

## A METRIC ON NORMED ALMOST LINEAR SPACES

SANG HAN LEE AND KIL-WOUNG JUN

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce a semi-metric on a normed almost linear space  $X$  via functional. And we prove that a normed almost linear space  $X$  is complete if and only if  $V_X$  and  $W_X$  are complete when  $X$  splits as  $X = W_X + V_X$ . Also, we prove that the dual space  $X^*$  of a normed almost linear space  $X$  is complete.

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed almost linear space. In general, the function  $d(x, y) = \|x - y\|$  is not a metric on  $X$  whereas it is true for a normed linear space. G. Godini ([3]) proved that for a normed almost linear space  $X$  there exists a semi-metric which satisfies some properties. In this paper, we show that there exists a semi-metric  $\mu$  induced by a norm on a normed almost linear space  $X$  via functional. Moreover, if  $X^*$  is total over  $X$  then the semi-metric  $\mu$  is a metric. As an application, a normed almost linear space  $X$  is complete if and only if  $V_X$  and  $W_X$  are complete when  $X$  splits as  $X = W_X + V_X$ , which improves the result in [5]. Also, we prove that the dual space  $X^*$  of a normed almost linear space  $X$  is complete. All spaces involved in this paper are over the real field  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let us denote by  $\mathbb{R}_+$  the set  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \lambda \geq 0\}$ . We recall some definitions and results used in this paper.

An *almost linear space* (als) is a set  $X$  together with two mappings  $s : X \times X \rightarrow X$  and  $m : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$  satisfying the conditions  $(L_1)$ – $(L_3)$  given below. For  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we denote  $s(x, y)$  by  $x + y$  and  $m(\lambda, x)$  by  $\lambda x$ , when these will not lead to misunderstandings. Let  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $(L_1)$   $x + (y + z) = (x + y) + z$ ;  $(L_2)$   $x + y = y + x$ ;  $(L_3)$  There exists an element  $0 \in X$  such that  $x + 0 = x$  for each  $x \in X$ ;

---

Received June 5, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 46B99.

Key words and phrases: normed almost linear space, almost linear functional.

This study was supported by the Post-Doctoral Program, Korea Research Foundation, 1997.

$(L_4) 1x = x; (L_5) \lambda(x+y) = \lambda x + \lambda y; (L_6) 0x = 0; (L_7) \lambda(\mu x) = (\lambda\mu)x;$   
 $(L_8) (\lambda + \mu)x = \lambda x + \mu x$  for  $\lambda \geq 0, \mu \geq 0$ .

We denote  $-1x$  by  $-x$ , and  $x - y$  means  $x + (-y)$ . Note that  $x - x$  need not be equal to zero in an *als* since an element in an *als* does not have an inverse element. For an *als*  $X$  we introduce the following two sets:

$$V_X = \{x \in X : x - x = 0\}$$

$$W_X = \{x \in X : x = -x\}.$$

$V_X$  and  $W_X$  are almost linear subspaces of  $X$  (i.e., closed under addition and multiplication by scalars) and, in fact,  $V_X$  is a linear space. Clearly an *als*  $X$  is a linear space iff  $V_X = X$  iff  $W_X = \{0\}$ . Note that  $V_X \cap W_X = \{0\}$  and  $W_X = \{x - x : x \in X\}$ .

A *norm* on an *als*  $X$  is a functional  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the conditions  $(N_1) - (N_3)$  below. Let  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $(N_1) \|x-z\| \leq \|x-y\| + \|y-z\|;$   $(N_2) \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\|;$   $(N_3) \|x\| = 0$  iff  $x = 0$ . An *als*  $X$  together with  $\|\cdot\| : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $(N_1) - (N_3)$  is called a *normed almost linear space (nals)*. Using  $(N_1)$  we get  $\|x + y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$  and  $\|x - y\| \geq | \|x\| - \|y\| |$  for  $x, y \in X$ . By the above axioms it follows that  $\|x\| \geq 0$  for each  $x \in X$ . We denote by  $B_X$  and  $S_X$  the sets  $\{x \in X : \|x\| \leq 1\}$  and  $\{x \in X : \|x\| = 1\}$ , respectively.

The following proposition is needed in the sequel.

PROPOSITION 1 ([1]). *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a nals. Then,*

- (a) *For  $x \in X, w \in W_X$ , we have  $\max\{\|x\|, \|w\|\} \leq \|x + w\|$ .*
- (b) *The relations  $w_1 + v_1 = w_2 + v_2, w_i \in W_X, v_i \in V_X, i = 1, 2$  imply that  $w_1 = w_2$  and  $v_1 = v_2$ .*

Let  $X$  be an *als*. A functional  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called an *almost linear functional* if  $f$  is additive, positively homogeneous and  $f(w) \geq 0$  for each  $w \in W_X$ . Let  $X^\#$  be the set of all almost linear functionals on  $X$ . Define addition in  $X^\#$  by  $(f_1 + f_2)(x) = f_1(x) + f_2(x)$  for  $x \in X$  and the multiplication by scalars  $(\lambda \circ f)(x) = f(\lambda x)$  for  $x \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ . The element  $0 \in X^\#$  is the functional which is 0 for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $X^\#$  is an *als*. An almost linear subspace  $\Gamma$  of  $X^\#$  is said to be *total over*

$X$  if the relations  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ ,  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$  for each  $f \in \Gamma$  imply that  $x_1 = x_2$ .

When  $X$  is a *nals*, for  $f \in X^\#$  define  $\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ , and let  $X^* = \{f \in X^\# : \|f\| < \infty\}$ . Then  $X^*$  is a *nals* (cf. [2]). We shall call such a space  $X^*$  the *dual space* of  $X$ . For a *nals*  $X$  and  $f \in X^*$ , an equivalent formula for the norm of  $f$  is

$$\|f\| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in S_X\} = \sup\left\{\frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|} : x \in X, x \neq 0\right\},$$

hence

$$|f(x)| \leq \|f\|\|x\|.$$

In the theory of a normed linear space an important tool is the Hahn-Banach theorem. An analogous theorem is no longer true in a *nals* ([1, 4.5 Example]). But we have the following proposition.

**PROPOSITION 2** ([4]). *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a *nals*. Then,*

- (a) *For each  $x \in X$ , there exists  $f \in B_{X^*}$  such that  $f(x) = \|x\|$ .*
- (b) *If a *nals*  $X$  splits as  $X = W_X + V_X$  and  $f \in (V_X)^*$ , then there exists  $\bar{f} \in V_{X^*}$  such that  $\|\bar{f}\| = \|f\|$  and  $\bar{f}(v+w) = f(v)$  for each  $v \in V_X$ ,  $w \in W_X$ .*
- (c) *For each  $x \in X$ ,  $\|x\| = \sup\left\{\frac{|f(x)|}{\|f\|} : f \in X^*, f \neq 0\right\}$ .*

G. Godini introduced ([3, Corollary 3.3]) a semi-metric  $\rho$  on a *nals*  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  which satisfies the following properties:

- (1)  $\rho(x, v) = \|x - v\| \quad (x \in X, v \in V_X),$
- (2)  $\rho(x + z, y + z) = \rho(x, y) \quad (x, y, z \in X),$
- (3)  $\rho(\lambda x, \lambda y) = |\lambda|\rho(x, y) \quad (x, y \in X, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}),$
- (4)  $|\|x\| - \|y\|| \leq \rho(x, y) \leq \|x - y\| \quad (x, y \in X),$
- (5)  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \rho(\lambda x, x) = \rho(\lambda_0 x, x) \quad (x \in X, \lambda_0 > 0).$

G. Godini's semi-metric  $\rho$  is a metric when  $X$  has a basis (cf. [5, Theorem 6]). Now, we construct a new semi-metric on a *nals*  $X$  via functional.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a nals with dual  $X^*$ . Define  $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(6) \quad \mu(x, y) = \sup\{|f(x) - f(y)| : f \in B_{X^*}\} \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Then  $\mu$  is a semi-metric on  $X$  satisfying the properties of  $\rho$  in (1) - (5). Moreover, if  $X^*$  is total over  $X$  then  $\mu$  is a metric on  $X$ .

*Proof.* Clearly,  $\mu$  is a semi-metric on  $X$ . For  $x \in X, v \in V_X$ , there exists  $g \in B_{X^*}$  such that  $g(x-v) = \|x-v\|$  by Proposition 2(a). Hence

$$\|x - v\| = g(x - v) = |g(x) - g(v)| \leq \mu(x, v).$$

Also, for each  $f \in B_{X^*}$

$$|f(x) - f(v)| = |f(x - v)| \leq \|f\| \|x - v\| \leq \|x - v\|,$$

whence  $\mu(x, v) \leq \|x - v\|$ . Thus  $\mu(x, v) = \|x - v\|$ . For  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $f \in B_{X^*}$ , we have  $|f(x+z) - f(y+z)| = |f(x) - f(y)|$ . Hence,  $\mu(x+z, y+z) = \mu(x, y)$ . The property (3) is obvious for  $\lambda \geq 0$ . Let  $\lambda < 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\lambda x, \lambda y) &= \sup\{|f(\lambda x) - f(\lambda y)| : f \in B_{X^*}\} \\ &= \sup\{|\lambda| |f(-x) - f(-y)| : f \in B_{X^*}\} \\ &= |\lambda| \sup\{|(-1 \circ f)(x) - (-1 \circ f)(y)| : f \in B_{X^*}\} \\ &= |\lambda| \sup\{|g(x) - g(y)| : g \in B_{X^*}\}, \text{ put } g = -1 \circ f \\ &= |\lambda| \mu(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mu$  satisfies the property of  $\rho$  in (3). For  $x, y \in X$  and  $f \in B_{X^*}$ ,

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y)| \leq \mu(x, y) + \|y\|.$$

Hence  $\|x\| \leq \mu(x, y) + \|y\|$ . Similarly  $\|y\| \leq \mu(x, y) + \|x\|$ , whence the first inequality in (4) follows. Since  $-f(-x) \leq f(x)$  for each  $f \in B_{X^*}$ , we have

$$f(x) - f(y) \leq f(x) + f(-y) = f(x - y) \leq \|x - y\|.$$

Similarly,  $f(y) - f(x) \leq \|y - x\| = \|x - y\|$  for each  $f \in B_{X^*}$ . Hence  $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \|x - y\|$  for each  $f \in B_{X^*}$ , whence the right-hand side inequality in (4) follows. For  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\lambda x, x) &= \sup\{|f(\lambda x) - f(x)| : f \in B_{X^*}\} \\ &= \sup\{|\lambda - 1| |f(x)| : f \in B_{X^*}\} \\ &= |\lambda - 1| \sup\{|f(x)| : f \in B_{X^*}\} \\ &= |\lambda - 1| \|x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for  $x \in X$  and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \mu(\lambda x, x) = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} |\lambda - 1| \|x\| = |\lambda_0 - 1| \|x\| = \mu(\lambda_0 x, x),$$

whence  $\mu$  satisfies the property of  $\rho$  in (5). By definition of total, the second statement of the theorem is clear. The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

From Theorem 6 in [5] and Theorem 3, we get the following corollary.

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a nals with dual  $X^*$ . If  $X$  has a basis or  $X^*$  is total over  $X$ , then there exists a metric on  $X$  satisfying the properties of  $\rho$  in (1)-(5).*

**EXAMPLE 5.** Let  $\mathbb{R}^2$  be endowed with the Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $e_1 = (1, 0)$ ,  $e_2 = (0, 1)$ . Let  $A_i = \{\lambda e_i : \lambda \geq 0\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  and let  $X = A_1 \cup A_2$ . Define  $s(x, y) = x + y$  if both  $x, y \in A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $s(x, y) = s(y, x) = (\|x\| + \|y\|)e_2$  if  $x \in A_i \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $y \in A_j \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . And define  $m(\lambda, x) = |\lambda|x$ . Let  $0 \in X$  be the element  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then  $X$  together with  $\|\cdot\|$  is a nals. There is no metric on  $X$  with (1)-(5). Indeed, suppose  $\mu$  is a metric on  $X$ . Then  $\mu(e_1 + e_2, e_2 + e_2) = \mu(2e_2, 2e_2) = 0 \neq \mu(e_1, e_2)$ . Therefore  $\mu$  has no property (2). Therefore  $X$  has no basis. Also,  $X^*$  is not total over  $X$  by Corollary 4.

In a nals  $X$  the semi-metric  $\mu$  defined by (6) generates a topology on  $X$  (which is not a Hausdorff in general) and in the sequel any topological concept such as closeness, completion, continuity, will be understood for this topology. Moreover the topology on  $(V_X, \|\cdot\|)$  generated by  $\mu$  is the same as the topology generated by norm.

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a nals. Then  $V_X$  is closed in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{v_n\}$  be a sequence in  $V_X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(v_n, x) = 0$$

for some  $x \in X$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(0, x - x) &= \mu(v_n - v_n, x - x) \\ &\leq \mu(v_n - v_n, x - v_n) + \mu(x - v_n, x - x) \\ &= \mu(v_n, x) + \mu(-v_n, -x) \\ &= \mu(v_n, x) + |-1|\mu(v_n, x) \\ &= 2\mu(v_n, x) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \in N$ , we have  $\mu(0, x - x) = 0$ . Hence  $x - x = 0$ . Therefore  $x \in V_X$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 7.** *If a nals  $X$  splits as  $X = W_X + V_X$  or  $X^*$  is total over  $X$ , then  $W_X$  is closed in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\{w_n\}$  is a sequence in  $W_X$  which converges to  $x \in X$ . (1) Let  $X = W_X + V_X$  and  $x = w_0 + v_0$  where  $w_0 \in W_X$ ,  $v_0 \in V_X$ . Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(w_n) - f(x)| = 0$$

for all  $f \in B_{X^*}$ . If  $v_0 \neq 0$ , then there exists  $g \in B_{(V_X)^*}$  such that  $g(v_0) = \|v_0\| \neq 0$  by Proposition 2(a). By Proposition 2(b), there exists  $\bar{g} \in B_{X^*}$  such that  $\bar{g}(w + v) = g(v)$  for each  $w \in W_X$ ,  $v \in V_X$ . For this  $\bar{g} \in B_{X^*}$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{g}(w_n) - \bar{g}(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |g(v_0)| = \|v_0\| \neq 0,$$

a contradiction. Thus  $v_0 = 0$ , whence  $x = w_0 \in W_X$ . Therefore  $W_X$  is closed in  $X$ .

(2) Let  $X^*$  be total over  $X$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x, -x) &\leq \mu(x, w_n) + \mu(w_n, -x) \\ &= \mu(x, w_n) + \mu(-w_n, -x) \\ &= 2\mu(x, w_n) \end{aligned}$$

for each  $n \in N$ , we have  $\mu(x, -x) = 0$ . Since  $X^*$  is total over  $X$ ,  $\mu$  is a metric on  $X$ . Hence  $x = -x$ . Therefore  $x \in W_X$ .  $\square$

Thus, if a split *nals*  $X$  is complete, then  $V_X$  and  $W_X$  are complete. However, if a *nals*  $X$  is not split, then the converse does not hold (cf. [5, Example 9]). When  $X$  splits as  $X = W_X + V_X$ , Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 yield the following theorem.

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a split *nals*. Then  $X$  is complete if and only if  $V_X$  and  $W_X$  are complete.*

*Proof.* Let  $V_X$  and  $W_X$  be complete and let  $\{x_n = v_n + w_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X = W_X + V_X$ . For  $v_n - v_m \in V_X$ , there exists  $g \in B_{(V_X)^*}$  such that  $g(v_n - v_m) = \|v_n - v_m\|$ . By Proposition 2(b), there exists  $\bar{g} \in V_X^*$  such that  $\|\bar{g}\| = \|g\|$  and  $\bar{g}(v + w) = g(v)$  for each  $v \in V_X$ ,  $w \in W_X$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(v_n, v_m) &= \|v_n - v_m\| = g(v_n - v_m) = g(v_n) - g(v_m) \\ &= \bar{g}(v_n + w_n) - \bar{g}(v_m + w_m) \leq \mu(x_n, x_m), \end{aligned}$$

whence  $\{v_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $V_X$ . Since  $V_X$  is complete, there exists  $v_0 \in V_X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(v_n, v_0) = 0.$$

For each  $f \in B_{X^*}$ , define  $g(v + w) = f(w)$  for each  $v \in V_X$ ,  $w \in W_X$ . Since  $\|w\| \leq \|v + w\|$ , we have

$$|g(v + w)| = |f(w)| \leq \|f\| \|w\| \leq \|f\| \|v + w\|.$$

Thus  $g \in B_{X^*}$ . Also, we have

$$|f(w_n) - f(w_m)| = |g(v_n + w_n) - g(v_m + w_m)| \leq \mu(x_n, x_m),$$

whence  $\mu(w_n, w_m) \leq \mu(x_n, x_m)$ . Therefore  $\{w_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $W_X$ . Since  $W_X$  is complete, there exists  $w_0 \in W_X$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu(w_n, w_0) = 0.$$

Put  $x = v_0 + w_0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(x_n, x) &= \mu(v_n + w_n, v_0 + w_0) \\ &\leq \mu(v_n + w_n, w_n + v_0) + \mu(w_n + v_0, v_0 + w_0) \\ &= \mu(v_n, v_0) + \mu(w_n, w_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\{x_n\}$  converges to  $x \in X$ . □

For the dual space  $X^*$  of a *nals*  $X$ , we can define (cf. [2, Theorem 5.4]) a metric  $d : X^* \times X^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$d(f, g) = \sup\{|f(x) - g(x)| : x \in B_X\} \quad (f, g \in X^*).$$

Then  $d$  satisfies the properties of  $\rho$  in (1)-(5). In the sequel, any topological concept on the dual space of a *nals* will be understood for this topology.

**THEOREM 9.** *The dual space  $X^*$  of a *nals*  $X$  is complete.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $X^*$ . Then

$$d(f_n, f_m) = \sup\{|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| : x \in B_X\} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  for each  $x \in B_X$ . Since

$$|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| = \|x\| \left| f_n\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) - f_m\left(\frac{x}{\|x\|}\right) \right|$$

for each nonzero  $x \in X$ ,  $\{f_n(x)\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  for each  $x \in X$ . Hence, we can define

$$f(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) \quad (x \in X).$$

Clearly,  $f$  is an almost linear functional on  $X$ . Since  $|\|f_n\| - \|f_m\|| \leq d(f_n, f_m)$ ,  $\{\|f_n\|\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}$  whence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\| < \infty$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\| &= \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in B_X\} \\ &= \sup\{|\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)| : x \in B_X\} \\ &\leq \sup\{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\| \|x\| : x \in B_X\} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|, \end{aligned}$$



we have  $\|f\| < \infty$ . Now, we prove that  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Since  $d(f_n, f_m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m, n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $n_0 \in N$  such that

$$d(f_n, f_m) = \sup\{|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| : x \in B_X\} < \epsilon$$

for all  $n, m \geq n_0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d(f_n, f) &= \sup\{|f_n(x) - f(x)| : x \in B_X\} \\ &= \sup\{|f_n(x) - \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_m(x)| : x \in B_X\} \\ &< \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all  $n > n_0$ . This shows that  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$ .  $\square$

REMARK. If  $X$  is a normed linear space, then  $d(f, g) = \sup\{|f(x) - g(x)| : x \in B_X\} = \|f - g\|$ . Thus, the above theorem is a generalization of a fact in a normed linear space.

As in the case of a normed linear space, we can define a reflexive *nals* (cf. [4]). Then we have a generalized result of fact in a normed linear space.

COROLLARY 10. *A reflexive nals  $X$  is complete.*

If a *nals*  $X$  is reflexive, then  $X$  splits as  $X = W_X + V_X$  (cf. [4, Theorem 2.6]), whence we have the following corollary from Theorem 8.

COROLLARY 11. *If a nals  $X$  is reflexive, then  $V_X$  and  $W_X$  are complete.*

## References

- [1] G. Godini, *An approach to generalizing Banach spaces: Normed almost linear spaces*, Proceedings of the 12th Winter School on Abstract Analysis (Srni 1984). Suppl. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo II. Ser. **5** (1984), 33-50.
- [2] ———, *A framework for best simultaneous approximation: Normed almost linear spaces*, J. Approx. Theory **43** (1985), 338-358.
- [3] ———, *On normed almost linear spaces*, Math. Ann. **279** (1988), 449-455.
- [4] S. M. Im and S. H. Lee, *A characterization of reflexivity of normed almost linear spaces*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **12** (1997), 211-219.

Sang Han Lee and Kil-Woung Jun

- [5] ———, *A metric induced by a norm on normed almost linear spaces*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **34** (1997), 115-125.

SANG HAN LEE, CHUNGBUK PROVINCIAL OKCHON COLLEGE, OKCHON, CHUNGBUK 373-800, KOREA  
*E-mail*: shlee@occ.ac.kr

KIL-WOUNG JUN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CHUNGNAM NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEJEON 305-764, KOREA  
*E-mail*: kwjun@math.chungnam.ac.kr