

PRIME IDEALS IN LIPSCHITZ ALGEBRAS OF FINITE DIFFERENTIABLE FUNCTIONS

ALI EBADIAN

*Faculty of Mathematical Sciences and Computer Engineering,
University for Teacher Education, Tehran 15614, Iran.*

Abstract. Lipschitz Algebras $\text{Lip}(X, \alpha)$ and $\text{lip}(X, \alpha)$ were first studied by D. R. Sherbert in 1964. B. Pavlovic in 1995 shown that in these algebras, the prime ideals containing a given prime ideal form a chain. In this paper, we show that the above property holds in $\text{Lip}^n(X, \alpha)$ and $\text{lip}^n(X, \alpha)$, the Lipschitz algebras of finite differentiable functions on a perfect compact place set X .

0. Introduction

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and take α with $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. Then $\text{Lip}(X, \alpha)$ is the complex algebra of bounded complex-valued function f on X such that

$$P_\alpha(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d^\alpha(x, y)} : x, y \in X, x \neq y \right\}$$

is finite, and for $0 < \alpha < 1$, $\text{lip}(X, \alpha)$ is the subalgebra of functions f on X such that

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d^\alpha(x, y)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad d(x, y) \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $f \in \text{lip}(X, \alpha)$. Set $\|f\|_\alpha = \|f\|_X + P_\alpha(f)$, where $\|f\|_X$ the is uniform norm on X . Then $(\text{Lip}(X, \alpha), \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$ and $(\text{lip}(X, \alpha), \|\cdot\|_\alpha)$ are Banach function algebras on X with the Lipschitz norm $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$. These algebras were first studied by sherbert [4].

Throughout this paper, we assume that $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ for $\text{Lip}(X, \alpha)$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ for $\text{lip}(X, \alpha)$, and from now on, X will denote a perfect compact plane set.

Received November 27, 1999.

1991 AMS Subject Classification : 46J10, secondary 46J15.

Key words and phrases : Banach function algebras, Lipschitz algebras of differentiable functions.

A complex-valued function f on X is called differentiable at $z_0 \in X$ if

$$f'(z_0) = \lim \left\{ \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} : z \in X, z \rightarrow z_0 \right\}$$

exists, and f is called differentiable on X if it is differentiable at each point of X .

The algebra of functions f on X whose derivatives up to order n exist and for each k ($0 \leq k \leq n$), $f^{(k)} \in \text{Lip}(X, \alpha)$ is denoted by $\text{Lip}^n(X, \alpha)$. The algebra $\text{lip}^n(X, \alpha)$ is defined in a similar way. For f in $\text{Lip}^n(X, \alpha)$ or in $\text{lip}^n(X, \alpha)$, let

$$\|f\|_{\alpha, n} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\|f^{(k)}\|_{\alpha}}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\|f^{(k)}\|_X + P_{\alpha}(f^{(k)})}{k!}.$$

If X is a perfect compact plane set such that $D^1(X)$ [1] is complete, then for $n \geq 0$, $(\text{Lip}^n(X, \alpha), \|\cdot\|_{\alpha, n})$ and $(\text{lip}^n(X, \alpha), \|\cdot\|_{\alpha, n})$ are natural Banach function algebras on X . These algebras were first studied by T. G. Honary and H. Mahyar [2].

1. Prime Ideals in $\text{Lip}^n(X, \alpha)$ and $\text{lip}^n(X, \alpha)$

Our goal in this section is theorem which shows that the prime ideals of $\text{Lip}^n(X, \alpha)$ (or $\text{lip}^n(X, \alpha)$) containing a given prime ideal form a chain (with respect to the inclusion order).

DEFINITION 1.1. Let X be a perfect compact plane set. We define, $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha) := \{f \in \text{Lip}^n(X, \alpha) : f \text{ is real}\}$ and $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha) := \{f \in \text{lip}^n(X, \alpha) : f \text{ is a real}\}$. It is easy to see that, $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ (respectively, $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$), are real subspaces of $\text{Lip}^n(X, \alpha)$ (respectively, $\text{lip}^n(X, \alpha)$).

The following definition is a similar definition with [3, Definition 4.3].

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $A = \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ or $A = \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$. Let \preceq be a partial order on A , and assume \preceq is compativle with addition, i.e., $\forall f, g, h \in A$,

$$f \preceq g \implies f + h \preceq g + h.$$

A subspace $I \subseteq A$ is \preceq -convex if $\forall f, g \in A$, $0 \preceq f \preceq g$ and $g \in I$ implies $f \in I$.

Denote by \leq the usual pointwise order on $A = \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ or $A = \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$, i.e., $\forall f, g \in A$, $f \leq g$ if and only if $\forall t \in X$, $f(t) \leq g(t)$.

LEMMA 1.3. Let $A = \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$, or $A = \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$. Let $P \subseteq A$ be a prime ideal. Then P is \leq -convex.

Proof. Suppose $0 \leq f \leq g$ and $g \in P$, $f \in A$. Since P is prime it is enough to show that $f^{4^n} \in P$. We do this by induction on n , by showing that $h_n \in A$, where

$$h_n(t) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g(t) = 0 \\ \frac{f^{4^n}(t)}{g(t)} & \text{if } g(t) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Assume first that $n = 1$, and claim that $h_1 \in A$. We first show that $h_1 \in D_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X)$. Evidently, only points t_0 , where $g(t_0) = 0$, but when $g(t) \neq 0$ in every neighborhood of t_0 , need examination. If $t_k \rightarrow t_0$ with $g(t_k) \neq 0$, then $|h_1(t_k)| = |f^4(t_k)/g(t_k)| = |f(t_k)/g(t_k)| |f^3(t_k)| \leq |f^3(t_k)| \rightarrow 0$ so h_1 is continuous. As $0 \leq f$ and $g(t_0) = f(t_0) = 0$, t_0 is a local minimum for f so $f'(t_0) = 0$; consequently

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0 (g(t) \neq 0)} \left| \frac{h_1(t) - h_1(t_0)}{t - t_0} \right| = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0 (g(t) \neq 0)} \left| \frac{f(t)f^2(t)}{g(t)} \cdot \frac{f(t)}{t - t_0} \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0 (g(t) \neq 0)} \left| f^2(t) \cdot \frac{f(t)}{t - t_0} \right| = f^2(t_0) |f'(t_0)| = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$h'_1(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g(t) = 0 \\ \frac{4f^3(t)f'(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f^4(t)g'(t)}{g^2(t)} & \text{if } g(t) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that h' is continuous. Let $t_k \rightarrow t_0$. If $g(t_{k_n}) = 0$ for some subsequence $\{t_{k_n}\}$, then by what has been shown $h'(t_{k_n}) = 0 = h'(t_0)$. On the other hand, if $g(t_k) \neq 0$ for all k , then

$$\begin{aligned} |h'_1(t_k)| &= \left| 4 \frac{f^3(t_k)f'(t_k)}{g(t_k)} - \frac{f^4(t_k)g'(t_k)}{g^2(t_k)} \right| \\ &\leq 4|f^2(t_k)||f'(t_k)| + |f^2(t_k)||g'(t_k)| \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $h_1 \in D_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X)$. Since by [2] $D_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X) \subseteq \text{Lip}(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}(X, \alpha)$, we have $h_1 \in \text{Lip}(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}(X, \alpha)$.

It remains to see that $h'_1 \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$. Suppose first that $g(t) \neq 0$, and $g(s) \neq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{|h'_1(t) - h'_1(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} = \frac{\left| \frac{4f^3(t)f'(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f^4(t)g'(t)}{g^2(t)} - \frac{4f^3(s)f'(s)}{g(s)} + \frac{f^4(s)g'(s)}{g^2(s)} \right|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& = \frac{\left| \frac{4f^3(t)f'(t)}{g(t)} - \frac{f^4(t)g'(t)}{g^2(t)} - \frac{4f^3(s)f'(s)}{g(s)} + \frac{f^4(s)g'(s)}{g^2(s)} + \frac{4f^3(t)f'(s)}{g(t)} - \frac{4f^3(t)f'(s)}{g(t)} \right|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& + \frac{\frac{4f^2(t)f(s)f'(s)}{g(t)} - \frac{4f^2(t)f(s)f'(s)}{g(t)} + \frac{4f(t)f^2(s)f'(s)}{g(t)} - \frac{4f(t)f^2(s)f'(s)}{g(t)}}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& + \frac{\frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)}f^2(t)g'(s) - \frac{f^2(t)}{g^2(t)}f^2(t)g'(s) + \frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)}f^2(t)g'(s) - \frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)}f^2(t)g'(s)}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \leq 4 \left| \frac{f^3(t)}{g(t)} \frac{|f'(t) - f'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + 4 \left| \frac{f^2(t)f'(s)}{g(t)} \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + 4 \left| \frac{f(t)f(s)f'(s)}{g(t)} \right| \right. \\
& \quad \times \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + 4 \left| f^2(s)f'(s) \right| \frac{|f(s)/g(s) - f(t)/g(t)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \quad + \frac{f^4(t)}{g^2(t)} \frac{|g'(t) - g'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + \frac{f^2(s)}{g^2(s)} \frac{|f^2(s) - f^2(t)||g'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \quad + \frac{|f^2(t)||g'(s)|}{g^2(t)} \frac{|f^2(t)g^2(s) - f^2(s)g^2(t)|}{g^2(s)|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \leq 4 \|f^2\|_X \frac{|f'(t) - f'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + 4 \|f\|_X \|f'\|_X \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \quad + 4 \|f\|_X \|f'\|_X \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + \frac{4|f^2(s)f'(s)|}{|g(t)g(s)|} \frac{|f(s)g(t) - f(t)f(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \quad + \|f^2\|_X \frac{|g'(t) - g'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + \|g'\|_X \frac{|f(s) - f(t)||f(s) + f(t)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \quad + \|g'\|_X \frac{|f^2(t)g^2(s) + f^2(t)g^2(t) - f^2(t)g^2(t) - f^2(s)g^2(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha g^2(s)} \\
& \leq 4 \|f^2\|_X \frac{|f'(t) - f'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + 4 \|f\|_X \|f'\|_X \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \quad + 4 \|f\|_X \|f'\|_X \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + \frac{4|f^2(s)f'(s)|}{|g(t)g(s)|} \frac{|f(s) - f(t)||g(t)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\
& \quad + \frac{4|f^2(s)f'(s)|}{|g(t)g(s)|} \frac{|f(t)||g(t) - g(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + \|g'\|_X \|g^2\|_X \frac{|f^2(t) - f^2(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \|f^2\|_X \frac{|g'(t) - g'(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + \|g'\|_X \frac{|f(s) - f(t)||f(s) + f(t)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & + \|g'\|_X \frac{|f^2(t)g^2(s) + f^2(t)g^2(t) - f^2(t)g^2(t) - f^2(s)g^2(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha g^2(s)} \\
 \leq & 4\|f^2\|_X \frac{|f'(t) - f'(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + 8\|f\|_X \|f'\|_X \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & + 4\|f\|_X \|f'\|_X \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + 4\|f\|_X \|f'\|_X \frac{|g(t) - g(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & + \|f^2\|_X \frac{|g'(t) - g'(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + 2\|g'\|_X \|f\|_X \frac{|f(t) - f(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & + 2\|g'\|_X \|f^2\|_X \|g\|_X \frac{|g(s) - g(t)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & + 2\|f\|_X \|g'\|_X \|g^2\|_X \frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} |t-s| \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq 4\|f^2\|_X P_\alpha(f') + 12\|f\|_X \|f'\|_X P_\alpha(f) + 4\|f\|_X \|f'\|_X P_\alpha(g) \\ \quad + \|f^2\|_X P_\alpha(g') + 2\|g'\|_X \|f\|_X P_\alpha(f) + 2\|g'\|_X \|f^2\|_X \|g\|_X P_\alpha(g) \\ \quad + 2\|f\|_X \|g'\|_X \|g^2\|_X P_\alpha(f) \quad \text{when } A = \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha) \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |t-s| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } A = \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha). \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose that $g(t) \neq 0$, but $g(s) = 0$. Then $f'(s) = g'(s) = 0$, $h'_1(s) = 0$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{|h'_1(t) - h'_1(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} & = \frac{|4f^3(t)f'(t)/g(t) - f^4(t)g'(s)/g^2(t)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & \leq \frac{|4f^3(t)f'(t)/g(t)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + \frac{|f^4(t)g'(t)/g^2(t)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & = \frac{|4f^3(t)f'(t)/g(t) - 4f^3(t)f'(s)/g(t)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + \frac{|f^4(t)g'(t)/g^2(t) - f^2(t)g'(s)/g^2(t)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & = \frac{\frac{4f^3(t)}{g(t)}|f'(t) - f'(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + \frac{\frac{f^4(t)}{g^2(t)}|g'(t) - g'(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 & \leq 4\|f^2\|_X \frac{|f'(t) - f'(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} + \|f^2\|_X \frac{|g'(t) - g'(s)|}{|t-s|^\alpha} \\
 \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \leq 4\|f^2\|_X P_\alpha(f') + \|f^2\|_X P_\alpha(g') \quad \text{when } A = \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha) \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |t-s| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{when } A = \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha). \end{array} \right.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus $h_1 \in A$ and since $f^4 = h_1 g$ and $g \in P$ we have shown that f^4 , hence $f \in P$.

And now the inductive step: suppose $f, g \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}(X, \alpha)$, or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}(X, \alpha)$, $g \in P$ and $0 \leq f \leq g$. Similar with the case $n = 1$, $h'_{n+1}(t) = 0$ whenever $g(t) = 0$. Moreover, if $g(t) \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h'_{n+1}(t) &= 4^{n+1} \frac{f^{4^{n+1}-1}}{g} f' - \frac{f^{4^n+1}}{g^2} g' \\ &= 4^{n+1} f^{4^{n+1}-1-4^n} \cdot f' - h_n^4 g^2 g' \end{aligned}$$

From the expressions for h'_{n+1} we see that $h'_{n+1} \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$, by inductive hypothesis, hence that $h_{n+1} \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1}(X, \alpha)$ and since clearly have the equation $h_{n+1}g = f^{4^{n+1}}$ it follows that $f \in P$.

DEFINITION 1.4. Let $A = \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ or $A = \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$, P a prime ideal in A . We define

$$K(P) = \{f \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha) \text{ or } \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha) : \exists g \in P \text{ and } q \in \mathbb{N} : |f|^q \leq g\}.$$

LEMMA 1.5. $K(P)$ is a \leq -convex ideal in $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}(X, \alpha)$.

Proof. Convexity $K(P)$ is immediate from the definition. If $f_1 \in \text{Lip}(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}(X, \alpha)$ and $f_2 \in K(P)$ so that $|f_2|^k \leq g$ for suitable $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g \in P$, then $|f_1 f_2|^k \leq \|f_1\|_X^k |f_2|^k \leq \|f_1\|_X^k g$ so it remains only to observe that $K(P)$ is a subspace: if $f_1, f_2 \in K(P)$ and $|f_j|^{n_j} \leq g_j$, $j = 1, 2$

with $g_j \in P$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f_1 + f_2|^{n_1+n_2} &\leq (|f_1| + |f_2|)^{n_1+n_2} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_1+n_2} \binom{n_1+n_2}{j} |f_1|^j |f_2|^{n_1+n_2-j} \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_1+n_2}{j} |f_1|^j |f_2|^{n_1+n_2-j} \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \binom{n_1+n_2}{j} |f_1|^j |f_2|^{n_1+n_2-j} \\
 &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_1+n_2}{j} \|f_1\|_X^j \|f_2\|_X^{n_1-j} g_2 \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \binom{n_1+n_2}{j} \|f_1\|_X^{j-n_1} g_1 \|f_2\|_X^{n_1+n_2-j} \\
 &\leq N(g_1 + g_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 N = \max \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{n_1} \binom{n_1+n_2}{j} \|f_1\|_X^j \|f_2\|_X^{n_1-j}, \right. \\
 \left. \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{n_1+n_2} \binom{n_1+n_2}{j} \|f_1\|_X^{j-n_1} \|f_2\|_X^{n_1+n_2-j} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For a real valued function h , denote by h^+ the function

$$h^+ = h \vee 0 = \begin{cases} h(t) & \text{if } h(t) \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } h(t) < 0. \end{cases}$$

And let $h^- = h^+ - h$. Obviously, for $f \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$ (respectively $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$), $f^+, f^- \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$ (respectively $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$), and $\|f^+\|_{\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha}$, $\|f^-\|_{\alpha} \leq \|f\|_{\alpha}$.

The following definition is as [3, Definition 4.5].

DEFINITION 1.6. Let $A = \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ or $A = \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$. Let P be a prime ideal in A . Let $f, g \in A$. We say that $f \leq_P g$ if $(g - f)^- \in K(P)$. And that $f \sim_P g$ if and only if $f \leq_P g$ and $g \leq_P f$.

LEMMA 1.7. \leq_P is a partial order, compatible with addition in $Lip_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$, or $lip_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$, and $f \sim_P g$ if and only if $f - g \in K(P)$.

Proof. Transitivity:

$$f_1 \leq_P f_2 \leq_P f_3 \iff (f_2 - f_1)^-, (f_3 - f_2)^- \in K(P).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq (f_3 - f_1)^- &= (f_3 - f_2 + f_2 - f_1)^- \\ &\leq (f_3 - f_2)^- + (f_2 - f_1)^- \in K(P) \end{aligned}$$

the claim is a consequence of the convexity of $K(P)$. The rest is trivial.

The following elementary observation about differentiability of f^+ and f^- is quite significant in the rest of the development.

LEMMA 1.8. Let $A = Lip_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ or $A = lip_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ and $f \in A$. Then $(f^{\pm})^k \in A$ for $k \geq n + 1$ and $[(f^{\pm})^k]' = k(f^{\pm})^{k-1}f'$ for $k \geq 2$.

Proof. We prove this for f^+ . The argument is by induction. We begin by showing that $(f^+)^2 \in Lip_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha)$ or $lip_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha)$ and $((f^+)^2)' = 2f^+f'$. If $f(t_0) \neq 0$, then f has constant sign in a neighborhood of t_0 and it follows immediately that $(f^+)^2$ is $D_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X)$ in this neighborhood. If $(f^+)^2 = f^+f$, we get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{(f^+(t))^2 - (f^+(t_0))^2}{t - t_0} = \lim_{t \rightarrow t_0} \frac{f^+(t)f(t)}{t - t_0} = 0.$$

In either case it is obvious that we get the equation $((f^+)^2)' = 2f^+f'$ and since f^+ is continuous, we have shown that $(f^+)^2 \in D_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X)$. Therefore, by [2], $(f^+)^2 \in Lip_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$ or $lip_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$. It remains to see that $[(f^+)^2]' \in Lip_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$ or $lip_{\mathbb{R}}(X, \alpha)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{|[(f^+)^2]'(t) - [(f^+)^2]'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} &= \frac{|2f^+(t)f'(t) - 2f^+(s)f'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\ &= \frac{|2f^+(t)f'(t) - 2f^+(t)f'(s) + 2f^+(t)f'(s) - 2f^+(s)f'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\ &\leq \frac{2|f^+(t)||f'(t) - f'(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} + \frac{2|f'(s)||f^+(t) - f^+(s)|}{|t - s|^\alpha} \\ &\begin{cases} \leq 2\|f\|_X P_\alpha(f') + 2\|f'\|_X P_\alpha(f) & \text{when } A = Lip_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha) \\ \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |t - s| \rightarrow 0 & \text{when } A = lip_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(f^+)^2 \in Lip_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha)$ or $lip_{\mathbb{R}}^1(X, \alpha)$.

Now suppose $n \geq 2$, and suppose we know that $(f^+)^k \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \alpha)$ and $((f^+)^k)' = k(f^+)^{k-1}f'$ if $m < k \leq n$ and $f \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \alpha)$. Fix $m \leq n$, fix $f \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \alpha)$, consider $(f^+)^{n+1} = (f^+)^n f$, and compute

$$((f^+)^{n+1})' = (f^+)^n f' + n(f^+)^{n-1} f' f = (n+1)(f^+)^n f'.$$

Since $(f^+)^n$ and f' both belong to $\text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^{m-1}(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^{m-1}(X, \alpha)$, this shows that $(f^+)^{n+1} \in \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^m(X, \alpha)$.

PROPOSITION 1.9. *Let $A = \text{Lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ or $A = \text{lip}_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$. Then order \leq_P has the following properties*

- (i) P is \leq_P -convex.
- (ii) A/P is totally ordered by the order \leq_P induced by \leq_P .
- (iii) Any prime ideal in A/P is convex with respect to \leq_P .

Proof. (i) We begin by noting that $K(P) \cap A = P$. Since \supseteq is obvious we check \subseteq : if $f \in K(P) \cap A$, then there is an integer q such that $|f|^q \leq g$ for suitable $g \in P$. But then $f^{2q} \leq g^2$ and since P is \leq_P -convex, $f^{2q} \in P$. As P is prime this shows that $f \in P$.

Clearly $K(P)$ is \leq_P -convex: if $0 \leq_P f \leq_P g$ in $\text{Lip}(X, \alpha)$ or $\text{lip}(X, \alpha)$ and $g \in K(P)$, then $(g-f)^- \in K(P)$ and since $f^-, g^- \in K(P)$ we get that $g^+ \in K(P)$ so $f = f - g + g$ and this implies $0 \leq f^+ \leq (f-g)^+ + g^+ = (g-f)^- + g^+ \in K(P)$ from which we conclude that $f = f^+ - f^- \in K(P)$.

As $P = K(P) \cap A$ this settles (i). It also shows that A/P is partially ordered by \leq_P .

Now let $f \in A$. By 1.8, $(f^+)^{n+1}$ and $(f^-)^{n+1}$ are in A and since

$$(f^+)^{n+1}(f^-)^{n+1} = 0,$$

it follows that $(f^+)^{n+1}$ or $(f^-)^{n+1} \in P$ and hence $f^+ \in K(P)$ or $f^- \in K(P)$.

Consequently, for any $f \in A$ we conclude that $f \geq_P 0$ (i.e., $f^- \in K(P)$) or $f \leq_P 0$ (i.e., $f^+ \in K(P)$) and $f \sim_P 0$ iff $f \in K(P) \cap A = P$.

We have shown that \leq_P is a total order on A/P . Finally, let $I \subset A/P$ be a prime ideal and suppose

$$0 \leq_P a + P \leq_P b + P \quad \text{with } b + P \in I.$$

Pulling back to A we suppose $0 \leq_P a \leq_P b$ and $b \in \text{prime ideal } P' \supset P$ where $P' + P = I$ in A/P . $a^- \in K(P)$, $(b-a)^- \in K(P)$, and $b \in P'$, so $0 \leq a^+ = (a-b+b)^+ \leq (a-b)^+ + b^+ = (b-a)^- + b^+ \in K(P) + K(P') = K(P')$. Hence $a = a^+ - a^- \in K(P')$. i.e., $a \in K(P') \cap A = P'$ and we are finished.

THEOREM 1.10. *Let $A = Lip^n(X, \alpha)$ or $A = lip^n(X, \alpha)$. Let P be a prime ideal in A . Then, the prime ideals of A/P form a chain with respect to the inclusion order.*

Proof. Consider first $A_{\mathbb{R}} = Lip_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$ or $A_{\mathbb{R}} = lip_{\mathbb{R}}^n(X, \alpha)$, i.e., suppose P is a prime in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. We must show that if P_1 and P_2 are primes in $A_{\mathbb{R}}$. We must show that if P_1 and P_2 are primes in $A_{\mathbb{R}}/P$ and if $P_1 \not\subseteq P_2$, then $P_2 \subseteq P_1$. Let $g \in P_1 \setminus P_2$ and let $f \in P_2$. By changing the signs, if necessary we may assume $0 \leq_P g$ and $0 \leq_P f$. Since \leq_P is total and $f \sim_P g$ means $g \in P_2$, we must have $0 \leq_P g \leq_P f$ or $0 \leq_P f \leq_P g$. By 1.9 the former would mean $g \in P_2$, so the latter must hold. But then $f \in P_1$, i.e., $P_2 \subseteq P_1$. This proves the theorem for $A_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Suppose then that P is a prime in A and that P_1 and P_2 are primes in A/P . Now, if $I \subset A$ is any ideal let

$$I_{\mathbb{R}} = ReI \subset A_{\mathbb{R}}.$$

Obviously, $I_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a linear space and if $f \in I_{\mathbb{R}}$, $g \in A_{\mathbb{R}}$, then there is $f_1 \in I$ with $Ref_1 = f$; since $g \in A$ and $Re(f_1g) = gRef_1 = gf$, we see that $I_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an ideal. Also if I is prime and $f_1, f_2 \in A_{\mathbb{R}}$ so that $f_1f_2 \in I_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $f_1 \in I \cap A_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $f_2 \in I \cap A_{\mathbb{R}}$ or $f_2 \in I \cap A_{\mathbb{R}}$. This means $I_{\mathbb{R}}$ is prime. In our situation we conclude that $ReP_1 \subseteq ReP_2$; Say (by the real result already proved), and consequently $f \in P_1$ implies $Ref \in P_2$; since if $f \in P_1$ we obtain $Re(if) \in P_2$, i.e., $-Imf \in P_2$. Hence $P_1 \subseteq P_2$ and we have the general result.

Acknowledgment

I am grateful to professor T. G. Honary and Professor A. R. Medghalchi for their valuable advice and encouragement.

References

1. H.G. Dales and A.M. Davie, *Quasianalytic Banach function algebra*, J. Funct. Anal.(1) **13** (1973), 28-50.
2. T.G. Honary and H. Mahyar, *Approximation in Lipschitz algebras of Infinitely Differentiable Functions*, to appear in Bull. KMS.
3. Branka Paulovic, *Automatic Continuity of Lipschitz Algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. (1) **131** (1995), 115-144.
4. D.R. Sherbert, *The structure of ideals and point derivations in Banach algebra of Lipschitz functions* Jour Trans. Amer. Math. Soc..