

HOM AND EXT FUNCTORS OF GENERALIZED INVERSE POLYNOMIAL MODULES

CHANGWOO HAN, SANGWON PARK AND EUNHA CHO

ABSTRACT Northcott and McKerrow proved that if R is a left noetherian ring and E is an injective left R -module, then $E[x^{-1}]$ is an injective left $R[x]$ -module. Park generalize Northcott and McKerrow's result so that if R is a left noetherian ring and E is an injective left R -module, then $E[x^{-S}]$ is an injective left $R[x^S]$ -module, where S is a submonoid of \mathbb{N} (\mathbb{N} is the set of all natural numbers). In this paper we show

$$\text{Hom}_{R[x^S]}(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N)[[x^S]]$$

and using the above result and this isomorphism, finally we show that

$$\text{Ext}'_{R[x^S]}(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Ext}'_R(M, N)[[x^S]]$$

1. Introduction

Northcott [3] considered the module $K[x^{-1}]$ of inverse polynomial over the polynomial ring $K[x]$ (with K a field), and Northcott and McKerrow [1] proved that if R is a left noetherian ring and E is an injective left R -module, then $E[x^{-1}]$ is an injective left $R[x]$ -module. In [6] Park generalize Northcott and McKerrow's result so that if R is a left noetherian ring and E is an injective left R -module, then $E[x^{-S}]$

Received February 15, 2000. Revised May 1, 2000.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 16E30, 13C11, 16D80.

Key words and phrases: Inverse Polynomial module, Injective Module.

is an injective left $R[x^S]$ -module, where S is a submonoid of N (N is the set of all natural numbers). In this paper we prove

$$\text{Hom}_{R[x^S]}(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N)[[x^S]]$$

and by using this isomorphism and the above result we show

$$\text{Ext}_{R[x^S]}^i(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)[[x^S]].$$

Inverse polynomial modules were developed in [4] [5] and recently in [2].

DEFINITION 1.1. Let R be a ring and M be a left R -module. then $M[x^{-1}]$ is a left $R[x]$ -module such that

$$x(m_0 + m_1x^{-1} + \dots + m_nx^{-n}) = m_1 + m_2x^{-1} + \dots + m_nx^{-n-1}$$

and

$$r(m_0 + m_1x^{-1} + \dots + m_nx^{-n}) = rm_0 + rm_1x^{-1} + \dots + rm_nx^{-n}$$

where $r \in R$.

Similarly, we also can define $M[[x^{-1}]]$, $M[x, x^{-1}]$, $M\{x, x^{-1}\}$, and also $M[[x, x^{-1}]]$ as left $R[x]$ -modules where, for example, $M\{x, x^{-1}\}$ is the set of Laurent series in x with coefficients in M , i.e., the set of all formal sums $\sum_{k \geq n_0} m_k x^k$ with n_0 any element of Z (Z is the set of all integers).

DEFINITION 1 2. Let R be a ring and M be a left R -module. and $S = \{0, k_1, k_2, \dots\}$ be a submonoid of N (N is the set of all natural numbers), then $M[x^{-S}]$ is a left $R[x^S]$ -module such that

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{k_i}(m_0 + m_1x^{-k_1} + m_2x^{-k_2} \dots + m_nx^{-k_n}) \\ &= m_1x^{-k_1+k_i} + m_2x^{-k_2+k_i} + \dots + m_nx^{-k_n+k_i} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$x^{-k_j+k_i} = \begin{cases} x^{-k_j+k_i}, & \text{if } k_j - k_i \in S \\ 0, & \text{if } k_j - k_i \notin S. \end{cases}$$

For example, if $S = \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$, then $m_0 + m_2x^{-2} + m_3x^{-3} + \dots + m_nx^{-n} \in M[x^{-S}]$ and if $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, \dots\}$, then $M[x^{-S}] = M[x^{-1}]$.

Similarly, we can define $M[[x^{-S}]]$ as a left $R[x^S]$ -module.

THEOREM 1.3. [6] *Let R be a commutative noetherian ring and S be a submonoid, and E be an injective left R -module. Then $E[x^{-S}]$ is an injective left $R[x^S]$ -module.*

PROOF. Let $S = \{0, k_1, k_2, \dots\}$ be a submonoid. Then

$$\text{Hom}_R(R[x^S], E) \cong E[[x^{-S}]]$$

is an injective left $R[x^S]$ -module. Define $\phi : E[[x^{-S}]] \rightarrow E[[x^{-S}]]$ by

$$\phi(f) = x^{k_1} f$$

for $f \in E[[x^{-S}]]$, then ϕ is not locally nilpotent on $E[[x^{-S}]]$. So $E[[x^{-S}]]$ is not an essential extension of $\text{Ker}(\phi)$. Let \bar{E} be an injective envelope of $\text{Ker}(\phi)$, then

$$\text{Ker}(\phi) \subset \bar{E} \subset E[[x^{-S}]].$$

Then the restriction $\phi|_{\bar{E}} : \bar{E} \rightarrow \bar{E}$, is locally nilpotent on \bar{E} . So $\bar{E} \subset E[x^{-S}]$. But $E[x^{-S}]$ is an essential extension of $\text{Ker}(\phi)$, so that $E[x^{-S}]$ is an essential extension of \bar{E} . Therefore, $\bar{E} = E[x^{-S}]$. Hence, $E[x^{-S}]$ is an injective left $R[x^S]$ -module.

2. Hom functor of generalized inverse polynomial modules

LEMMA 2.1. *Let M and N be left R -modules and let $S = \{0, k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots\}$ be a submonoid. If $\phi : M[x^{-S}] \rightarrow N[x^{-S}]$ is a $R[x^S]$ -linear map, then $\phi(M + Mx^{-k_1} + Mx^{-k_2} + \dots + Mx^{-k_i}) \subset N + Nx^{-k_1} + Nx^{-k_2} + \dots + Nx^{-k_i}$.*

PROOF Let $m_0 + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2} + \dots + m_{k_i}x^{-k_i}$ be an element of $M + Mx^{-k_1} + Mx^{-k_2} + \dots + Mx^{-k_i}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(m_0 + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2} + \dots + m_{k_i}x^{-k_i}) \\ &= n_0 + n_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + n_{k_2}x^{-k_2} + \dots + n_{k_i}x^{-k_i} \\ &\quad + n_{k_{i+1}}x^{-k_{i+1}} + \dots + n_{k_j}x^{-k_j}, \end{aligned}$$

and without loss of generality, we assume that $j \geq i$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x^{k_i}(m_0 + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2} + \cdots + m_{k_i}x^{-k_i})) \\ = \phi(m_{k_i}) \in N. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} x^{k_i}(\phi(m_0 + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2} + \cdots + m_{k_i}x^{-k_i})) \\ = x^{k_i}(n_0 + n_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + n_{k_2}x^{-k_2} + \cdots + n_{k_i}x^{-k_i} \\ + n_{k_{i+1}}x^{-k_{i+1}} + \cdots + n_{k_j}x^{-k_j}) \\ = n_{k_i} + \alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha = n_{k_{i+1}}x^{-k_{i+1}+k_i} + \cdots + n_{k_j}x^{-k_j+k_i}$. Since $\phi(m_{k_i}) = n_{k_i} + \alpha$ and n_{k_i} is an element of N , all coefficients of α have to be zero. Therefore,

$$\phi(M + Mx^{-k_1} + \cdots + Mx^{-k_i}) \subset N + Nx^{-k_1} + \cdots + Nx^{-k_i}.$$

THEOREM 2.2. *Let M and N be left R -modules, and let $S = \{0, k_1, k_2, \dots\}$ be a submonoid. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{R[x^S]}(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N)[[x^S]].$$

PROOF. Let $\sigma : M[x^{-S}] \rightarrow N[x^{-S}]$ be a $R[x^S]$ -linear map, and

$$M[x^{-S}] = M \oplus Mx^{-k_1} \oplus Mx^{-k_2} \oplus \cdots,$$

$$N[x^{-S}] = N \oplus Nx^{-k_1} \oplus Nx^{-k_2} \oplus \cdots.$$

Then

$$\sigma(M + Mx^{-k_1} + \cdots + Mx^{-k_i}) \subset N + Nx^{-k_1} + \cdots + Nx^{-k_i},$$

by Lemma 2.1.

Define $\phi_{k_i k_j} = \pi_j \sigma \iota_i : Mx^{-k_i} \rightarrow Nx^{-k_j}$, where $\iota_i : Mx^{-k_i} \rightarrow M[x^{-S}]$ the i^{th} inclusion and $\pi_j : N[x^{-S}] \rightarrow Nx^{-k_j}$ the j^{th} projection map.

If we let

$$N + N_{x^{-k_1}} + N_{x^{-k_2}} \mid \sigma \mid_{M + M_{x^{-k_1}} + M_{x^{-k_2}}} \\ = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{k_0 k_0} & \phi_{k_0 k_1} & \phi_{k_0 k_2} \\ \phi_{k_1 k_0} & \phi_{k_1 k_1} & \phi_{k_1 k_2} \\ \phi_{k_2 k_0} & \phi_{k_2 k_1} & \phi_{k_2 k_2} \end{pmatrix},$$

then $\phi_{k_0 k_1} = \phi_{k_0 k_2} = \phi_{k_1 k_2} = 0$, and since

$$\sigma\{x^{k_2}(m_0 + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2})\} = \sigma(m_{k_2}) = \phi_{k_0 k_0}(m_{k_2})$$

and

$$x^{k_2}\{\sigma(m_0 + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2})\} \\ = x^{k_2}(\phi_{k_0 k_0}(m_0) + \phi_{k_1 k_0}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_2 k_0}(m_{k_2}) \\ + \phi_{k_1 k_1}(m_{k_1})x^{-k_1} + \phi_{k_2 k_1}(m_{k_2})x^{-k_1} + \phi_{k_2 k_2}(m_{k_2})x^{-k_2}) \\ = \phi_{k_2 k_2}(m_{k_2}),$$

so we have $\phi_{k_0 k_0} = \phi_{k_1 k_1} = \phi_{k_2 k_2}$. And

$$\sigma\{x^{k_1}(m_0 + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2})\} = \sigma(m_{k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2+k_1}) \\ = \phi_{k_0 k_0}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_1 k_0}(m_{k_2}) + \phi_{k_1 k_1}(m_{k_2})x^{-k_2+k_1},$$

$$x^{k_1}\{\sigma(m_0 + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2})\} \\ = x^{k_1}(\phi_{k_0 k_0}(m_0) + \phi_{k_1 k_0}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_2 k_0}(m_{k_2}) \\ + \phi_{k_1 k_1}(m_{k_1})x^{-k_1} + \phi_{k_2 k_1}(m_{k_2})x^{-k_1} + \phi_{k_2 k_2}(m_{k_2})x^{-k_2}) \\ = \phi_{k_1 k_1}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_2 k_1}(m_{k_2}) + \phi_{k_2 k_2}(m_{k_2})x^{-k_2+k_1}.$$

We have $\phi_{k_2 k_1} = \phi_{k_1 k_0}$. So let

$$f_{k_0} = \phi_{k_0 k_0} = \phi_{k_1 k_1} = \phi_{k_2 k_2}$$

$$f_{k_1} = \phi_{k_1 k_0} = \phi_{k_2 k_1}$$

$$f_{k_2} = \phi_{k_2 k_0}.$$

If we let

$$N + Nx^{-k_1} + \dots + Nx^{-k_i} \mid \sigma \mid M + Mx^{-k_1} + \dots + Mx^{-k_i}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{k_0 k_0} & \phi_{k_0 k_1} & \dots & \phi_{k_0 k_i} \\ \phi_{k_1 k_0} & \phi_{k_1 k_1} & \dots & \phi_{k_1 k_i} \\ \phi_{k_2 k_0} & \phi_{k_2 k_1} & \dots & \phi_{k_2 k_i} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \phi_{k_i k_0} & \phi_{k_i k_1} & \dots & \phi_{k_i k_i} \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\phi_{k_0 k_1} = \phi_{k_0 k_2} = \dots = \phi_{k_0 k_i} = \phi_{k_1 k_2} = \dots = \phi_{k_1 k_i} = \dots = \phi_{k_{i-1} k_i} = 0,$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma \{ x^{k_i} (m_0 + m_{k_1} x^{-k_1} + \dots + m_{k_i} x^{-k_i}) \} \\ & = \sigma(m_{k_i}) = \phi_{k_0 k_0}(m_{k_i}), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{k_i} \{ \sigma(m_0 + m_{k_1} x^{-k_1} + \dots + m_{k_i} x^{-k_i}) \} \\ & = x^{k_i} \{ (\phi_{k_0 k_0}(m_0) + \phi_{k_1 k_0}(m_{k_1}) + \dots + \phi_{k_i k_0}(m_{k_i})) \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_1 k_1}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_2 k_1}(m_{k_2}) + \dots + \phi_{k_i k_1}(m_{k_i})) x^{-k_1} \\ & \quad + \dots \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_{i-1} k_{i-1}}(m_{k_{i-1}}) + \phi_{k_i k_{i-1}}(m_{k_i})) x^{-k_{i-1}} \\ & \quad + \phi_{k_i k_i}(m_{k_i}) x^{-k_i} \} \\ & = \phi_{k_i k_i}(m_{k_i}), \end{aligned}$$

we have $\phi_{k_0 k_0} = \phi_{k_1 k_1} = \dots = \phi_{k_i k_i}$. And

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma\{x^{k_1}(m_{k_0} + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \dots + m_{k_i}x^{-k_i})\} \\ &= \sigma(m_{k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2+k_1} + \dots + m_{k_i}x^{-k_i+k_1}) \\ &= (\phi_{k_0 k_0}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_1 k_0}(m_{k_2}) + \dots + \phi_{(k_i-k_1)(k_0)}(m_{k_i})) \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_1 k_1}(m_{k_2}) + \phi_{k_2 k_1}(m_{k_3}) + \dots + \phi_{(k_i-k_1)(k_1)}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_2+k_1} \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_2 k_2}(m_{k_3}) + \phi_{k_3 k_2}(m_{k_4}) + \dots + \phi_{(k_i-k_1)(k_2)}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_3+k_1} \\ & \quad + \dots \\ & \quad + (\phi_{(k_{i-1}-k_1)(k_{i-1}-k_1)}(m_{k_{i-1}}) + \phi_{(k_i-k_1)(k_{i-1}-k_1)}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_{i-1}+k_1} \\ & \quad + \phi_{(k_i-k_1)(k_i-k_1)}(m_{k_i})x^{-k_i+k_1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{k_1}\{\sigma(m_{k_0} + m_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + m_{k_2}x^{-k_2} + \dots + m_{k_i}x^{-k_i})\} \\ &= x^{k_1}\{(\phi_{k_0 k_0}(m_{k_0}) + \phi_{k_1 k_0}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_2 k_0}(m_{k_2}) + \dots + \phi_{k_i k_0}(m_{k_i})) \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_1 k_1}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_2 k_1}(m_{k_2}) + \dots + \phi_{k_i k_1}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_1} \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_2 k_2}(m_{k_2}) + \phi_{k_3 k_2}(m_{k_3}) + \dots + \phi_{k_i k_2}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_2} \\ & \quad + \dots \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_{i-1} k_{i-1}}(m_{k_{i-1}}) + \phi_{k_i k_{i-1}}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_{i-1}} \\ & \quad + \phi_{k_i k_i}(m_{k_i})x^{-k_i}\} \\ &= (\phi_{k_1 k_1}(m_{k_1}) + \phi_{k_2 k_1}(m_{k_2}) + \dots + \phi_{k_i k_1}(m_{k_i})) \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_2 k_2}(m_{k_2}) + \phi_{k_3 k_2}(m_{k_3}) + \dots + \phi_{k_i k_2}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_2+k_1} \\ & \quad + \dots \\ & \quad + (\phi_{k_{i-1} k_{i-1}}(m_{k_{i-1}}) + \phi_{k_i k_{i-1}}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_{i-1}+k_1} \\ & \quad + \phi_{k_i k_i}(m_{k_i})x^{-k_i+k_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k_0 k_0} &= \phi_{k_1 k_1} = \dots = \phi_{k_i k_i}, \\ \phi_{k_1 k_0} &= \phi_{k_2 k_1} = \dots = \phi_{k_i k_{i-1}}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{k_2 k_0} &= \phi_{k_3 k_1} = \cdots = \phi_{k_i k_{i-2}}, \\ \phi_{k_3 k_0} &= \phi_{k_4 k_1} = \cdots = \phi_{k_i k_{i-3}}, \\ &\vdots \\ \phi_{k_{i-1} k_0} &= \phi_{k_i k_1}. \end{aligned}$$

So let

$$\begin{aligned} f_{k_0} &= \phi_{k_0 k_0} = \phi_{k_1 k_1} = \cdots = \phi_{k_i k_i}, \\ f_{k_1} &= \phi_{k_1 k_0} = \phi_{k_2 k_1} = \cdots = \phi_{k_i k_{i-1}}, \\ f_{k_2} &= \phi_{k_2 k_0} = \phi_{k_3 k_1} = \cdots = \phi_{k_i k_{i-2}}, \\ f_{k_3} &= \phi_{k_3 k_0} = \phi_{k_4 k_1} = \cdots = \phi_{k_i k_{i-3}}, \\ &\vdots \\ f_{k_{i-1}} &= \phi_{k_{i-1} k_0} = \phi_{k_i k_1}. \end{aligned}$$

By the same process we can choose f_{k_3}, f_{k_4}, \dots . Then

$$f_{k_0} + f_{k_1} x^{k_1} + f_{k_2} x^{k_2} + \cdots \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)[[x^S]].$$

Conversely given

$$f_{k_0} + f_{k_1} x^{k_1} + f_{k_2} x^{k_2} + \cdots \in \text{Hom}_R(M, N)[[x^S]],$$

define $\sigma \in \text{Hom}_{R[[x^S]]}(M[[x^{-S}]], N[[x^{-S}]])$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma(m_0 + m_{k_1} x^{-k_1} + \cdots + m_{k_i} x^{-k_i}) \\ &= (f_{k_0} + f_{k_1} x^{k_1} + f_{k_2} x^{k_2} + \cdots)(m_0 + m_{k_1} x^{-k_1} + \cdots + m_{k_i} x^{-k_i}) \\ &= f_{k_0}(m_0) + f_{k_0}(m_{k_1}) x^{-k_1} + f_{k_0}(m_{k_2}) x^{-k_2} \\ &\quad + \cdots + f_{k_0}(m_{k_i}) x^{-k_i} \\ &\quad + f_{k_1}(m_{k_1}) + f_{k_1}(m_{k_2}) x^{-k_2+k_1} + f_{k_1}(m_{k_3}) x^{-k_3+k_1} \\ &\quad + \cdots + f_{k_1}(m_{k_i}) x^{-k_i+k_1} \\ &\quad + f_{k_2}(m_{k_2}) + f_{k_2}(m_{k_3}) x^{-k_3+k_2} + f_{k_2}(m_{k_4}) x^{-k_4+k_2} \\ &\quad + \cdots + f_{k_2}(m_{k_i}) x^{-k_i+k_2} \\ &\quad + \cdots \cdots \cdots \\ &\quad + f_{k_{i-1}}(m_{k_{i-1}}) + f_{k_{i-1}}(m_{k_i}) x^{-k_i+k_{i-1}} + f_{k_i}(m_{k_i}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{cases} f_{k_\alpha}(m_{k_\beta})x^{-k_\beta+k_\alpha} = 0, & \text{if } -k_\beta - k_\alpha \notin -S \\ f_{k_\alpha}(m_{k_\beta})x^{-k_\beta+k_\alpha} = f_{k_\alpha}(m_{k_\beta})x^{-k_\beta+k_\alpha}, & \text{if } -k_\beta + k_\alpha \in -S \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (f_{k_0}(m_0) + f_{k_1}(m_{k_1}) + \dots + f_{k_i}(m_{k_i})) + (f_{k_0}(m_{k_1}) - S.O.C.)x^{-k_1} \\ &\quad + (f_{k_0}(m_{k_2}) + S.O.C.)x^{-k_2} + \dots + (f_{k_0}(m_{k_i}))x^{-k_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now σ is a $R[x^S]$ -linear map. And, easily, σ is a group homomorphism. Hence .

$$\text{Hom}_{R[x^S]}(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M, N)[[x^S]].$$

3. Ext functor of generalized inverse polynomial module

THEOREM 3.1. *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow L \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N \longrightarrow 0$$

be a short exact sequence of R -modules. Then there exists a short exact sequence of $R[x^{-S}]$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow L[x^{-S}] \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} M[x^{-S}] \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} N[x^{-S}] \longrightarrow 0.$$

PROOF. Define $\bar{f} : L[x^{-S}] \rightarrow M[x^{-S}]$ by

$$\bar{f}(a_{k_0} + a_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \dots + a_{k_i}x^{-k_i}) = f(a_{k_0}) + f(a_{k_1})x^{-k_1} + \dots + f(a_{k_i})x^{-k_i}.$$

Then \bar{f} is well-defined injective $R[x^S]$ -linear map.

Define $\bar{g} : M[x^{-S}] \rightarrow N[x^{-S}]$ by

$$\bar{g}(a_{k_0} + a_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \dots + a_{k_i}x^{-k_i}) = g(a_{k_0}) + g(a_{k_1})x^{-k_1} + \dots + g(a_{k_i})x^{-k_i}$$

Then \bar{g} is a well-defined surjective $R[x^S]$ -linear map. Now we show

$$\text{Im}\bar{f} = \ker\bar{g}.$$

Let $a_{k_0} + a_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_i}x^{-k_i} \in L[x^{-S}]$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (\bar{g} \circ \bar{f})(a_{k_0} + a_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_i}x^{-k_i}) \\ &= \bar{g}(\bar{f}(a_{k_0} + a_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_i}x^{-k_i})) \\ &= \bar{g}(f(a_{k_0}) + f(a_{k_1})x^{-k_1} + \cdots + f(a_{k_i})x^{-k_i}) \\ &= g(f(a_{k_0})) + g(f(a_{k_1}))x^{-k_1} + \cdots + g(f(a_{k_i}))x^{-k_i} \\ &= (g \circ f)(a_{k_0}) + (g \circ f)(a_{k_1})x^{-k_1} + \cdots + (g \circ f)(a_{k_i})x^{-k_i} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $a_{k_0} + a_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_i}x^{-k_i} \in \ker\bar{g}$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \bar{g}(a_{k_0} + a_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_i}x^{-k_i}) \\ &= g(a_{k_0}) + g(a_{k_1})x^{-k_1} + \cdots + g(a_{k_i})x^{-k_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(a_{k_j}) &= 0, \text{ for } j = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, i\} \\ a_{k_j} &\in \ker g = \text{Im}f. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f(b_{k_0}) = a_{k_0}$, $f(b_{k_1}) = a_{k_1}$, $f(b_{k_2}) = a_{k_2}$, \dots , $f(b_{k_i}) = a_{k_i}$,

$$b_{k_j} \in L, \text{ for } j = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, i\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & b_{k_0} + b_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \cdots + b_{k_i}x^{-k_i} \in L[x^{-S}], \\ & \bar{f}(b_{k_0} + b_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \cdots + b_{k_i}x^{-k_i}) \\ &= f(b_{k_0}) + f(b_{k_1})x^{-k_1} + \cdots + f(b_{k_i})x^{-k_i} \\ &= a_{k_0} + a_{k_1}x^{-k_1} + \cdots + a_{k_i}x^{-k_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\text{Im}\bar{f} = \ker\bar{g}$. Hence,

$$0 \longrightarrow L[x^{-S}] \xrightarrow{f} M[x^{-S}] \xrightarrow{\bar{g}} N[x^{-S}] \longrightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of $R[x^S]$ -modules.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let R be a ring and M, N be left R -modules. Then*

$$\text{Ext}_{R[x^S]}^i(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)[[x^S]]$$

PROOF. Let $0 \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\epsilon} E^0 \xrightarrow{d_0} E^1 \xrightarrow{d_1} E^2 \xrightarrow{d_2} \dots$ be an injective resolution of a left R -module N .

Then by Theorem 1.3 $E^i[x^{-S}]$ is an injective $R[x^S]$ -module for each i , and

$$0 \longrightarrow N[x^{-S}] \longrightarrow E^0[x^{-S}] \longrightarrow E^1[x^{-S}] \longrightarrow E^2[x^{-S}] \longrightarrow \dots$$

is an injective resolution of a left $R[x^S]$ -module $N[x^{-S}]$.

Consider the deleted injective resolution

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow E^0[x^{-S}] \xrightarrow{d^0[x^{-S}]} E^1[x^{-S}] \xrightarrow{d^1[x^{-S}]} E^2[x^{-S}] \longrightarrow \dots,$$

then we have the complex

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], E^0[x^{-S}]) &\xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], d^0[x^{-S}])} \text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], E^1[x^{-S}]) \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], d^1[x^{-S}])} \text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], E^2[x^{-S}]) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

By the Theorem 2.2, we also have an isomorphism

$$f_i : \text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], E^i[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Hom}(M, E^i)[[x^S]]$$

for each i .

Thus there is a complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, E^0)[[x^S]] &\xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(M, d^0)[[x^S]]} \text{Hom}(M, E^1)[[x^S]] \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(M, d^1)[[x^S]]} \text{Hom}(M, E^2)[[x^S]] \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the following diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], E^i[x^{-S}]) & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], d^i[x^{-S}])} & \text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], E^{i+1}[x^{-S}]) \\
f_i \downarrow & & \downarrow f_{i+1} \\
\text{Hom}(M, E^i)[[x^S]] & \xrightarrow{\text{Hom}(M, d^i)[[x^S]]} & \text{Hom}(M, E^{i+1})[[x^S]]
\end{array}$$

Let $\phi \in \text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], E^i[x^{-S}])$, then $f(\phi) = \phi_{00} + \phi_{10}x^{k_1} + \phi_{20}x^{k_2} + \dots$, where $\phi(m_i x^{-k_i}) = \phi_{k_i,0}(m_i) + \phi_{k_i,-10}(m_i)x^{k_1} + \dots + \phi_{00}(m_i)x^{k_i}$ for any k_i so, $\text{Hom}(M, d^i)[[x^S]](\phi_{00} + \phi_{10}x^{k_1} + \phi_{20}x^{k_2} + \dots) = d^i \circ \phi_{00} + d^i \circ \phi_{10}x^{k_1} + d^i \circ \phi_{20}x^{k_2} + \dots$ and $\text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], d^i[x^{-S}])(\phi) = d^i[x^{-S}] \circ \phi$.
Now

$$\begin{aligned}
& d^i[x^{-S}] \circ \phi(m_i x^{-k_i}) \\
&= d^i[x^{-S}](\phi_{k_i,0}(m_i) + \phi_{k_i,-10}(m_i)x^{-k_1} + \dots + \phi_{00}(m_i)x^{-k_i}) \\
&= d^i(\phi_{k_i,0}(m_i)) + d^i(\phi_{k_i,-10}(m_i)x^{-k_1}) + \dots + d^i(\phi_{00}(m_i)x^{-k_i}) \\
&= d^i \circ \phi_{k_i,0}(m_i) + d^i \circ \phi_{k_i,-10}(m_i)x^{-k_1} + \dots + d^i \circ \phi_{00}(m_i)x^{-k_i}
\end{aligned}$$

for any k_i .

So, $f_{i+1}(d^i[x^{-S}] \circ \phi) = d^i \circ \phi_{00} + d^i \circ \phi_{10}x^{k_1} + d^i \circ \phi_{20}x^{k_2} + \dots$.
Therefore, $\text{Hom}(M, d^i)[[x^S]](\phi_{00} + \phi_{10}x^{k_1} + \phi_{20}x^{k_2} + \dots) = f_{i+1}(d^i[x^{-S}] \circ \phi)$.

Hence, the diagram of the above is commutative. and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ext}_{R[x^{-S}]}^i(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) &= \frac{\ker(\text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], d^i[x^{-S}]))}{\text{Im}(\text{Hom}(M[x^{-S}], d^{i-1}[x^{-S}]))} \\
&\cong \frac{\ker(\text{Hom}(M, d^i)[[x^S]])}{\text{Im}(\text{Hom}(M, d^{i-1})[[x^S]])} \\
&= \frac{\ker(\text{Hom}(M, d^i)[[x^S]])}{\text{Im}(\text{Hom}(M, d^{i-1})[[x^S]])} \\
&= \frac{\ker(\text{Hom}(M, d^i))}{\text{Im}(\text{Hom}(M, d^{i-1}))} [[x^S]] \\
&= \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)[[x^S]].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\text{Ext}_{R[x^S]}^i(M[x^{-S}], N[x^{-S}]) \cong \text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)[[x^S]].$$

REFERENCES

- [1] A.S. McKerrow, *On the injective dimension of modules of power series*, Quart J. Math. Oxford (3) **25** (1974), 359–368
- [2] L. Melkersson, *Content and inverse polynomials on artinian modules*, Comm. Algebra **26** (1998), 1141–1145
- [3] D.G. Northcott, *Injective envelopes and inverse polynomials*, London Math. Soc. (2), **3** (1974), 290–296
- [4] S. Park, *Inverse polynomials and injective covers*, Comm. Algebra **21** (1993), 4599–4613
- [5] S. Park, *The Macaulay-Northcott functor*, Arch. der Math. **63** (1994), 225–230
- [6] S. Park, *Injective property of generalized inverse polynomial modules*, Comm. Korean Math Soc. (3) **15** (2000), 257–261

Department of Mathematics

Dong-A University

Pusan 604-714, Korea

E-mail: cwihan@mail.donga.ac.kr

swpark@mail.donga.ac.kr