

ON THE SUPERSTABILITY OF THE FUNCTIONAL
EQUATION $f(x_1 + \cdots + x_m) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_m)$

SOON-MO JUNG

ABSTRACT. First, we shall improve the superstability result of the exponential equation $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$ which was obtained in [4]. Furthermore, the superstability problems of the functional equation $f(x_1 + \cdots + x_m) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_m)$ shall be investigated in the special settings (2) and (9).

1. Introduction

In 1940, S. M. Ulam [7] gave a wide ranging talk before the mathematics club of the University of Wisconsin in which he discussed a number of important unsolved problems. Among those was the question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms:

Let G_1 be a group and let G_2 be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality $d(h(xy), h(x)h(y)) < \delta$ for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there exists a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with $d(h(x), H(x)) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_1$?

In the next year, D. H. Hyers [3] answered the question of Ulam for the case where G_1 and G_2 are Banach spaces. The result of Hyers was further generalized by Th. M. Rassias [6]. Since then, the stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated. The terminology *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability* originates from this historical background (cf. [5]).

Received July 3, 1997. Revised October 9, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B72.

Key words and phrases: superstability, exponential equation, Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability.

This paper was supported by Hong-Ik University Research Fund (1997).

In 1979, J. Baker, J. Lawrence and F. Zorzitto [2] proved a new type of stability of the exponential equation $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$ (see also [1]). More precisely, they proved that if a complex-valued mapping f defined on a normed vector space satisfies the inequality $|f(x+y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta$ for some given $\delta > 0$ and for all x, y , then either f is bounded or f is exponential. Such a phenomenon is called the *superstability* of the exponential equation.

In this work, we consider the superstability of the functional equation $f(x_1 + \dots + x_m) = f(x_1) \dots f(x_m)$ which is explained as follows. For given $0 < p \leq q$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, we let f be a complex-valued mapping defined on a normed vector space V such that

$$(1) \quad |f(x+y) - f(x)f(y)| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|^p \|y\|^q$$

for all x, y in V . Now, let us define

$$\psi_{p,q}(x) = \max\{t > 0 : t - t^{1/2} - \varepsilon \|x\|^{p+q} = 0\}$$

for all $x \in V$. We remark that $\psi_{p,q}(x) > 1$ holds for every $x \neq 0$ (and $m \geq 2$).

We introduce the main results of this work, Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 whose proofs are presented in section 2 and section 3 respectively.

THEOREM 2. *It holds either $|f(x)| \leq \max\{2^p, \psi_{p,q}(x)\}$ for any x in V , where $\psi_{p,q}(x) = \left(1 + 2\varepsilon \|x\|^{p+q} + \sqrt{1 + 4\varepsilon \|x\|^{p+q}}\right) / 2$, or else $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$ for every $x, y \in V$.*

In section 3, let $m (> 2)$ be an integer and let p_1, \dots, p_m be given such that $0 < p_1 \leq \dots \leq p_m$. Suppose that V is a normed vector space and that f is a complex-valued mapping defined on V and satisfying the following functional inequality

$$(2) \quad |f(x_1 + \dots + x_m) - f(x_1) \dots f(x_m)| \leq \varepsilon \|x_1\|^{p_1} \dots \|x_m\|^{p_m}$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in V$. In section 3, the following theorem shall be proved:

THEOREM 3. *If $f(0) = 0$ then $f(x) = 0$ holds for all $x \in V$. Otherwise, if we define $g(x) = f(0)^{-1}f(x)$ for all $x \in V$ then it holds $g(x+y) = g(x)g(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$.*

Moreover, the superstability problem of the functional equation $f(x_1 + \dots + x_m) = f(x_1) \dots f(x_m)$ shall be investigated in another setting (9).

2. Superstability of $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$

Let p, q , and ε be positive numbers with $p \leq q$. Suppose that V is a normed vector space and f is a complex-valued mapping defined on V which satisfies the functional inequality (1). Then, Theorem 1 in [4] says that it holds either $|f(x)| = o(\|x\|^{p+q})$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ or $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$. However, precisely speaking, this theorem gives only the asymptotic behavior of the absolute value of $f(x)$ in the case when f is not exponential. Hence, it is worth while to estimate a suitable upper bound for $|f(x)|$ if f is not exponential. We shall first prove the next lemma:

LEMMA 1. *If there exists $v \neq 0$ in V satisfying $|f(v)| > \max\{2^p, \psi_{p,q}(v)\}$, then*

$$\|nv\|^q = o(|f(nv)|)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. Using induction on n , we first prove that

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &|f(nv) - f(v)^n| \\ &\leq \varepsilon (|f(v)|^{n/2} + |f(v)|^{(n+1)/2} + \dots + |f(v)|^{n-1}) \|v\|^{p+q} \end{aligned}$$

for each natural number n . The inequality (3) is trivial for $n = 1$. Now, assume that (3) is valid for some n . It is not difficult to verify that the condition $|f(v)| > 2^p$ implies $|f(v)|^{(n+1)/2} > n^p$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. This fact, together with (1) and (3), yields

$$\begin{aligned} &|f((n+1)v) - f(v)^{n+1}| \\ &\leq |f((n+1)v) - f(nv)f(v)| + |f(nv)f(v) - f(v)^{n+1}| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|nv\|^p \|v\|^q + |f(v)| \varepsilon \|v\|^{p+q} (|f(v)|^{n/2} + |f(v)|^{(n+1)/2} + \dots + |f(v)|^{n-1}) \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|v\|^{p+q} (|f(v)|^{(n+1)/2} + |f(v)|^{(n+2)/2} + \dots + |f(v)|^n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the inequality (3) holds for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$. If we divide both sides in (3) by $|f(v)|^n$ then the condition $|f(v)| > \psi_{p,q}(v)$ yields

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(nv)f(v)^{-n} - 1| &\leq \varepsilon \|v\|^{p+q} (|f(v)|^{-1} + |f(v)|^{-3/2} + \dots) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon \|v\|^{p+q}}{|f(v)| - |f(v)|^{1/2}} \\ &< 1 \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \in \mathbf{N}$. On the other hand, we have

$$(5) \quad \frac{\|nv\|^q}{|f(v)|^n} < \frac{n^q \|v\|^q}{(1 + \varepsilon \|v\|^{p+q})^n} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

since the definition of $\psi_{p,q}(v)$ and the fact $\psi_{p,q}(v) > 1$ imply $|f(v)| > \psi_{p,q}(v) = [\psi_{p,q}(v)]^{1/2} + \varepsilon \|v\|^{p+q} > 1 + \varepsilon \|v\|^{p+q}$. Comparing (4) with (5), we complete the proof of the lemma. \square

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Assume that there exists $v \neq 0$ in V such that $|f(v)| > \max\{2^p, \psi_{p,q}(v)\}$. For any $x, y \in V$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(nv)||f(x+y) - f(x)f(y)| &= |f(x+y)f(nv) - f(x)f(y)f(nv)| \\ &\leq |f(x+y)f(nv) - f(x+y+nv)| \\ (6) \quad &+ |f(x+y+nv) - f(x)f(y+nv)| + |f(x)||f(y+nv) - f(y)f(nv)|. \end{aligned}$$

From (1) and (6) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} |f(nv)||f(x+y) - f(x)f(y)| \\ (7) \quad &\leq \varepsilon (\|x+y\|^p \|nv\|^q + \|x\|^p \|y+nv\|^q + |f(x)| \|y\|^p \|nv\|^q) \\ &\leq C \|nv\|^q \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large n and for some suitable $C = C(x, y) > 0$. If $f(x+y) \neq f(x)f(y)$, then (7) would be contrary to Lemma 1. \square

3. Superstability of $f(x_1 + \cdots + x_m) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_m)$

Suppose $m (> 2)$ is an integer and p_1, \dots, p_m are given with $0 < p_1 \leq \cdots \leq p_m$. Assume that f is a complex-valued mapping defined on a normed vector space V and satisfying the functional inequality (2).

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. By putting $x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_m = 0$ in (2), we get

$$(8) \quad f(0) - f(0)^m = 0.$$

It follows from (8) that $f(0) = 0$ or $f(0)^{m-1} = 1$.

Case I. Suppose $f(0) = 0$. Setting $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = \cdots = x_m = 0$ in (2) yields

$$f(x) = f(x)f(0)^{m-1} = 0.$$

Case II. Assume $f(0)^{m-1} = 1$. We then have $f(0) \neq 0$ and $f(0)^{m-2} = f(0)^{-1}$. By putting $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$ and $x_3 = \dots = x_m = 0$ in (2) it follows

$$f(x + y) = f(0)^{m-2} f(x) f(y) = f(0)^{-1} f(x) f(y).$$

Hence, if we define $g(x) = f(0)^{-1} f(x)$ for $x \in V$ then it holds $g(x + y) = g(x)g(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$. \square

By using Theorem 1 in [4], we can easily prove the superstability of the functional equation $f(x_1 + \dots + x_m) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_m)$ in another setting as we see in the following theorem:

THEOREM 4. *Let $m (> 2)$ be a fixed integer and let $p (> 0)$ be given. If a complex-valued mapping f defined on V satisfies the following functional inequality*

$$(9) \quad |f(x_1 + \dots + x_m) - f(x_1) \cdots f(x_m)| \leq \varepsilon (\|x_1\|^p + \dots + \|x_m\|^p)$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in V$, then either $|f(x)| = O(\|x\|^p)$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ or $g(x + y) = g(x)g(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$, where $g(x) = f(0)^{-1} f(x)$ for $x \in V$.

PROOF. Setting $x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_m = 0$ in (9) yields the equation (8). Therefore, it holds $f(0) = 0$ or $f(0)^{m-1} = 1$.

Case I. Let $f(0) = 0$. By putting $x_1 = x$ and $x_2 = \dots = x_m = 0$ in (9), we have

$$|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|^p.$$

Case II. Suppose $f(0)^{m-1} = 1$. If we define $g(x) = f(0)^{-1} f(x)$ for $x \in V$, then we obtain the functional inequality

$$|g(x + y) - g(x)g(y)| \leq \varepsilon |f(0)|^{-1} (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

by putting $x_1 = x$, $x_2 = y$ and $x_3 = \dots = x_m = 0$ in (9) and by using the fact $f(0)^{m-2} = f(0)^{-1}$. According to Theorem 1 in [4], it holds either $|f(x)| = o(\|x\|^p)$ as $\|x\| \rightarrow \infty$ or $g(x + y) = g(x)g(y)$ for all $x, y \in V$. \square

References

- [1] J. Baker, *The stability of the cosine equation*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 411-416.

- [2] J. Baker, J. Lawrence and F. Zorzitto, *The stability of the equation $f(x+y) = f(x)f(y)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1979), 242–246.
- [3] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [4] S.-M. Jung, *On the superstability of some functional inequalities with the unbounded Cauchy difference $f(x+y) - f(x)f(y)$* , Comm. Korean Math. Soc. **12** (1997), 287–291.
- [5] ———, *Hyers–Ulam–Rassias stability of functional equations*, Dynamic Systems and Applications **6** (1997), 541–566.
- [6] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [7] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in modern mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1960.

Mathematics Section
College of Science & Technology
Hong-Ik University
Chochiwon 339–800, Korea