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#### Abstract

We study how the $p_{n}$-sequence of a unversal algebra determine the structure of the algebra Regarding term equivalent algebras as the same algebras, we consider the problem when the algebras are groupoids.


## 1. Introduction

A term $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ over an abstract algebra $\mathcal{A}=(A, \Omega)$ is called $n$-ary if it involves $n$ distinct variables and essentzal if it depends on each variable it involves in the sense that, for each $i=1,2 \ldots, n$, there are $a_{1}, ., a_{2-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and $b, c$ in $A$ such that

$$
f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2-1}, b, a_{2+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \neq f\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\imath-1}, c, a_{\imath+1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) .
$$

We denote by $p_{n}(\mathcal{A})$ the number of essentially $n$-ary term functions over $\mathcal{A}$, and the sequence $\left(p_{0}(\mathcal{A}), p_{1}(\mathcal{A}), p_{2}(\mathcal{A}), \ldots\right)$ is called the $p_{n}$ sequence of $\mathcal{A}$

A groupoid is called trumal if it has only one element and proper if the term $x y$ is essentially binary.

Two algebras $\left(A, \Omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(A, \Omega_{2}\right)$ on the same underlying set $A$ are said to be term equivalent if they have the same term functions, that is, any $\Omega_{1}$-term can be written as an $\Omega_{2}$-term and vice versa.
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For simplicity of our notation, we inductively define groupoid terms by $x y^{1}=x y$ and $x y^{k+1}=\left(x y^{k}\right) y$, and use the expression $x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n-1} x_{n}$ for $\left(\left(\cdots\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right) \cdots\right) x_{n-1}\right) x_{n}$

A groupoid ( $G, \cdot)$ is said to be medzal if it satisfies the identity $(x y)(u v)=(x u)(y v)$, and destributive if it satisfies the $x(y z)=(x y)(x z)$ and $(x y) z=(x z)(y z)$. A commutative idempotent groupoid is called a semulattice if it is a semigroup, a near-semelattice if it satisfies $x y^{2}=x y$, and a Stemer quasigroup if it satisfies $x y^{2}=x$.

An affine space over a field $K$ is algebracally defined to be the full idempotent reduct of a vector space over $K([2,13,15,17])$. However, when the base field is the Galois field $G F(3)$ with three element, any affine space over $G F(3)$ is term equivalent to a medial Steiner quasigroup ([12]). Thus we will treat an affine space over $G F(3)$ as a medral Steiner quasigroup in this paper.

We say a sequence $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right)$ (finite or infinitc) of cardinals is called representable if there is an algebra $A$ such that $\mathrm{p}(A)=\mathbf{a}$, that is, $p_{n}(A)=a_{n}$ for all $n$, and call a the $p_{n}$-sequence of $A$ in this case. If, furthermore, $A$ is from a given class $K$ of algebra, we say that $\mathbf{a}$ is representable in $K$ or $A$ represents $\mathbf{a}$ in $K$.

A clone on a set $A$ is a collection of operations on $A$ which is closed under compositions and contains all projections. A clone $C$ is called minimal if the lattice of subclones of $C$ has only two elements. This means that $\operatorname{Card}(A) \neq 1$ and any term in $C$ together with projections generates $C$

For further concepts and notations not defined in this paper, we refer the readers to [10] and [11].

A term $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ over a groupoid $(G, \cdot)$ will be called linear term if each variable appears at most once in the expression.

## 2. Theorems and proofs

Tieorem 1. Let ( $G, \cdot$ ) be a nontrivzal Stener quasigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $(G, \cdot)$ is an affine space over $G F(3)$;
(2) $(G, \cdot)$ is medial;
(3) The clone of $(G, \cdot)$ is minvmal;
(4) The term $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right)=\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right)\right) x_{5}$ is symmetruc;
(5) For a certain $n \geq 4$, an $n$-ary term admats a nontrivial permutation.

Proof. The fact that the condition (i) implies any of the remanning one is not hard to check except the implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), which can be deduced from [14]. The implication (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is contained in [12]. Using $[8$, Lemma 3.2], one can easily prove (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (in). Now we prove (iv) $\Rightarrow$ (1) If $f\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{5}\right)$ is symmetric, then we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right) & =\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right)\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2} x_{4}\right)\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right)\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2} x_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2} x_{4}\right)\right)\left(\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2} x_{4}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(x_{1} x_{3}\right)\left(x_{2} x_{4}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The implication (v) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) follows from $[4$, Theorem 4].
Now we recall a theorem of Gatzer and Padmanabhan from [12].
Proposition 2. If $A$ is an algebra, then $A$ is a nontrival affine space over $G F(3)$ if and only if $p_{n}(A)=\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{\prime 2}}{3}$ for all $n$. Moreover, if $A$ is a groupord, then it suffices to assume $p_{n}(A)=\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ only for $n=0,1,2,3,4$.

With this theorem is connected
Proposition 3. ( $[6$, Theorem $]$ ) Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an dempotent groupoid. Then $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontriveal affine space over $G F(3)$ if and only if $p_{4}(G, \cdot)=5$.

Note that there exist idempotent groupoids $(G, \cdot)$ satisfying $p_{n}(A)=$ $\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ for all $n \leq 3$ which are not affine spaces over $G F(3)$ ([16]) This means that $p_{4}(G, \cdot)=5$ is the first number of the $p_{n}$-sequence which uniquely determmes the structure of an idempotent groupoid, and such groupoids are affine spaces over $G F(3)$ (see Theorem 9)

Theorem 4. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be a commutative adempotent groupoid. Then $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrwal affine space over $G F(3)$ if and only of $p_{n}(G, \cdot)=$ $\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ for some $n \geq 4$.

Proof. If $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial affine space over $G F(3)$, i.e., $(G, \cdot)=$ $(G, 2 x+2 y)$, where $(G,+)$ is an abelian group of exponent 3 , then $p_{n}(G, \cdot)=\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ for all $n$ by [2]. Let now $p_{n}(G, \cdot)=\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ for some $n \geq 4$. Then $(G, \cdot)$ is not a semilattice since $p_{n}(G, \cdot)=1$ for all $n$ if $(G, \cdot)$ is a semilattice. If $(G, \cdot)$ is also not an affine space over $G F(3)$, then by $\left[7\right.$, Theorem 1] we obtain that $p_{n}(G, \cdot) \geq 3^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 4$. Hence, $\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3} \geq 3^{n}$ for all $n \geq 4$, which is not true. Thus $(G, \cdot)$ is an affine space over $G F(3)$.

In this connection we conjecture that if $(G, \cdot)$ is an idempotent groupoid (not necessarily commutative), then ( $G, \cdot$ ) is a nontrivial affine space over $G F(3)$ if and only if $p_{n}(G, \cdot)=\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ for some $n \geq 4$ (compare with Theorem 6).

Theorem 5. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be a commutatuve adempotent groupoid. Then $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial affine space over $G F(3)$ of and only if $p_{3}(G, \cdot)=3$ and the clone of $(G, \cdot)$ is minimal.

Proof. If $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial affine space over $G F(3)$, then triv1ally $p_{3}(G, \cdot)=3$ and the clone of $(G, \cdot)$ is minimal by [14] Assume that $p_{3}(G, \cdot)=3$ and the clone of $(G$,$) is minimal. By [9$, Theorem 1.2], $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial distributıve Stemer quasigroup. Then by Theorem $1(G$,$) is an affine space over G F(3)$.

Note that in this theorem the assumption that ( $G, \cdot$ ) is commutative is essentially noeded. Indeed, if $(G,+)$ is an abelian group of exponent 4, then we have $p_{3}(G, \cdot)=3$ for the groupord $(G, \cdot)=(G, 2 x+3 y)$. Obviously ( $G, \cdot$ ) is a noncommutative idempotent groupoid and is not an affine space over $G F(3)$.

Theorem 6. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an adempotent groupozd wath $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1$ Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $(G, \cdot)$ is an affine space over $G F(3)$;
(2) $p_{n}(G, \cdot)=\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ for some $n \geq 4$;
(3) $(G, \cdot)$ is medual and satusfies a nonregular identrty;
(4) $p_{3}(G \cdot \cdot)=3$ and the clone of $(G \cdot \cdot)$ is minimal

Proof. Since $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1$, we infer that $(G, \cdot)$ is commutative and hence the equvalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) follows from Theorem 4. According to $[5$,$] , the groupord (G, \cdot)$ is either a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup or a nontrivial near-semilattice. The implication (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is obvious since any affine space over $G F(3)$ is a medial Stemer quasigroup and hence $(G, \cdot)$ satisfies a nonregular identity, namely $x y^{2}=x$. The implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) follows from [4] and Theorem 1 The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) is contained in Theorem 5.

Recall that a groupoid ( $G, \cdot$ ) is called totally commutative if every essentially binary term is commutative. Further,

Proposition 7 ([1]) Let ( $G, \cdot$ ) be an proper medral 2dempotent groupoid. Then the following condutions are equivalent:
(1) $(G, \cdot)$ is an totally commutative;
(2) $(G, \cdot)$ is etther a semilattice or an affine space over $G F(3)$;
(3) $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1$.

Theorem 8 Let $(G, \cdot)$ be a proper idempotent groupord. Then $(G, \cdot)$ is a nonmedial distributve Steiner quasigroup $f f$ and only of $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1, p_{3}(G, \cdot)=3$ and $p_{n}(G, \cdot)>3^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 4$.

Proof. If ( $G, \cdot$ ) is nonmedial distributive Steiner quasigroup, then by Theorem 1 we infer that $(G, \cdot)$ is not an affine space over $G F(3)$. Obviously $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1$ and $p_{3}(G)=$,3 for such groupoids. Using [7,Theorem 51$]$, we get that $p_{n}(G, \cdot)>3^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 4$ Let now $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1$ and $p_{3}(G, \cdot)=3$. Thus $(G$,$) is a commutative idempotent$ groupoid. According to $[9$, Theorem 1.2], the groupold $(G, \cdot)$ is a distributive Stener quasigroup. Since $p_{n}\left(G_{,} \cdot\right)>3^{n-1}$, we infer that ( $G, \cdot$ ) is nonmedial. Indoed, if ( $G, \cdot)$ is medial, then the ( $G, \cdot)$ is an affine space over $G F(3)$ by Theorem 1 and we will have $\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}=p_{n}(G, \cdot)>3^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 4$, which is impossible. This completes the proof.

The code of an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is a finite sequence $\mathbf{q}=\left(p_{0}(\mathcal{A}), \ldots, p_{m}(\mathcal{A})\right)$ such that the $p_{n}$-sequence $\mathbf{p}=\left(p_{0}(\mathcal{A}), p_{1}(\mathcal{A}), p_{2}(\mathcal{A}), \ldots\right)$ is the unique extension of $\mathbf{q}$ and $m$ is the smallest number with thas property.

THEOREM 9. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an nontrival groupond. Then the followung condutions are equivalent:
(1) $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial affine space over $G F(3)$;
(2) $(G, \cdot)$ represents the sequence $\mathbf{a}=\left(0,1,1,3, \ldots, \frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}, \ldots\right)$;
(3) The sequence $(0,1,1,3,5)$ is the code of $(G, \cdot)$ in the class of all groupoids.

Proof. The equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (n) is by Theorem 2. The implication $(11 i) \Rightarrow$ (1) follows from the definition of the code and Theorem 2. We prove here the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Sunce semilattices also represent $(0,1,1)$, this sequence does not determine affine spaces over $G F(3)$. Thus $(0,1,1)$ is not the code of an affine space. If $(G, \cdot)$ represents $(0,1,1,3)$, then $(G, \cdot)$ is a commutative idempotent groupoid and by [9,Theorem 12$]$ we infer that $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial distributive Steiner quasigroup. Since there exist nonmedial distributive Steiner quasigroups ([16]), obvously representing ( $0,1,1,3$ ), we deduce by applying the preceding theorem that for such groupords we have $p_{n}(G, \cdot)>3^{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 4$. Thus these groupords are not affine spaces over $G F(3)$ (see Theorem 2). Thus $(0,1,1,3)$ is not the code of affine spaces over $G F(3)$. If ( $G \cdot \cdot$ ) represents $(0,1,1,3,5)$, then $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial affine space over $G F(3)$ by Theorem 4. Thus, $(0,1,1,3,5)$ is the code of affine spaces in the class of all groupoids.

Recall that an algebra $A$ of a finite type is called equatzonally complete if the variety generated by $A$ is equationally complete.

Theorem 10 Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an idempotent groupord with $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=$ 1. Then $(G, \cdot)$ is equationally complete of and only if $\left(G_{,}\right)$is either a nontrivial affine space over $G F(3)$ or a nontrival semilattice.

Proof. By [5, Lemma 1] we infer that ( $G, \cdot$ ) is elther a nontrivral Steiner quasigroup or a nontrivial near-semilattice. First observe that any nontrıvial affine space over $G F(p)$ is equationally complete
([14]). Further, let $(G, \cdot)$ be a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup then it is obvious that the subgroupoid $G(a, b)$ generated by two distinct elements $a, b \mathrm{~m} G$ is isomorphic to three-element affine space over $G F(3)$, namely it is isomorphic to the groupord $G(3)=(\{0,1,2\}, 2 x+2 y)$ where $(\{0,1,2\},+)$ is a group of order 3 . Clearly, the variety $V_{1}$ generated by $G(3)$ is contaned in the variety $V_{2}$ generated by $(G, \cdot)$. Since the variety generated by $G F(3)$ is equationally complete, this is precisely the variety of all affine space over $G F(3)$ and we get that $V_{1}=V_{2}$ provided $V_{2}$ is equationally complete Analogously, any nontrivial near-semilattice $(G, \cdot)$ contains a two-element semılattice and therefore of $(G, \cdot)$ is equationally complete then ( $G, \cdot$ ) must be a semilattice, completing the proof of the theorem.

In [3], we find the following.
Proposition 11. Let $(G, f)$ be a nontrivial symmetric algebra of type (4) satisfynng the identaty $f(x, y, y, y)=x$. Then $(G, f)$ is a nontrival affine space over $G F(3)$ if and only of $p_{4}(G, f)=5$.

Combining some earlier results we have the following.
Theorem 12. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an idempotent groupoud with $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=$ 1. Then the following condtions are equivalent
(1) ( $G$.) is a nontrival affine space over $G F(3)$;
(2) $p_{4}(G, \cdot)=5$ (without the assumption $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1$;
(3) $p_{3}(G)=$,3 and the clone of $(G, \cdot)$ is mmmal;
(4) $\left(G_{\cdot} \cdot\right)$ иs equationally complete and $p_{n}\left(G_{1} \cdot\right)>1$ for some $n \geq 3$;
(5) $(G, \cdot)$ is equationally complete and $(G, \cdot)$ satisfies a nonregular identity;
(6) $\left(G_{1} \cdot\right)$ satisfies a nontrival strongly regular identuty and a nonregular adentity.

Proof. The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) is contained in Proposition 3. The equivalence (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iii) follows from Theorem 5 The equivalence (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) can be deduced from Theorem 10 . Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 10, one can obtain the equivalence (iv) $\Leftrightarrow$ (v). We prove the equivalence ( 1 ) $\Leftrightarrow(\mathrm{v})$. It is clear that any nontrivial affinc space over $G F(3)$ satisfies a strongly regular identıty, e.g., the
medial law, and it also satisfies a nonregular identity, e.g, $x y^{2}=x$. The converse follows from [4], [7] and Theorem 1.

Theorem 13. If an adempotent algebra $(A, \Omega)$ with $p_{2}(A, \Omega) \geq 2$ contains a Steiner quasigroup as a reduct, then $p_{2}(A, \Omega) \geq 5$

Proof. Suppose $(A,+)$ is such a reduct of $(A, \Omega)$. Since $p_{2}(A, \Omega) \geq$ 2, we infer that $(A, \Omega)$ contans another essentially binary term, say $x \cdot y$. If $x \cdot y$ is commutative, then we prove that the terms $x+y$, $x y,(x+y)+x y, x y+y$ and $y x+x$ are parwise distinct essentially binary terms. Indeed, if for example $x y+y=y$, then we have $y=$ $y+y=(x y+y)+y=x y$, a contradiction. If $x y+y=r$ then we also have the contradiction that $x y=x+y$. If $x y+y=y x+x$ then we obtain the contradiction $x=y$, and so on. Thus we: have that $p_{2}(A, \Omega) \geq(A,+, \cdot) \geq 5$. Assume that $x \cdot y$ is noncommutative. Then we consider the terms $x+y, x y, y x, x y+y$ and $y x+x$. By the same argument as above, we see that $x y+y$ is essentially binary and $x y+y \neq x y$. If $x y+y=y x$, then $x y+y x=y$, which is a contradiction. Obviously $x+y \neq x y+y$. Assume $x y+x=y x+x$. Then we consider the following essentially binary terms $x+y, x y, y x$, $x y+y$ and $(x+y)+(x y+y)$, and we see that they are pairwise distinct. Thus $p_{2}(A, \Omega) \geq(A,+, \cdot) \geq 5 \mathrm{n}$ this case as well, which completes the proof.

## 3. Appendix

We summarize here all known characterizations of affine space over $G F(3)$ ma list.

For a groupold ( $G, \cdot$ ) the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $(G, \cdot)$ is an affine space over $G F(3)$,
(2) $(G, \cdot)$ represent the sequence $\left(0,1,1,3, \ldots, \frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}, \ldots\right)$,
(3) The sequence $(0,1,1,3,5)$ is the code of $(G, \cdot)$ in the class of all groupoids,
(4) $(G, \cdot)$ is idempotent and $p_{4}(G, \cdot)=5$,
(5) $(G, \cdot)$ is commutative, idempotent and $p_{n}(G, \cdot)=\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ for some $n \geq 4$,
(6) $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial medial Steiner quasigroup;
(7) $(G \cdot \cdot)$ is a Steiner quasigroup whose clone is minimal;
(8) ( $G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup in which $\left(\left(x_{1} x_{2}\right)\left(x_{3} x_{4}\right)\right) x 5$ is symmetric;
(9) $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup satisfying a nontrivial linear identity;
(10) $(G, \cdot)$ is commutative, $p_{3}(G, \cdot)=3$ and the clone of $(G, \cdot)$ is minimal;
(11) $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1$ and $(G, \cdot)$ is medial satisfyıng a nonregular identity;
(12) $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1$ and $(G, \cdot)$ is satisfics both a nonregular identity and a nontrivial strongly regular dentity,
(13) $p_{2}\left(G_{\cdot}\right)=1, p_{n}(G, \cdot)>1$ for some $n>1$ and the clone of $(G, \cdot)$ is nummal,
(14) $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1,(G, \cdot)$ satisfies a nonregular identity and the clone of $(G, \cdot)$ is minimal;
(15) $p_{2}(G)=1,,(G, \cdot)$ is equationally complete and $p_{\pi}(G, \cdot)>1$ for some $n \geq 3$,
(16) $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1,(G, \cdot)$ is equationally complete and $(G, \cdot)$ satisfies a nonregular identity;
(17) $p_{2}(G, \cdot)=1,(G, \cdot)$ is medıal, idempotent, but not a semilattice;
(18) $p_{3}(G, \cdot)=3,(G \cdot \cdot)$ is commutative, idempotent and equationally complete;
(19) ( $G, \cdot)$ is medral idempotent totally commutative groupoid which is not a semilattice;
(20) $p_{3}(G)<7,,(G, \cdot)$ is not a semplattice and the clone of $(G, \cdot)$ is minimal,
(21) $(G, \cdot)$ is a commutative idempotent groupoid which is not a semlattice and every term over ( $G, \cdot)$ is equal to a linear term:
(22) $(G, \cdot)$ is idempotent and equationally complete with $p_{3}(G, \cdot) \leq$ 6
(23) $(G, \cdot)$ is a nontrivial Stemer quasigroup and $p_{4}\left(G_{\cdot} \cdot\right) \leq 35$,
(24) ( $G$, ) is a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup with $p_{n}(G, \cdot)<\frac{7}{8} n$ ! for some $n \geq 5 ;$
(25) ( $G, f$ ) is a symmetric algebra of type (4) satisfying $f(x, y, y, y)=$ $x$ and $p_{4}(G, f) \geq 5$.

In this connection, we raise the following problems.
Problem 1. Let ( $G, \cdot$ ) be an adempotent groupord. Is it true that $(G, \cdot)$ is an affine space over $G F(3)$ if and only of $p_{n}(G, \cdot)=\frac{2^{n}-(-1)^{n}}{3}$ for some $n \geq 4$.

Problem 2. Let $(G, \cdot)$ be an adempotent groupoid which is equationally complete. Examine $p_{n}$-sequences of such groupoids. Note that there exists no equationally complete idempotent groupord with $p_{3}(G, \cdot)=6$.
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