

## COMMON FIXED POINTS FOR COMPATIBLE MAPPINGS OF TYPE(A) IN 2-METRIC SPACES

WEN-ZUO WANG

*Shenyang Science and Technology Cadres'College,  
Shenyang, Liaoning, 110013, People's Republic of China.*

**Abstract.** In this paper we obtain a criterion for the existence of a common fixed point of a pair of mappings in 2-metric spaces. Our result generalizes a number of fixed point theorems given by Imdad, Khan and Khan [1], Kahn and Fisher [2], Kubiak [3], Rhoades [5], and Singh, Tiwari and Gupta [6].

### 1. Introduction

Rhoades [5] and Singh, Tiwari and Gupta [6] obtained a few fixed point theorems for contractive type mappings in 2-metric spaces. Murthy, Chang, Cho and Sharma [4] introduced the concept of compatible mappings of type(A) in 2-metric spaces and proved common fixed point theorems for compatible mappings of type(A) in 2-metric spaces. Imdad, Khan and Khan [1], Khan and Fisher [2] and Kubiak [3] established some necessary and sufficient conditions which guarantee the existence of a common fixed point for a pair of continuous mappings in 2-metric spaces.

In this paper we establish a criterion for the existence of a common fixed point of a pair of mappings of 2-metric spaces. Our result generalizes the corresponding results of Imdad, Khan and Khan [1], Kahn and Fisher [2], Kubiak [3], Rhoades [5], and Singh, Tiwari and Gupta [6].

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $N$  and  $\omega$  denote the sets of positive and non-negative integers, respectively. Let  $R^+ = [0, \infty)$  and  $\Phi$  the family of all functions  $\varphi : (R^+)^5 \rightarrow R^+$  with the following properties:

(i)  $\varphi$  is upper semicontinuous, nondecreasing in each coordinate variable.

---

Received November 23, 1999.

1991 AMS Subject Classification : 54H25.

Key words and phrases : common fixed points, compatible mappings of type(A), 2-metric spaces.

(ii)  $a(t) = \max\{\varphi(t, 0, 0, t, t), \varphi(t, t, t, 2t, 0), \varphi(t, t, t, 0, 2t)\} < t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be mappings from a 2-metric spaces  $(X, d)$  into itself.  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be compatible of type(A) if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fgx_n, ggx_n, a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gfx_n, gfx_n, a) = 0$$

for all  $a \in X$ , whenever  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$  for some  $t \in X$ .

DEFINITION 2.2. A mapping  $f$  from a 2-metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself is said to be continuous at  $x \in X$  if for every sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x, a) = 0$  for all  $a \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, fx, a) = 0$ .  $f$  is called continuous on  $X$  if it is so at all points of  $X$ .

LEMMA 2.1([4]). Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible mappings of type(A) from a 2-metric spaces  $(X, d)$  into itself. If  $ft = gt$  for some  $t \in X$ , then  $fgt = ggt = gft = fft$ .

LEMMA 2.2([4]). Let  $f$  and  $g$  be compatible mappings of type(A) from a 2-metric spaces  $(X, d)$  into itself. If  $f$  is continuous at some  $t \in X$  and if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = t$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gfx_n = ft$ .

LEMMA 2.3([7]). For each  $t > 0$ ,  $a(t) < t$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a^n(t) = 0$ , where  $a^n$  denotes the  $n$ -times composition of  $a$ .

### 3. Characterization of common fixed points

Our result is as follows.

THEOREM 3.1. Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete 2-metric space with  $d$  continuous on  $X$  and let  $h$  and  $t$  be two mappings of  $X$  into itself. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $h$  and  $t$  have a common fixed point;
- (2) there exist  $\varphi \in \Phi$ ,  $f : X \rightarrow t(X)$  and  $g : X \rightarrow h(X)$  satisfying (a1), (a2) and (a3):
  - (a1) the pairs  $f, h$  and  $g, t$  are compatible,
  - (a2) one of  $f, g, h$  and  $t$  is continuous,
  - (a3)  $d(fx, gy, a) \leq \varphi(d(hx, ty, a), d(hx, fx, a), d(ty, gy, a), d(hx, gy, a), d(ty, fx, a))$

for all  $x, y, a \in X$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). Let  $z$  be a common fixed point of  $h$  and  $t$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow t(X)$  and  $g : X \rightarrow h(X)$  by  $fx = gx = z$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then (a1), (a2) and (a3) hold for any  $\varphi \in \Phi$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $x_0$  be an arbitrary point in  $X$ . Since  $f(X) \subset t(X)$  and  $g(X) \subset h(X)$ , there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  and  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  in  $X$  satisfying  $y_{2n} = tx_{2n+1} = fx_{2n}$ ,  $y_{2n+1} = hx_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+1}$  for  $n \in \omega$ . Define  $d_n(a) = d(y_n, y_{n+1}, a)$  for  $a \in X$  and  $n \in \omega$ . We claim that for any  $i, j, k \in \omega$

$$(3.1) \quad d(y_i, y_j, y_k) = 0.$$

Suppose that  $d_{2n}(y_{2n+2}) > 0$ . Using (a3), we have

$$d(fx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) \leq \varphi(d(hx_{2n+2}, tx_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d(hx_{2n+2}, fx_{2n+2}, y_{2n}), d(tx_{2n+1}, gx_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d(hx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}, y_{2n}), d(tx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2}, y_{2n})),$$

which implies that

$$d_{2n}(y_{2n+2}) \leq \varphi(0, d_{2n}(y_{2n+2}), 0, 0, 0) \leq a(d_{2n}(y_{2n+2})),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $d_{2n}(y_{2n+2}) = 0$ . Similarly, we have  $d_{2n+1}(y_{2n+3}) = 0$ . Thus  $d_n(y_{n+2}) = 0$  for all  $n \in \omega$ . Note that

$$(3.2) \quad d(y_n, y_{n+2}, a) \leq d_n(y_{n+2}) + d_n(a) + d_{n+1}(a) = d_n(a) + d_{n+1}(a).$$

It follows from (a3) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n+1}(a) &\leq \varphi(d(hx_{2n+2}, tx_{2n+1}, a), d(fx_{2n+2}, hx_{2n+2}, a), \\ &\quad d(gx_{2n+1}, tx_{2n+1}, a), d(hx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}, a), d(hx_{2n+2}, gx_{2n+1}, a)) \\ &\leq \varphi(d_{2n}(a), d_{2n+1}(a), d_{2n}(a), 0, d_{2n}(a) + d_{2n+1}(a)) \\ &\leq a(\max\{d_{2n}(a), d_{2n+1}(a)\}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $d_{2n+1}(a) > d_{2n}(a)$ . Then  $d_{2n+1}(a) \leq a(d_{2n+1}(a)) < d_{2n+1}(a)$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $d_{2n+1}(a) \leq d_{2n}(a)$  and so  $d_{2n+1}(a) \leq a(d_{2n}(a))$ . Similarly, we have  $d_{2n}(a) \leq a(d_{2n-1}(a))$ . That is, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(3.3) \quad d_{n+1}(a) \leq a(d_n(a)).$$

Let  $n, m$  be in  $\omega$ . If  $n \geq m$ , then  $0 = d_m(y_m) \geq d_n(y_m)$ ; if  $n < m$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_n(y_m) &\leq d_n(y_{m-1}) + d_{m-1}(y_n) + d_{m-1}(y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq d_n(y_{m-1}) + d_n(y_n) + d_n(y_{n+1}) \\ &\leq d_n(y_{m-1}) \leq d_n(y_{m-2}) \leq \Lambda \leq d_n(y_{n+1}) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any  $n, m \in \omega$

$$(3.4) \quad d_n(y_m) = 0.$$

For all  $i, j, k \in \omega$ , we may without loss of generality assume that  $i < j$ . It follows from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_i, y_j, y_k) &\leq d_i(y_j) + d_i(y_k) + d(y_{i+1}, y_j, y_k) = d(y_{i+1}, y_j, y_k) \\ &\leq d(y_{i+2}, y_j, y_k) \leq \Lambda \leq d(y_{j-1}, y_j, y_k) = d_{j-1}(y_k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (3.1) holds. In view of (3.3) and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_n(a) = 0.$$

In order to show that  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  is a Cauchy sequence, by (3.5), it is sufficient to show that  $\{y_{2n}\}_{n \in \omega}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that  $\{y_{2n}\}_{n \in \omega}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exist  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $a \in X$  such that for each even integer  $2k$ , there are even integers  $2m(k)$  and  $2n(k)$  with  $2m(k) > 2n(k) > 2k$  and  $d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}, a) \geq \epsilon$ .

For each even integer let  $2m(k)$  be the least even integer exceeding  $2n(k)$  satisfying the above inequality, so that

$$(3.6) \quad d(y_{2m(k)-2}, y_{2n(k)}, a) \leq \epsilon, \quad d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}, a) > \epsilon.$$

For each even integer  $2k$ , by (3.1) and (3.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &< d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}, a) \\ &\leq d(y_{2m(k)-2}, y_{2n(k)}, a) + d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}, a) \\ &\quad + d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}) \\ &\leq \epsilon + d(y_{2m(k)-2}, y_{2m(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}) + d(y_{2m(k)-2}, y_{2m(k)-1}, a) \\ &\quad + d(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2m(k)}, a) \\ &= \epsilon + d_{2m(k)-2}(a) + d_{2m(k)-2}(a) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$(3.7) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)}, a) = \epsilon.$$

It follows from (3.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &< d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}, a) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}, a) \\ &\leq d(y_{2m(k)-2}, y_{2m(k)}, a) \leq d_{2m(k)-2}(a) + d_{2m(k)-1}(a). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.5) and (3.7), we have

$$(3.8) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-2}, a) = \epsilon.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} |d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}, a) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}, a)| &\leq d_{2m(k)-1}(a) \\ &\quad + d_{2m(k)-1}(y_{2n(k)}), \\ |d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)}, a) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}, a)| &\leq d_{2n(k)}(a) \\ &\quad + d_{2n(k)}(y_{2m(k)}), \\ |d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}, a) - d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}, a)| &\leq d_{2n(k)}(a) \\ &\quad + d_{2n(k)}(y_{2m(k)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)-1}, a) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)}, a) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{2n(k)+1}, y_{2m(k)-1}, a) = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (a3) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2n(k)+1}, a) &= d(fx_{2m(k)}, gx_{2n(k)+1}, a) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(hx_{2m(k)}, tx_{2n(k)+1}, a), d(hx_{2m(k)}, fx_{2m(k)}, a), \\ &\quad d(tx_{2n(k)+1}, gx_{2n(k)+1}, a), \\ &\quad d(hy_{2m(k)}, gy_{2n(k)+1}, a), d(ty_{2n(k)+1}, fy_{2m(k)}, a)) \\ &= \varphi(d(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)}, a), d_{2m(k)-1}(a), d_{2n(k)}(a), \\ &\quad d(y_{2m(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)+1}, a), d(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)}, a)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , by (3.9), (3.7) and (3.5) we have

$$\epsilon \leq \varphi(\epsilon, 0, 0, \epsilon, \epsilon) \leq a(\epsilon) < \epsilon,$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\{y_{2n}\}_{n \in \omega}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

It follows from completeness of  $(X, d)$  that  $\{y_n\}_{n \in \omega}$  converges to a point  $u \in X$ . Now, suppose that  $t$  is continuous. Since  $g$  and  $t$  are compatible of type(A) and  $\{gx_{2n+1}\}_{n \in \omega}$  and  $\{tx_{2n+1}\}_{n \in \omega}$  converge to the point  $u$ , by Lemma 2.2 we get that  $gtx_{2n+1}, ttx_{2n+1} \rightarrow tu$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In virtue of (a3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_{2n}, gtx_{2n+1}, a) &\leq \varphi(d(hx_{2n}, ttx_{2n+1}, a), d(hx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, a), \\ &\quad d(ttx_{2n+1}, gtx_{2n+1}, a), d(hx_{2n}, gtx_{2n+1}, a), d(ttx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n}, a)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$d(u, tu, a) \leq \varphi(d(u, tu, a), 0, 0, d(u, tu, a), d(tu, u, a)) \leq a(d(u, tu, a)),$$

which implies that  $u = tu$ . It follows from (a3) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(fx_{2n}, gu, a) &\leq \varphi(d(hx_{2n}, tu, a), d(hx_{2n}, fx_{2n}, a), d(tu, gu, a), \\ &\quad d(hx_{2n}, gu, a), d(tu, fx_{2n}, a)). \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$d(u, gu, a) \leq \varphi(0, 0, d(u, gu, a), d(u, gu, a), 0) \leq a(d(u, gu, a)),$$

which implies that  $u = gu$ . It follows from  $g(X) \subset h(X)$  that there exists  $\nu \in X$  with  $u = gu = h\nu$ . From (a3) we get that

$$d(f\nu, gu, a) \leq \varphi(0, d(h\nu, f\nu, a), 0, 0, d(tu, f\nu, a)) \leq a(d(u, f\nu, a)).$$

Therefore  $u = f\nu$ . Lemma 2.1 ensures that  $fu = fh\nu = hf\nu = hu$ . By (a3) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d(fu, gu, a) &\leq \varphi(d(fu, gu, a), 0, 0, d(fu, gu, a), d(fu, gu, a)) \\ &\leq a(d(fu, gu, a)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $u = fu$ . That is,  $u$  is a common fixed point of  $f, g, h$  and  $t$ . Similarly, we complete the proof when  $f$  or  $g$  or  $h$  is continuous. This completes the proof.

**REMARK 3.1.** Theorem 3.1 generalizes Theorem 3.3 of Imdad, Khan and Khan [1], Theorem 2 of Khan and Fisher [2], Theorem 1 of Kubiak [3], Theorem 4 of Rhoades [5], Theorem 1 of Singh, Tiwari and Gupta [6].

### References

1. M. Imdad, M.S. Khan and M.D. Khan, *A common fixed point theorem in 2-metric spaces*, Math. Japon. **36** (1991), 907–914.
2. M.S. Khan and B. Fisher, *Some fixed point theorems for commuting mappings*, Math. Nachr. **106** (1982), 323–326.
3. T. Kubiak, *Common fixed points of pairwise commuting mappings*, Math. Nachr. **118** (1984), 123–127.
4. P.P. Murthy, S.S. Chang, Y.J. Cho and B.K. Sharma, *Compatible mappings of type(A) and common fixed point theorems*, Kyungpook Math. J. **32** (1992), 203–216.
5. B.E. Rhoades, *Contraction type mappings on a 2-metric space*, Math. Nachr. **91** (1979), 151–154.
6. S.L. Singh, B.M.L. Tiwari and C.K. Gupta, *Common fixed points of commuting mappings in 2-metric spaces and applications*, Math. Nachr. **95** (1980), 293–297.
7. S.P. Singh and B.A. Meade, *On common fixed point theorems*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **16** (1977), 49–53.