

## WEIGHTED WEAK TYPE ESTIMATES FOR CERTAIN MAXIMAL OPERATORS IN SPACES OF HOMOGENEOUS TYPE

YOON JAE YOO

ABSTRACT. Let  $\nu$  be a positive Borel measure on a space of homogeneous type  $(X, d, \mu)$ , satisfying the doubling property. A condition on a weight  $w$  for which a maximal operator  $M_\nu f(x)$  defined by

$$M_\nu f(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{\nu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| d\mu(y),$$

is of weak type  $(p, p)$  with respect to  $(\nu, w)$ , is that there exists a constant  $C$  such that  $C \leq w(y)$  for a.e.  $y \in B(x, r)$  if  $p = 1$ , and  $\left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu(y) \right)^{p-1} \leq C$ , if  $1 < p < \infty$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\nu$  be a positive Borel measure on a space of homogeneous type  $(X, d, \mu)$ , satisfying that for a ball  $B$   $\nu(B) = 0$  implies  $\mu(B) = 0$ . Let  $M_\nu$  be a maximal operator given by

$$(1.1) \quad M_\nu f(x) = \sup_{\delta>0} \frac{1}{\nu(B(x, \delta))} \int_{B(x, \delta)} |f(y)| d\mu(y),$$

where  $B(x, \delta) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < \delta\}$ . Then it is well known that this operator satisfies a certain weak type property in the sense that

$$(1.2) \quad \nu(\{x : M_\nu f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| d\mu(y).$$

---

Received January 26, 1998. Revised May 18, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 42B25, 42B30.

Key words and phrases: maximal operator, spaces of homogeneous type, weights, weak type.

When  $\nu = \mu$ , note that this maximal operator is the standard Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. For  $M_\nu$ , refer to [1, 3, 4, 5]. Note that this is a centered maximal operator. For a noncentered case with  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$  and Euclidean balls used, then (1.2) is still true for the case  $n = 1$  but not for the case  $n > 2$ . For details, see [6].

In this paper we are going to obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for which  $M_\nu f$  is of weak type  $(p, p)$  with respect to a given weight  $w$  which is defined by a nonnegative measurable functions on  $X$ . More precisely,

$$\nu(\{x : M_\nu f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_X |f(y)|^p w(y) d\mu(y).$$

But as mentioned above, (1.2) does not hold in general case, so additional assumptions need to be imposed. In this paper we suppose that  $\nu$  satisfies the doubling property:

$$0 < \nu(B(x, 2r)) \leq C\nu(B(x, r)) < \infty$$

some constant  $C$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. Let  $X$  be a topological space. Assume  $d$  is a pseudo-distance on  $X$ , i.e., a nonnegative function defined on  $X \times X$  satisfying

- (i)  $d(x, x) = 0$ ;  $d(x, y) > 0$  if  $x \neq y$ ;
- (ii)  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ ;
- (iii)  $d(x, z) \leq K[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$ , where  $K$  is some fixed constant.

Assume further that

- (iv) the balls  $B(x, r) = \{y \in X : d(x, y) < r\}$  form a basis of open neighborhoods at  $x \in X$  and that  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $X$  such that
- (v)  $0 < \mu(B(x, 2r)) \leq A\mu(B(x, r)) < \infty$ , where  $A$  is some fixed constant.

Then  $(X, d, \mu)$  is called a space of homogeneous type.

REMARK. Properties (iii) and (v) will be referred to as the *triangle inequality* and the *doubling property*, respectively.

Note that the condition (b) is equivalent that for every  $c > 0$ , there exists a constant  $A_c$  such that  $\mu(B(x, cr)) \leq A_c \mu(B(x, r))$ .

Throughout the paper,  $(X, d, \mu)$  denotes a space of homogeneous type.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let  $w$  be a nonnegative measurable functions on  $X$ .  $M_\nu$  is of weak type  $(p, p)$  with respect to  $w$  if there exists a constant  $C$ , independent of  $f$ , such that

$$(1.3) \quad \nu(\{x : M_\nu f(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_X |f(y)|^p w(y) d\mu(y).$$

Throughout the paper, the constant  $C$  can be different at every line and may depend on  $A, K$  appearing in the definition of spaces of homogeneous type, but it is independent of particular functions.

## 2. Main theorems

The following lemma, called Vitali-Wiener type Covering Lemma, is the main tool. For the proof, refer to [2].

LEMMA 2.1. *Let  $E$  be a bounded subset of  $X$ , i.e.,  $E$  is contained in some ball. Let  $r(x)$  be a positive number for each  $x \in E$ . Then there is a (finite or infinite) sequence of disjoint balls  $B(x_i, r(x_i))$ ,  $x_i \in E$ , such that the balls  $B(x_i, 4Kr(x_i))$  cover  $E$ , where  $K$  is the constant in the triangle inequality. Furthermore, every  $x \in E$  is contained in some ball  $B(x_i, 4Kr(x_i))$  satisfying  $r(x) \leq 2r(x_i)$ .*

THEOREM 2.1. *Let  $w$  be a weight and  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Assume that  $\nu$  satisfies the doubling property. Then  $M_\nu$  is of weak type  $(p, p)$  if and only if there exists a constant  $C$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad C \leq w(y)$$

for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $c \in B(x, r)$  if  $p = 1$ , and

$$(2.2) \quad \left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x, r))} \int_{B(x, r)} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu(y) \right)^{p-1} \leq C,$$

if  $1 < p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that (1.3) holds for a given  $p$  with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Let  $f$  be nonnegative measurable function on  $X$ . For a ball  $B(x, r)$ , write

$$f_{B(x,r)} = \frac{1}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) d\mu(y)$$

and for each  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$E_\lambda = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_\nu f(x) > \lambda\}.$$

If  $y \in B(x, r)$ , then  $B(x, r) \subset B(y, 2Kr)$  and so

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{B(x,r)} &\leq \frac{\nu(B(y, 2Kr))}{\nu(B(x,r))} \frac{1}{\nu(B(y, 2Kr))} \int_{B(y, 2Kr)} f(z) d\mu(z) \\ &\leq CM_\nu f(y) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $y \in B(x, r)$ . Hence for any  $\lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda < f_{B(x,r)}$ , we have

$$B(x, r) \subset \{M_\nu f > \lambda/C\}$$

and so

$$\nu(B(x, r)) \leq \nu(\{M_\nu f > \lambda/C\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_X f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y).$$

Therefore

$$(2.4) \quad f_{B(x,r)}^p \nu(B(x, r)) \leq C \int_X f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y).$$

Let  $S$  be a measurable subset of  $B(x, r)$ . Replacing  $f$  by  $f\chi_S$  in (2.4), we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_S f(y) d\mu(y) \right)^p \nu(B(x, r)) \leq C \int_S f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y).$$

Suppose first that  $p = 1$ . Take  $f \equiv 1$  in (2.5). Then

$$(2.6) \quad \mu(S) \leq Cw(S)$$

Let  $a > \text{ess. inf}_{B(x,r)} w(x)$  and put

$$S_a = \{y \in B(x,r) : w(y) < a\}.$$

Then  $\mu(S_a) > 0$ . Thus by (2.6),  $\mu(S_a) \leq Cw(S_a)$  and so

$$1 \leq \frac{C}{\mu(S_a)} \int_{S_a} w(x) d\mu(x) \leq Ca.$$

Thus  $C \leq w(y)$  for a.e.  $y \in B(x,r)$ , which gives (2.1).

Next, we deal with the case  $p > 1$ . Take  $f(x) = f(x)^p w(x)$  so that  $f(x) = w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ . Then by (2.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu(y) \right)^p \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu(y) \right)^{p-1} \leq C.$$

Conversely, let  $p = 1$  and assume that (2.1). Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \nu(\{M_\nu f > \lambda\}) & \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) dx \\ & \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) w(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

which shows (1.3). Next, let  $p \geq 1$  and assume that (2.2). By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_{B(x,r)} & = \frac{1}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) w(y)^{1/p} w(y)^{-1/p} d\mu(y) \\ & \leq \left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y) \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad \times \left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} w(y)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu(y) \right)^{(p-1)/p} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by (2.2) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f_{B(x,r)}^p \nu(B(x,r)) \\
 & \leq \left( \int_{B(x,r)} f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y) \right) \left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x,r))} \int_{B(x,r)} w(x)^{-\frac{1}{p-1}} d\mu(y) \right)^{p-1} \\
 & \leq C \int_{B(x,r)} f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y),
 \end{aligned}$$

which is (2.4). Hence (2.2) implies (2.4). Now assume that  $f \in L^p(wd\mu)$ . Here we can assume that  $f \geq 0$  without loss of generality. By (2.4), we have  $L_{loc}^p(wd\mu) \subset L_{loc}^1(d\mu)$ . Now we can also assume that  $f \in L^1(d\mu)$ . In fact, we can write  $f = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f_j$ , where  $f_j = f \chi_{B(x_j, r_j)}$ , where  $p$  is a some fixed point in  $X$ . If (1.2) holds for any  $f_j$ , then passing to the limit (1.2) holds for  $f \in L^1(X, d\mu)$ . So it is sufficient to estimate (1.2) when  $f \in L^1(X, d\mu)$ . Pick an arbitrary but fixed point  $p$  and  $r > 0$ . For each  $x \in E_\lambda \cap B(x_o, r)$ , there exists a ball  $B(x, r(x))$  such that

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{\nu(B(x, r(x)))} \int_{B(x, r(x))} f(y) d\mu(y) > \lambda.$$

Then there exists a countable subfamily of balls  $\{B(x_j, r_j)\}$  satisfying the covering lemma. Hence by (2.3), the doubling property of  $\nu$ , and (2.7), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.8) \quad & \nu(E_\lambda \cap B(x_o, r)) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu(B(x_j, 4Kr_j)) \\
 & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \nu(B(x_j, r_j)) \\
 & \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{\nu(B(x_j, r_j))} \int_{(B(x_j, r_j))} f(y) d\mu(y) \right)^{-p} \\
 & \quad \left( \int_{(B(x_j, r_j))} f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y) \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

Weighted weak type estimates for certain maximal operators

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{B(x_j, r_j)} f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_X f(y)^p w(y) d\mu(y). \end{aligned}$$

Since the constant  $C$  in (2.8) is independent of  $x_0$  and  $r$ , by letting  $r \uparrow \infty$ , we obtain (1.3). This completes the proof.  $\square$

COROLLARY. Let  $0 < \eta < 1$  and  $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ , and  $d\mu = dx$  the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. Then

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|^{1-\eta}} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy,$$

where  $B(x, r)$  is a Euclidean ball centered at  $x$  and with diameter  $r > 0$ . In this case, (1.3) holds if and only if (2.1) or (2.2) holds for each corresponding  $p$ .

*Proof.* Put  $\nu(B(0, r)) = |B(0, r)|^{1-\eta}$ , where  $0 < \eta < 1$ . Since

$$|B(0, 2r)|^{1-\eta} = C(2r)^{1-\eta} = C2^{1-\eta}r^{1-\eta} \leq 2Cr^{1-\eta},$$

$\nu$  is a doubling measure.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. S. Besicovitch, *A general form of the covering principle and relative differentiation of additive functions*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **41** (1945), 103-110.
- [2] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Extensions of Hardy spaces and their use in analysis*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **83** (1977), 569-645.
- [3] M. de Guzmán, *Real-Variable Methods in Fourier Analysis*, vol. 46, Math. Study, North-Holland, 1981.
- [4] José Garcia-Cuerva and José L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted Normed Inequalities and Related Topics*, North-Holland, 1985.
- [5] A. P. Morse, *Perfect blankets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **6** (1947), 418-442.
- [6] P. Sjögren, *Admissible convergence of Poisson integrals in symmetric spaces*, Ann. of Math. **124** (1986), 313-335.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL EDUCATION, TEACHERS' COLLEGE, KYUNGPOOK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 702-701, KOREA  
*E-mail*: yjyoo@kyungpook.ac.kr