the efficiency

- of nitrogen by perennial ryegrass. Fert. Res. 11:69-78.
29. Westermann, R.L. and L.T. Kurtz. 1974. Isotopic and nonisotopic estimations of fertilizer nitrogen uptake by sudangrass in field experiment. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. Proc. 38:107-109.
30. Yook, W.B. 1997. Effects of treatment level and seasons of slurry on productivity of rye(*secale cereale* L.). J. Korean Grassl. Sci. 17(1):75-81.
31. Yook, W.B., K.C. Choi, S.H. Ahn and J. K. Lee. 1999. Effects of application seasons and levels of cattle slurry on nitrate contents in soils of rye cultivation. J. Korean Grassl. Sci. 19(2):141-146.