

Variational-Type Inequalities on Reflexive Banach Spaces

Lee, Byung-Soo (Kyungsung University)
Lee, Suk-Jin¹⁾(Kyungsung University)
Jung, Doo-Young (Kyungsung University)

In this paper, we consider the existence of solutions to the variational-type inequalities for single-valued mappings and set-valued mappings on reflexive Banach spaces using Fan's section theorem.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Variational inequalities introduced by Hartman and Stampacchia[5] have been extended and generalized in various directions as a powerful tool of current mathematical technology.

Recently, Behera and Panda[3] introduced variational-type inequalities for single-valued mappings.

In this paper, we consider the existence of the solutions to the variational-type inequalities for single-valued mappings on reflexive Banach spaces, under different conditions from Behera and Panda[3]. And we consider the existence of the solutions to the variational-type inequalities for set-valued mappings on reflexive Banach spaces.

Now we introduce the following famous Fan's section theorem[4].

Theorem 1. 1. Let K be a nonempty compact convex subset of a Hausdorff topological vector space X . Let A be a subset of $K \times K$ satisfying the following conditions ;

1) Corresponding author.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 49J40.

Key words and phrases. Fan's section theorem, variational-type inequality, set-valued mapping.

- (1) for each $x \in K$, $(x, x) \in A$,
 (2) for each fixed $x \in K$, the set $A_x := \{y \in K : (x, y) \in A\}$ is closed in K ,
 (3) for each fixed $y \in K$, the set $A^y := \{x \in K : (x, y) \in A\}$ is convex in K .
 Then there exists an $x_0 \in K$ such that $K \times \{x_0\} \subset A$.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\langle y, x \rangle$ the duality mapping between elements $y \in X^*$ and $x \in X$.

2. In case of single-valued mappings

Now we consider variational-type inequalities for single-valued mappings.

Theorem 2. 1. Let K be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of a reflexive Banach space X and X^* be the dual of X . Assume that $T: K \rightarrow X^*$, $\theta: K \times K \rightarrow X$ and $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are mappings satisfying the following conditions ;

(1) $\langle T(x), \theta(x, x) \rangle + \eta(x, x) = 0$ for each $x \in K$,

(2) the mapping

$$x \mapsto \langle T(y), \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x)$$

of K into \mathbb{R} is convex for each $y \in K$,

(3) the mapping

$$y \mapsto \langle T(y), \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x)$$

of K into \mathbb{R} is continuous for each $x \in K$.

Then there exists an $x_0 \in K$ such that for all $y \in K$

$$\langle T(x_0), \theta(y, x_0) \rangle + \eta(x_0, y) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $A := \{(x, y) \in K \times K : \langle T(y), \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x) \geq 0\}$, then it is easily shown that $(x, x) \in A$. For each fixed $x \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} A_x &:= \{y \in K : (x, y) \in A\} \\ &= \{y \in K : \langle T(y), \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x) \geq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is closed. Indeed, let $\{y_\lambda\}$ be a net in A_x such that $y_\lambda \rightarrow y_0$. Since $y_\lambda \in A_x$,

we have

$$\langle T(y_\lambda), \theta(x, y_\lambda) \rangle + \eta(y_\lambda, x) \geq 0.$$

Hence by the condition (3),

$$\langle T(y_\lambda), \theta(x, y_\lambda) \rangle + \eta(y_\lambda, x) \rightarrow \langle T(y_0), \theta(x, y_0) \rangle + \eta(y_0, x).$$

Thus

$$\langle T(y_0), \theta(x, y_0) \rangle + \eta(y_0, x) \geq 0.$$

Hence $y_0 \in A_x$ and A_x is closed.

On the other hand, for each fixed $y \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} A^y &:= \{x \in K : (x, y) \in A\} \\ &= \{x \in K : \langle T(y), \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x) < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is convex. In fact, let $x_1, x_2 \in A^y$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $z = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2$, then by the condition (2),

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle T(y), \theta(z, y) \rangle + \eta(y, z) \\ &= \langle T(y), \theta(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2, y) \rangle + \eta(y, \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) \\ &\leq \alpha [\langle T(y), \theta(x_1, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x_1)] + (1 - \alpha) [\langle T(y), \theta(x_2, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x_2)] \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $z \in A^y$ and A^y is convex. Thus by Theorem 1. 1, there exists an $x_0 \in K$ such that $K \times \{x_0\} \subset A$. This implies that there exists an $x_0 \in K$ such that

$$\langle T(x_0), \theta(y, x_0) \rangle + \eta(x_0, y) \geq 0,$$

for all $y \in K$.

Remark 2. 2. We obtained the same result under different conditions in [3].

3. In case of set-valued mappings

Definition 3. 1[2]. Let X, Y be two topological vector spaces and $T: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a set-valued mapping. T is said to be upper semicontinuous (briefly, u.s.c.) at $x_0 \in X$ if for any open neighbourhood N containing $T(x_0)$ there exists a neighbourhood M of x_0 such that $T(M) \subset N$. T is said to be u.s.c. if T is u.s.c. at every point $x \in X$.

Definition 3. 2[6]. Let X, Y be two topological vector spaces and $T: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a set-valued mapping. T is said to be closed at $x \in X$ if for each nets $\{x_\lambda\}$ converging to x and $\{y_\lambda\}$ converging to y such that $y_\lambda \in T(x_\lambda)$ for all λ , we have $y \in T(x)$. T is said to be closed if it is closed at every point $x \in X$.

Lemma 3. 1[1]. Let X, Y be two topological vector spaces and $T: X \rightarrow 2^Y$ be a set-valued mapping.

(1) if K is a compact subset of X , and T is u.s.c. and compact-valued, then $T(K)$ is compact.

(2) if T is u.s.c. and compact-valued, then T is closed.

Now we consider variational-type inequalities for set-valued mappings.

Theorem 3. 2. Let K be a nonempty closed convex and bounded subset of a reflexive Banach space X and X^* be the dual of X . Assume that $T: K \rightarrow 2^{X^*}$ is an u.s.c. mapping with compact-values, $\theta: K \times K \rightarrow X$ is a bounded mapping and $\eta: K \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a mapping satisfying the following conditions ;

(1) for each $x \in K$, there exists $t \in T(x)$ such that $\langle t, \theta(x, x) \rangle + \eta(x, x) = 0$,

(2) a mapping

$$x \mapsto \langle t, \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x)$$

of K into \mathbb{R} is convex for all $y \in K$ and for all $t \in T(y)$,

(3) for each $x \in K$, mappings $y \mapsto \theta(x, y)$ and $y \mapsto \eta(y, x)$ are continuous.

Then there exists an $x_0 \in K$ and $t_0 \in T(x_0)$ such that for any $y \in K$

$$\langle t_0, \theta(y, x_0) \rangle + \eta(x_0, y) \geq 0.$$

Proof. Let $A := \{(x, y) \in K \times K: \text{there exists } t \in T(y) \text{ such that } \langle t, \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x) \geq 0\}$, then it is easily shown that $(x, x) \in A$. For each fixed $x \in K$,

$$A_x := \{y \in K: (x, y) \in A\}$$

$$= \{y \in K: \text{there exists } t \in T(y) \text{ such that } \langle t, \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x) \geq 0\}$$

is closed. Indeed, let $\{y_\lambda\}$ be a net in A_x such that $y_\lambda \rightarrow y_0$. Since $y_\lambda \in A_x$,

there exists $t_\lambda \in T(y_\lambda)$ such that $\langle t_\lambda, \theta(x, y_\lambda) \rangle + \eta(y_\lambda, x) \geq 0$.

Since K is weakly compact, by Lemma 3. 1(1), $T(K)$ is compact and hence without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists $t_0 \in T(K)$ such that $t_\lambda \rightarrow t_0$. By Lemma 3. 1(2), T is closed, hence $t_0 \in T(y_0)$. By the condition (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle t_\lambda, \theta(x, y_\lambda) \rangle + \eta(y_\lambda, x) - (\langle t_0, \theta(x, y_0) \rangle + \eta(y_0, x)) | \\ & \leq | \langle t_\lambda, \theta(x, y_\lambda) \rangle - \langle t_0, \theta(x, y_0) \rangle | + | \eta(y_\lambda, x) - \eta(y_0, x) | \\ & \leq | \langle t_\lambda - t_0, \theta(x, y_\lambda) \rangle | + | \langle t_0, \theta(x, y_\lambda) - \theta(x, y_0) \rangle | + | \eta(y_\lambda, x) - \eta(y_0, x) | \\ & \leq \|t_\lambda - t_0\| \|\theta(x, y_\lambda)\| + \|t_0\| \|\theta(x, y_\lambda) - \theta(x, y_0)\| + | \eta(y_\lambda, x) - \eta(y_0, x) | \\ & \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty. \text{ Consequently,} \end{aligned}$$

there exists $t_0 \in T(y_0)$ such that $\langle t_0, \theta(x, y_0) \rangle + \eta(y_0, x) \geq 0$.

Hence $y_0 \in A_x$ and A_x is closed.

On the other hand, for each fixed $y \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} A^y &:= \{x \in K: (x, y) \notin A\} \\ &= \{x \in K: \text{for all } t \in T(y), \langle t, \theta(x, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x) < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

is convex. In fact, let $x_1, x_2 \in A^y, \alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $z = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2$, then for all $t \in T(y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle t, \theta(z, y) \rangle + \eta(y, z) \\ &= \langle t, \theta(\alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2, y) \rangle + \eta(y, \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2) \\ &\leq \alpha [\langle t, \theta(x_1, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x_1)] + (1 - \alpha) [\langle t, \theta(x_2, y) \rangle + \eta(y, x_2)] \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $z \in A^y$. By Theorem 1. 1, there exists an $x_0 \in K$ such that $K \times \{x_0\} \subset A$. This implies that there exists an $x_0 \in K$ and $t_0 \in T(x_0)$ such that for all $y \in K, \langle t_0, \theta(y, x_0) \rangle + \eta(x_0, y) \geq 0$.

Corollary 3. 3. *Considering $T: K \rightarrow X^*$ in Theorem 3. 2, we obtain Theorem 2. 1 as a corollary.*

References

J.-P. Aubin & A. Cellina (1984). *Differential Inclusions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

- J.-P. Aubin & H. Frankowska (1990). *Set-Valued Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston.
- A. Behera & G.K. Panda (1997). *Generalized variational-type inequality in Hausdorff topological vector spaces*, Indian J. pure appl. Math. 28(3), pp.343-349.
- K. Fan (1961). *A generalization of Tychonoff's fixed point theorem*, Math, Ann, 142, pp.305-310.
- P. Hartman & G. Stampacchia (1966). *On some nonlinear elliptic differential functional equations*, Acta Math. 15, pp.271-310.
- B.S. Lee, G.M. Lee & D.S. Kim (1997). *Generalized vector variational-like inequalities on locally convex Hausdorff topological vector spaces*, Indian J. pure appl. Math. 28(1) pp.33-41.