

RESULTS ON THE RANGE OF DERIVATIONS

YONG-SOO JUNG

ABSTRACT. Let D be a derivation on a Banach algebra A . Suppose that $[[D(x), x], D(x)]$ lies in the nil radical of A for all $x \in A$. Then $D(A)$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of A .

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper A will represent an algebra over a complex field \mathbb{C} . The Jacobson radical of A and the nil radical of A will be denoted by $rad(A)$ and $nil(A)$, respectively. We also write $[x, y]$ for the commutator $xy - yx$. Let I be any closed (2-sided) ideal of a Banach algebra A . Then we will let Q_I denote the canonical quotient map from A onto A/I . Recall that an algebra A is prime if $aAb = \{0\}$ implies that either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. A linear mapping D from A to A is called a derivation if $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ holds for all $x, y \in A$.

The Singer-Wermer theorem ([6]) states that every continuous derivation on a commutative Banach algebra has its image in the Jacobson radical. They conjectured that the theorem remains true without assuming the continuity of a derivation in the same paper, which is called the Singer-Wermer conjecture. In 1988 Thomas proved the conjecture ([7]). The so-called noncommutative Singer-Wermer conjecture states that every derivation D on a Banach algebra A such that $[D(x), x] \in rad(A)$ for all $x \in A$ has its image in $rad(A)$. As an evidence for the validity of the conjecture, Mathieu showed that the above conclusion holds if the condition $[D(x), x] \in rad(A)$ for all $x \in A$ is replaced by the condition $[D(x), x] \in nil(A)$ for all $x \in A$ ([4, Theorem 1]). It is the purpose of this paper to show that the condition

Received February 9, 1999.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46H05, 47B47.

Key words and phrases: derivation, prime algebra, Banach algebra, nil radical, Jacobson radical.

$[[D(x), x], D(x)] \in \text{nil}(A)$ for all $x \in A$ also guarantees the range inclusion of a derivation D .

2. Results

For the proof of our main theorem, we need the algebraic ingredient below.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let D be a derivation on a noncommutative prime algebra A . Suppose that $[[D(x), x], D(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in A$. Then $D = 0$ on A .*

In the proof of Lemma 2.1 we will use the next result: A nonzero derivation D of a noncommutative prime algebra A cannot satisfy either $[D(x), x]^2 = 0$ for all $x \in A$ (see the proof in [1, Theorem 3]) or $[D(x), x]D(x) = 0$ for all $x \in A$ ([9, Lemma]).

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Suppose that

$$(1) \quad [[D(x), x], D(x)] = 0$$

holds for all $x \in A$. The linearization of (1) leads to

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 = & 2D(x)yD(x) + 2D(y)xD(x) + 2D(y)yD(x) - xD(y)D(x) \\ & - yD(x)^2 - yD(y)D(x) - D(x)^2y - D(x)D(y)x \\ & - D(x)D(y)y + 2D(x)xD(y) + 2D(x)yD(y) + 2D(y)xD(y) \\ & - xD(x)D(y) - xD(y)^2 - yD(x)D(y) - D(y)D(x)x \\ & - D(y)D(x)y - D(y)^2x, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting $-y$ for y in (2) we obtain by comparing this new result with (2) that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} & D(x)^2y + D(x)D(y)x + D(y)D(x)x - 2D(x)xD(y) \\ & - 2D(x)yD(x) - 2D(y)xD(x) + xD(x)D(y) + xD(y)D(x) \\ & + yD(x)^2 = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Taking xy for y in (3) we then get

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x)^2xy + D(x)xD(y)x + D(x)^2yx + xD(y)D(x)x \\ & + D(x)yD(x)x - 2D(x)x^2D(y) - 2D(x)xD(x)y - 2D(x)xyD(x) \\ & - 2xD(y)xD(x) - 2D(x)yxD(x) + xD(x)xD(y) + xD(x)^2y \\ & + x^2D(y)D(x) + xD(x)yD(x) + xyD(x)^2 = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (1) this relation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & D(x)xD(y)x + D(x)^2yx + xD(y)D(x)x + D(x)yD(x)x \\ (4) \quad & - 2D(x)x^2D(y) - 2D(x)xyD(x) - 2xD(y)xD(x) \\ & - 2D(x)yxD(x) + xD(x)xD(y) + x^2D(y)D(x) + xD(x)yD(x) \\ & + xyD(x)^2 = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

The multiplication of (3) from the left by x gives

$$\begin{aligned} & xD(x)^2y + xD(x)D(y)x + xD(y)D(x)x - 2xD(x)xD(y) \\ (5) \quad & - 2xD(x)yD(x) - 2xD(y)xD(x) + x^2D(x)D(y) + x^2D(y)D(x) \\ & + xyD(x)^2 = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (5) from (4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & -xD(x)^2y + [D(x), x]D(y)x + D(x)^2yx \\ (6) \quad & + D(x)y(D(x)x - 2xD(x)) + (x[D(x), x] - 2[D(x), x]x)D(y) \\ & + (3xD(x) - 2D(x)x)yD(x) = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing y by yx in (6), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} & -xD(x)^2yx + [D(x), x]D(y)x^2 + [D(x), x]yD(x)x + D(x)^2yx^2 \\ (7) \quad & + D(x)y(xD(x)x - 2x^2D(x)) + (x[D(x), x] - 2[D(x), x]x)D(y)x \\ & + (x[D(x), x] - 2[D(x), x]x)yD(x) + (3xD(x) - 2D(x)x)yxD(x) \\ & = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

The multiplication of (6) from the right by x gives

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} & -xD(x)^2yx + [D(x), x]D(y)x^2 + D(x)^2yx^2 \\ & + D(x)y(D(x)x^2 - 2xD(x)x) + (x[D(x), x] - 2[D(x), x]x)D(y)x \\ & + (3xD(x) - 2D(x)x)yD(x)x = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (8) from (7), we obtain

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & [D(x), x]yD(x)x + D(x)y(3xD(x)x - D(x)x^2 \\ & - 2x^2D(x)) + (x[D(x), x] - 2[D(x), x]x)yD(x) \\ & + (2D(x)x - 3xD(x))y[D(x), x] = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $D(x)y$ instead of y in (9), we have

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & [D(x), x]D(x)yD(x)x + D(x)^2y(3xD(x)x - D(x)x^2 \\ & - 2x^2D(x)) + (x[D(x), x] - 2[D(x), x]x)D(x)yD(x) \\ & + (2D(x)x - 3xD(x))D(x)y[D(x), x] = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

The multiplication of (9) from the left by $D(x)$ gives

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} & D(x)[D(x), x]yD(x)x + D(x)^2y(3xD(x)x - D(x)x^2 \\ & - 2x^2D(x)) + D(x)(x[D(x), x] - 2[D(x), x]x)yD(x) \\ & + D(x)(2D(x)x - 3xD(x))y[D(x), x] = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (11) from (10), we obtain

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} & [[D(x), x], D(x)]yD(x)x + [x[D(x), x] - 2[D(x), x]x, D(x)] \\ & \cdot yD(x) + [2D(x)x - 3xD(x), D(x)]y[D(x), x] = 0, \quad x, y \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Calculating the relation (12) according to (1), we have

$$(13) \quad [D(x), x]^2yD(x) + [D(x), x]D(x)y[D(x), x] = 0, \quad x, y \in A.$$

Substituting $y[D(x), x]$ for y in (13), we arrive at

$$(14) \quad [D(x), x]^2y[D(x), x]D(x) + [D(x), x]D(x)y[D(x), x]^2 = 0, \quad x, y \in A.$$

Replacing y by $y[D(x), x]^2z$, we get

$$(15) \quad [D(x), x]^2y[D(x), x]^2z[D(x), x]D(x) + [D(x), x]D(x)y[D(x), x]^2z[D(x), x]^2 = 0, \quad x, y, z \in A.$$

By (14), since

$$[D(x), x]^2z[D(x), x]D(x) = -[D(x), x]D(x)z[D(x), x]^2$$

and

$$[D(x), x]D(x)y[D(x), x]^2 = -[D(x), x]^2y[D(x), x]D(x),$$

the relation (15) implies that

$$[D(x), x]^2y[D(x), x]D(x)z[D(x), x]^2 = 0, \quad x, y, z \in A.$$

Since A is prime, it follows that for any $x \in A$, either $[D(x), x]^2 = 0$ or $[D(x), x]D(x) = 0$. Thus A is the union of its subsets $B = \{x \in A : [D(x), x]^2 = 0\}$ and $C = \{x \in A : [D(x), x]D(x) = 0\}$. Suppose that $D \neq 0$. The two results in [1, Theorem 3] and [9, Lemma], respectively, then tell us that $B \neq A$ and $C \neq A$. Hence there exist $x, y \in A$ such that $x \notin B$ and $y \notin C$. Thus $x \in C$ and $y \in B$. Now consider $x + \lambda y$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Then we see that either $x + \lambda y \in B$ or $x + \lambda y \in C$. If $x + \lambda y \in B$, then we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & [D(x), x]^2 \\ & + \lambda\{[D(x), x][D(x), y] + [D(x), y][D(x), x] \\ & + [D(x), x][D(y), x] + [D(y), x][D(x), x]\} \\ & + \lambda^2\{[D(x), y]^2 + [D(x), x][D(y), y] \\ & + [D(x), y][D(y), x] + [D(y), x][D(x), y] \\ & + [D(y), y][D(x), x] + [D(y), x]^2\} + \lambda^3\{[D(x), y][D(y), y] \\ & + [D(y), y][D(x), y] + [D(y), x][D(y), y] \\ & + [D(y), y][D(y), x]\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Also, if $x + \lambda y \in C$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \lambda\{[D(x), x]D(y) + [D(x), y]D(x) + [D(y), x]D(x)\} \\
 (17) \quad & + \lambda^2\{[D(x), y]D(y) + [D(y), x]D(y) + [D(y), y]D(x)\} \\
 & + \lambda^3[D(y), y]D(y) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ one of these two possibilities holds. But either (16) has more than three solutions or (17) has more than three solutions. And this contradicts the assumption that $[D(x), x]^2 \neq 0$ and $[D(y), y]D(y) \neq 0$. This proves the theorem. \square

The following lemma can be referred in [8, Lemma 1.2].

LEMMA 2.2. *Let D be a derivation on a Banach algebra A and J a primitive ideal of A . If there exists a real constant $K > 0$ such that $\|Q_J D^n\| \leq K^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $D(J) \subseteq J$.*

Now we prove our main theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let D be a derivation on a Banach algebra A . Suppose that $[[D(x), x], D(x)] \in \text{nil}(A)$ for all $x \in A$. Then $D(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$.*

Proof. Let J be any primitive ideal of A . Using Zorn's lemma, we find a minimal prime ideal P contained in J , and hence $D(P) \subseteq P$. Suppose first that P is closed. Then a derivation D on A induces a derivation \bar{D} on a Banach algebra A/P defined by $\bar{D}(x + P) = D(x) + P$ ($x \in A$). In case A/P is commutative, $\bar{D}(A/P)$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of A/P by [7]. In case A/P is noncommutative, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\bar{D} = 0$ on A/P since A/P is prime and $[[\bar{D}(x + P), x + P], \bar{D}(x + P)] = P$ for all $x \in A$. In both cases, $\bar{D}(A/P) \subseteq J/P$. Consequently we see that $D(A) \subseteq J$. If P is not closed, then we see that $S(D) \subset P$ by [2, Lemma 2.3] (where $S(T)$ is the separating space of a linear operator T). Then we have, by [5, Lemma 1.3], $S(Q_{\bar{P}}D) = \overline{Q_{\bar{P}}(S(D))} = \{0\}$ whence $Q_{\bar{P}}D$ is continuous. As a result, $Q_{\bar{P}}D(\bar{P}) = \{0\}$ on A/\bar{P} , that is, $D(\bar{P}) \subseteq \bar{P}$. Hence, from a derivation D on A , we can also induce a continuous derivation \tilde{D} on a Banach algebra A/\bar{P} defined by $\tilde{D}(x + \bar{P}) = D(x) + \bar{P}$ ($x \in A$). This shows that we can define a map

$$\Phi \tilde{D}^n Q_{\bar{P}} : A \rightarrow A/\bar{P} \rightarrow A/\bar{P} \rightarrow A/J$$

by $\Phi \tilde{D}^n Q_{\bar{P}}(x) = Q_J D^n(x)$ ($x \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$), where Φ is the canonical inclusion map from A/\bar{P} onto A/J (which exists since $\bar{P} \subseteq J$). We therefore conclude that $\|Q_J D^n\| \leq \|\tilde{D}\|^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since the other maps are norm depressing. By Lemma 2.2, we see that $D(J) \subseteq J$. Then a derivation D on A induces a derivation \hat{D} on a Banach algebra A/J defined by $\hat{D}(x + J) = D(x) + J$ ($x \in A$). The remainder follows the similar argument to the case P is closed since the primitive algebra A/J is prime. So we obtain that $D(A) \subseteq J$. It follows that $D(A) \subseteq J$ for every primitive ideal J , that is, $D(A) \subseteq \text{rad}(A)$. We complete the proof. \square

REMARK. Combining the techniques used in Theorem 2.3 with a paper by Lanski ([3]), we see that Mathieu's condition $[D(x), x] \in \text{nil}(A)$ for all $x \in A$ can be replaced by the condition of some higher commutator

$$[[\cdots [[D(x), x], x] \cdots, x] \in \text{nil}(A)$$

for all $x \in A$.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let D be a derivation on a semisimple Banach algebra A . Suppose that $[[D(x), x], D(x)] = 0$ for all $x \in A$. Then $D = 0$ on A .*

References

- [1] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, *Derivations of noncommutative Banach algebras*, Arch. Math. **59** (1992), 363-370.
- [2] J. Cusack, *Automatic continuity and topologically simple radical Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc.(2) **16** (1977), 493-500.
- [3] C. Lanski, *An Engel condition with derivation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 731-734.
- [4] M. Mathieu, *Where to find the image of a derivation*, Banach Center Publ. **30** (1994), 237-249.
- [5] A. M. Sinclair, *Automatic continuity of linear operators*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **21** (1976).
- [6] I. M. Singer and J. Wermer, *Derivations on commutative normed algebras*, Math. Ann. **129** (1955), 260-264.
- [7] M. P. Thomas, *The image of a derivation is contained in the radical*, Ann. of Math. **128** (1988), 435-460.
- [8] ———, *Primitive ideals and derivations on non-commutative Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **159** (1993), 139-152.

Yong-Soo Jung

- [9] J. Vukman, *A result concerning derivations in noncommutative Banach algebras*, Glas. Mat. **26** (1991), 83-88.

YONG-SOO JUNG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEJON 305-764, KOREA