

Generalized Common Fixed Point Theorems on Menger PM-spaces

Byung-Soo Lee and Kyu-Han Yang

Department of Mathematics Kyungshung University Pusan 608-736, Korea

ABSTRACT

More generalized common fixed point theorems for a sequence of fuzzy mappings to the nonexpansive case on Menger probabilistic metric spaces, which generalize recent results of Lee *et al.*[13], are obtained.

1. Introduction

There have been many results on fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings, multi-valued mappings and single-valued mappings on probabilistic metric spaces including metric spaces, considered by Bose *et al.* [1], Butnariu [2], Chang *et al.* [4-6], Hadzic [8], Heilpern [9], Lee *et al.* [10-13], and others [3,7,17-19].

In 1996, Lee *et al.* [12] obtained a common fixed point theorem for a sequence of fuzzy mappings to the nonexpansive case on Menger probabilistic metric spaces under some equality-type condition.

In this paper we obtain more generalized common fixed theorems for a sequence of fuzzy mappings to the nonexpansive case on Menger probabilistic metric spaces, which generalize and improve the previous results of Lee *et al.* [12].

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some topological properties and others of Menger probabilistic metric spaces in [14-16].

Definition 2.1 A probabilistic metric space (in short, a PM-space) is an ordered pair (E, \mathcal{F}) , where E is a nonempty set and \mathcal{F} is a mapping from $E \times E$ into D^+ , where D^+ is the set of all distribution functions. We denote the distribution function $\mathcal{F}(x, y)$ by $F_{x,y}$ for each $x, y \in E$. The function $F_{x,y}$ is assumed to satisfy the following conditions;

(PM-1) $F_{x,y}(t) = 1$ for all $t > 0$ if and only if $x = y$,

(PM-2) $F_{x,y}(0) = 0$,

(PM-3) $F_{x,y}(t) = F_{y,x}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$,

(PM-4) if $F_{x,y}(t_1) = 1$ and $F_{y,z}(t_2) = 1$, then $F_{x,z}(t_1+t_2) = 1$.

Definition 2.2 A mapping $\Delta : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a t -norm if it satisfies the following conditions ; for any $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$,

(T-1) $\Delta(a, 1) = a$,

(T-2) $\Delta(a, b) = \Delta(b, a)$,

(T-3) $\Delta(c, d) \geq \Delta(a, b)$ for $c \geq a$ and $d \geq b$,

(T-4) $\Delta(\Delta(a, b), c) = \Delta(a, \Delta(b, c))$.

Definition 2.3 A Menger PM-space is a triplet (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) , where (E, \mathcal{F}) is a PM-space and Δ is a t -norm satisfying the following triangle inequality

$F_{x,y}(t_1+t_2) \geq \Delta(F_{x,y}(t_1), F_{y,z}(t_2))$ for all $x, y, z \in E$ and $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$.

Schweizer and Sklar have proved that if (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is a Menger PM-space with a continuous t -norm Δ , then (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is a Hausdorff topological space in the topology τ having

$\beta = \{N_p(\varepsilon, \lambda) : p \in E, \varepsilon, \lambda > 0\}$

as a basis, where

$N_p(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{x \in E : F_{x,p}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$.

Definition 2.4 Let (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a Menger PM-space with a continuous t -norm Δ . Let $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ be any sequence in E .

$(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is said to be τ -convergent to $x \in E$ (we write $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$), if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_n,x}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$ whenever $n \geq N$.

$(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset E$ is called a τ -Cauchy sequence if for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive integer $N = N(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $F_{x_n,x_m}(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$, whenever $n, m \geq N$.

A Menger PM-space (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is said to be τ -complete if each τ -Cauchy sequence in E is τ -convergent to some point in E .

Definition 2.5 A function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is said to satisfy the condition (Φ) if it is strictly increasing, left-continuous, $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(t) = +\infty$ and $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \varphi^n(t) < +\infty$ for all $t > 0$, where $\varphi^n(t)$ is the n -th iterative of $\varphi(t)$.

Lemma 2.6 [6,14] Let a function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfy the condition (Φ) , then a function

$\psi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t = 0, \\ \inf\{s > 0 : \varphi(s) > t\}, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

is continuous, nondecreasing, and satisfies the following assertions;

- (i) $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$,
- (ii) $\varphi(\psi(t)) \leq t$ and $\psi(\varphi(t)) = t$ for all $t \geq 0$,
- (iii) $\psi(t) \geq t$ for all $t \geq 0$,
- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi^n(t) = +\infty$ for all $t > 0$.

Definition 2.7 A t -norm Δ is called h -type if a family of functions $(\Delta^m(t))_{m=1}^\infty$ is equicontinuous at $t = 1$, where

$$\Delta^1(t) = \Delta(t, t), \Delta^{m+1}(t) = \Delta(t, \Delta^m(t)), m=1, 2, \dots, t \in [0, 1].$$

Obviously, Δ is an h -type t -norm if and only if for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta(\lambda) \in (0, 1)$ such that $\Delta^m(t) > 1 - \lambda$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of natural numbers, when $t > \delta(\lambda)$.

Lemma 2.8 [6] Let (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) be a Menger PM-space with an h -type t -norm Δ . If a sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ in E satisfies the following condition;

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi^n(t))t \geq 0,$$

where ψ is a function defined by (2.1), then $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a τ -Cauchy sequence in E .

Lemma 2.9 A sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ converges to x in (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) if and only if the sequence $(F_{x_n, x})_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to $F_{x, x} \in D^+$.

III. Common fixed point theorems

Throughout this section, (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) is a τ -complete Menger PM-space with a left-continuous t -norm Δ of h -type. We always assume that $\hat{\Omega}$ is a family of all fuzzy sets A in E whose each α -level set $(A)_\alpha = \{x \in E \mid A(x) \geq \alpha\}$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ is a nonempty τ -closed set in E . We define a mapping $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\hat{\Omega} \times \hat{\Omega} \rightarrow D^+$ as follows (we also denote $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(A, B)$ by $\hat{F}_{A, B}$ and the value of $\hat{\mathcal{F}}(A, B)$ at $t \in \mathbb{R}$ by $F_{A, B}(t)$);

$$\hat{F}_{A, B}(t) = \inf_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} F_{(A)_\alpha, (B)_\alpha}(t), t \geq 0, A, B \in \hat{\Omega}, \text{ and}$$

$$\hat{F}_{\{x\}, B}(t) = \inf_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} F_{x, (B)_\alpha}(t), t \geq 0,$$

where

$$F_{(A)_\alpha, (B)_\alpha}(t) = \sup_{s < t} \Delta \left(\inf_{\alpha \in (A)_\alpha} \sup_{b \in (B)_\alpha} F_{a, b}(s), \inf_{b \in (B)_\alpha} \sup_{\alpha \in (A)_\alpha} F_{a, b}(s) \right), \text{ and}$$

$$F_{x, (B)_\alpha}(t) = \sup_{y \in (B)_\alpha} F_{x, y}(t), t \geq 0 \text{ for } \alpha \in [0, 1].$$

The last equality is called the probabilistic distance between a point x and a set $(B)_\alpha$. It is easily shown that $(\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \Delta)$ is a Menger PM-space. For $A, B \in \hat{\Omega}$, $A \subset B$ means $A(x) \leq B(x)$ for $x \in E$, and $\{x\} \subset Tx$ or $(Tx)(x) = 1$ means that x is a fixed point of a fuzzy mapping T from E to $\hat{\Omega}$.

Proposition 3.1 Let A and B be elements of $\hat{\Omega}$. Assume that the 1-level set $(B)_1$ of the fuzzy set B is compact. Then for any $\{x\} \subset A$, there exists $\{y\} \subset B$ such that $F_{x, y}(t) \geq \hat{F}_{A, B}(t)$, $t \geq 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{A, B}(t) &= \inf_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} F_{(A)_\alpha, (B)_\alpha}(t) \\ &\leq F_{(A)_1, (B)_1}(t) \\ &= \sup_{s < t} \Delta \left(\inf_{a \in (A)_1} \sup_{b \in (B)_1} F_{a, b}(s), \inf_{b \in (B)_1} \sup_{a \in (A)_1} F_{a, b}(s) \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{s < t} \left(\inf_{a \in (A)_1} \sup_{b \in (B)_1} F_{a, b}(s) \right) \\ &\leq \sup_{b \in (B)_1} F_{x, b}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Putting $k = \sup_{b \in (B)_1} F_{x, b}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, we obtain a sequence $(y_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ converging to $y \in (B)_1$ such that $k - 1/n < F_{x, y_n}(t) \leq k$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $k = F_{x, y}(t)$, $t \geq 0$, i.e.,

$$\sup_{b \in (B)_1} F_{x, b}(t) = F_{x, y}(t), t \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof.

Proposition 3.2 [13] Let A and B in $\hat{\Omega}$. Then the following hold;

- (i) $\hat{F}_{\{x\}, A}(t) = 1$, $t > 0$ only if $\{x\} \subset A$.
- (ii) $F_{x, (A)_\alpha}(t) \geq \hat{F}_{\{x\}, A}(t)$, $t \geq 0$.
- (iii) If $\{x\} \subset A$, then $\hat{F}_{\{x\}, B}(t) \geq \hat{F}_{A, B}(t)$, $t \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.3 Let $B \in \hat{\Omega}$ and $\{y\} \subset B$. Then for $\{x\} \in \hat{\Omega}$,

$$\hat{F}_{\{x\}, B}(t) \geq F_{x, y}(t), t \geq 0.$$

Proof. For any $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $\{y\} \subset B$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x, (B)_\alpha}(t) &= \sup_{z \in (B)_\alpha} F_{x, z}(t) \\ &\geq F_{x, y}(t), t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{\{x\}, B}(t) &= \inf_{\alpha \in (0, 1]} F_{x, (B)_\alpha}(t) \\ &\geq F_{x, y}(t), t \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we introduce the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 3.4 Let $(T_i)_{i=1}^\infty : (E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \Delta)$ be a sequence of fuzzy mappings and a function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfy the condition (Φ) . Assume that the 1-level set $(B)_1$ of the fuzzy set B is compact. Suppose that for any $x, y \in E$,

$$\hat{F}_{T_{i^*}, T_{j^*}}(\varphi(t)) \geq \min\{F_{x,y}(t), \hat{F}_{[x], T_{i^*}}(t), \hat{F}_{[y], T_{j^*}}(t)\}, t \geq 0.$$

Then there exists an $x_* \in E$ such that

$$\{x_*\} \subset T_{i^*} x_*, i \in N.$$

Proof. Define a function $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by

$$\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t = 0, \\ \inf\{s > 0 : \varphi(s) > t\}, & t > 0. \end{cases}$$

Then by Proposition 3.1, for any given $x_0 \in E$ and any $\{x_1\} \subset T_{1^*} x_0$, there exists $\{x_2\} \subset T_{2^*} x_1$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{x_1, x_2}(\varphi(\psi(t))) \\ & \geq \hat{F}_{T_{1^*} x_0, T_{2^*} x_1}(\varphi(\psi(t))) \\ & \geq \min\{F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi(t)), \hat{F}_{[x_0], T_{1^*} x_0}(\psi(t)), \hat{F}_{[x_1], T_{2^*} x_1}(\psi(t))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since F_{x_1, x_2} is nondecreasing, we obtain $F_{x_1, x_2}(t) \geq F_{x_1, x_2}(\varphi(\psi(t)))$ from Lemma 2.6 (ii). Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{x_1, x_2}(t) & \geq \min\{F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi(t)), \hat{F}_{[x_0], T_{1^*} x_0}(\psi(t)), \\ & \quad \hat{F}_{[x_1], T_{2^*} x_1}(\psi(t))\} \\ & \geq \min\{F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi(t)), F_{x_1, x_2}(\psi(t))\}, \end{aligned}$$

from the definition of the probabilistic distance between a point and a set and Proposition 3.3.

Since $F_{x_1, x_2}(t) \geq \min\{F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi(t)), F_{x_1, x_2}(\psi^m(t))\}$ for all $m \in N$ from Lemma 2.6 (iii), letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$F_{x_1, x_2}(t) \geq F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi(t)), t \geq 0.$$

Similarly, there exists $\{x_3\} \subset T_{3^*} x_2$ such that

$$F_{x_2, x_3}(t) \geq F_{x_1, x_2}(\psi(t)).$$

Continuing this process, we have a sequence $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ such that

- (i) $\{x_n\} \subset T_n x_{n-1}$,
- (ii) $F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(\psi(t)), t \geq 0$.

Hence we have

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(t) \geq F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(\psi(t)) \geq \dots \geq F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi^n(t)), t \geq 0.$$

By Lemma 2.8., $(x_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a τ -Cauchy sequence in E . By the completeness of (E, \mathcal{F}, Δ) , there exists an $x_* \in E$ such that $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x_*$.

Next, we prove that x_* satisfies $(T_{i^*} x_*) = 1$ for all $i \in N$, i.e., $\{x_*\}$ is a common fixed point of $(T_i)_{i=1}^\infty$. In fact, for $\{x_{n+1}\} \subset T_{n+1^*} x_n$, we have $\{z_i\} \subset T_{i^*} x_*$ for each fixed $i \in N$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(t) \\ & \geq \hat{F}_{T_{n+1^*} x_n, T_{i^*} x_*}(t) \\ & \geq \min\{F_{x_n, x_*}(\psi(t)), \hat{F}_{[x_n], T_{n+1^*} x_n}(\psi(t)), \hat{F}_{[x_*], T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t))\} \\ & \geq \min\{F_{x_n, x_*}(\psi(t)), F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(\psi(t)), \hat{F}_{[x_*], T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t))\} \\ & \geq \min\{F_{x_n, x_*}(\psi(t)), F_{x_0, x_1}(\psi^n(t)), \hat{F}_{[x_*], T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit inferior in (3.1) we have by Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.9

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(t) \geq \hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{F}_{x_*, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t)) & \geq F_{x_*, z_i}(\psi(t)) \\ & \geq \Delta\{F_{x_*, x_{n+1}}(\delta), F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(\psi(t) - \delta)\}, \delta > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Taking limit superior we have

$$\hat{F}_{x_*, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t)) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(\psi(t) - \delta), \delta > 0.$$

By the arbitrariness of $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t)) \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(\psi(t)).$$

Combining (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(\psi(t)) & \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(t) \\ & \geq \hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t)) \\ & \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(\psi(t)) \\ & \geq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(\psi(t)) = \hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t)), \text{ and}$$

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(t) = \hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t)).$$

By the arbitrariness of t , from (3.4) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(t) = \hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(t), t \geq 0.$$

Therefore from (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_{n+1}, z_i}(t) & = \hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi(t)) \\ & = \hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi^2(t)) \\ & = \dots \\ & = \hat{F}_{\{x_*\}, T_{i^*} x_*}(\psi^m(t)) \\ & \geq F_{x_*, z_i}(\psi^m(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$F_{x_*, z_i}(t) = 1, t > 0.$$

This shows that $x_* = z_i$ for all i . Hence we have $\{x_*\} \subset T_{i^*} x_*$ for all $i \in N$. This completes the proof.

The following theorem can be obtained from Theorem 3.4 as a corollary.

Theorem 3.5 Let $(T_i)_{i=1}^{\infty} : (E, \mathcal{F}, \Delta) \rightarrow (\hat{\Omega}, \hat{\mathcal{F}}, \Delta)$ be a sequence of fuzzy mappings. Assume that 1-level sets of a fuzzy set in $\hat{\Omega}$ are compact. Suppose that there exists a function $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ satisfying the condition (Φ) such that for any $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $x, y \in E$,

$$\hat{F}_{T_i x, T_j y}(\varphi(t)) \geq \min\{F_{x, y}(t), F_{x, (T_i x)_1}(t), F_{y, (T_j y)_1}(t)\}, t \geq 0.$$

Then there exists an $x_* \in E$ such that

$$\{x_*\} \subset T_i x_*, i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Since any closed subset of a compact set is compact, it is easily shown from Theorem 3.4 that this theorem holds.

In fact, Theorem 3.5 is a slight generalization and an improvement of the main result of Lee *et. al.* [12] by deleting some of its conditions, which can be called as an equality-type condition.

References

[1] R. K. Bose and D. Sahani, *Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 21, 53-58, 1987.
 [2] D. Butnariu, *Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 7, 191-207, 1982.
 [3] G. L. Cain and K. H. Kasriel, *Fixed and periodic point of local contraction mapping on probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. Systems Theory 9, 289-297, 1976.
 [4] S. S. Chang, *Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 17, 181-187, 1985.
 [5] S. S. Chang, *Fixed degree for fuzzy mappings and a generalization of Ky Fan's theorem*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 24, 103-112, 1987.
 [6] S. S. Chang, Y. J. Cho, S. M. Kang and J. X. Fan, *Common fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in Menger PM-spaces*, Math. Japonica 40, 289-293, 1994.
 [7] J. X. Fan, *A note on fixed point theorem of Hadzic*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 48, 391-395, 1992.
 [8] O. Hadzic, *Fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in probabilistic metric spaces*, Mat. Vesnik 3, 125-133.

[9] S. Heilpern, *Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 83, 556-569, 1981.
 [10] B. S. Lee and S. J. Cho, *A fixed point theorem for contractive-type fuzzy mappings*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 61, 309-312, 1994.
 [11] B. S. Lee and S. J. Cho, *Common fixed point theorem for sequences of fuzzy mappings*, Int. J. Math & Math. Sci. 17(3), 423-428, 1994.
 [12] B. S. Lee, Y. J. Cho and J. S. Jung, *Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings and applications*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. 11, 89-108, 1996.
 [13] B. S. Lee, G. M. Lee and D. S. Kim, *Common fixed points of fuzzy mappings in Menger PM-spaces*, J. Fuzzy Math. 2, 859-870, 1994.
 [14] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
 [15] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 10, 313-334.
 [16] B. Schweizer, A. Sklar and E. Thorp, *The metrization of statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 10, 673-675, 1960.
 [17] V. M. Sehgal and A. T. Bharucha-Reid, *Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces*, Math. Systems Theory 6(2), 97-102, 1972.
 [18] T. Som and R. N. Mukherjee, *Some fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 33, 213-219, 1989.
 [19] M. Stojakovic, *Fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces*, Kobe J. Math. 2(1), 1-9, 1985.

이 병 수 (Byung-Soo Lee)

현재 : 경성대학교 이과대학 수리과학부 교수, Nonlinear Analysis forum, Managing Editor, 한국수학교육학회 부회장 겸 부산지회장, 한국 퍼지 및 지능 시스템 학회 협력이사



양 규 한 (Kyu-Han Yang)

현재 : 경성대학교 수학과 박사과정 수료