

EINSTEIN WARPED PRODUCT SPACES

DONG-SOO KIM

*Dept. of Mathematics, College of Natural Sciences,
Chonnam National University, Kwangju 500-757, Korea.
E-mail : dosokim @ chonnam.chonnam.ac.kr.*

Abstract. We study Einstein warped product spaces. As a result, we prove the following: if M is an Einstein warped product space with base a compact 2-dimensional surface, then M is simply a Riemannian product space.

0. Introduction and Preliminaries

Let $B = (B^m, g_B)$ and $F = (F^k, g_F)$ be two Riemannian manifolds. We denote by π and σ the projections of $B \times F$ onto B and F , respectively. For a positive smooth function f on B the warped product $M = B \times_f F$ is the product $M = B \times F$ furnished with metric tensor g defined by $g = \pi^*g_B + f^2\sigma^*g_F$, where $(*)$ denotes pull back. The function f will be called the warping function.

The notion of warped product $B \times_f F$ generalizes that of a surface of revolution. It was introduced in [3] for studying manifolds of negative curvature.

Obviously, the Riemannian product $M = B \times F$ is Einstein if B and F are Einstein with the same scalar curvatures. A warped product $B \times_f F$ with a constant warping function f can be considered as a Riemannian product.

In search for a new compact Einstein space in ([2], p. 265), A. L. Besse asked the following:

“Does there exist a compact Einstein warped product with nonconstant warping function? ”

In this article, we give a negative partial answer as follows(cf. [1], p. 127)

Received May 26, 2000.

1991 AMS Subject Classification : 53B20, 53C20.

Key words and phrases : Einstein space, warped product, Ricci tensor, Hessian tensor.

This study was financially supported by Chonnam National University in the program, 1998.

THEOREM 1. *Let $M = B \times_f F$ be an Einstein warped product space. If B is a compact 2-dimensional Riemannian surface, then the warped product is simply a Riemannian product.*

For warped products with 1-dimensional base, see Theorem 9.110 in [2].

In [2] A. L. Besse state, without proof, a theorem(Theorem 9.119, due to R.S. Palais, C. L. Terng, A. Derdzinski) from which we may deduce Theorem 1. But we couldn't find a proof of the theorem anywhere. So we give an elementary proof of Theorem 1.

1. Proof of Theorem 1

We denote by $\text{Ric}^B, \text{Ric}^F$ the lifts to M of Ricci curvatures of B and F , respectively. Then we have the following([7]):

PROPOSITION 2. *The Ricci curvature Ric of the warped product $M = B \times_f F$ with $k = \dim F$ satisfies*

- (1) $\text{Ric}(X, Y) = \text{Ric}^B(X, Y) - \frac{k}{f}H^f(X, Y),$
 - (2) $\text{Ric}(X, V) = 0,$
 - (3) $\text{Ric}(V, W) = \text{Ric}^F(V, W) - g(V, W)f^\#, f^\# = \frac{-\Delta f}{f} + (k - 1)\frac{g_B(\nabla f, \nabla f)}{f^2},$
- for any horizontal vectors X, Y and any vertical vectors V, W , where H^f and Δf denote the Hessian of f and the Laplacian of f given by $-\text{tr}(H^f)$, respectively.

Hence the Einstein equations become

COROLLARY 3. *The warped product $M = B \times_f F$ is Einstein(with $\text{Ric} = \lambda g$) if and only if*

- (1.1) $\text{Ric}_B = \lambda g_B + \frac{k}{f}H^f,$
- (1.2) (F, g_F) is Einstein(with $\text{Ric}_F = \mu g_F$),
- (1.3) $-f\Delta f + (k - 1)|\nabla f|^2 + \lambda f^2 = \mu.$

Now we give a proof of Theorem 1. Let (B, g_B) be a compact 2-dimensional Riemannian surface. By Theorem 1 in [5], we may assume that $\lambda > 0$. Since B is of 2-dimensional, the Ricci tensor satisfies $\text{Ric}_B = Kg_B$, where K denotes the Gaussian curvature of B . Hence (1.1) becomes

$$(1.4) \quad H^f = \frac{f}{k}(K - \lambda)g_B.$$

Suppose that the warping function f is nonconstant. Then (1.4) shows that if p, q denote the minimum and maximum points of f , then $(B - \{p, q\}, g_B)$ is isometric with a warped product metric (Theorem 21 of [6])

$$(1.5) \quad ds^2 = dt^2 + f'(t)^2 d\theta^2$$

on $(a, b) \times S^1$, where $f = f(t)$ and $f'(t) = \frac{df}{dt}$. Obviously, we have

$$(1.6) \quad f'(a) = f'(b) = 0.$$

Since the metric (1.5) extends to a C^∞ Riemannian metric on B , we may assume that ([2], p.269 or [6], p. 123)

$$(1.7) \quad f''(a) = -f''(b) = 1.$$

Note that $\Delta f = -2f''(t)$ in the metric (1.5). Hence (1.3) becomes

$$(1.8) \quad 2f(t)f''(t) + (k-1)f'(t)^2 + \lambda f(t)^2 = \mu.$$

Hereafter, we assume that the dimension k of the fibre F is greater than or equal to 2. Integrating (1.8), we get

$$(1.9) \quad f'(t)^2 = \frac{\mu}{k-1} - \frac{\lambda}{k+1} f(t)^2 + \nu f(t)^{1-k},$$

and hence

$$(1.10) \quad f''(t) = -\frac{\lambda}{k+1} f(t) - \frac{k-1}{2} \nu f(t)^{-k},$$

where ν is a constant.

Now if we put

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{aligned} g(x) &= \frac{\mu}{k-1} - \frac{\lambda}{k+1} x^2 + \nu x^{1-k} \\ &= x^{1-k} \left(-\frac{\lambda}{k+1} x^{k+1} + \frac{\mu}{k-1} x^{k-1} + \nu \right), \end{aligned}$$

then we have $f'(t)^2 = g(f(t))$ and $f''(t) = \frac{1}{2}g'(f(t))$. If A, B denote the minimum $f(a) = f(p)$ and maximum $f(b) = f(q)$ of f , respectively, then (1.6) and (1.7) imply

$$(1.12) \quad g(A) = 0, \quad g''(A) = 2,$$

and

$$(1.13) \quad g(B) = 0, \quad g''(B) = -2.$$

From (1.11) and (1.12) we get

$$(1.14) \quad \nu = \frac{-2}{k^2 - 1} (\sqrt{1 + \mu\lambda} + k) A^k,$$

and

$$(1.15) \quad A = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\sqrt{1 + \mu\lambda} - 1).$$

And from (1.11) and (1.13) we obtain

$$(1.16) \quad B = \frac{1}{\lambda} (\sqrt{1 + \mu\lambda} + 1).$$

Since $g(B) = 0$, from (1.11), (1.14), (1.15) and (1.16) we see that the positive constant $y = \sqrt{1 + \mu\lambda}$ is a positive zero of the following polynomial:

$$(1.17) \quad h_k(y) = (k-1)(y+1)^{k+1} - (k+1)(y^2-1)(y+1)^{k-1} + 2(y+k)(y-1)^k.$$

It can be easily shown that $h_k(y)$ is a polynomial of degree $k-2$ which can be expanded as follows:

$$h_k(y) = 8 \sum_{j=1}^{\lfloor \frac{k-1}{2} \rfloor} j \binom{k+1}{2j+1} y^{k-2j},$$

where $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$ denotes the Gaussian integer function. Since all the coefficients of $h_k(y)$ are positive, it cannot have a positive zero. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 1 in case $k \geq 2$. If $k = 1$, then a similar argument to the above proves the theorem.

Added in Proof. Just before this article comes to be published, Prof. R. S. Palais sent me the preliminary version ([8]) of an unpublished article (jointly with C. L. Terng and A. Derdzinski). It only has the statements of their results from which we may deduce Theorem 9.119 in [2]. But his treatments seem to be different from mine. I would like to express my gratitude to Prof. Palais for his kindness.

References

1. J. K. Beem, P. E. Ehrlich and K. L. Easley, *Global Lorentzian Geometry*(2nd ed.), Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1996).
2. A. L. Besse, *Einstein Manifolds*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg (1987).
3. R. L. Bishop and B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969), 1-49.
4. D.-S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, *A characterization of space forms*, Bull. of Korean Math. Soc. **35**(4) (1998), 757-767.
5. D.-S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, *Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature*, submitted.
6. W. Kühnel, *Conformal transformations between Einstein spaces*, Conformal Geometry, Aspects of Math.,E12, Vieweg, Braunschweig (1988), 105-146.
7. B. O'Neill, *Semi-Riemannian Geometry with applications to Relativity*, Academic Press, New York (1983).
8. R. S. Palais, *Warped products and Einstein Manifolds* (Joint work with A. Derdzinski and C. L. Terng), Proceedings of Differential Geometry Meeting, Münster (1982), 44-47.