

ON THE GEODESIC CURVATURE OF A SIMPLE CLOSED CURVE IN \mathbb{R}^2 , THE UNIT SPHERE S^2 OR THE HYPERBOLIC PLANE H^2

JAE-UP SO

*Dept. of Mathematics, Chonbuk National University,
Chonju 561-756, Korea.*

E-mail : jaeup @ moak.chonbuk.ac.kr.

Abstract. We establish a sufficient condition for a simple closed curve in the Euclidean plain \mathbb{R}^2 , the unit sphere S^2 or the hyperbolic plane H^2 to be the boundary of a metric ball.

1. Introduction

In 1990, L. Coghlan and Y. Itokawa [2] proved

THEOREM. *Let $f : M \rightarrow M^{d+1}(c)$ be an isometric immersion of a compact Riemannian manifold of dimension $d \geq 2$ into a simply connected space form of constant curvature c and let K be the sectional curvature of M . Suppose that $f(M)$ is contained in a metric ball B_r of radius r . Assume either one of the following conditions:*

- $c = 0$ and $\sup K = \frac{1}{r^2}$,
- $c > 0$, $r \leq \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{c}}$ and $\sup K = c \csc^2(\sqrt{c}r)$,
- $c < 0$ and $\sup K = -c \operatorname{csch}^2(\sqrt{-c}r)$.

Then $f(M)$ is the boundary of the metric ball.

Let M be the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 , the unit sphere S^2 or the hyperbolic plane H^2 . And let B_r be the closed normal ball of radius r in M . Let $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$ be a simple closed C^∞ -curve in M with the same orientation

Received April 10, 2000.

1991 AMS Subject Classification : 53C40.

Key words and phrases : geodesic curvature, hessian, subharmonic, isoperimetric inequality.

as ∂B_r . Suppose that on M an orientation is given such that the geodesic curvature of ∂B_r is positive. Then, the purpose of this paper is to prove the following two analogous results of the above Theorem for the geodesic curvature κ_g of a simple closed curve α in M .

THEOREM 1. *Let $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$ be a simple closed c^∞ -curve parametrized by arc-length. Suppose that $\alpha(I)$ is contained in a closed normal ball B_r of radius r in M . Assume either one of the following conditions:*

- $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\sup \kappa_g = \frac{1}{r}$,
- $M = S^2$, $r \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\sup \kappa_g = \cot r$,
- $M = H^2$ and $\sup \kappa_g = \coth r$.

Then $\alpha(I)$ coincides with ∂B_r .

THEOREM 2. *Let $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$ be a simple closed c^∞ -curve parametrized by arc-length. Suppose that the domain D bounded by α contains a closed normal ball B_r of radius r with center p . Assume either one of the following conditions:*

- $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\inf \kappa_g = \frac{1}{r}$,
- $M = S^2$, $\alpha(I) \subset B_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(p)$ and $\inf \kappa_g = \cot r$,
- $M = H^2$ and $\inf \kappa_g = \coth r$.

Then $\alpha(I)$ coincides with ∂B_r .

2. Preliminary Results

Throughout this paper, M will be as described in the first paragraph. Let $\bar{\nabla}$ and \langle, \rangle be the connection and metric tensor respectively of M . If $\alpha : I \rightarrow M$ is a unit speed c^∞ -curve in a surface M oriented by a frame field E_1 and E_2 , then $T = \alpha'$ is the *unit tangent vector field* of α . On α , we put

$$U = E_1 \times E_2 \quad \text{and} \quad N = U \times T.$$

Then N is called the *principal normal vector field* of α . The *geodesic curvature* κ_g of α is the real valued function on I defined by

$$\kappa_g = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha', N \rangle.$$

Suppose α has the same orientation as ∂B_r , such that under it, the geodesic curvature of ∂B_r is positive.

Let f be a smooth function on M and Δ the Laplacian on α . Then we have

$$\Delta(f|\alpha) = Hess. f|\alpha(\alpha', \alpha') = Hess. f(\alpha', \alpha') + \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha')^\perp, grad f \rangle. \quad (1)$$

We use the following lemma (cf. [1]) to prove Theorem 1.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let p be a point in M and let $l(x)$ be the distance function from p to x in M . Then on M*

$$\begin{cases} (a) & Hess. l^2 = 2 \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle & \text{if } M = \mathbb{R}^2, \\ (b) & Hess. (-\cos l) = \cos l \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle & \text{if } M = S^2, \\ (c) & Hess. \cosh l = \cosh l \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle & \text{if } M = H^2. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $\partial/\partial l, V$ be perpendicular vector fields on a neighborhood of $q \in S^2$ such that $|V| = \sin l$, that $\bar{\nabla}_V V$ is parallel to $\partial/\partial l$, and that V is a Jacobi field along any geodesic through p . Clearly $\bar{\nabla}_V \partial/\partial l$ is parallel to V and $[V, \partial/\partial l] = 0$. Hence

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_V \frac{\partial}{\partial l}, V \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial l} V, V \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \langle V, V \rangle = \sin l \cos l,$$

and

$$\bar{\nabla}_V \frac{\partial}{\partial l} = \bar{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial l} V = \cot l V.$$

So

$$\langle \bar{\nabla}_V V, \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \rangle = -\langle V, \bar{\nabla}_V \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \rangle = -\sin l \cos l.$$

Hence

$$\bar{\nabla}_V V = -\sin l \cos l \frac{\partial}{\partial l}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Hess. \cos l (V, V) &= VV \cos l - \bar{\nabla}_V V \cos l \\ &= -\sin^2 l \cos l = -\cos l \langle V, V \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess. } \cos l \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial l}, V \right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial l} V \cos l - (\bar{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial l} V) \cos l \\ &= -\cot l V \cos l = 0 = -\cos l \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial l}, V \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hess. } \cos l \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial l}, \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \right) &= \frac{d^2}{dl^2} \cos l - (\bar{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial l} \frac{\partial}{\partial l}) \cos l \\ &= -\cos l = -\cos l \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial l}, \frac{\partial}{\partial l} \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the proof for S^2 . Similar proofs hold for \mathbb{R}^2 and H^2 .

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let p be the center of the closed normal ball $B_r \subset M$ that contains $\alpha(I)$. Let $l(x)$ be the distance function from p to x in M and let $q \in \alpha(I)$ be a local maximum point for the function $l|_{\alpha}$. Consider the unique normal geodesic $\gamma : [0, l(q)] \rightarrow B_r$ from $\gamma(0) = p$ to $\gamma(l(q)) = q$ ($l(q) \leq r$).

The following two lemmas have analogies with results in [2] which are proved by using a function $l^2/2$. But we find difficulty in using the function in the case H^2 .

LEMMA 3.1. *Let q be defined as previously noted. Then,*

$$l(q) = r.$$

Proof. Since q is a local maximum point for $l|_{\alpha}$,

$$\langle \alpha', \text{grad} l \rangle_q = \alpha'(q)(l) = (l \circ \alpha)'(s) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\alpha'(q) \perp \text{grad} l(q) \text{ and } \text{grad} l(q) = -N(q). \quad (2)$$

Here, we define a function $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} l^2(x) & \text{if } M = \mathbb{R}^2, \\ -\cos l(x) & \text{if } M = S^2, \\ \cosh l(x) & \text{if } M = H^2. \end{cases}$$

Since q is a local maximum point for $l|\alpha$, it is also a local maximum point for $f|\alpha$. Hence

$$\text{Hess. } f|\alpha(q)(\alpha', \alpha') \leq 0.$$

From (1), Lemma 2.1 and (2), we obtain

In the case $M = S^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \text{Hess. } f|\alpha(q)(\alpha', \alpha') \\ &= \text{Hess. } f(q)(\alpha', \alpha') + \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha')^\perp, \text{grad } f \rangle_q \\ &= \cos l(q) \langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle + \sin l(q) \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha')^\perp, \text{grad } l \rangle_q \\ &= \cos l(q) - \sin l(q) \kappa_g(q). \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\kappa_g(q) \geq \cot l(q).$$

From the hypothesis $\sup \kappa_g = \cot r$, we have

$$l(q) = r.$$

In the case $M = \mathbb{R}^2$ or H^2 , we can prove it in the same way.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $q \in \alpha(I)$ be a point such that $l(q) = r$. Then there is a neighborhood U of q in α such that $f|\alpha$ is subharmonic on it.*

Proof. We define an auxiliary support function $s : \alpha(I) \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ by

$$s(x) = \langle N, \text{grad } l \rangle_x.$$

Since $\langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha')^\perp, N \rangle_x = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha', N \rangle_x = \kappa_g(x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha')^\perp, \text{grad } l \rangle_x &= \langle \kappa_g N, \text{grad } l \rangle_x \\ &= \kappa_g(x) s(x). \end{aligned}$$

Let $U = \{x \in \alpha(I) \mid s(x) < 0 \text{ and } \kappa_g(x) > 0\}$. Since $s(q) = -1$ and $\kappa_g(q) > 0$, U is a neighborhood of q in α . We claim that on U , $\Delta(f|\alpha) \geq 0$. Let us prove it in the case $M = H^2$. At each $x \in U$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f|\alpha)(x) &= \text{Hess. } f(x)(\alpha', \alpha') + \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha')^\perp, \text{grad } f \rangle_x \\ &= \cosh l(x) \langle \alpha', \alpha' \rangle + \sinh l(x) \langle (\bar{\nabla}_{\alpha'} \alpha')^\perp, \text{grad } l \rangle_x \\ &= \cosh l(x) + \sinh l(x) \kappa_g(x) s(x) \\ &\geq \cosh l(x) - \sinh l(x) \kappa_g(x) \\ &\geq \cosh l(x) - \sinh l(x) \coth r \\ &\geq \cosh l(x) - \sinh l(x) \coth l(x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similar proofs hold for \mathbb{R}^2 and S^2 .

Lemma 3.2 implies by the maximum principle for subharmonic functions that $f|_{\alpha(x)} \equiv f|_{\alpha(q)}$ on U , and so $l(x) \equiv l(q) = r$ on U . But this implies that if $q \in \alpha(I)$ has $l(q) = r$, then $l \equiv r$ in a neighborhood of q . Let $V = \{q \in \alpha(I) \mid l(q) = r\}$. Then $V \neq \emptyset$ and V is a closed and open subset of $\alpha(I)$. Since $\alpha(I)$ is connected, $V = \alpha(I)$ and $\alpha(I)$ coincides with ∂B_r , which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

If φ is an angle function from E_1 to α' along α , then it is well known [3] that

$$\kappa_g = \frac{d\varphi}{ds} + \omega_{12}(\alpha'),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\alpha} \kappa_g ds &= 2\pi + \int_{\alpha} \omega_{12} = 2\pi + \int_D d\omega_{12} \\ &= 2\pi + \int_D (-K dM) = 2\pi - KA, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where A is the area of D .

Let L be the length of α . Then since the domain D bounded by α contains B_r ,

$$L \geq \text{the length of } \partial B_r \quad \text{and} \quad A \geq \text{the area of } B_r. \quad (4)$$

(a) In the case $M = S^2$, since $K = 1$, (3) and the hypothesis $\inf \kappa_g = \cot r$ imply

$$2\pi - A = \int_{\alpha} \kappa_g ds \geq \int_{\alpha} \cot r ds = \cot r L.$$

Here, since the area of $B_r = 2\pi(1 - \cos r)$,

$$A \geq 2\pi(1 - \cos r).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &\leq \frac{1}{\cot r} (2\pi - A) \\ &\leq \tan r \{2\pi - 2\pi(1 - \cos r)\} \\ &= 2\pi \sin r. \end{aligned} \quad (a.1)$$

And, since the length of $\partial B_r = 2\pi \sin r$, (4) implies

$$L \geq 2\pi \sin r. \tag{a.2}$$

From (a.1) and (a.2), $L = 2\pi \sin r$ and this means that $\alpha(I)$ coincides with ∂B_r .

(b) In the case $M = H^2$, since $K = -1$, the hypothesis $\inf \kappa_g = \coth r$ implies

$$2\pi + A = \int_{\alpha} \kappa_g ds \geq \int_{\alpha} \coth r ds = \coth r L.$$

Hence, we have

$$L \leq \frac{1}{\coth r} (2\pi + A) = \tanh r (2\pi + A). \tag{b.1}$$

And, since the length of $\partial B_r = 2\pi \sinh r$, (4) implies

$$L \geq 2\pi \sinh r. \tag{b.2}$$

Since the area of $B_r = 2\pi (\cosh r - 1)$, (4) implies

$$A \geq 2\pi (\cosh r - 1). \tag{b.3}$$

If we put $A_1 = A -$ (the area of B_r), then

$$A = 2\pi (\cosh r - 1) + A_1. \tag{b.4}$$

Hence, from (b.1) and (b.4)

$$L \leq \tanh r (2\pi \cosh r + A_1) = 2\pi \sinh r + A_1 \tanh r. \tag{b.5}$$

Given a domain D bounded by a curve α in H^2 , E. Schmidt [4] in 1940 proved that the area A of D and the length L of α are related by the isoperimetric inequality

$$4\pi A \leq L^2 - A^2.$$

Suppose that $A_1 \neq 0$, namely, $\alpha(I) \neq \partial B_r$. Then, from (b.4) and (b.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (L^2 - A^2) - 4\pi A &\leq (2\pi \sinh r + A_1 \tanh r)^2 - \{2\pi (\cosh r - 1) + A_1\}^2 \\ &\quad - 4\pi \{2\pi (\cosh r - 1) + A_1\} \\ &= -4\pi A_1 \frac{1}{\cosh r} - A_1^2 (1 - \tanh^2 r) < 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts to the isoperimetric inequality. Hence $\alpha(I)$ coincides with ∂B_r .

References

- [1] J.G. Choe and R. Gulliver, *Isoperimetric Inequalities on Minimal Submanifolds of Space Forms*, Research Institute of Mathematics Global Analysis Research Center **91-6** (1990).
- [2] L. Coghlan and Y. Itokawa, *On the sectional curvature of compact hypersurfaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** no. 1 (1990), 215–221.
- [3] B. O'Neill, *Elementary Differential Geometry*, academic Press, New York, 1966.
- [4] E. Schmidt, *Über die isoperimetrische Aufgabe im n -dimensionalen Raum konstanter negativer Krümmung. I. Die isoperimetrischen Ungleichungen in der hyperbolischen Ebene und für Rotationskörper im n -dimensionalen hyperbolischen Raum.*, Math. Z. **46** (1940), 204–230.