

ON THE EXISTENCE OF AN INVARIANT
PROBABILITY AND THE FUNCTIONAL CENTRAL
LIMIT THEOREM OF A CLASS OF NONLINEAR
AUTOREGRESSIVE PROCESSES

CHANHO LEE* AND YOUNGMEE KWON

ABSTRACT. Existence of a unique invariant probability is considered for a class of Markov processes which may not be irreducible and a functional central limit theorem for a class of nonlinear irreducible uniformly ergodic processes is derived as well.

1. Introduction

Let (S, ρ) be a metric space, Γ a set of measurable maps on S into itself, \mathcal{T} a σ -field on Γ such that the map $(\gamma, x) \rightarrow \gamma(x)$ is measurable on $(\Gamma \times S, \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{B}(S))$ into $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$, where $\mathcal{B}(S)$ denotes the Borel σ -field of S .

Let \mathbf{P} be a probability measure on (Γ, \mathcal{T}) . On some probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, Q) is given a sequence of i.i.d. random maps $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ with common distribution \mathbf{P} . For a given random variable X_0 , independent of α_n , define $X_1 = \alpha_1 X_0, \dots, X_n = \alpha_n X_{n-1} = \alpha_n \cdots \alpha_1 X_0 \cdots$. Then, in view of the independence of α_n , X_n is a Markov process on S .

Let $p(x, dy)$ denote the transition probability given by

$$(1.1) \quad p(x, B) = \mathbf{P}(\{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma(x) \in B\}), \quad x \in S, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(S).$$

We often write $X_n^{(x)}$ for X_n in case $X_0 = x$. Denote by \mathbf{P}^n the product measure $\mathbf{P} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{P}$ on $(\Gamma^n, \mathcal{T}^{\otimes n})$. A probability measure π on $(S, \mathcal{B}(S))$ is said to be invariant for p if $\pi(B) = \int p(x, B)\pi(dx), B \in \mathcal{B}(S)$.

Received April 20, 1999. Revised September 30, 1999.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60G10, 60J05.

Key words and phrases: invariant probability, functional central limit theorem.

*The author wishes to acknowledge the financial support of the Korea Research Foundation made in the program year of 1997.

We shall write $p^{(n)}(x, dy)$ for the n -step transition probability with $p^{(1)} = p$. Then $p^{(n)}(x, dy)$ is the distribution of $X_n(x)$.

In this article S is a closed subset of \mathbb{R}^1 . For Γ one takes a set of measurable monotone (non-increasing or non-decreasing) functions on S into itself. We say that p is φ -irreducible with respect to a nontrivial measure φ on S if $\varphi(B) > 0$ implies that for each x there exists n such that $p^{(n)}(x, B) > 0$.

The transition probability p may not be φ -irreducible for any nonzero σ -finite measure φ . One of the two main interests in the paper is to look at one such class of processes X_n to get some conditions under which there exist unique invariant probabilities π . And the other is, for an example of such process, which is known as a nonlinear 1st-order autoregressive process, under irreducibility, to identify broad classes of functions ψ in $L^2(S, \pi)$ for which the functional central limit theorem (FCLT) holds, i.e., the sequence of stochastic processes.

(1.2)

$$n^{-1/2} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{[nt]} (\psi(X_j) - \int \psi d\pi) + (nt - [nt])(\psi(X_{[nt]+1}) - \int \psi d\pi) \right] (t \geq 0)$$

converges weakly to a Brownian motion under the initial distribution π . Even though the main results in the article are stated and proved for the one-dimensional case, it will be readily turned out that one can extend the results to \mathbb{R}^k ($k \geq 2$) with more relaxed conditions on S .

2. Existence of a unique invariant probability

Define the transition operator T on the linear space $B(S)$ of all real-valued bounded measurable functions on S by

$$(2.1) \quad (Th)(x) = \int h(y)p(x, dy), h \in B(S).$$

We shall say that $p(x, dy)$ has the (weak) Feller-property if (Th) is continuous whenever $h \in B(S)$.

We make the following assumptions:

(A₁) There exists x_0 and a positive integer n_0 such that

$$Q(X_{n_0}(x) \leq x_0 \quad \forall x) > 0, \quad Q(X_{n_0}(x) \geq x_0 \quad \forall x) > 0.$$

(A₂) p has the Feller-property.

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^1$, being the state space of the process, be a closed interval (not necessarily bounded) and let Γ be a set of continuous monotone maps γ on S into S .

Write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_1 &= \{(-\infty, x] \cap S : x \geq x_0\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &= \{(-\infty, x] \cap S : x < x_0\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_3 &= \{[x, \infty) \cap S : x \leq x_0\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_4 &= \{[x, \infty) \cap S : x > x_0\}, \\ \mathcal{A} &= \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{A}_i.\end{aligned}$$

Then it is simple to check that $\gamma^{-1}\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$, outside of a set of \mathbf{P} -probability zero. On the space $\mathcal{P}(S)$, define the distance d by

$$(2.2) \quad d(\mu, \nu) = \sup\{|\mu(A) - \nu(A)| : A \in \mathcal{A}\}, \quad \mu, \nu \in \mathcal{P}(S)$$

The following result may be found in Bhattacharya and Lee (1988) and its correction notes.

LEMMA 2.1. *The space $\mathcal{P}(S)$ is complete under the distance d defined by (2.2).*

It is easily noticed that the lemma still works for our case also. One of the results to be noted in this article is

THEOREM 2.1. *If (A₁), (A₂) hold, then there exists a unique invariant probability π for $p(x, dy)$.*

For the proof, in despite of differences in situations, one may follow the similar ways found in Bhattacharya and Lee (1988), and here we present a sketch of the proof, including key steps.

First, we note that, by (2.2), the map $\mu \rightarrow \mu \circ \gamma^{-1}$ is a contraction. Define the (adjoint) operator T^* acting on $\mathcal{P}(S)$ by

$$(T^*\mu)(B) = \int p(x, B)\mu(dx).$$

It follows that $\mu \rightarrow T^*\mu$ is a contraction in metric d . Write

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_1 &= \{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n_0}) \in \Gamma^{n_0}; \gamma_{n_0} \cdots \gamma_1(S) \subset (-\infty, x_0]\} \\ \Gamma_2 &= \{(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n_0}) \in \Gamma^{n_0}; \gamma_{n_0} \cdots \gamma_1(S) \subset [x_0, \infty)\}\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(\mu \circ (\gamma_{n_0}, \dots, \gamma_1)^{-1})(A) - (\nu \circ (\gamma_{n_0}, \dots, \gamma_1)^{-1})(A) = 0$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_1 \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}_1 \\ \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_2 \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}_2 \\ \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_1 \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}_4 \\ \text{or} \\ \text{if } \gamma \in \Gamma_2 \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}_3 \text{ where } \gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{n_0}). \end{array} \right.$$

Therefore, for $A \in \mathcal{A}_1 \cup \mathcal{A}_4$,

$$\begin{aligned} & |T^{*n_0}(A) - T^{*n_0}\nu(A)| \\ (2.3) \leq & \int_{\Gamma^{n_0}} |\mu((\gamma_{n_0} \cdots \gamma_1)^{-1}A) - \nu((\gamma_{n_0} \cdots \gamma_1)^{-1}A)| \mathbf{P}^{n_0}(d\gamma_1 \cdots d\gamma_{n_0}) \\ & \leq \int_{\Gamma^{n_0} \setminus \Gamma_1} |\mu((\gamma_{n_0} \cdots \gamma_1)^{-1}A) - \nu((\gamma_{n_0} \cdots \gamma_1)^{-1}A)| \mathbf{P}^{n_0}(d\gamma_1 \cdots d\gamma_{n_0}) \\ & \leq (1 - P^{n_0}(\Gamma_1))d(\mu, \nu). \end{aligned}$$

For $A \in \mathcal{A}_2 \cup \mathcal{A}_3$, similarly,

$$|T^{*n_0}(A) - T^{*n_0}\nu(A)| \leq (1 - \mathbf{P}^{n_0}(\Gamma_2))d(\mu, \nu).$$

Combining (2.3), (2.4),

$$(2.4) \quad d(T^{*n_0}\mu, T^{*n_0}\nu) \leq \max \left\{ 1 - P^{n_0}(\Gamma_1), 1 - P^{n_0}(\Gamma_2) \right\} d(\mu, \nu).$$

(2.5) and the fact that $\mu \rightarrow T^*\mu$ is a contraction in metric d together imply

$$d(T^{*n}\mu, T^{*n}\nu) \leq \delta^{[n/n_0]}d(\mu, \nu), \quad \forall n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $[n/n_0]$ is the integer part of n/n_0 , and $\delta = \max\{1 - P^{n_0}(\Gamma_1), 1 - P^{n_0}(\Gamma_2)\}$, which is less than 1.

For $n' > n$, one has

$$(2.5) \quad d(p^{(n)}(x, dy), p^{(n')}(x, dy)) = d(T^{*n}\mu, T^{*n}\nu) \leq \delta^{[n/n_0]}$$

with $\mu = \delta_x$ (point mass at x) and $\nu = T^{*(n'-n)}\delta_x$.

Hence, $p^{(n)}(x, dy)$ is a Cauchy sequence in the metric d . Let π be its limit, which exists by Lemma 2.1.

Then π is uniform in x and letting $n' \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), we see that

$$\sup_{x \in S} d(p^{(n)}(x, dy), \pi(dy)) \leq \delta^{[n/n_0]} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $p^{(n)}(x, dy)$ converges weakly to the same probability measure $\pi(dy)$ on S for every $x \in S$. Since p has the Feller property, it is trivial to show that π is invariant.

If π' is another invariant probability, then $T^{*n}\pi' = \pi'$, which implies

$$d(\pi', \pi) = d(T^{*n}\pi', \pi) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore, $d(\pi', \pi) = 0$, so that $\pi' = \pi$.

3. Some results on the functional central limit theorem under irreducibility

As an example of the process X_n considered in the previous sections, which is generated by successive iterations of an i.i.d. sequence of random maps, on a probability space, consider the following process

$$(3.1) \quad X_{n+1} = f(X_n) + \varepsilon_{n+1} \quad (n \geq 0),$$

which is generated by the random maps α_n defined by $x \rightarrow \alpha_n x = f(x) + \varepsilon_n$ ($n \geq 1$), where f is real-valued Borel measurable and continuous monotone on S , $\{\varepsilon_n : n \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and X_0 is arbitrarily prescribable real-valued random variable independent of $\{\varepsilon_n : n \geq 1\}$.

With the assumptions (A_1) and (A_2) hold, as though there exists a unique invariant for the process, the transition probability p may not be φ -irreducible for any nonzero σ -finite measure φ , not even strongly mixing. Indeed, the tail σ -field may not be nontrivial. Here, the irreducibility plays a role, under which it enables us to see these properties hold. A φ -irreducible aperiodic Markov process with transition probability $p(x, dy)$ is said to be *geometrically (Harris) ergodic* if there exists a probability measure π such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|p^{(n)}(x, dy) - \pi(dy)\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ exponentially fast as } n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^1.$$

Here $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the variation norm on the Banach space of finite signed measure on $(\mathbb{R}^1, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^1))$.

Recently there have been considerable works on k th-order ($k \geq 1$) nonlinear autoregressive models, most of which provide some verifiable criteria for geometric ergodicity (see, e.g., Chan and Tong (1985), Tjøstheim (1990), Bhattacharya and Lee (1995a), (1995b), Lee (1998)).

If (3.2) holds, then π is necessarily the unique invariant probability for $p(x, dy)$, and the process having π as the initial distribution is stationary.

We assume that the process (3.1) is uniformly (geometrically) ergodic, that is, $\sup_{x \in S} \|p^{(n)}(x, dy) - \pi(dy)\|$ goes to zero exponentially fast as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and that X_0 has the unique invariant π as its distribution. Note that, by contractivity, the convergence automatically has geometric rate. A set $B \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ is said to be *small* (with respect to φ) if $\varphi(B) > 0$, and for every $A \in \mathcal{B}(S)$ with $\varphi(A) > 0$ there exists $j \geq 1$ such that

$$\inf_{x \in B} \sum_{n=1}^j p^{(n)}(x, A) > 0.$$

It also turns out that uniform ergodicity can be characterized as the smallness of the state space S , that is, a φ -irreducible aperiodic Markov process with transition probability $p(x, dy)$ is uniformly ergodic if the state space S is small.

Let ψ belong to the range ($\subset L^2 \equiv L^2(S, \pi)$) of the operator $T - I$ on L^2 , where T is the operator defined by (2.1), and I is the identity. Then the functional central limit theorem (FCLT), as stated in the following proposition, holds for (1.2) (Gordin and Lifšic, 1978).

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Assume $p(x, dy)$ admits an invariant probability π and, under the initial distribution π , (3.1) is ergodic. Assume also that $\tilde{\psi} = \psi - \bar{\psi}$ is in the range of $I - T$. Then*

$$(3.3) \quad n^{-1/2} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{[nt]} (\psi(X_j) - \bar{\psi}) + (nt - [nt])(\psi(X_{[nt]+1}) - \bar{\psi}) \right] \quad (t \geq 0)$$

converges weakly to a Brownian motion with mean zero and variance parameter $\|h\|_2^2 - \|Th\|_2^2$, where $(I - T)h = \tilde{\psi}$, $[nt]$ is the integer part of nt and $\bar{\psi} = \int \psi d\pi$.

Our main result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *Assume that the process in (3.1) is uniformly (geometrically) ergodic and that X_0 has the unique invariant π as its distribution. Then for every ψ in $L^2(S, \pi)$ such that $\int \psi d\pi = 0$, ψ belongs to the range of $I - T$, i.e., (3.3) holds for every ψ in $L^2(S, \pi)$ such that $\int \psi d\pi = 0$.*

Proof. Given the one-sided (strictly stationary) process $\{X_n : n \geq 0\}$, we can always construct a two-sided $\{X_n : n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ with the same finite-dimensional distribution. We may call $\{X_n : n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ the doubly infinite extension of $\{X_n : n \geq 0\}$ on a probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) .

For $a \leq b$, define \mathcal{F}_a^b as the σ -field generated by the random variables X_a, \dots, X_b ; define $\mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^a$ as the σ -field generated by \dots, X_{a-1}, X_a ; and define \mathcal{F}_a^∞ as the σ -field generated by X_a, X_{a+1}, \dots .

We claim that for each m ($-\infty < m < \infty$) and for each n ($n \geq 1$), $A \in \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^m$, $B \in \mathcal{F}_{m+n}^\infty$ together imply

$$(3.4) \quad |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| \leq \varphi(n)P(A),$$

where $\varphi(n)$ is a nonnegative function of positive integers.

Let $g(X_{m+n})$ denote $E(I_B | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^{m+n})$, where I_B is the indicator function of B . Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[I_A E(g(X_{m+n}) | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^m)] &= E[E(I_A g(X_{m+n}) | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^m)] \\ &= E[I_A g(X_{m+n})] \\ &= E[I_A g(X_m)] \\ &= E[I_A I_B] \\ &= P(A \cap B). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$P(A)P(B) = E \left[I_A \int g(y) \pi(dy) \right].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} &|P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| \\ (3.5) \quad &= \left| E[I_A E(g(X_{m+n}) | \mathcal{F}_{-\infty}^m)] - E \left[I_A \int g(y) \pi(dy) \right] \right| \\ &= \left| E \left[I_A \left(\int g(y) p^{(n)}(x, dy) - \int g(y) \pi(dy) \right) \right] \right| \\ &\leq E[I_A \|g\|_\infty \|p^{(n)}(x, dy) - \pi(dy)\|]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the process $\{X_n : n \geq 0\}$ is uniformly ergodic, there exist constants $\varepsilon > 0$, $0 < \rho < 1$, such that

$$\|p^{(n)}(x, dy) - \pi(dy)\| < \varepsilon\rho^n$$

for all sufficiently large n , uniformly for $x \in S$. Thus, taking $\varepsilon\rho^n$ as our $\varphi(n)$, we have shown that for every sufficiently large n , (3.4) holds, and from the inequality of (3.5), a constant function $\varphi(n)$ can be taken for the other finite many number of n 's, justifying our claim. Let $\psi \in 1^\perp$, the set of all mean zero L^2 -functions. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad & \|T^n\psi\|_2^2 \equiv E(\{E[\psi(X_n)|X_0]\}^2) \\ & = E(E\{E[\psi(X_n)|X_0]\psi(X_n)|X_0\}) \\ & = E(E(\psi(X_n)|X_0)\psi(X_n)) = E((T^n\psi)(X_0)\psi(X_n)) \\ & \leq \rho(n)\|\psi\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

(by definition of the maximal correlation coefficient $\rho(n)$).

Now consider the function $g(y) := -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(T^n\psi)(y)$. Note that

$$\|g\|_2 \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|T^n\psi\|_2 \leq \|\psi\|_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\rho(n)} < \infty,$$

by the inequality (3.6). Hence $g \in L^2(S, \pi)$. But $(T - I)g = \psi$. Hence ψ is in the range of $(T - I)$. \square

REMARK 1. The functional law of the iterated logarithm (FLIL) holds under the additional assumption $\int |\psi|^{2+\delta} d\pi < \infty$ for some $\delta > 0$ (Bhattacharya (1982)).

REMARK 2. As references for earlier work on a class of nonlinear autoregressive processes, see Bhattacharya and Lee (1988), and Nummelin (1984), where they have provided some verifiable conditions under which the functional central limit theorem (FCLT) holds for a class of functions ψ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^1, \pi)$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors are indebted to the referee for many valuable suggestions.

References

- [1] Bhattacharya, R. N., *On the functional central limit theorem and the law of iterated logarithm for Markov processes*, Z. Wahr. Verw. Geb. **60** (1982), 185–201.

- [2] Bhattacharya, R. N. and Lee, C. H., *Ergodicity of Nonlinear First Order Autoregressive Models*, J. Theoretical Probability **8** (1995a), No. 1, 207–219.
- [3] ———, *On geometric ergodicity of nonlinear autoregressive models*, Statistics & Probability Letters **22** (1995b), 311–315.
- [4] Bhattacharya, R. N. and Lee, O., *Asymptotics of a class of Markov processes which are not in general irreducible*, Ann. Probab. **16** (1988), 1333-1347., Correction Note. Ann. Probab. **25** (1997), 1541-1543.
- [5] Billingsley, P., *Convergence of Probability Measures*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [6] Chan, K. S. and H. Tong, *On the use of the deterministic Lyapunov function for the ergodicity of stochastic difference equations*, Adv. Appl. Probab. **17** (1985), 666–678.
- [7] Gordin, M. I. and Lifšic, B. A., *The central limit theorem for stationary ergodic Markov process*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR **19** (1978), 392–393.
- [8] Lee, C. H., *Asymptotics of a class of p th-order nonlinear autoregressive processes*, Stats. and Probab. Lett. **40** (1998), 171-177.
- [9] Nummelin, E., *General Irreducible Markov chains and Nonnegative Operators*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1984.
- [10] Tjøstheim, D., *Nonlinear time series and Markov chains*, Adv. Appl. Probab. **22** (1990), 587–611.

Chanho Lee
Department of Mathematics
Hannam University
Taejon 306-791, Korea
E-mail: chl@math.hannam.ac.kr

Youngmee Kwon
Hansung University
Seoul 136-792, Korea
E-mail: ymkwon@hansung.ac.kr