Nontrivial Complex Equivariant Vector Bundles over S1

Sung Sook Kim Department of Applied Mathematics, Pai Chai University

Nontrivial Complex Equivariant Vector Bundle

Every complex vector bundle over S1 splits sum of line bundle and the first Chern class classify complex line bundle. This implies every complex vector bundle over S1 is trivial. In this paper, we show the existence of some nontrivial complex vector bundle over S1 in the equivariant case.

vector bundle	line bundle	Chern class	s line bundle
	vector bundle	trivial	
	vector bund	e trivial	bundle

Key words : complex vector bundle, equivariant vector bundle, Chern class, trivial vector bundle, nontrivial line bundle.

I. Nonequivariant case

1. Complex bundle case.

Every complex vector bundle over S1 splits sum of line bundles and the first Chern class classify complex line bundle. This implies every complex vector bundle over S1 is trivial. i.e., isomorphic to a product bundle S1 \times F, for some vector space F. We can see this fact from different points of view. Since every vector bundle is classified by classifying map and [S1, Bu(m)] $\cong \pi 1(BU(m)) = \pi 0$ (U(m)) = {1}, it is easy to see that every vector bundle over S1 is trivial.

2. Real bundle case.

Every real vector bundle over S1 splits sum of line bundles and the first Stiefel-Whitney class classify real line bundle. This implies every real vector bundle over S1 is not trivial. We can see this fact from different points of view. Since every vector bundle is classified by classifying map and $[S1, BO(m)] \cong \pi I(BO(m)) =$ $\pi 0$ (O(m)) = Z2 = { ±1} there are, up to isomorphism, only two vector bundles over S1, the trivial bundle and the twist bundle. So it is easy to see that every vector bundle over S1 is not trivial. Espectially, when m =1, one is a trivial line bundle and the other one is a Hopf line bundle S1 ×Z2 R \rightarrow S1, where Z2 = { ±1}.

II. Equivariant case.

Let G be a compact Lie group and let S1 denote the unit circle in \mathbb{R}^2 with the standard metric. Since every smooth compact Lie group action on S1 is smoothly equivalent to a unique linear action [See 5. TH 2.0.], we may think of S1 with a smooth G-action as S(V) the unit circle of a real 2-dimentional orthogonal G - module V. In this section we consider smooth G-vector bundle over S(V).

In [3], we proved

Proposition. A smooth G-line bundle $L \rightarrow S1$ is equivariantly isomorphic to a product bundle $S(V) \times \delta \rightarrow S(V)$ or $S(V) \times z2 \delta \rightarrow S(V)/Z2$ = P(V) according as the G-line bundle $L \rightarrow S1$ is trivial or not when we forget the action. Here S(V) denotes the unit circle of a real 2-dimensional orthogonal G-module V, δ a real 1-dimensional G-module and Z2 acts on S(V) and δ as scalar multiplication.

In [4], we obtain a similar result for a higher dimensional smooth G-vector bundle over S(V) when the G-action on S(V) is injective, in other words, when V is a faithful representation. In the non-equivariant case, real smooth vector bundles over S1 are classified by the first Stiefel Whitney class. So there are, up to isomorphism, only two vector bundles over S1, the trivial bundle and the twist bundle. In the

equivariant case, we obtain the following results.

Theorem A. A smooth G-vector bundle over S1 is equivariantly isomorphic to a Whitney sum of G-line bundle if the induced G-action on the base space is effective.

Theorem B. If the induced G-action on the base space is effective, then a smooth G-vector bundle $E \Rightarrow S1$ is equivariantly isomorphic to a product bundle $S(V) \times W+ \Rightarrow S(V)$ or a twist bundle $S(V) \times Z2$ ($W+\bigoplus W$ -) $\Rightarrow S(V)/Z2 = P(V)$. Here S(V) denotes the unit circle of a real 2-dimensional orthogonal G-module V, W+ and W- is a real G-module and Z2 acts on W+ trivially and acts on W- and S(V) as scalar multiplication.

Proof of Theorem A. Case 1. Suppose G is a subgroup of SO(2). Then G is SO(2) or cyclic group.

So G acts freely on the base space. In this case we can reduce our case to non-equivariant case by the bijection map $VectG(SI) \rightarrow Vect(SI/G)$ defined by $E \rightarrow E/G$

and $\xi \rightarrow \pi^* \xi$ [See 1. TH 1.6.1]

If G is SO(2), Vect(SVG) = Vect(pt) which is trivial vector bundle in each dimension. So it is a whitney sum of trivial line bundle. If G is a cyclic group, Vect(SVG) = Vect(S1) which is the trivial bundle or the twist bundle. The twist bundle is a sum of trivial line bundles and Hopf line bundle. By taking pullback $\pi^* \xi$ of the above bundles ξ we get a whitney sum of G-line bundle over S1.

Case 2. Suppose G is not a subgroup of SO(2). Then G is a Dihedral group Dn or O(2). Take a normal subgroup N=G \bigcap SO(2) of G. Then N is SO(2) or a cyclic group. If N is SO(2), then N acts freely on the base space. So we get a bijection map VectG(S1) \rightarrow VectZ

(S VSO(2)) = VectZ(pt) = Z2-representation. Since any Z2-representation is a sum of one dimensional Z2-representation, we get a whitney sum of G-line bundle by taking pullbuck of one dimensional Z2-representation. If N is cyclic group, we get a bijection map $VectG(S1) \rightarrow$ VectZ(S VN)=VectZ(S1), where G acts on S1 by ρ : G \rightarrow O(2) and any Z2 acts on S1 by reflextion. So it suffices to prove the following Lemma i.e., when G is Z2 Then by taking pullback we can conclude Theorem A.

Lemma. Suppose Z2 acts on S1 by reflection. A Z2-vector bundle E over S1 is isomorphic to a whitney sum of Z2-line bundle.

Proof. Let $\{zQ, z1\}$ be the fixed set of Z2 on S1. Choose an eigenvector vi at zi and connect v0 and v1 by using a path to get a vector field on the upper half circle. Extend this vector field to the lower half circle by using Z2-action. Then we get a vector field

on S1. This vector field may not be continuous. But each vector generate a line whose union is a Z2-line bundle. So we get a Z2-line bundle L1 over S1 which is a subbundle of E. So we can decompose En as follows:

 $En \cong E \ln 1 \bigoplus L1.$

we continue the above process until we get $En \cong L1 \bigoplus L2 \bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus Ln$

Proof of Theorem B.

By Theorem A, suppose our G-vector bundle E is a Whitney sum of trivial G-line bundle then $E \cong S(V) \times W+$, where $W+= \delta 1 \bigoplus \cdots$ $\bigoplus \delta n$ and $\delta 1$ is a real 1-dimensional Gmodule. If E is a Whitney sum of twist G-line bundle, then $E \cong S(V) \times ZW$, where $W-= \delta 1$ $\bigoplus \cdots \bigoplus \delta n$ and $\delta 1$ is a real 1-dimensional G-module and Z2 acts on $\delta 1$ as a scalar multiplication. In general, we obtain the following results:

$$E \cong P(V) \times W + \bigoplus S(V) \times ZW -$$

 $= S(V) \times Z W + \bigoplus S(V) \times ZW = S(V) \times Z (W + \bigoplus W -), \text{ where } P(V) =$ S(V) / Z2

III. Example of nontrivial equivarinat complex line bundle.

In section I, we mentioned that every complex vector bundle over S1 is trivial (i.e., isomorphic to product bundle) if G is trivial. In this section we will show the existence of nontrivial G-line bundle over S1 by example. Let G be a compact Lie group and let V be a real 2-dimensional orthogonal G-module. We denote the representa -tion associated with V by ρ : G \rightarrow O(2) and the unit circle of V by S(V). Note that effectiveness of the G-action is equivalent to the injectivity of ρ . If G is abelian, ρ is an abelian subgroup of O(2); so it is contained in SO(2) or isomorphic to D1 or D2, where Dn denotes the dihedral subgroup of O(2) generated by the reflection matrix with respect to the x-axis and the rotation matrix of angle 2 π/n . Without loss of generality we may assume that ho agrees with D1 or D2 unless it is contained in SO(2).

EXAMPLE. Suppose that $\rho = D1$. Take a complex 1-dimensional G-module M and denote the associated representation by μ : G \Rightarrow GL(1,C)=C*. We define a G-action on S1 \times C by

$$g(z,v) = \begin{cases} (z, \ \mu(g)v) & \text{if } g \in \ker \rho, \\ \\ - & \\ (z, \ \mu(g)zv) & \text{if } g \notin \ker \rho, \end{cases}$$

where $(z,v) \in S1 \times C$ and S1 denotes the unit circle of C. The projection onto the first factor makes it a complex G-vector bundle over S(V), which we denote by <u>M</u>. One can check that the fiber representations at the two fixed points in S(V) are different, in fact, they are isomorphic to M and M \otimes c δ , where δ denotes the nontrivial 1-dimensional G-module with ker ρ acting trivially.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Mikiya Masuda for helpful conversations. This study was financially supported by a central research fund from Pai Chai University in 1996.

References

- 1. Atiyah, M. F. 1967. K-Theory. Mathematics Lecture Note Series, W. A. Benjamin, 1-166.
- Bredon, G. E. 1972. Introduction to compact transformation groups, Pure and Applied Math. Vol. 46, Academic Press, 1-459.
- Kim, S. S. 1994. Equivariant vector bundles over S1, Comm. Korean Math. Soc., 9: 415 - 418.
- Kim S. S. and M. Masuda, 1994. Topological characterization of non-singular real algebraic G-surfaces, *Topology and its Applications*, 57: 31-39.
- Schultz, R. 1984. Nonlinear analogs of linear group actions on spheres, Bull. AMS (New series), Vol 11: 263-285.