

## CRITERIA FOR A NEW CONCEPT OF STABILITY

V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM

ABSTRACT. A new concept of stability that includes Lyapunov and orbital stabilities and leads to concepts in between them is discussed in terms of a given topology of the function space. The criteria for such new concepts to hold are investigated employing suitably Lyapunov-like functions and the comparison principle.

### 1. Introduction

Consider the dynamic system

$$(1.1) \quad x' = f(t, x), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t_0 \geq 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} = 1'$$

where  $f \in C[R_+ \times R^n, R^n]$ . Assume, for convenience, that the solutions  $x(t) = x(t, t_0, x_0)$  of (1.1) exist and are unique for  $t \geq t_0$ . The original theorems of Lyapunov have been refined, extended and generalized in various directions. See [2; 3, 4, 5, 10] for details.

In the investigation of the initial value problems of differential equations, we have been partial to initial time all along in the sense that we only perturb or change the initial dependent variable or space variable and keep the initial time unchanged for all solutions. It appears, however, important to vary the initial time as well since it is impossible not to make errors in the starting time in any physical phenomena. If we do change the starting time for each solution along with the initial change of the dependent variable, we are faced with the problem of comparing any two solutions which differ in the starting time. There could be several ways of comparing and to each choice of measuring the difference, one may end up with a different set of conditions and a different result. In [6, 7, 8], this approach is initiated.

---

Received August 17, 1999. Revised January 30, 2000.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34D20, 34D30, 34D99.

Key words and phrases: a new concept of stability, criteria of stability.

Lyapunov stability compares, as we know, the phase-space positions of the unperturbed and perturbed solutions of (1.1) at exactly simultaneous times, namely,

$$(LS) \quad |x(t, t_0, y_0) - x_0(t, t_0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq t_0,$$

where  $x_0(t, t_0, x_0)$  is the given solution of (1.1) and  $x(t, t_0, y_0)$  is the perturbed solution of (1.1) with the same initial time  $t_0$ . If the solutions start at different times, then (LS) can be modified as

$$(LS^*) \quad |x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(t - \eta, t_0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq \tau_0,$$

where  $\eta = \tau_0 - t_0$ . In both cases, it is a too stringent demand.

Orbital stability, on the other hand, compares at any two unrelated instants of time, namely,

$$(OS) \quad \inf_{s \in [t_0, \infty)} |x(t, t_0, y_0) - x_0(s, t_0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq t_0.$$

As before, if the solutions start at different times as well, then we replace (OS) by

$$(OS^*) \quad \inf_{s \in [\tau_0, \infty)} |x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(s - \eta, t_0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq \tau_0.$$

In this case, the measurement of time is entirely irregular and hence it is a too loose a requirement.

The foregoing considerations suggest a unification of the two concepts so that the notions in between these extreme situations, may have concepts of physical significance. We shall investigate this situation which was initiated by Messera[9] who discussed the meaning stability and gave some examples. Here we enlarge his notions and provide criteria.

## 2. A new concept of stability

Let  $E$  be the space of all functions from  $R_+$  to  $R_+$ , each function  $\sigma(t)$  representing a clock. We call  $\sigma(t) = t$ , the perfect clock. Let  $\tau$  be any topology in  $E$ . Given the solution  $x_0(t, t_0, x_0)$ , let us define the following new concept of stability.

DEFINITION. The solution  $x_0(t, t_0, x_0)$  of (1.1) is said to be

- (1)  $\tau$ -stable, if given  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $t_0, \tau_0 \in R_+$  and a  $\tau$ -neighborhood  $N$  of the perfect clock, there exists a  $\delta = \delta(t_0, \tau_0, \epsilon) > 0$  such that for each

$y_0$  satisfying  $|x_0 - y_0| < \delta$ , there is a clock  $\sigma \in N$  with  $\sigma(\tau_0) = t_0$  satisfying

$$|x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq \tau_0.$$

- (2)  $\tau$ -uniformly stable, if in (1)  $\delta$  is independent of  $t_0, \tau_0$ .  
 (3)  $\tau$ -asymptotically stable, if (1) holds and there exists a  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(t_0, \tau_0) > 0$  such that  $|x_0 - y_0| < \delta_0$  and for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\tau$ -neighborhood  $N$  of the perfect clock, there exists a  $T = T(t_0, \tau_0, \epsilon) > 0$ ,  $\sigma \in N$  with  $\sigma(\tau_0) = t_0$  satisfying

$$|x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq \tau_0 + T.$$

- (4)  $\tau$ -uniformly asymptotically stable, if  $\delta_0$  and  $T$  in (3) are independent of  $t_0, \tau_0$ .

We note that a partial ordering of topologies of  $E$  induces a corresponding partial ordering of stability concepts.

We shall consider the following topologies of  $E$ :

- ( $\tau_1$ ) the discrete topology, where every set  $E$  is open;  
 ( $\tau_2$ ) the chaotic topology, where the open sets are only the empty set and the entire clock space  $E$ ;  
 ( $\tau_3$ ) the topology defined by the base

$$U_{\sigma_0, \epsilon} = [\sigma, \sigma_0 \in C[R_+, R_+] : \sup_{t \in [\tau_0, \infty)} |\sigma(t) - \sigma_0(t)| < \epsilon];$$

- ( $\tau_4$ ) the topology defined by the base

$$U_{\sigma_0, \epsilon} = [\sigma, \sigma_0 = C^1[R_+, R_+] : |\sigma(\tau_0) - \sigma_0(t_0)| < \epsilon$$

and

$$\sup_{t \in [\tau_0, \infty)} |\sigma'(t) - \sigma_0'(t)| < \epsilon].$$

- ( $\tau_5$ ) the topology consisting of the three open sets, the empty set, the entire clock space, and the set of all continuous increasing functions from  $R_+$  onto  $R_+$ .

It is clear that the topologies  $\tau_3, \tau_4, \tau_5$  lie between  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ .

### 3. Comparison results

We need the following known result [1] to prove a comparison result in terms of Lyapunov-like function and comparison principle.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $g \in C[R_+^3, R]$  and  $g(t, u, v)$  be nondecreasing in  $v$  for each  $(t, u)$ . Suppose that  $r(t) = r(t, t_0, u_0)$  is the maximal solution of*

$$(3.1) \quad u' = g(t, u, u), \quad u(t_0) = u_0 \geq 0,$$

existing on  $[t_0, \infty)$  for each  $(t_0, u_0)$ . Let  $m \in C[R_+, R_+]$  and

$$D_-m(t) \leq g(t, m(t), v), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

Then for all  $v \leq r(t)$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad m(t) \leq r(t), \quad t \geq t_0.$$

We can now prove the needed comparison result. Let  $w \in C[R_+^2 \times R, R_+]$  and  $r(t)$  be the maximal solution of (3.1). Consider the set  $\Omega$  defined by

$$(3.3) \quad \Omega = [\sigma \in C^1[R_+, R] : w(t, \sigma, \sigma') \leq r(t), \quad t \geq \tau_0].$$

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Assume that  $V \in C[R_+^2 \times R^n, R_+]$ ,  $V(t, \sigma, x)$  is locally Lipschitzian in  $x$ , and*

$$D_-V(t, \sigma, x - y) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} [V(t + h, \sigma(t + h), x - y + h(f(t, x) - f(\sigma(t), y)\sigma'(t)) - V(t, \sigma(t), x - y))] \leq g(t, V(t, \sigma(t), x - y), w(t, \sigma, \sigma')),$$

where  $g \in C[R_+^3, R]$  and  $g(t, u, v)$  is nondecreasing in  $v$  for each  $(t, u)$ . Then  $\sigma(\tau_0) = t_0$  and  $u_0 = V(\tau_0, \sigma(\tau_0), y_0 - x_0)$  implies

$$(3.4) \quad V(t, \sigma(t), x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)) \leq r(t, \tau_0, v(\tau_0, \sigma(\tau_0), y_0 - x_0))$$

for  $t \geq \tau_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x(t, \tau_0, y_0)$  and  $x_0(t, t_0, x_0)$  be the solutions of (1.1) through  $(\tau_0, y_0)$  and  $(t_0, x_0)$  existing on  $[\tau_0, \infty)$  and  $[t_0, \infty)$  respectively. We set  $m(t) = V(t, \sigma(t), x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0))$  for  $\sigma \in \Omega$ . Then the standard computation [1] yields the differential inequality

$$D_-m(t) \leq g(t, m(t), w(t, \sigma, \sigma')), \quad t \geq \tau_0.$$

Since  $\sigma \in \Omega$ , this implies because of the monotone character of  $g(t, u, v)$  in  $v$ , the inequality

$$D_-m(t) \leq g(t, m(t), r(t)), \quad t \geq \tau_0,$$

where  $r(t) = r(t, \tau_0, u_0)$  is the maximal solution of (3.1). Theorem 3.1 now gives the stated results proving the theorem.  $\square$

#### 4. Stability criteria

With the help of comparison theorems, we can now provide criteria for  $\tau_3$ ,  $\tau_4$  stabilities.

In  $\tau_1$ -topology, one can use the neighborhood consisting solely of the perfect clock  $\sigma(t) = t$  and consequently we get right away Lyapunov stability from the existing results.

In  $\tau_2$ -topology, we proceed as follows. We set  $B(t_0, x_0) = x_0([t_0, \infty) - \eta, t_0, x_0)$   $\eta = \tau_0 - t_0$ , and obtain using standard results, the stability of the set  $B(t_0, x_0)$  assuming that  $B(t_0, x_0)$  is closed, namely

$$d[y_0, B(t_0, x_0)] < \delta \quad \text{implies} \quad d[x(t, \tau_0, y_0), B(t_0, x_0)] < \epsilon, \quad t \geq \tau_0.$$

Since

$$d[x(t, \tau_0, y_0), B(t_0, x_0)] = \inf_{s \in [\tau_0, \infty)} |x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(s - \eta, t_0, x_0)|,$$

denoting the infimum for each  $t \geq \tau_0$  by  $s_t$  and defining  $\sigma(t) = s_t - \eta$  for each  $t > \tau_0$ , we see that  $\sigma \in E$  in  $\tau_2$ -topology. Thus, we obtain orbital stability of  $x_0(t, t_0, x_0)$  in terms of  $\tau_2$ -topology.

Next we shall provide criteria for  $\tau_3$ -stability.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Assume that condition (1) of Theorem 3.2 is satisfied. Suppose further that*

- (a)  $b(|x|) \leq V(t, \sigma, x) \leq a(t, \sigma, |x|)$ ,
- (b)  $d(|t - \sigma|) \leq w(t, \sigma, \sigma')$ , where  $b(\cdot)$ ,  $d(\cdot)$  and  $a(t, \sigma, \cdot) \in \mathcal{K}$ , and  $a \in C[R_+^3, R_+]$  and  $\mathcal{K} = [a \in C[R_+, R_+] : a(0) = 0 \text{ and } a(u) \text{ is increasing in } u]$ .

*Then the stability properties of the trivial solution of (3.1) imply the corresponding  $\tau_3$ -stability properties of (1.1) respectively.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0(t, t_0, x_0)$  be the given solution of (1.1) and let  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $t_0, \tau_0 \in R_+$  and a  $\tau_3$ -neighborhood of the perfect clock  $\sigma(t) = t$ , namely,  $N = [\sigma \in C^1[R_+, R_+] : |t - \sigma(t)| < \gamma, t \geq \tau_0]$  be given for some  $\gamma = \gamma(\epsilon) > 0$ . Assume that the trivial solution of (3.1) is stable. Then given  $b(\epsilon) > 0$  and  $\tau_0 \in R_+$  there exists a  $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\tau_0, \epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \leq u_0 < \delta_1 \text{ implies } u(t, \tau_0, u_0) < b(\epsilon), \quad t \geq \tau_0,$$

where  $u(t, \tau_0, u_0)$  is any solution of (3.1). Set  $u_0 = V(\tau_0, \sigma(\tau_0), y_0 - x_0)$ . Then choosing  $\delta = \delta(t_0, \tau_0, \epsilon) > 0$  and  $\eta = \eta(\epsilon) > 0$  satisfying

$$(4.2) \quad a(\tau_0, t_0, \delta) < \delta_1 \text{ and } \gamma = d^{-1}(b(\epsilon)),$$

we have, using (b) and the fact  $\sigma \in \Omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d[|t - \sigma(t)|] &\leq w(t, \sigma, \sigma') \leq r(t, \tau_0, V(\tau_0, \sigma(\tau_0), y_0 - x_0)) \\ &\leq r(t, \tau_0, \delta_1) < b(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

It then follows that  $|t - \sigma(t)| < \gamma$  and hence  $\sigma \in N$ . We now claim that whenever  $|y_0 - x_0| < \delta$  and  $\sigma \in N$ , it follows that

$$|x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)| < \epsilon, \quad t \geq \tau_0.$$

If not, there exist a solution  $x(t, \tau_0, y_0)$  of (1.1) and a  $t_1 > \tau_0$  such that

$$(4.3) \quad |x(t_1, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t_1), t_0, x_0)| = \epsilon \text{ and } |x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)| \leq \epsilon$$

for  $\tau_0 \leq t \leq t_1$ . Then by Theorem 3.2, we get

$$V(t, \sigma(t), x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)) \leq r(t, \tau_0, V(\tau_0, \sigma(\tau_0), y_0 - x_0))$$

for  $\tau_0 \leq t \leq t_1$ . It then follows from (a), (4.1) and (4.3),

$$\begin{aligned} b(\epsilon) &= b\left(|x(t_1, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t_1), t_0, x_0)|\right) \leq V(t_1, \sigma(t_1, \tau_0, y_0) \\ &\quad - x_0(\sigma(t_1), t_0, x_0)) \leq r(t_1, \tau_0, V(\tau_0, \sigma(\tau_0), y_0 - x_0)) \\ &\leq r(t_1, \tau_0, \delta_1) < b(\epsilon), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, which proves  $\tau_3$ -stability of (1.1).

Let us next suppose that the trivial solution of (3.1) is asymptotically stable. Then it is stable and given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\tau_0 \geq 0$ , there exist  $\delta_{01} = \delta_{01}(\tau_0) > 0$  and a  $T = T(\tau_0, \epsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(4.4) \quad 0 \leq u_0 < \delta_{01} \text{ implies } u(t, \tau_0, u_0) < b(\epsilon), \quad t \geq \tau_0 + T.$$

The  $\tau_3$ -stability gives taking  $\epsilon = \rho > 0$  and designating  $\delta_0 = \delta(t_0, \tau_0, \rho)$ ,

$$|y_0 - x_0| < \delta_0 \text{ implies } |x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)| < \rho, \quad t \geq \tau_0,$$

for every  $\sigma$  satisfying  $|t - \sigma(t)| < \gamma(\rho)$ . Thus, Theorem 3.2, we have

$$(4.5) \quad V(t, \sigma(t), x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)) \leq r(t, \tau_0, \delta_{10}), t \geq \tau_0.$$

Since by (4.4), we see that  $r(t, \tau_0, \delta_{10}) < b(\epsilon)$ ,  $t \geq \tau_0 + T$ , we get

$$d[|t - \sigma(t)|] \leq w(t, \sigma, \sigma') \leq r(t, \tau_0, \delta_{10}) < b(\epsilon), t \geq \tau_0 + T.$$

Thus  $|t - \sigma(t)| < d^{-1}(b(\epsilon)) = \gamma(\epsilon)$ ,  $t \geq \tau_0 + T$ . Hence there exists a  $\sigma \in N$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} b[|x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)|] &\leq V(t, \sigma(t), x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)) \\ &\leq r(t, \tau_0, \delta_{10}) < b(\epsilon), t \geq \tau_0 + T, \end{aligned}$$

which yields for  $\sigma \in N$ ,

$$|x(t, \tau_0, y_0) - x_0(\sigma(t), t_0, x_0)| < \epsilon, t \geq \tau_0 + T.$$

This completes the proof of  $\tau_3$ -asymptotic stability.  $\square$

To obtain sufficient conditions for  $\tau_4$ -stability, we need to make the following changes in Theorem 4.1.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let the conditions of Theorem 4.1 hold except that (b) is changed to*

$$(b^*) \quad d(|1 - \sigma'(t)|) \leq w(t, \sigma, \sigma'), d \in \mathcal{K}.$$

*Then the stability properties of the trivial solution of (3.1) imply the corresponding  $\tau_4$ -stability properties (1.1) respectively.*

One can construct the proof of Theorem 4.2 following the proof of Theorem 4.1. We omit the details.

For uniform stability concepts, we need to modify condition (a) of Theorem 4.1 as follows:

$$(a^*) \quad b(|x|) \leq V(t, \sigma, x) \leq a_0(|x|) + a_1(|t - \sigma|), a_0, a, b \in \mathcal{K}.$$

**REMARK.** The function  $g(t, u, v) = -\sigma u + \lambda v$ ,  $\lambda - \alpha = \beta > 0$  is admissible to give  $r(t) = u_0 e^{-\beta(t-\tau_0)}$ , which yields  $\tau_3$ -exponential asymptotic stability by Theorem 4.1. Also, the function  $g(t, u, v) = \lambda(t)v$ ,  $\lambda \in L^1[R_+, R_+]$  is admissible to give  $r(t) = u_0 \exp[\int_{\tau_0}^t \lambda(s) ds] \leq u_0 e^q$ , where  $\int_0^\infty \lambda(s) ds \leq q$ . Thus we get  $\tau_3$ -stability from Theorem 4.1.

### References

- [1] Lakshmikantham, V. and Leela, S., *Differential and Integral Inequalities*, Academic Press I (1996).
- [2] Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S. and Martynyuk, A. A., *Stability Analysis of Nonlinear Systems*, Marcel Dekker, 1989.
- [3] ———, *Practical Stability of Nonlinear Systems*, World Scientific, 1990.
- [4] Lakshmikantham, V. and Liu, X., *Stability Analysis in Terms of Two Measures*, World Scientific, 1993.
- [5] Lakshmikantham, V., Matrosov, V. M., and Sivasundaram, S., *Vector Lyapunov Functions and Stability Analysis*, Kluwer Academic Publications, 1991.
- [6] Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S., and Vasundhara Devi, J., *Stability criteria for solutions of differential equations relative to initial time difference*, J. Nonlinear Diff. Eqns. to appear.
- [7] Lakshmikantham, V. and Vatsala, A. S., *Differential inequalities with initial time difference*, J. Inequalities and Appl. to appear.
- [8] Lakshmikantham, V., Leela, S., and Vasundhara Devi, J., *Another approach to the theory of differential inequalities relative to changes in initial time*, to appear.
- [9] Messera, J. L., *The meaning of stability*, Bol. Fac. Ingen. Agrimens. Montevideo **8** (1964), 405–429.
- [10] Matrosov, V. M., et al., *Vector Lyapunov Functions in Stability Theory*, World Federation Publishers, 1996.

Florida Institute of Technology  
Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Melbourne, FL 32901  
USA