# COINCIDENCE AND SADDLE POINT THEOREMS ON GENERALIZED CONVEX SPACES

#### SEHIE PARK AND IN-SOOK KIM

ABSTRACT. We give a new coincidence theorem for multimaps on generalized convex spaces and apply it to deduce  $\varepsilon$ -saddle point and saddle point theorems.

## 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

In [8], some  $\varepsilon$ -saddle point and saddle point theorems for convex sets in topological vector spaces were obtained. These new results generalize the corresponding ones of Komiya [2].

Now it is well-known that convex subsets of topological vector spaces are generalized to convex spaces due to Lassonde [3], which are further extended to the generalized convex spaces or *G*-convex spaces due to Park [4,5,6,7]. This new class of spaces contains many known spaces having certain abstract convexity without linear structure; see [5].

In the present paper, we deduce a new coincidence theorem for multimaps on G-convex spaces, and use it to deduce new  $\varepsilon$ -saddle point and saddle point theorems. Consequently, we show that main results in [8] holds for much larger class of spaces.

A multimap  $T: X \multimap Y$  is a function from X into the power set  $2^Y$  of Y with fibers  $T^-y := \{x \in X : y \in Tx\}$  for  $y \in Y$ . A function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$  on a topological space X is said to be lower (resp. upper) semicontinuous if the set  $\{x \in X : f(x) > \alpha\}$  (resp.  $\{x \in X : f(x) < \alpha\}$ ) is open in X for every real number  $\alpha$ .

Received June 30, 1999.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: 54H25, 49J35, 54C60.

Key words and phrases: G-convex space,  $\varepsilon$ -saddle point, saddle point, coincidence theorem, transfer upper (lower) semicontinuous function, multimap.

The first author is supported by S.N.U. Research Fund, 1999.

Given a set A, let  $\langle A \rangle$  denote the collection of all nonempty finite subsets of A and |A| the cardinality of A. Let  $\Delta_n$  be the standard n-simplex.

A generalized convex space or a G-convex space  $(X,D;\Gamma)$  consists of a topological space X and a nonempty set D such that for each  $A \in \langle D \rangle$  with |A| = n+1, there exist a subset  $\Gamma(A)$  of X and a continuous function  $\phi_A : \Delta_n \to \Gamma(A)$  such that  $\phi_A(\Delta_J) \subset \Gamma(J)$  for every  $J \in \langle A \rangle$ , where  $\Delta_J$  denotes the face of  $\Delta_n$  corresponding to  $J \in \langle A \rangle$ ; that is, if  $\Delta_n = \operatorname{co}\{e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ ,  $A = \{a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n\}$ , and  $J = \{a_{i_0}, a_{i_1}, \cdots, a_{i_k}\} \subset A$ , then  $\Delta_J = \operatorname{co}\{e_{i_0}, e_{i_1}, \cdots, e_{i_k}\}$ .

Examples of G-convex spaces [6] are convex spaces [3], C-spaces [1], and many others; see [5]. Given a G-convex space  $(X, D; \Gamma)$  with  $D \subset X$ , a subset K of X is said to be  $\Gamma$ -convex if for each  $A \in \langle D \rangle$ ,  $A \subset K$  implies  $\Gamma(A) \subset K$ . For a nonempty subset K of X we define the  $\Gamma$ -convex hull of K

$$\Gamma$$
-co  $K := \bigcap \{B \subset X : B \text{ is } \Gamma$ -convex and  $K \subset B\}$ .

Then the  $\Gamma$ -convex hull of K is the smallest  $\Gamma$ -convex set containing K.

If D = X, then  $(X, D; \Gamma)$  will be denoted by  $(X, \Gamma)$ . Let  $Int_K A$  denote the interior of A in K.

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a function  $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  has an  $\varepsilon$ -saddle point  $(x_{\varepsilon}^*, y_{\varepsilon}^*)$  if

$$f(x, y_{\varepsilon}^*) - \varepsilon < f(x_{\varepsilon}^*, y_{\varepsilon}^*) < f(x_{\varepsilon}^*, y) + \varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ ; and a point  $(x^*, y^*)$  is a saddle point of f if

$$f(x, y^*) \le f(x^*, y^*) \le f(x^*, y)$$

for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ ; see [8].

Let X and Y be topological spaces, K a subset of X and L a subset of Y. A function  $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -transfer lower (resp. upper) semicontinuous on K relative to L if for each  $(x,y) \in K \times L$ ,  $f(x,y) > \alpha$  (resp.  $f(x,y) < \alpha$ ) implies that there exists an open neighborhood N(x) of x in K and a point  $y' \in L$  such that  $f(z,y') > \alpha$  (resp.  $f(z,y') < \alpha$ ) for all  $z \in N(x)$ ; and transfer lower (resp. upper) semicontinuous on K relative to L if f is  $\alpha$ -transfer lower (resp.

upper) semicontinuous on K relative to L for each  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ; see Tian [9]. These concepts are proper generalizations of lower (resp. upper) semicontinuous real-valued functions.

# 2. The Coincidence Theorem

We begin with the following lemmas due to the first author [4].

LEMMA 1. Let X be a Hausdorff compact space and  $(Y, D; \Gamma)$  a G-convex space. Let  $T: X \multimap Y$  and  $S: X \multimap D$  be multimaps such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) for each  $x \in X$ ,  $A \in \langle Sx \rangle$  implies  $\Gamma(A) \subset Tx$ ; and
- $(2) X = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{Int}_X S^- y : y \in D \}.$

Then T has a continuous selection  $f: X \to Y$  such that  $f = g \circ h$ , where  $g: \Delta_n \to Y$  and  $h: X \to \Delta_n$  are continuous functions.

LEMMA 2. Let  $(X,\Gamma)$  be a Hausdorff compact G-convex space and  $T:X\multimap X$  a multimap such that Tx is a  $\Gamma$ -convex set for each  $x\in X$ , and  $X=\bigcup \{\operatorname{Int}_X T^-y:y\in X\}$ . Then T has a fixed point.

The following theorem improves and extends a result in [10, Theorem 1] to the case of a G-convex space.

THEOREM 1. Let X be a Hausdorff topological space,  $(Y, D; \Gamma_Y)$  a G-convex space, M and P subsets of  $X \times Y$ . Suppose that there exist a compact G-convex space  $(K, \Gamma_K)$  with  $K \subset X$  and a subset N of  $K \times D$  such that

- (1) for each  $x \in K$ ,  $\Gamma$ -co  $\{y \in D : (x,y) \notin N\} \subset \{y \in Y : (x,y) \notin M\}$ ;
- (2) for each  $x \in K$  with  $\{y \in D : (x,y) \notin N\} \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $y' \in D$  such that  $x \in \text{Int}_K \{x' \in K : (x',y') \notin N\}$ ;
- (3) for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $\{x \in K : (x,y) \in P\}$  is a  $\Gamma$ -convex subset of  $(K,\Gamma_K)$ ;
- (4)  $Y = \bigcup \{ \text{Int}_Y \{ y \in Y : (x, y) \in P \} : x \in K \}; \text{ and }$
- (5) for all  $(x,y) \in K \times Y$ ,  $(x,y) \in P$  implies  $(x,y) \in M$ .

Then there exists a point  $x_0 \in K$  such that  $\{x_0\} \times D \subset N$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that the conclusion does not hold; that is, for each  $x \in K$  there is a point  $y_0 \in D$  such that  $(x, y_0) \notin N$ . For each  $x \in K$ , let

$$Sx = \{y \in D : (x,y) \not\in N\}, \qquad Tx = \{y \in Y : (x,y) \not\in M\}.$$

Then for each  $x \in K$ ,  $\Gamma$ -co  $Sx \subset Tx$  by (1);  $K = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{Int}_K S^- y : y \in D \}$  by (2). Define a multimap  $\tilde{S} : K \multimap Y$  by  $\tilde{S}x := \Gamma$ -co Sx for  $x \in K$ . Since  $K = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{Int}_K \tilde{S}^- y : y \in Y \}$ , by Lemma 1, there is a continuous function  $f : K \to Y$  such that  $f(x) \in \tilde{S}x \subset Tx$  for all  $x \in K$ . Hence,  $(x, f(x)) \notin M$  for all  $x \in K$ .

On the other hand, we define a multimap  $H: Y \longrightarrow K$  by

$$Hy := \{x \in K : (x, y) \in P\} \quad \text{for } y \in Y.$$

By (3), Hy is  $\Gamma$ -convex for every  $y \in Y$ , and  $Y = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{Int}_Y H^-x : x \in K \}$  by (4). A multimap  $F : K \to K$  defined by  $Fx := H \circ f(x)$  for  $x \in K$  has  $\Gamma$ -convex values and  $K = \bigcup \{ \operatorname{Int}_K F^-y : y \in K \}$ . In fact, for every  $x \in K$ , there is a  $y \in K$  such that  $f(x) \in \operatorname{Int}_Y H^-y$  and so  $x \in f^-(\operatorname{Int}_Y H^-y) \subset \operatorname{Int}_K f^-(H^-y) = \operatorname{Int}_K F^-y$  by the continuity of f. Since  $(K, \Gamma_K)$  is a Hausdorff compact G-convex space, by Lemma 2, there is a point  $x_0 \in K$  such that  $x_0 \in Fx_0 = H(f(x_0))$ ; and hence by  $(5), (x_0, f(x_0)) \in M$ . This contradiction proves the theorem.  $\square$ 

Note that, if X and Y are C-spaces, Theorem 1 reduces to [10, Theorem 1].

Now we give a Fan-Browder type coincidence theorem for G-convex spaces which generalizes [1, Corollary 4.2] and [10, Theorem 5] for G-spaces.

THEOREM 2. Let X be a Hausdorff topological space,  $(Y, D; \Gamma_Y)$  a G-convex space, and  $T: X \multimap Y$  and  $S: Y \multimap X$  multimaps. Suppose that there exist a compact G-convex space  $(K, \Gamma_K)$  with  $K \subset X$  and a multimap  $A: K \multimap D$  such that

- (1) for each  $x \in K$ ,  $Ax \subset Tx$ , and Tx is  $\Gamma$ -convex;
- (2)  $K = \bigcup \{ \text{Int}_K A^- y : y \in D \};$
- (3) for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $Sy \cap K$  is  $\Gamma$ -convex in  $(K, \Gamma_K)$ ; and
- (4)  $Y = \bigcup \{ \text{Int}_Y S^- x : x \in K \}.$

Coincidence and saddle point theorems on generalized convex spaces

Then there exist points  $x_0 \in K$  and  $y_0 \in Y$  such that  $y_0 \in Tx_0$  and  $x_0 \in Sy_0$ .

Proof. Let

$$P = \bigcup_{x \in X} \{x\} \times S^{-}x, \quad M = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y : y \notin Tx\} \text{ and } N = \{(x, y) \in K \times D : y \notin Ax\}.$$

Suppose that  $Tx \cap S^-x = \emptyset$  for all  $x \in K$ . Then for all  $(x,y) \in K \times Y$ ,  $(x,y) \in P$  implies  $(x,y) \in M$ . Since  $\{y \in D : (x,y) \notin N\} \subset \{y \in Y : y \in Tx\} = \{y \in Y : (x,y) \notin M\}$ , and Tx is  $\Gamma$ -convex for each  $x \in K$ , condition (1) of Theorem 1 is satisfied. By (2) it is clear that condition (2) of Theorem 1 holds.

For each  $y \in Y$ , since  $\{x \in K : (x,y) \in P\} = Sy \cap K$ , by assumption (3), condition (3) of Theorem 1 is also satisfied. By (4),  $Y = \bigcup \{\text{Int}_Y \{y \in Y : (x,y) \in P\} : x \in K\}$ , that is, condition (4) of Theorem 1 holds. By Theorem 1, there exists a point  $x_0 \in K$  such that  $\{x_0\} \times D \subset N$ ; that is,  $y \notin Ax_0$  for all  $y \in D$ . Consequently, we have  $Ax_0 = \emptyset$ , which contradicts assumption (2) (since  $y_0 \in Ax_0$  for some  $y_0 \in D$ ). This completes the proof.

Note that, even if X and Y are C-spaces, Theorem 2 improves [10, Theorem 5].

## 3. Main Results

Using our coincidence theorem, we obtain a new  $\varepsilon$ -saddle point theorem for G-convex spaces which generalizes [8, Theorem 1] for topological vector spaces.

THEOREM 3. Let X be a Hausdorff topological space,  $(Y, \Gamma_Y)$  a G-convex space,  $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  a real-valued function and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Suppose that there exists a compact G-convex space  $(K, \Gamma_K)$  with  $K \subset X$  such that

(1) for any  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ ,  $\inf_{v \in Y} f(x, v) > -\infty$  and  $\sup_{u \in X} f(u, y) < +\infty$ ;

## Sehie Park and In-Sook Kim

- (2) the function  $(x,y) \mapsto f(x,y) \inf_{v \in Y} f(x,v)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -transfer upper semicontinuous on K relative to Y, and the set  $\{x \in K : f(x,y) > t\}$  is a nonempty  $\Gamma$ -convex set for each  $y \in Y$  and each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (3) the function  $(x,y) \mapsto f(x,y) \sup_{u \in X} f(u,y)$  is  $(-\varepsilon)$ -transfer lower semicontinuous on Y relative to K, and  $\{y \in Y : f(x,y) < t\}$  is a nonempty  $\Gamma$ -convex set for each  $x \in K$  and each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then f has a point  $(x_{\varepsilon}^*, y_{\varepsilon}^*) \in K \times Y$  such that  $f(x, y_{\varepsilon}^*) - \varepsilon < f(x_{\varepsilon}^*, y_{\varepsilon}^*) < f(x_{\varepsilon}^*, y) + \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Define multimaps  $A: K \multimap Y, \ T: X \multimap Y$  and  $S: Y \multimap X$  by

$$\begin{split} Ax &= \{y \in Y : f(x,y) - \inf_{v \in Y} f(x,v) < \varepsilon\} \\ Tx &= \{y \in Y : f(x,y) - \inf_{v \in Y} f(x,v) < \varepsilon\} \\ Sy &= \{x \in X : f(x,y) - \sup_{u \in X} f(u,y) > -\varepsilon\}. \end{split}$$

Then for each  $x \in K$ , Ax = Tx, and Tx is a nonempty  $\Gamma$ -convex set. For each  $x \in K$ , there exists a  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(x,y) - \inf_{v \in Y} f(x,v) < \varepsilon$ . By (2), there exists an open neighborhood N(x) of x in K and a point  $y' \in Y$  such that  $f(z,y') - \inf_{v \in Y} f(z,v) < \varepsilon$  for all  $z \in N(x)$ , that is,  $N(x) \subset A^-y'$ ; and hence  $x \in \operatorname{Int}_K A^-y'$ . Thus  $K = \bigcup \{\operatorname{Int}_K A^-y : y \in Y\}$ . Moreover,  $Sy \cap K$  is a nonempty  $\Gamma$ -convex set for each  $y \in Y$  by (2). A similar argument shows by (3) that  $Y = \bigcup \{\operatorname{Int}_Y S^-x : x \in K\}$ . By Theorem 2, there exists  $(x^*, y^*) \in K \times Y$  such that  $y^* \in Tx^*$  and  $x^* \in Sy^*$ ; that is,  $f(x, y^*) - \varepsilon < f(x^*, y^*) < f(x^*, y) + \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ . This completes the proof.

For the case when X and Y are convex spaces in the sense of Lassonde [3] and for mere upper (resp. lower) semicontinuous functions, Theorem 3 improves [8, Theorem 1].

From Theorem 3 we deduce the following new saddle point theorem for spaces without linear structure.

THEOREM 4. Let X be a Hausdorff topological space,  $(Y, \Gamma_Y)$  a Hausdorff G-convex space and  $f: X \times Y \to \mathbb{R}$  a real-valued function.

Suppose that there exists a compact G-convex space  $(K, \Gamma_K)$  with  $K \subset X$  such that

- (1) for any  $(x,y) \in X \times Y$ ,  $\inf_{v \in Y} f(x,v) > -\infty$  and  $\sup_{u \in X} f(u,y) < +\infty$ ;
- (2) the function  $(x,y) \mapsto f(x,y) \inf_{v \in Y} f(x,v)$  is transfer upper semicontinuous on K relative to Y, the function  $x \mapsto f(x,y)$  is upper semicontinuous on K for each  $y \in Y$ ; and the set  $\{x \in K : f(x,y) > t\}$  is a nonempty  $\Gamma$ -convex set for each  $y \in Y$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (3) the function  $(x,y) \mapsto f(x,y) \sup_{u \in X} f(u,y)$  is transfer lower semicontinuous on Y relative to K, and  $\{y \in Y : f(x,y) < t\}$  is a nonempty  $\Gamma$ -convex set for each  $x \in K$  and each  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (4) for every sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $K \times Y$  such that  $(x_n, y_n)$  is an  $\varepsilon_n$ -saddle point of f and  $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$ , there exist a subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a point  $y^* \in Y$  such that

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} f(x,y_{n_k}) \ge f(x,y^*) \quad \text{for all } x \in X.$$

Then f has a point  $(x^*, y^*) \in K \times Y$  such that  $f(x, y^*) \leq f(x^*, y^*) \leq f(x^*, y)$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ .

*Proof.* For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\varepsilon_n \to 0^+$ , by Theorem 3, there is a point  $(x_n^*, y_n^*) \in K \times Y$  such that

$$f(x, y_n^*) - \varepsilon_n < f(x_n^*, y_n^*) < f(x_n^*, y) + \varepsilon_n$$
 for all  $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ .

By (4), there exist a subsequence  $\{y_{n_k}^*\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  and a point  $y^*\in Y$  such that

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} f(x, y_{n_k}^*) \ge f(x, y^*) \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

Since K is compact, there is a subnet  $\{x_{\alpha}^*\}$  of  $\{x_{n_k}^*\}$  and  $x^* \in K$  such that  $\{x_{\alpha}^*\}$  converges to  $x^*$ .

For each  $x \in X$  and each  $\alpha$ , we have

$$f(x^*, y^*) = f(x^*, y^*) - f(x^*_{\alpha}, y^*) + f(x^*_{\alpha}, y^*)$$
$$> f(x^*, y^*) - f(x^*_{\alpha}, y^*) + f(x, y^*_{\alpha}) - 2\varepsilon_{\alpha}$$

and hence by the uppersemicontinuity of  $f(\cdot, y^*)$  on K

$$f(x^*, y^*) \ge f(x^*, y^*) - \limsup_{\alpha} f(x_{\alpha}^*, y^*) + \liminf_{\alpha} f(x, y_{\alpha}^*)$$
  
  $\ge f(x, y^*).$ 

Next, for each  $y \in Y$  and each  $\alpha$ , we have

$$f(x^*, y^*) = f(x^*, y^*) - f(x^*, y^*_{\alpha}) + f(x^*, y^*_{\alpha})$$
$$< f(x^*, y^*) - f(x^*, y^*_{\alpha}) + f(x^*_{\alpha}, y) + 2\varepsilon_{\alpha}$$

and hence by the uppersemicontinuity of  $f(\cdot, y)$  on K

$$f(x^*, y^*) \le f(x^*, y^*) - \liminf_{\alpha} f(x^*, y^*_{\alpha}) + \limsup_{\alpha} f(x^*_{\alpha}, y)$$
  
  $\le f(x^*, y).$ 

Thus,  $(x^*, y^*) \in K \times Y$  is a saddle point of f. This completes the proof.

Note that Theorem 4 is a far-reaching generalization of [8, Theorem 2] and [2, Theorem 3].

Similarly, many other results for convex spaces or C-spaces can be extended to the framework of G-convex spaces. In the first author's works on G-convex spaces, he tried to restrict to write down only essential things.

## References

- [1] C. Horvath, Contractibility and generalized convexity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 156 (1991), 341-357.
- [2] H. Komiya, Coincidence theorem and saddle point theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1986), 599-602.
- [3] M. Lassonde, On the use of KKM multifunctions in fixed point theory and related topics, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 97 (1983), 151-201.
- [4] S. Park, Five episodes related to generalized convex spaces, Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl. 2 (1997), 49-61.
- [5] \_\_\_\_\_, New subclasses of generalized convex spaces, Proc. Intern. Conf. Math. Anal. Appl. (Chinju, Korea, Aug. 4-5, 1998) 1-A (1999), 65-72.

- [6] S. Park and H. Kim, Coincidence theorems for admissible multifunctions on generalized convex spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 197 (1996), 173-187.
- [7] \_\_\_\_\_, Foundations of the KKM theory on generalized convex spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 209 (1997), 551-571.
- [8] K.-K. Tan, J. Yu and X.-Z. Yuan, Note on ε-saddle point and saddle point theorems, Acta Math. Hungar. 65 (1994), 395-401.
- [9] G. Tian, Generalizations of the FKKM theorem and the Ky Fan minimax inequality, with applications to maximal elements, price equilibrium and complementarity, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 170 (1992), 457-471.
- [10] X. Wu and Y. Xu, Section theorems of Ky Fan type in H-spaces with applications, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 45 (1997), 211-220.

SEHIE PARK, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA

E-mail: shpark@math.snu.ac.kr

In-Sook Kim, Department of Mathematics, Sungkyunkwan University, Suwon 440-746, Korea

E-mail: iskim@math.skku.ac.kr