

DERIVED LIMITS AND GROUPS OF PURE EXTENSIONS

H. J. LEE, S. J. KIM, Y. H.
HAN, W. H. LEE AND D. W. LEE

*Dept. of Mathematics,
Chonbuk National University, Chonju, Chonbuk 561-756, Korea.
E-mail : dwlee@math.chonbuk.ac.kr.*

Abstract For a k -connected inverse system $(\mathfrak{X}, *) = ((X_\lambda, *), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ of pointed topological spaces and pointed preserving weak fibrations, inducing epimorphic chain maps, over a directed set, we show that the homotopy group $\pi_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}, *)$ of the inverse limit is isomorphic to the integral homology group $H_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z})$. Using the result of S. Mardešić, we prove that the group of pure extension $\text{Pext}(\text{colim } H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A)$ is isomorphic to the group of extension $\text{Ext}(\Delta(\lambda), \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A))$.

1. Introduction

It appears, in algebraic topology, very often that a certain cohomology expression can be described as a derived functor $\lim^n(-)$, $n \geq 0$ defined by J. E. Roos and G. Nöbeling independently and simultaneously. The first derived limit is an important algebraic tool in the computation of phantom maps. C. A. McGibbon [9] wrote a good book on the derived limits and phantom maps. C. A. McGibbon and R. Steiner [10] introduced some questions about the first derived limits of the inverse limits and phantom maps. Strong homology groups were defined by J. T. Lisica and S. Mardešić [3] in 1985. S. Mardešić [4,5] have proved that the strong homology group does not have compact supports and that there

Received May 10, 1999.

1991 AMS Subject Classification : 55N05, 55P55, 18A30.

Key words and phrases : derived limit, pure extension, shape group, Čech homology group, k -connectivity.

The authors were supported by the Chonbuk National University scholarship program, 1999.

exists a paracompact space whose n -th derived limit is not trivial. Recently S. Mardešić and A. V. Prasolov [7] have constructed a structure theorem which shows a lot of information about the derived limits and strong homology groups of some inverse systems. Using the Növeling-Roos cohomology (derived limit in this paper), T. Watanabe [14] gave an elementary and concrete proof of the properties of derived functors on two categories.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be an inverse system of topological spaces X_λ and continuous maps $p_{\lambda\lambda'} : X_{\lambda'} \rightarrow X_\lambda, \lambda \leq \lambda'$ over a directed set Λ and let \mathbb{Z} be the set of all integers. In this paper, we show that if $(\mathfrak{X}, *) = ((X_\lambda, *), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ is a k -connected inverse system of pointed topological spaces and pointed preserving weak fibrations, inducing epimorphic chain maps, over the directed set, then the homotopy group $\pi_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}, *)$ of the inverse limit is isomorphic to the integral homology group $H_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ (Theorem 2.5). Using the S. Mardešić's results about an extension functor and a derived functor, we give more detailed and concrete proof than his one. We also show that if the direct system $H^*(\mathfrak{X}) = (H^*(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z}), p_{\lambda\lambda'}^*, \Lambda)$ (induced by \mathfrak{X}) consists of finitely generated cohomology groups, then the group of pure extension $\text{Pext}(\text{colim } H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A)$ of A by the colimit of $H^n(\mathfrak{X})$ is isomorphic to the group of extension $\text{Ext}(\Delta(\lambda), \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A))$ (Theorem 3.5), where $\Delta(\lambda) = (\Delta_\lambda, id_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ is an inverse system defined by $\Delta_\lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ and $id_{\lambda\lambda'}$ is an identity map on \mathbb{Z} .

2. Applications of the derived limit and the Hurewicz homomorphism

Let $\mathfrak{A} = (A_\lambda, a_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be an inverse system of abelian groups A_λ and group homomorphisms $a_{\lambda\lambda'} : A_{\lambda'} \rightarrow A_\lambda, \lambda \leq \lambda'$ over the directed set Λ . Let $\Lambda^n, n \geq 0$ be the set of all increasing sequences $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \lambda_0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n, \lambda_i \in \Lambda$. The sequence $\bar{\lambda}_j = (\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda^{n-1}$ is obtained from $\bar{\lambda}$ by deleting the j -th factor $\lambda_j, 0 \leq j \leq n$.

We define n -cochain groups $C^n(\mathfrak{A})$ of \mathfrak{A} by

$$C^n(\mathfrak{A}) = \prod_{\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda^n} A_{\bar{\lambda}}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $A_{\bar{\lambda}} = A_{\lambda_0}$.

Let $pr_{\bar{\lambda}} : C^n(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow A_{\bar{\lambda}}$ be a projection. If x is an element of $C^n(\mathfrak{A})$, then we denote the element $x_{\bar{\lambda}}$ of $A_{\bar{\lambda}}$ by

$$x_{\bar{\lambda}} = pr_{\bar{\lambda}}(x).$$

The coboundary operators $\delta^n : C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow C^n(\mathfrak{A}), n \geq 1$ are defined by

$$(\delta^n x)_{\bar{\lambda}} = a_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1}(x_{\bar{\lambda}_0}) + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j x_{\bar{\lambda}_j},$$

where $x \in C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{A})$. For $n = 0$, we put $\delta^0 = 0 : 0 \rightarrow C^0(\mathfrak{A})$. Then we have a cochain complex

$$\begin{aligned} (C^*(\mathfrak{A}), \delta) : 0 &\xrightarrow{\delta^0} C^0(\mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} C^1(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\rightarrow C^{n-1}(\mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{\delta^n} C^n(\mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

The n -th *derived limit* [11] $\lim^n \mathfrak{A}$ of \mathfrak{A} is defined by

$$\lim^n \mathfrak{A} = \ker(\delta^{n+1})/\text{im}(\delta^n).$$

We can see that $\lim^0 \mathfrak{A}$ is equal to the inverse limit $\lim \mathfrak{A}$ of the inverse system \mathfrak{A} .

Let $\mathfrak{D} = (D_\lambda, d_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ and $\mathfrak{E} = (E_\gamma, e_{\gamma\gamma'}, \Gamma)$ be inverse systems in any category \mathfrak{C} . We say that $s = \{\varphi, s_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\} : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathfrak{E}$ is a *rigid system map* from \mathfrak{D} to \mathfrak{E} if $\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ is an increasing function, $s_\gamma : D_{\varphi(\gamma)} \rightarrow E_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma$ is a morphism in the category \mathfrak{C} and for any $\gamma \leq \gamma'$ in Γ the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{\varphi(\gamma)} & \xleftarrow{d_{\varphi(\gamma)\varphi(\gamma')}} & D_{\varphi(\gamma')} \\ s_\gamma \downarrow & & s_{\gamma'} \downarrow \\ E_\gamma & \xleftarrow{e_{\gamma\gamma'}} & E_{\gamma'} \end{array}$$

is commutative. We can make a category $\text{inv-}\mathfrak{C}$ of inverse systems in \mathfrak{C} and rigid system maps. The rigid system map is called a *level system map* provided $\Gamma = \Lambda$ and φ is an identity map on Λ . It is easy to see that the category \mathfrak{C}^Λ of the inverse systems and the level system maps is not full subcategory but subcategory of $\text{inv-}\mathfrak{C}$.

For a given pointed inverse system $(\mathfrak{X}, *) = ((X_\lambda, *), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$, we obtain the following inverse systems

- (1) $\pi_k(\mathfrak{X}, *) = (\pi_k(X_\lambda, *), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$;
- (2) $H_k(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = (H_k(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z}), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$

induced by $(\mathfrak{X}, *)$.

The well known Hurewicz homomorphism $h_\lambda : \pi_k(X_\lambda, *) \rightarrow H_k(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z}), \lambda \in \Lambda$ induces a morphism (level system map) $h : \pi_k(\mathfrak{X}, *) \rightarrow H_k(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ in the category Gr^Λ of inverse systems of groups and level system maps over Λ .

DEFINITION 2.1. A level system map $h : \pi_k(\mathfrak{X}, *) \rightarrow H_k(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ in Gr^Λ is called the *Hurewicz level system map* of $(\mathfrak{X}, *)$.

A pointed inverse system $(\mathfrak{X}, *)$ is called *k-connected* if the induced inverse system $\pi_n(\mathfrak{X}, *)$ is trivial for $0 \leq n \leq k$.

PROPOSITION 2.2. (*Hurewicz isomorphism theorem*) Let $(\mathfrak{X}, *)$ be a pointed *k-connected* inverse system. If $k \geq 1$, then we have the following facts:

- (1) $H_n(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0, 1 \leq n < k + 1$
- (2) $h : \pi_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}, *) \rightarrow H_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z})$ is an isomorphism of inverse systems induced by $(\mathfrak{X}, *)$.

Proof. See Theorem 2, section 4.1 of the second chapter in [8].

A pointed preserving map $t : (X, *) \rightarrow (Y, *)$ is called a *pointed preserving weak fibration* provided t has the homotopy lifting property with respect to the collection of cubes $\{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, *) = ((X_\lambda, *), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be an inverse system of pointed topological spaces and pointed preserving weak fibrations, Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \lim^1 \pi_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}, *) \rightarrow \pi_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}, *) \rightarrow \lim \pi_k(\mathfrak{X}, *) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact for any $k \geq 0$.

Proof. See Theorem 1, section 7.1 of the second chapter in [8].

LEMMA 2.4. Let $\mathfrak{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be an inverse system of topological spaces and continuous maps inducing epimorphic chain maps $p_{\lambda\lambda'} : C_{\#}(X_{\lambda'}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_{\#}(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z})$, $\lambda \leq \lambda'$. Then the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \lim^1 H_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \lim H_k(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact.

Proof. See Lemma 1 of [6] and Theorem 2 of [7].

THEOREM 2.5. Let $(\mathfrak{X}, *) = ((X_\lambda, *), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be a k -connected inverse system of pointed topological spaces. If the bonding morphisms are weak fibrations inducing epimorphic chain maps $p_{\lambda\lambda'} : C_{\#}(X_{\lambda'}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_{\#}(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z})$, $\lambda \leq \lambda'$, then

$$\pi_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}, *) \cong H_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. Considering an exact sequence of derived limits of homotopy groups and the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem, by Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 2.4 we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \lim^1 \pi_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}, *) & \longrightarrow & \pi_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}, *) & \longrightarrow & \lim \pi_k(\mathfrak{X}, *) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \lim^1 H_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \lim H_k(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since the inverse system $(\mathfrak{X}, *)$ is k -connected, by Proposition 2.2 we have

$$\pi_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}, *) \cong H_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$H_n(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

for $1 \leq n \leq k$. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}, *) &\cong \lim^1 \pi_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}, *) \quad (\pi_k(\mathfrak{X}, *) \text{ is trivial}) \\ &\cong \lim^1 H_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) \quad (\text{Hurewicz isomorphism theorem}) \\ &\cong H_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

An inverse system $\mathfrak{A} = (A_\lambda, a_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ has the *Mittag-Leffler property* if every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ admits a $\lambda' \in \Lambda$, $\lambda' \geq \lambda$ such that

$$a_{\lambda\lambda'}(A_{\lambda'}) = a_{\lambda\lambda''}(A_{\lambda''})$$

for any $\lambda'' \geq \lambda'$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *If the inverse system $\mathfrak{A} = (A_\lambda, a_{\lambda\lambda}, \Lambda)$ has the Mittag-Leffler property, then*

$$\lim^1 \mathfrak{A} = 0.$$

Proof. See Theorem 10, section 6.2 of the second chapter in [8].

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, *) = ((X_\lambda, *), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be a k -connected inverse system of pointed topological spaces. If the bonding morphisms are weak fibrations inducing epimorphic chain maps $p_{\lambda\lambda', \#} : C_{\#}(X_{\lambda'}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow C_{\#}(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z})$, $\lambda \leq \lambda'$ and if $\pi_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}, *)$ has the Mittag-Leffler property, then*

$$H_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) = 0.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.3, Theorem 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z}) &\cong \pi_k(\lim \mathfrak{X}, *) \\ &\cong \lim^1 \pi_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}, *) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let HPol and HPol_* be homotopy category and pointed homotopy category of polyhedra respectively. And let $p : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ be an HPol -expansion. The *Čech homology group* $\check{H}_k(X; A)$ of X with coefficients in an abelian group A is defined by

$$\check{H}_k(X; A) = \lim[H_k(\mathfrak{X}; A)].$$

where $[\]$ means the equivalence class of inverse systems.

Let $p : (X, *) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}, *)$ be an HPol_* -expansion. The k -th *shape group* $\tilde{\pi}_k(X, *)$ is defined by

$$\tilde{\pi}_k(X, *) = \lim[\pi_k(\mathfrak{X}, *)].$$

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let $(\mathfrak{X}, *) = ((X_\lambda, *), p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be a k -connected inverse system of pointed topological spaces and $p : (X, *) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{X}, *)$ an $H\text{Pol}_*$ -expansion of $(X, *)$, then*

$$\tilde{\pi}_{k+1}(X, *) \cong \check{H}_{k+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Proof. By the Hurewicz isomorphism theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\pi}_{k+1}(X, *) &\cong \lim[\pi_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}, *)] \\ &\cong \lim[H_{k+1}(\mathfrak{X}; \mathbb{Z})] \\ &\cong \check{H}_{k+1}(X; \mathbb{Z}). \end{aligned}$$

3. An isomorphism between a pure extension and an extension functor

Let $\Delta(\lambda) = (\Delta_\lambda, id_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be an inverse system defined by $\Delta_\lambda = \mathbb{Z}$ and $id_{\lambda\lambda'}$ is an identity map on \mathbb{Z} . Consider a free abelian group

$$P^n = \bigoplus_{\lambda_0 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n} \mathbb{Z}$$

whose basis is formed by elements $\langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle$ corresponding to $\lambda_0 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ in Λ . One can define P_λ^n as the subgroup of P^n by

$$P_\lambda^n = \bigoplus_{\lambda \leq \lambda_0 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n} \mathbb{Z}$$

and $i_{\lambda\lambda'} : P_{\lambda'}^n \rightarrow P_\lambda^n, \lambda \leq \lambda'$ as the natural inclusion. Then $\mathfrak{P}^n = (P_\lambda^n, i_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ is a clearly inverse system of free groups and inclusions over Λ .

B. L. Osofsky [12] and S. Mardešić [5] have considered the morphisms $e : \mathfrak{P}^0 \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda)$ and $d^{n-1} : \mathfrak{P}^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{P}^{n-1}$ defined as follows: For each λ_0 and $\lambda_0 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$ in Λ ,

$$e_{\lambda_0} \langle \lambda_0 \rangle = 1$$

$$d_\lambda^{n-1} \langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \langle \lambda_0, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_j, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle,$$

where $e_{\lambda_0} = e|_{P_{\lambda_0}^0}$, $d_\lambda^{n-1} = d^{n-1}|_{P_\lambda^n}$ and $\hat{\lambda}_j$ means the deletion of λ_j .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The inverse systems $\Delta(\lambda)$, \mathfrak{P}^n and the morphisms $e, d^n, n \geq 0$ form a standard projective resolution*

$$0 \leftarrow \Delta(\lambda) \xleftarrow{e} \mathfrak{P}^0 \xleftarrow{d^0} \mathfrak{P}^1 \leftarrow \dots \leftarrow \mathfrak{P}^{n-1} \xleftarrow{d^{n-1}} \mathfrak{P}^n \leftarrow \dots$$

of $\Delta(\lambda)$.

Proof. See Lemma 7 of [5].

For any inverse system \mathfrak{A} of abelian groups, let $L(\mathfrak{A})$ be a cochain complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{P}^0, \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{P}^1, \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \dots \\ \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}, \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{P}^n, \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

induced by the standard projective resolution of $\Delta(\lambda)$. A map $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^n : \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{P}^n, \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow C^n(\mathfrak{A})$ is defined as follows: If $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{P}^n, \mathfrak{A})$ is given by the homomorphisms $f_\lambda : P_\lambda^n \rightarrow A_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^n(f)$ is the n -cochain

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (\dots, x_{(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)}, \dots) \\ &= (\dots, f_{\lambda_0}(\langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle), \dots), \end{aligned}$$

where $x_{(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n)} \in A_{\lambda_0}$ and $\langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle, \lambda \leq \lambda_0$ is a basis of P_λ^n . That $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^n$ is an epimorphism was proved by S. Mardešić [5]. We will prove a full detail of the fact that $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a cochain map and that the extension functor $\text{Ext}^n(\Delta(\lambda), -)$ is naturally equivalent to the derived functor $\text{lim}^n(-)$.

LEMMA 3.2. *For every inverse system $\mathfrak{A} = (A_\lambda, a_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ of abelian groups and for each $n \geq 0$, there exists a natural isomorphism*

$$\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}*}^n : \text{Ext}^n(\Delta(\lambda), \mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{lim}^n \mathfrak{A}.$$

Proof. It is easy to check that $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^n$ is a monomorphism. Thus, in order to complete the proof of this Lemma, it remains to show that $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a cochain map and $\text{Ext}^n(\Delta(\lambda), -)$ is naturally equivalent to $\text{lim}^n(-)$.

For each $\bar{\lambda} = (\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \Lambda^n$ and $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{P}^{n-1}, \mathfrak{A})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\delta \circ \Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n-1}(f))_{\bar{\lambda}} \\
 &= a_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1} (\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n-1}(f))_{\bar{\lambda}_0} + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j (\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^{n-1}(f))_{\bar{\lambda}_j} \\
 &= a_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1} (f_{\lambda_1} (\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j f_{\lambda_0} (\langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle)) \\
 &= a_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1} (f_{\lambda_1} (\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle) \\
 &\quad + f_{\lambda_0} (\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j (\langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle))) \\
 &= f_{\lambda_0} (i_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1} (\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle) \\
 &\quad + f_{\lambda_0} (\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j (\langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle))) \\
 &\quad (f \text{ is a level system map}) \\
 &= f_{\lambda_0} (\langle \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle) \\
 &\quad + f_{\lambda_0} (\sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^j (\langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j+1}, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle)) \\
 &\quad (i_{\lambda_0 \lambda_1} \text{ is an inclusion map}) \\
 &= f_{\lambda_0} (\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \langle \lambda_0, \dots, \hat{\lambda}_j, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle) \\
 &= f_{\lambda_0} (d_{\lambda}^{n-1} \langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle) \\
 &= (f \circ d^{n-1})_{\lambda} (\langle \lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_n \rangle) \\
 &= (\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^n \circ \text{Hom}(d^{n-1}, 1_{\mathfrak{A}})(f))_{\bar{\lambda}}
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}$ is a cochain map. Thus it induces a homomorphism $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}*}^n : \text{Ext}^n(\Delta(\lambda), \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow \lim^n \mathfrak{A}$ induced by $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^n$.

Let $l : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} = (B_{\lambda}, b_{\lambda \lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be a level system map. Considering the definition of the cochain map $\Phi_{\mathfrak{A}}^n$, for any $[f] \in$

$\text{Ext}^n(\Delta(\lambda), \mathfrak{A})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim^n(l) \circ \Phi_{\mathfrak{A}^*}^n([f]) &= \lim^n(l) \circ \Phi_{\mathfrak{A}^*}^n([\langle \cdots, f_{\lambda_0}, \cdots \rangle]) \\ &= \lim^n(l)([\langle \cdots, f_{\lambda_0} < \lambda_0, \cdots, \lambda_n >, \cdots \rangle]) \\ &= [\langle \cdots, l_{\lambda_0} \circ f_{\lambda_0} < \lambda_0, \cdots, \lambda_n >, \cdots \rangle] \\ &= \Phi_{\mathfrak{B}^*}^n([\langle \cdots, l_{\lambda_0} \circ f_{\lambda_0}, \cdots \rangle]) \\ &= \Phi_{\mathfrak{B}^*}^n \circ \text{Ext}(1_{\Delta(\lambda)}, l)([\langle \cdots, f_{\lambda_0}, \cdots \rangle]) \\ &= \Phi_{\mathfrak{B}^*}^n \circ \text{Ext}(1_{\Delta(\lambda)}, l)([f]) \end{aligned}$$

which shows the required proof of the natural equivalence between $\text{Ext}(\Delta(\lambda), -)$ and $\lim^n(-)$.

A subgroup S of T is called a *pure subgroup* if

$$S \cap nT = nS$$

for every integer n . An exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{u} V \xrightarrow{v} W \rightarrow 0$$

is said to be *pure exact* [1] if $\text{im}(u)$ is a pure subgroup of V . For abelian groups U and W , let $\text{Pext}(W, U)$ denote the group of pure extension, i.e., the subgroup of $\text{Ext}(W, U)$ whose elements correspond to the classes of pure exact sequences.

Let $\mathfrak{G} = (G_\lambda, g_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be a direct system of abelian groups G_λ and group homomorphisms $g_{\lambda\lambda'} : G_\lambda \rightarrow G_{\lambda'}, \lambda \leq \lambda'$ over Λ . Then we obtain the following inverse systems

- (1) $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{G}, A) = (\text{Hom}(G_\lambda, A), \tilde{g}_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$
- (2) $\text{Pext}(\mathfrak{G}, A) = (\text{Pext}(G_\lambda, A), \tilde{g}_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$

induced by \mathfrak{G} . We denote a colimit $\text{colim}\mathfrak{G}$ of \mathfrak{G} by the direct limit of \mathfrak{G} .

LEMMA 3.3. *For any abelian group A and a direct system $\mathfrak{G} = (G_\lambda, g_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ of abelian groups, there exists an exact sequence*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \lim^1 \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{G}, A) &\rightarrow \text{Pext}(\text{colim}\mathfrak{G}, A) \rightarrow \lim \text{Pext}(\mathfrak{G}, A) \\ &\rightarrow \lim^2 \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{G}, A) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. See Proposition 1.4 of [2] or Proposition 26 of [7].

LEMMA 3.4. Let $\mathfrak{G} = (G_\lambda, g_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$ be a direct system of finitely generated abelian groups over Λ . Then, for any abelian group A ,

- (1) $\lim^p \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{G}, A) = 0$ for all $p \geq 2$;
- (2) $\lim^p \text{Ext}(\mathfrak{G}, A) = 0$ for all $p \geq 1$.

Proof. See Corollary 1.5 of [2].

THEOREM 3.5. Let $H^*(\mathfrak{X}) = (H^*(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z}), p_{\lambda\lambda}^*, \Lambda)$ be a direct system, induced by the inverse system $\mathfrak{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$, of finitely generated cohomology groups $H^*(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z}), \lambda \in \Lambda$. Then we have

- (1) $\lim^1 H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) \cong \lim^1 \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A)$
- (2) $\lim^p H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) = 0$ for all $p \geq 2$
- (3) $P\text{ext}(\text{colim} H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) \cong \text{Ext}(\Delta(\lambda), \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A))$

for any abelian group A .

Proof. From the given inverse system $\mathfrak{X} = (X_\lambda, p_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$, we have the following induced inverse systems

- (1) $\text{Ext}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) = (\text{Ext}(H^n(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z}), A), \bar{p}_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$;
- (2) $H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) = (H_n(X_\lambda; A), p_{\lambda\lambda'}^*, \Lambda)$;
- (3) $\text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) = (\text{Hom}(H^n(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z}), A), \tilde{p}_{\lambda\lambda'}, \Lambda)$.

Applying the universal coefficient theorem for cohomology [13], we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{X}), A) \rightarrow H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) \rightarrow 0$$

of inverse systems which induces a long exact sequence

(*)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \lim \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{X}), A) &\rightarrow \lim H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) \rightarrow \lim \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) \\ &\rightarrow \lim^1 \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{X}), A) \rightarrow \lim^1 H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) \\ &\rightarrow \lim^1 \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) \rightarrow \dots \\ &\rightarrow \lim^r \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{X}), A) \rightarrow \lim^r H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) \\ &\rightarrow \lim^r \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

of derived limits. Since the direct system $H^*(\mathfrak{X}) = (H^*(X_\lambda; \mathbb{Z}), p_{\lambda\lambda}^*, \Lambda)$ induced by \mathfrak{X} consists of finitely generated cohomology groups, by Lemma 3.4 we have

$$\lim^p \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{X}), A) = 0 \text{ for all } p \geq 1$$

and

$$\lim^p \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) = 0 \text{ for all } p \geq 2.$$

Thus we have

$$\lim^1 H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) \cong \lim^1 \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A)$$

and

$$\lim^p H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) = 0 \text{ for all } p \geq 2.$$

Since every finitely generated abelian group is pure projective, we have

$$\lim \text{Pext}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) = 0.$$

Thus, by Lemma 3.2 and 3.3, we obtain

$$\text{Pext}(\text{colim} H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) \cong \lim^1 \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A)$$

which is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}(\Delta(\lambda), \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A))$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *In addition to the assumption of Theorem 3.5, if A is an injective \mathbb{Z} -module and $p : X \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is an HPol-expansion, then*

$$\check{H}_n(X; A) \cong \lim \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A).$$

Proof. By the long exact sequence (*) in the proof of Theorem 3.5, the sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \lim \text{Ext}(H^{n+1}(\mathfrak{X}), A) &\rightarrow \lim H_n(\mathfrak{X}; A) \\ &\rightarrow \lim \text{Hom}(H^n(\mathfrak{X}), A) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact. Since the first term is trivial and the second term, by definition, is Čech homology group $\check{H}_n(X; A)$, we obtain the result.

References

1. L. Fuchs, *Infinite abelian groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
2. M. Huber and W. Meier, *Cohomology theories and infinite CW-complexes*, Comment Math. Helvetici **53** (1978), 239-257.
3. J. T. Lisica and S. Mardešić, *Strong homology of inverse system of spaces I,II*, Topology Appl. **19** (1985), 29-64.
4. S. Mardešić, *Strong homology does not have compact supports*, Topology Appl. **68** (1996), 195-203.
5. S. Mardešić, *Nonvanishing derived limits in shape theory*, Topology **35**(2) (1996), 521-532.
6. S. Mardešić and Z. Miminoshvili, *The relative homeomorphism and wedge axioms for strong homology*, Glasnik Mat. **25**(45) (1990), 387-416.
7. S. Mardešić and A. V. Prasolov, *On strong homology of compact spaces*, Topology Appl. **82** (1998), 327-354.
8. S. Mardešić and J. Segal, *Shape theory*, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, New York, 1982.
9. C. A. McGibbon, *Phantom maps*, *Handbook of algebraic topology*, North-Holland, New York, 1995.
10. C. A. McGibbon and R. Steiner, *Some questions about the first derived functor of the inverse limit*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **103** (1995), 325-340.
11. G. Nöbeling, *Über die derivierten des inversen und des direkten limes einer modulefamilie*, Topology **1** (1961), 47-61.
12. B. L. Osofsky, *Homological dimension and the continuum hypothesis*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (1968), 217-230.
13. E. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
14. T. Watanabe, *An elementary proof of the invariance of $\lim^{(n)}$ on pro-abelian groups*, Glasnik Mat. **26**(46) (1991), 177-208.