East Asian Math J 15(1999), No. 2, pp 153-163

# ON $p_n$ -SEQUENCES OF UNIVERSAL ALGEBRAS

## JUNG.RAE CHO

ABSTRACT We study how the  $p_n$ -sequence of a universal algebra determine the structure of the algebra Regarding term equivalent algebras as the same algebras, we consider the problem when the algebras are groupoids.

### 1. Introduction

A term  $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  over an abstract algebra  $\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A}, \Omega)$  is called *n*-ary if it involves *n* distinct variables and *essential* if it depends on each variable it involves in the sense that, for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ , there are  $a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n$  and b, c in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that

 $f(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, b, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n) \neq f(a_1, \ldots, a_{i-1}, c, a_{i+1}, \ldots, a_n).$ 

We denote by  $p_n(\mathcal{A})$  the number of essentially *n*-ary term functions over  $\mathcal{A}$ , and the sequence  $(p_0(\mathcal{A}), p_1(\mathcal{A}), p_2(\mathcal{A}), ...)$  is called the  $p_n$ sequence of  $\mathcal{A}$ 

A groupoid is called *trivial* if it has only one element and *proper* if the term xy is essentially binary.

Two algebras  $(A, \Omega_1)$  and  $(A, \Omega_2)$  on the same underlying set A are said to be *term equivalent* if they have the same term functions, that is, any  $\Omega_1$ -term can be written as an  $\Omega_2$ -term and vice versa.

Received February 10, 1999 Revised May 12, 1999

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification 08A40, 20N02

Key words and phrases  $p_n$ -sequences, clone, essentially n-ary

The present research was supported by Pusan National University Research Grant, 1995

For simplicity of our notation, we inductively define groupoid terms by  $xy^1 = xy$  and  $xy^{k+1} = (xy^k)y$ , and use the expression  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{n-1}x_n$ for  $((\cdots (x_1x_2) \cdots )x_{n-1})x_n$ 

A groupoid  $(G, \cdot)$  is said to be *medial* if it satisfies the identity (xy)(uv) = (xu)(yv), and *distributive* if it satisfies the x(yz) = (xy)(xz) and (xy)z = (xz)(yz). A commutative idempotent groupoid is called a *semilattice* if it is a semigroup, a *near-semilattice* if it satisfies  $xy^2 = xy$ , and a *Steiner quasigroup* if it satisfies  $xy^2 = x$ .

An affine space over a field K is algebraically defined to be the full idempotent reduct of a vector space over K ([2,13,15,17]). However, when the base field is the Galois field GF(3) with three element, any affine space over GF(3) is term equivalent to a medial Steiner quasigroup ([12]). Thus we will treat an affine space over GF(3) as a medial Steiner quasigroup in this paper.

We say a sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$  (finite or infinite) of cardinals is called *representable* if there is an algebra A such that  $\mathbf{p}(A) = \mathbf{a}$ , that is,  $p_n(A) = a_n$  for all n, and call  $\mathbf{a}$  the  $p_n$ -sequence of A in this case. If, furthermore, A is from a given class K of algebra, we say that  $\mathbf{a}$  is *representable in* K or A *represents*  $\mathbf{a}$  in K.

A clone on a set A is a collection of operations on A which is closed under compositions and contains all projections. A clone C is called minimal if the lattice of subclones of C has only two elements. This means that  $Card(A) \neq 1$  and any term in C together with projections generates C

For further concepts and notations not defined in this paper, we refer the readers to [10] and [11].

A term  $f(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  over a groupoid  $(G, \cdot)$  will be called *linear* term if each variable appears at most once in the expression.

## 2. Theorems and proofs

THEOREM 1. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $(G, \cdot)$  is an affine space over GF(3);
- (2)  $(G, \cdot)$  is medial;

- (3) The clone of  $(G, \cdot)$  is minimal;
- (4) The term  $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5) = ((x_1x_2)(x_3x_4))x_5$  is symmetric;
- (5) For a certain  $n \ge 4$ , an n-ary term admits a nontrivial permutation.

**PROOF.** The fact that the condition (i) implies any of the remaining one is not hard to check except the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii), which can be deduced from [14]. The implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is contained in [12]. Using [8, Lemma 3.2], one can easily prove (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Now we prove (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If  $f(x_1, \dots, x_5)$  is symmetric, then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1x_2)(x_3x_4) &= ((x_1x_2)(x_3x_4))((x_1x_2)(x_3x_4))) \\ &= ((x_1x_3)(x_2x_4))((x_1x_2)(x_3x_4))) \\ &= ((x_1x_2)(x_3x_4))((x_1x_3)(x_2x_4))) \\ &= ((x_1x_3)(x_2x_4))((x_1x_3)(x_2x_4))) \\ &= (x_1x_3)(x_2x_4). \end{aligned}$$

The implication  $(v) \Rightarrow (i)$  follows from [4, Theorem 4].

Now we recall a theorem of Gatzer and Padmanabhan from [12].

PROPOSITION 2. If A is an algebra, then A is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3) if and only if  $p_n(A) = \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3}$  for all n. Moreover, if A is a groupoid, then it suffices to assume  $p_n(A) = \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3}$  only for n = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4.

With this theorem is connected

**PROPOSITION 3.** ([6, Theorem]) Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an idempotent groupoid. Then  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3) if and only if  $p_4(G, \cdot) = 5$ .

Note that there exist idempotent groupoids  $(G, \cdot)$  satisfying  $p_n(A) = \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3}$  for all  $n \leq 3$  which are not affine spaces over GF(3) ([16]) This means that  $p_4(G, \cdot) = 5$  is the first number of the  $p_n$ -sequence which uniquely determines the structure of an idempotent groupoid, and such groupoids are affine spaces over GF(3) (see Theorem 9)

THEOREM 4. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a commutative idempotent groupoid. Then  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3) if and only if  $p_n(G, \cdot) = \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3}$  for some  $n \ge 4$ .

PROOF. If  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3), i.e.,  $(G, \cdot) = (G, 2x + 2y)$ , where (G, +) is an abelian group of exponent 3, then  $p_n(G, \cdot) = \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3}$  for all n by [2]. Let now  $p_n(G, \cdot) = \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3}$  for some  $n \ge 4$ . Then  $(G, \cdot)$  is not a semilattice since  $p_n(G, \cdot) = 1$  for all n if  $(G, \cdot)$  is a semilattice. If  $(G, \cdot)$  is also not an affine space over GF(3), then by [7,Theorem 1] we obtain that  $p_n(G, \cdot) \ge 3^{n-1}$  for all  $n \ge 4$ . Hence,  $\frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3} \ge 3^n$  for all  $n \ge 4$ , which is not true. Thus  $(G, \cdot)$  is an affine space over GF(3).

In this connection we conjecture that if  $(G, \cdot)$  is an idempotent groupoid (not necessarily commutative), then  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3) if and only if  $p_n(G, \cdot) = \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3}$  for some  $n \ge 4$  (compare with Theorem 6).

THEOREM 5. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a commutative idempotent groupoid. Then  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3) if and only if  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$  and the clone of  $(G, \cdot)$  is minimal.

**PROOF.** If  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3), then trivially  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$  and the clone of  $(G, \cdot)$  is minimal by [14] Assume that  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$  and the clone of  $(G, \cdot)$  is minimal. By [9,Theorem 1.2],  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial distributive Steiner quasigroup. Then by Theorem 1  $(G, \cdot)$  is an affine space over GF(3).

Note that in this theorem the assumption that  $(G, \cdot)$  is commutative is essentially needed. Indeed, if (G, +) is an abelian group of exponent 4, then we have  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$  for the groupoid  $(G, \cdot) = (G, 2x + 3y)$ . Obviously  $(G, \cdot)$  is a noncommutative idempotent groupoid and is not an affine space over GF(3).

THEOREM 6. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an idempotent groupoid with  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1)  $(G, \cdot)$  is an affine space over GF(3);

(2) p<sub>n</sub>(G, ·) = <sup>2<sup>n</sup>-(-1)<sup>n</sup></sup>/<sub>3</sub> for some n ≥ 4;
(3) (G, ·) is medial and satisfies a nonregular identity;
(4) p<sub>3</sub>(G, ·) = 3 and the clone of (G, ·) is minimal

**PROOF.** Since  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ , we infer that  $(G, \cdot)$  is commutative and hence the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) follows from Theorem 4. According to [5,], the groupoid  $(G, \cdot)$  is either a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup or a nontrivial near-semilattice. The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is obvious since any affine space over GF(3) is a medial Steiner quasigroup and hence  $(G, \cdot)$  satisfies a nonregular identity, namely  $xy^2 = x$ . The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from [4] and Theorem 1 The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) is contained in Theorem 5.

Recall that a groupoid  $(G, \cdot)$  is called *totally commutative* if every essentially binary term is commutative. Further,

**PROPOSITION** 7 ([1]) Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an proper medial idempotent groupoid. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $(G, \cdot)$  is an totally commutative;
- (2)  $(G, \cdot)$  is either a semilattice or an affine space over GF(3);
- (3)  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1.$

THEOREM 8 Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a proper idempotent groupoid. Then  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nonmedial distributive Steiner quasigroup if and only if  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ ,  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$  and  $p_n(G, \cdot) > 3^{n-1}$  for all  $n \ge 4$ .

PROOF. If  $(G, \cdot)$  is nonmedial distributive Steiner quasigroup, then by Theorem 1 we infer that  $(G, \cdot)$  is not an affine space over GF(3). Obviously  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$  and  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$  for such groupoids. Using [7,Theorem 5 1], we get that  $p_n(G, \cdot) > 3^{n-1}$  for all  $n \ge 4$  Let now  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$  and  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$ . Thus  $(G, \cdot)$  is a commutative idempotent groupoid. According to [9,Theorem 1.2], the groupoid  $(G, \cdot)$  is a distributive Steiner quasigroup. Since  $p_n(G, \cdot) > 3^{n-1}$ , we infer that  $(G, \cdot)$ is nonmedial. Indeed, if  $(G, \cdot)$  is medial, then the  $(G, \cdot)$  is an affine space over GF(3) by Theorem 1 and we will have  $\frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3} = p_n(G, \cdot) > 3^{n-1}$ for all  $n \ge 4$ , which is impossible. This completes the proof.

The code of an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is a finite sequence  $\mathbf{q} = (p_0(\mathcal{A}), \ldots, p_m(\mathcal{A}))$ such that the  $p_n$ -sequence  $\mathbf{p} = (p_0(\mathcal{A}), p_1(\mathcal{A}), p_2(\mathcal{A}), \dots)$  is the unique extension of q and m is the smallest number with this property.

THEOREM 9. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an nontrivial groupoid. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3); (2)  $(G, \cdot)$  represents the sequence  $\mathbf{a} = (0, 1, 1, 3, \dots, \frac{2^n (-1)^n}{3}, \dots)$ ;
- (3) The sequence (0, 1, 1, 3, 5) is the code of  $(G, \cdot)$  in the class of all groupoids.

**PROOF.** The equivalence (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (11) is by Theorem 2. The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) follows from the definition of the code and Theorem 2. We prove here the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Since semilattices also represent (0, 1, 1), this sequence does not determine affine spaces over GF(3). Thus (0, 1, 1) is not the code of an affine space. If  $(G, \cdot)$  represents (0,1,1,3), then  $(G,\cdot)$  is a commutative idempotent groupoid and by [9, Theorem 1.2] we infer that  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial distributive Steiner quasigroup. Since there exist nonmedial distributive Steiner quasigroups ([16]), obviously representing (0, 1, 1, 3), we deduce by applying the preceding theorem that for such groupoids we have  $p_n(G, \cdot) > 3^{n-1}$ for all  $n \ge 4$ . Thus these groupoids are not affine spaces over GF(3)(see Theorem 2). Thus (0, 1, 1, 3) is not the code of affine spaces over GF(3). If  $(G, \cdot)$  represents (0, 1, 1, 3, 5), then  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3) by Theorem 4. Thus, (0, 1, 1, 3, 5) is the code of affine spaces in the class of all groupoids.

Recall that an algebra A of a finite type is called *equationally com*plete if the variety generated by A is equationally complete.

THEOREM 10 Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an idempotent groupoid with  $p_2(G, \cdot) =$ 1. Then  $(G, \cdot)$  is equationally complete if and only if  $(G, \cdot)$  is either a nontrivial affine space over GF(3) or a nontrivial semilattice.

**PROOF.** By [5, Lemma 1] we infer that  $(G, \cdot)$  is either a nontrival Steiner quasigroup or a nontrivial near-semilattice. First observe that any nontrivial affine space over GF(p) is equationally complete

([14]). Further, let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup then it is obvious that the subgroupoid G(a, b) generated by two distinct elements a, b in G is isomorphic to three-element affine space over GF(3), namely it is isomorphic to the groupoid  $G(3) = (\{0, 1, 2\}, 2x+2y)$  where  $(\{0, 1, 2\}, +)$  is a group of order 3. Clearly, the variety  $V_1$  generated by G(3) is contained in the variety  $V_2$  generated by  $(G, \cdot)$ . Since the variety generated by GF(3) is equationally complete, this is precisely the variety of all affine space over GF(3) and we get that  $V_1 = V_2$  provided  $V_2$ is equationally complete Analogously, any nontrivial near-semilattice  $(G, \cdot)$  contains a two-element semilattice and therefore if  $(G, \cdot)$  is equationally complete then  $(G, \cdot)$  must be a semilattice, completing the proof of the theorem.

In [3], we find the following.

**PROPOSITION 11.** Let (G, f) be a nontrivial symmetric algebra of type (4) satisfying the identity f(x, y, y, y) = x. Then (G, f) is a non-trivial affine space over GF(3) if and only if  $p_4(G, f) = 5$ .

Combining some earlier results we have the following.

THEOREM 12. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an idempotent groupoid with  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent

- (1) (G.) is a nontrivial affine space over GF(3);
- (2)  $p_4(G, \cdot) = 5$  (without the assumption  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ ;
- (3)  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$  and the clone of  $(G, \cdot)$  is minimal;
- (4)  $(G, \cdot)$  is equationally complete and  $p_n(G, \cdot) > 1$  for some  $n \ge 3$ ;
- (5)  $(G, \cdot)$  is equationally complete and  $(G, \cdot)$  satisfies a nonregular identity;
- (6)  $(G, \cdot)$  satisfies a nontrivial strongly regular identity and a non-regular identity.

**PROOF.** The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) is contained in Proposition 3. The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) follows from Theorem 5 The equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iv) can be deduced from Theorem 10. Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 10, one can obtain the equivalence (iv)  $\Leftrightarrow$ (v). We prove the equivalence (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (vi). It is clear that any nontrivial affine space over GF(3) satisfies a strongly regular identity, e.g., the

medial law, and it also satisfies a nonregular identity, e.g.,  $xy^2 = x$ . The converse follows from [4], [7] and Theorem 1.

THEOREM 13. If an idempotent algebra  $(A, \Omega)$  with  $p_2(A, \Omega) \geq 2$ contains a Steiner quasigroup as a reduct, then  $p_2(A, \Omega) \geq 5$ 

**PROOF.** Suppose (A, +) is such a reduct of  $(A, \Omega)$ . Since  $p_2(A, \Omega) \ge$ 2, we infer that  $(A, \Omega)$  contains another essentially binary term, say  $x \cdot y$ . If  $x \cdot y$  is commutative, then we prove that the terms x + y, xy, (x + y) + xy, xy + y and yx + x are pairwise distinct essentially binary terms. Indeed, if for example xy + y = y, then we have y = yy + y = (xy + y) + y = xy, a contradiction. If xy + y = x then we also have the contradiction that xy = x + y. If xy + y = yx + xthen we obtain the contradiction x = y, and so on. Thus we have that  $p_2(A, \Omega) \ge (A, +, \cdot) \ge 5$ . Assume that  $x \cdot y$  is noncommutative. Then we consider the terms x + y, xy, yx, xy + y and yx + x. By the same argument as above, we see that xy + y is essentially binary and  $xy + y \neq xy$ . If xy + y = yx, then xy + yx = y, which is a contradiction. Obviously  $x + y \neq xy + y$ . Assume xy + x = yx + x. Then we consider the following essentially binary terms x + y, xy, yx, xy+y and (x+y)+(xy+y), and we see that they are pairwise distinct. Thus  $p_2(A, \Omega) \ge (A, +, \cdot) \ge 5$  in this case as well, which completes the proof.

### 3. Appendix

We summarize here all known characterizations of affine space over GF(3) in a list.

For a groupoid  $(G, \cdot)$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $(G, \cdot)$  is an affine space over GF(3),
- (2)  $(G, \cdot)$  represent the sequence  $(0, 1, 1, 3, \dots, \frac{2^n (-1)^n}{3}, \dots),$
- (3) The sequence (0, 1, 1, 3, 5) is the code of  $(G, \cdot)$  in the class of all groupoids,
- (4)  $(G, \cdot)$  is idempotent and  $p_4(G, \cdot) = 5$ ,
- (5)  $(G, \cdot)$  is commutative, idempotent and  $p_n(G, \cdot) = \frac{2^n (-1)^n}{3}$  for some  $n \ge 4$ ,

- (6)  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial medial Steiner quasigroup;
- (7)  $(G, \cdot)$  is a Steiner quasigroup whose clone is minimal;
- (8)  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup in which  $((x_1x_2)(x_3x_4))x_5$  is symmetric;
- (9)  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup satisfying a nontrivial linear identity;
- (10)  $(G, \cdot)$  is commutative,  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$  and the clone of  $(G, \cdot)$  is minimal;
- (11)  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$  and  $(G, \cdot)$  is medial satisfying a nonregular identity;
- (12)  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$  and  $(G, \cdot)$  is satisfies both a nonregular identity and a nontrivial strongly regular identity,
- (13)  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ ,  $p_n(G, \cdot) > 1$  for some n > 1 and the clone of  $(G, \cdot)$  is minimal,
- (14) p<sub>2</sub>(G, ·) = 1, (G, ·) satisfies a nonregular identity and the clone of (G, ·) is minimal;
- (15)  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ ,  $(G, \cdot)$  is equationally complete and  $p_n(G, \cdot) > 1$ for some  $n \ge 3$ ,
- (16)  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ ,  $(G, \cdot)$  is equationally complete and  $(G, \cdot)$  satisfies a nonregular identity;
- (17)  $p_2(G, \cdot) = 1$ ,  $(G, \cdot)$  is medial, idempotent, but not a semilattice;
- (18)  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 3$ ,  $(G, \cdot)$  is commutative, idempotent and equationally complete;
- (19)  $(G, \cdot)$  is medial idempotent totally commutative groupoid which is not a semilattice;
- (20)  $p_3(G, \cdot) < 7$ ,  $(G, \cdot)$  is not a semilattice and the clone of  $(G, \cdot)$  is minimal,
- (21)  $(G, \cdot)$  is a commutative idempotent groupoid which is not a semilattice and every term over  $(G, \cdot)$  is equal to a linear term.
- (22)  $(G, \cdot)$  is idempotent and equationally complete with  $p_3(G, \cdot) \leq 6$ ;
- (23)  $(G, \cdot)$  is a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup and  $p_4(G, \cdot) \leq 35$ ,
- (24) (G, ) is a nontrivial Steiner quasigroup with  $p_n(G, \cdot) < \frac{7}{8}n!$  for some  $n \ge 5$ ;
- (25) (G, f) is a symmetric algebra of type (4) satisfying f(x, y, y, y) = x and  $p_4(G, f) \ge 5$ .

In this connection, we raise the following problems.

PROBLEM 1. Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an idempotent groupoid. Is it true that  $(G, \cdot)$  is an affine space over GF(3) if and only if  $p_n(G, \cdot) = \frac{2^n - (-1)^n}{3}$  for some  $n \ge 4$ .

**PROBLEM 2.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an idempotent groupoid which is equationally complete. Examine  $p_n$ -sequences of such groupoids. Note that there exists no equationally complete idempotent groupoid with  $p_3(G, \cdot) = 6$ .

#### References

- [1] J. R. Cho and S. H. Kim, Totally commutative idempotent groupoids, preprint..
- B. Csákány, On affine spaces over prime fields, Acta Sci Math. 37 (1975), 33-36.
- [3] J. Dudek, An affine space over GF(3) as a 4-groupoid, Proc Symposium on n-ary structures, Skopje, 1982, pp 243-249
- [4] J. Dudek, Varieties of idempotent commutative groupoids, Fund Math 120 (1984), 193-204
- [5] J Dudek, On the minimal extension of sequences, Algebra Universalis 23 (1986), 308-312.
- [6] J. Dudek, A polynomial characterization of affine spaces over GF(3), Colloq Math. 50 (1986), 161-171
- J Dudek, Polynomials in idempotent commutative groupoids, Dissertationes Math. 286 (1989), 1-55
- [8] J Dudek, The unique minimal clone with three essentially binary operations, Algebra Universalis 27 (1990), 201-209
- J. Dudek, A characterization of distributive Steiner quasigroups and semilattices, Discuss Math., Algebra and Stochastic Methods 15 (1995), 101-119
- [10] G Gratzer, Universal Algebra, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1979
- [11] G Grätzer and A Kisielewicz, A survey of some open problems on p<sub>n</sub>-sequences and free spectra of algebras and varieties, Universal Algebra and Quasigroup Theory, A Romanowska and J D H Smith (eds) (1992), Helderman Verlag, Berlin, 57-88
- [12] G Gratzer and R. Padmanabhan, On commutative, idempotent and nonassociative groupoids, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1971), 75-78
- [13] F. Ostermann and J. Schmidt, Der baryzentrische Kalkul als axiomtische Grundlage der affinen Geometire, J. reine angew. Math. 224 (1966), 44-57
- [14] J Płonka, R-prime idempotent reduct of abelian groups, Archiv der Math 24 (1973), 129-132
- [15] A Romanowska and J D H Smith, Modal Theory, an algebraic approach to order. geometry and convexity, Helderman Verlag, Berlin, 1985.

- [16] J Soubhn, Etude algebrique de la notion de moyenne, J Math. Pures et App 50 (1971), 53-264
- [17] Á Szendrei, Clones in universal algebra, (Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1986)

Department of Mathematics Pusan National University Pusan 609-735, Korea *E-mail*: jungcho@hyowon.pusan.ac.kr