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#### Abstract

We show that the intersection of turostandard ton knots of type $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ and ( $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}$ ) induces an automorphusm of the cyche group $\mathbb{Z}_{d}$, where $d i s$ the intersection number of the 1 wo toms knots and give an elementary proot of the fact that all non-tivial tons knots are stiongly meintiable knots. We also show that the intersection of two standard knots on the 3 -toms $S^{1} \times S^{1} \times S^{1}$ mduces an momomphem of cychic groups


Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity. Given a ring $R, R[x]$ denotes the polynomial ring over $R$ with $u$ its indeterminate. In this note we study the primitivity of polynomial rings, concerning the contraposition of the condition in [8] that is both a Morita invariant property and a generalization of the following two conditions:
(1) the quasi-duo condition, which was initiated by Yu in [9] and is related to the Bass' conjecture in [2],
(2) the pm condition that was studied by Birkenmerer-Kim-Park in (3)

A ring $R$ is called maxumally raght bounded if every maximal right ideal of $R$ contains a maximal ideal of $R$. Consider a condition. (*)

[^0]there exists a maximal right ideal that does not contain a maximal ideal. Clearly a ring $R$ satisfies (*) if and only if $R$ is not maximally right bounded. A ring is called right (left) duo if every right ideal is twosided, and a ring is called rught (left) quası-duo if every maximal right (left) ideal of is two-sided. Commutative rings and abelian regular rings are right duo, right duo rings are right quasi-duo, and right quasi-duo rings are maximally right bounded. The $n$ by $n$ full matrix ring over a division ring, with $n$ any positive integer $\geqq 2$, is not right quasiduo and does not satisfies (*); but it is maximally right bounded However the ring of row finite infinite matrices over a division ring. say $R$, satisfies (*) but is not maximally right bounded because there exist maximal right ideals of $R$ that do not contain the nonzero proper ideal $\{f \in R \mid \operatorname{rank}(f)$ is finite $\}$ of $R$. A ring $R$ is said to satisfy $p m$ if every prime ideal of $R$ is maximal. Such rings are maximally right bounded, but there are rings which are maximally right bounded but do not satisfy pmasin in Fxample 33 , In this note we also obtain direct proofs for the contrapositions of main results in 8$]$

We first take the contraposition of [8. Proposition 1] as follows.
Proposition 1. Given a ring $R$ the followng statements are equiwalent:
(1) $R$ satisfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ).
(2) There exists a right promatuve udeal of $R$ that is not maxamal.

Proof. $(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Since $R$ satisfies (*), there exists a maximal right ideal $M$ of $R$ that does not contain a maximal ideal of $R$. But $M$ contains a right primitive ideal of $R$ which is the bound of $M$, say $P$. Thus $P$ is not a maximal ideal of $R$.
$(2) \Rightarrow(1)$. Let $P$ be a right primitive ideal of $R$ that is not maximal. There is a maximal right ideal of $R$ whose bound is $P$, so $R$ satisfies (*).

Corollary 2. [8, Proposution 1/Given a ring $R$ the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $R$ us a maximally right bounded ring.
(2) Every right promatute ideal of $R$ is maximal.

## Proof. By Proposition 1

We next recall some properties of maximally right bounded rings in [8j. A ring $R$ is called a PI-ring if $R$ satisfies a polynomial identity with coefficients in the ring of integers.

Lemma 3. /8, Corollary 2, Corollary 3 and Lemma 4/ Guen a rung $R$ we have the following statements:
(1) If every right primituve factor ring of $R$ is artintan then $R$ is maximally right bounded
(2) If $R$ is a PI-ring then $R$ is maxmally rught bounded.
(3) If $R$ is a division ring that is finte dimensional over ats center then $R[x]$ is maximally right bounded.
(4) 4 semipramative: maxamally right bounded ring is a subdirect product of stmple rings.
(5) If a rang $R$ is maxtmally right bounded, then so ts enery homomorphuc tmage of $R$.

Note that if given a ring $R$ is a right primitive, then $e R e$ is also a right primitive ring for every nonzero idempotent $e \in R$. The following is one of our main results in this note.

Theorem 4. Let $R$ be a ring and $0 \neq e^{2}=e \in R$. Suppose that eIe $\varsubsetneqq$ eRe for each proper teal I of $R$. Then the following statements are equivalent-
(1) $R$ satisfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ).
(2) eRe satusties (*).

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) By [8, Lemma 7]
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. We use the proof of $[8$, Theorem 8$]$. Let $I$ be a maximal right ideal of $R$ whose bound is $P$, such that $P$ is not maximal Then $P$ is a right primitive ideal of $R$. We will show that $e P \epsilon$ is not a maximal ideal in $\epsilon R e$. For convenience. let $\bar{R}=R / P$, and $\bar{r}=r+P$ for all $r \in R$ Then $\bar{R}$ is a right primitive ring. Since $e P e=\epsilon R e \cap P$ and $e P e \neq t R e$ by hypothesis, we have $e \notin P$ and hence $\bar{e}$ is a nonzero idempotent in $\bar{R}$. Thus $\bar{e} \bar{R} \bar{e}$ is also a right primitive ring. Since $e R e / e P e \cong \bar{\epsilon} \bar{R} \bar{e}, e P e$ is a right primitive ideal of $e R e$ Now let $Q$ be a maximal ideal of $R$ that contains $P$ (of course $P \subsetneq Q$ ). Then $e P \epsilon \subseteq e Q e \subsetneq e R e$ by hypothesis
and $e Q e$ is maximal in $e R e$ by Lemma 2.6. Assume $e P e=e Q e$. Then $e Q e=e P e \subseteq P$, and hence $(R e) Q(R e)=R(e Q e) \subseteq R P=P$. Since $P$ is right primitive and $e \notin P$, we get $Q \subseteq P$, a contradiction to the fact that $P \subsetneq Q$. Therefore $e P e \subsetneq e Q e$ and this completes the proof.

Corollary 5. /8, Theorem 8/Let $R$ be a rang and $0 \neq e^{2}=e \in R$. Suppose that eIe $\varsubsetneqq e$ Re for each proper adeal I of $R$. Then the follownng statements are equivalent:
(1) $R$ is maximally right bounded.
(2) eke is maxtmally nght bounded.

We may compare the following result with [9, Proposition 2.1].
Proposimion 6. For a ring $R$ the followng statements are equivalent:
(1) $R$ satusfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ).
(2) Every $n$ by $n$ upper traangular matrix rang over $R$ satasfies (*).
(3) Every $n$ by $n$ lower traangular matrax ring over $R$ satasfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ), where $n$ is any finte (in thes case assume $n \geq 2$ ) or an infinate cardmal number.

Proof. We use the proofs of [8, Corollary 9]. (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2). Let $S$ be the $n$ by $n$ upper triangular matrix ring over $R$. Note that every right primitive ideal $J$ of $S$ is of the form. the $(i, i)$-entry of $J$ is a right primitive ideal of $R$ for some $\imath \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$. say $P$, and every other entry of $J$ is $R$. By Proposition 1 and the condition (1), we may take a right primitive ideal $P$ in $R$ that is not a maximal ideal of $R$. So $J$ is not maximal in $S$ and this gives (2).
(2) $\Rightarrow(1)$. Let $e$ be the matrix such that $(1,1)$-entry of $e$ is $1_{R}$ and other entries of $e$ are $0_{R}$. Then $0 \neq \epsilon^{2}=e \in S$ and $e S e \cong R$. So $R$ satisfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ) by the condition (2) and [8, Lemma $7!$.

We next obtain the equivalence $(1) \Leftrightarrow(3)$ by the symmetry.
Corollary 7. 18, Corollay 9/ For a ring $R$ the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $R$ is maximally rught bounded.
(2) Every $n$ by $n$ upper trangular matrex tung over $R$ is maximally right bounded.
(3) Every $n$ by $n$ lower triangular matrix rang over $R$ is maxamally right bounded, where $n$ is any finite or an infinte cardnal number.

We denote the $n$ by $n$ full matrix ring over a ring $R$ by $\operatorname{Mat}_{n}(R)$ for any positive integer $n$.

Lemma 8. (8, Conollaty 24] For a ring $R$ and any posttive integer $n$, the followng statements are equvalent:
(1) $R$ is maximally right bounded.
(2) $\mathrm{Mat}_{n}(R)$ is maxmally rught bounded.

By Lemma 8, we have the following equivalence for rings that satisfy (*).
 ung statements are equivolent:
(1) $R$ satisfies (*).
(2) $\operatorname{Mat}_{\pi}(R)$ satisfies (*).

Therefore we have the following by Theorem 4, Corollary 9 and [1. Corollary 22.7].

Corollary 10. Suppose that a ring R satesfies (*). Then for every fintely generated projectuve right. R-module $P$, Ertd ${ }_{R}(P)$ also satisfies $\left(^{*}\right)$; especally the condation $\left(^{*}\right)$ is a Morata moarnant property, where $\operatorname{End}_{R}(P)$ is the endomorphusm ring of $P$ ovey $I$.

Next we study the primitivity of polynomial rings over division rings First we observe the polynomial rings over rings satisfying (*).

Proposition 11 If a rang $R$ satusfies (*), then $R[x]$ satusfues (*).
Proof. Notice first that $I+K\left[x_{1}^{2} x\right.$, with $I$ a right primitive ideal of $R$. is also a right primitive ideal of $R[x]$. Since $R$ satisfies (*), we may take $I$ such that $I$ is not a maximal ideal. So $I+R[x]$ is also not a maximal ideal of $R[x]$ but a right primitive ideal of $R[x]$; hence $R[x]$ satisfies (*) by Proposition 1.

As the converse of Proposition 11, we may raise the following question.

Questıon. Does a ring $R$ satisfy $\left(^{*}\right)$ if $R[x]$ satisfies $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ ?
However the answer is negative by the following example.
Example 12. Let $W=W_{1}[\mathbb{Q}]$ be the first Weyl algebra over the field $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers, subject to $y x=x y+1$, and let $R$ be the right quotient division ring of $W$. Then the center of $R$ is $\mathbb{Q}$, and since $R$ is purely transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$, it follows that $A=R \otimes \mathbb{Q} \mathbb{Q}(t)$ is not a division ring by [5, Theorem 3. 21], where $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ is the quotient field of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Q}[t]$ in an indeterminate $t$. Hence $A \neq R(t)$; so $R[t]$ is right primitive by $[5$, Theorem 3. 21], where $R[t]$ is the polynomial ring over $R$ in $t$ and $R(t)$ is the right quotient division ring of $R[t]$. Clearly $R$ does not satisfy ( ${ }^{*}$ ). But the zero ideal of $R[t]$ is right primitive which is not maximal. Therefore $R[t]$ satisfy (*) by Proposition 1.

The following is also one of our main results in this paper.
Theorem 13. For a simple ring $R$ the followng statements are equivalent:
(1) $R[x]$ satusfies $\left({ }^{*}\right)$.
(2) $R[x]$ is rught primituve.

Proof. (2) $\Rightarrow(1)$. Note that the zero ideal of $R[x]$ is always not maximal. Since $R[x]$ is right primitive by the condition. $R[x]$ satisfies ${ }^{*}$ ) by Proposition 1.
$(1) \Rightarrow(2)$. Suppose that the condition (1) holds. Then there is a right primitive ideal $P$ of $R[x]$ that is not maximal by Proposition 1. Let $M$ be a maximal ideal of $R[x]$ such that $P \subsetneq M$. Here assume $P \neq 0$. Then $[8$, Lemma 15$]$ implies that $P$ is generated by a nonzero central monic polynomial in $R[x]$ because $R$ is simple by hypothesis, say $P=f(x) R[x]$. Also by [ 8 , Lemma 15]. $M=$ $h(x) R[x]$ for some nonzero central monic polynomial $h(x) \in R[a]$. Since $M$ contains $P, f(x)=h(x) g(x)$ for some $g(x) \in R[x\}$ and so $P=f(x) R[x]=h(x) R[x] g(x) R[x]$. But $P$ is right primitive (hence prime), so $M=h(x) R\{x\} \subseteq P$ (a contradiction to the fact that $P \subsetneq M$ )
or $g(x) R[x] \subseteq P$ If $g(x) R[x] \subseteq P$, then $g(x)=f(x) m(x)$ for some $m(x) \in R[x]$ and so $f(x)=h(x) f(x) m(x)=f(x) h(x) m(x)$. It then follows that $h(x) m(x)=m(x) h(x)=1_{R|x|}$ since $f(x)$ is monic: hence $M=R[x]$, a contradiction to the fact that $M$ is a maximal ideal of $R[x]$. Consequently $P$ must be the zero ideal and therefore $H[x]$ is right primitive.

By Theorem 13. we obtain the following result.
Corollary 14. (8, Theorem 16] For a simple nang $R$ the followng statements are equivalent:
(1) $R[x]$ is maxtmally right bounded.
(2) $R[x]$ is not right pramative.

We do not know whether the condition $\left(^{*}\right.$ ) is left-right symmetric But if $R$ is a division ring, then $R i x j$ satisfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ) if and only if $R[x$ : satisfies the "left-handed" version of $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ as in the following

Corollary 15. Let $R$ be a division ring. Then the followng statements are equivalent:
(1) $R[x]$ satusfies ( ${ }^{*}$ ).
(2) $R[x]$ is rught primative.
(3) $R[x]$ is left promutive.
(4) $R[x]$ satusfies the left version of ( ${ }^{*}$ )

Proof. By i8. Lemma 18j and Theorem 13.

Due to Jacobson [7]. a ring is called strongly rught (keft) bounded if every nonzero right (left) ideal contains a nonzero ideal and a ring is called nght (left) bounded if every essential right (left) ideal contains a nonzero ideal Strongly right bounded rings are clearly right bounded. In [4], we have that a ring $R$ is right duo if and only if every factor ring of $R$ is strongly right bounded $\operatorname{In}$ the following arguments we obtain the connections among the preceding conditions, right duoness. maximally right boundedness and the condition ( ${ }^{*}$ ).

Lemma 16. (6, Theorem 15.2] Let $R$ be a simple Artinaan ring. Then the followng statements are equivalent:
(1) $R[x]$ as rught bounded.
(2) $R[x]$ is not right primitive.

A ring $R$ is called right Ore if given $a, b \in R$ with $b$ regular there exist $a_{1}, b_{1} \in R$ with $b_{1}$ regular such that $a b_{1}=b a_{1}$. It is a well-known fact that $R$ is a right Ore ring if and only if there exists the classical right quotient ring of $R$. Left case may be defined similarly. Given a division ring $D, D[x]$ is an Ore (i.e., both right and left Ore) domain, so every nonzero right (left) ideal is essential; hence $D[x]$ is strongly right (left) bounded if and only if it is right (left) bounded. Consequently we have the following results.

Proposition 17. Let $R$ be a sumple Artinaan rung. Then the followng statements are equivalent:
(1) Rix! as rught bounded.
(2) $R[x]$ is not rught promttuve.
(3) $R[x]$ is maximally rught bounded.

Proof. By Corollary 14 and Lemma 16.

Corollary 18. Let $D$ be a division rang. Then the followng statements are equivalent:
(1) $D[x]$ is strongly right bounded.
(2) $D[x]$ is roght bounded.
(3) $D[x]$ is not rught promitive.
(4) $D[x]$ as maximally rught bounded.
(5) The left versions of the statements (1)-(4).

Proof. By Corollary 15, Proposition 17 and the argument prior to Proposition 17.

There exists a division ring that does not satisfy the statements in Corollary 18. Let $R$ be the Weyl division algebra over a field of characteristic zero. Then $R[x]$ is right primitive by [6, Theorem 15.16].
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