

BOUNDARY BEHAVIOR OF GREEN'S POTENTIALS WITHIN TANGENTIAL APPROACH REGION

KI SEONG CHOI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we will study properties of the Green's potential for the Green's function of B which is defined in [8]. In particular, we will investigate boundary behavior of some functions related with Green's function within tangential approach regions that were used in [4].

1. Introduction

Let B denote the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n , $n \geq 1$. For $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $\langle z, w \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i \bar{w}_i$, $|z|^2 = \langle z, z \rangle$. For $0 < r \leq 1$, let $B_r = \{z \in B : |z| < r\}$ and σ the rotation-invariant positive Borel measure on S with $\sigma(S) = 1$ where $S = \partial B$ is the unit sphere in \mathbb{C}^n . For $z = |z|\eta \in B$, the Möbius transformation φ_z on B is defined by

$$\varphi_z(w) = \frac{z - \langle w, \eta \rangle \eta - \sqrt{1 - |z|^2}(w - \langle w, \eta \rangle \eta)}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle}, \quad w \in B.$$

Let \mathcal{M} be the group of all biholomorphic maps of B onto B . Then $\varphi_z \in \mathcal{M}$ for $z \in B$. Further, any $\psi \in \mathcal{M}$ has a unique representation $\psi = U \circ \varphi_z$ for some $z \in B$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ where \mathcal{U} is the unitary group (See [6] Theorem 2.2.5).

If ν denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on B , the measure λ is defined on B by $d\lambda(w) = (1 - |w|^2)^{-(n+1)} d\nu(w)$.

Received by the editors on June 30, 1998.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 32H25, 32E25.

Key words and phrases: Green's potential, Tangential approach region.

The Bergman metric on the ball B is given by

$$ds_B^2(z) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n b_{ij} dz_i d\bar{z}_j$$

where

$$b_{ij} = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \left(\log \frac{1}{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1}} \right) = \frac{n+1}{(1 - |z|^2)^2} ((1 - |z|^2)\delta_{ij} + \bar{z}_i z_j).$$

The Laplace-Beltrami operator of the metric is given by

$$\tilde{\Delta} = 4 \sum_{i,j=1}^n b^{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{z}_i \partial z_j}$$

where $(b^{ij}) = (b_{ij})^{-1}$.

The Green's function G for the Laplace-Beltrami operator $\tilde{\Delta}$ is given by

$$G(z, w) = (g \circ \varphi_z)(w)$$

where

$$(1) \quad g(z) = \frac{n+1}{2n} \int_{|z|}^1 t^{-2n+1} (1-t^2)^{n-1} dt.$$

The formula (1) had been derived in 1967 by K.T. Hahn and J. Mitchell[2]. The Green's function on B was used extensively by Ullrich in [8]. For a nonnegative regular Borel measure μ on B , the Green's potential $\mathcal{G}\mu$ of μ is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}\mu(z) = \int_B G(z, w) d\mu(w), z \in B.$$

A function $f : B \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ is said to be \mathcal{M} -subharmonic on B if it is upper semicontinuous and $f(\varphi(0)) \leq \int_S f(\varphi(r\zeta)) d\sigma(\zeta)$ for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{M}$ and $0 \leq r < 1$. A function f is \mathcal{M} -superharmonic if $-f$

is \mathcal{M} -subharmonic. It is well known that the function $g(z)$ in (1) is \mathcal{M} -superharmonic on B (See [8], Lemma 2.7).

In section 2, we will study the \mathcal{M} -superharmonicity of Green's potential.

In section 3, we will investigate some properties of the tangential approach regions that were used in [4]. Also, we apply this result to show that some functions related with Green's function has zero boundary limits a.e. on S within tangential approach regions.

2. Some properties of Green's potential

$f(z) \approx h(z)$ means that there exist positive constants C_1, C_2 such that $C_1h(z) \leq f(z) \leq C_2h(z)$ for all indicated z .

LEMMA 1. *Let $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ be fixed. Then $g(z) \leq C_\delta(1 - |z|^2)^n$ for all $z \in B, |z| \geq \delta$ where C_δ is positive constant depending only on δ . Furthermore, for all $z, |z| \leq \delta$,*

$$g(z) \approx \begin{cases} |z|^{-2n+2} & n > 1, \\ \log \frac{1}{|z|} & n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. The proof is a routine estimation of the integral (1), and thus is omitted. □

LEMMA 2. *Let $a \in B$. Then*

- (i) $\varphi_a(0) = a, \varphi_a(a) = 0$ and $\varphi_a(\varphi_a(z)) = z$.
- (ii) *For all $z, w \in \bar{B}$, we have*

$$1 - \langle \varphi_a(z), \varphi_a(w) \rangle = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)}{(1 - \langle z, a \rangle)(1 - \langle a, w \rangle)}.$$

(2)
$$1 - |\varphi_a(z)|^2 = \frac{(1 - |a|^2)(1 - |z|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2}.$$

Proof. See [6. Theorem 2.2.2]. □

For $z \in B$, let $E(z) = \{w \in B : |\varphi_z(w)| < \frac{1}{2}\} = \varphi_z(B_{\frac{1}{2}})$. Fix an r , $0 < r < 1$, and let $A_r = \{z : r < |z| < 1\}$.

LEMMA 3. For $0 < \varrho < 1$, there exists r_0 such that $E(z) \subset A_\varrho$ for all z , $|z| \geq r_0$.

Proof. We first note that $w \in E(z)$ if and only if $w = \varphi_z(\xi)$ with $|\xi| < \frac{1}{2}$. Since $w \in E(z)$, $(1 - |w|^2) \leq 3(1 - |z|^2)$ by Lemma 2.

If $3(1 - |z|^2) \leq 1 - \varrho^2$, then $|w| \geq \varrho$. If we let $r_0 = \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3}\varrho^2$, then $E(z) \subset A_\varrho$ for all $|z| \leq r_0$. □

THEOREM 4. If μ is a nonnegative regular Borel measure on B such that

$$\int_B (1 - |w|^2)^n d\mu(w) < \infty,$$

then Green's potential $\mathcal{G}\mu$ of μ is \mathcal{M} -superharmonic.

Proof. Fix ϱ , $0 < \varrho < 1$, and let $\mu_1 = \mu|_{\varrho B}$ and $\mu_2 = \mu - \mu_1$. put $U_1(z) = \mathcal{G}\mu_1$, $U_2(z) = \mathcal{G}\mu_2$. Consider the function $U_1(z)$. By Fatou's Lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} \mathcal{G}\mu_1(z) &= \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} \int_{\varrho B} G(z, w) d\mu(w) \\ &\geq \int_{\varrho B} \liminf_{z \rightarrow z_0} G(z, w) d\mu(w) = \int_{\varrho B} G(z_0, w) d\mu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for all positive measure μ , $\mathcal{G}\mu_1(z)$ is lower semicontinuous. For fixed ϱ , there exists r_0 such that $E(z) \subset A_\varrho$ for all z , $|z| \geq r_0$, by Lemma 3.

If $|z| \geq r_0$, then $E(z) = \varphi_z(\delta B) \subset A_\varrho$. If $w \in \varrho B$, then $w \notin \varphi_z(\delta B)$. Since $|\varphi_z(w)| \geq \delta$, $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$,

$$(3) \quad g(\varphi_z(w)) \approx (1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^n$$

by Lemma 1. Also

$$(4) \quad |1 - \langle z, w \rangle| \geq 1 - |z||w| \geq 1 - \rho|z| \geq 1 - \rho.$$

By (3),

$$\begin{aligned} G(z, w) &= g(\varphi_z(w)) \\ &\leq C(1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^n \\ &\leq C \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^n (1 - |w|^2)^n}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n}}, \quad w \in \rho B, \quad |z| \geq r_0 \end{aligned}$$

By (4), $U_1(z) \leq C_\rho(1 - |z|^2)^n \int_{\rho B} (1 - |w|^2)^n d\mu(w)$ for $|z| \geq r_0$. Therefore $U_1 \neq \infty$ on B .

Since $g \circ \varphi_z$ is \mathcal{M} -superharmonic and $|\varphi_z(w)| = |\varphi_w(z)|$,

$$\begin{aligned} U_1(z) &= \int_{\rho B} (g \circ \varphi_w)(z) d\mu(w) \\ &\geq \int_{\rho B} \int_S (g \circ \varphi_w \circ \varphi_z)(r\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) d\mu(w) \\ &= \int_S \int_{\rho B} (g \circ \varphi_w \circ \varphi_z)(r\zeta) d\mu(w) d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \int_S U_1(\varphi_z(r\zeta)) d\sigma(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

So U_1 is \mathcal{M} -superharmonic on B .

$$U_2(z) = \int_{A_\rho} G(z, w) d\mu(w).$$

$$U_2(0) = \int_{A_\rho} g(w) d\mu(w) \leq C \int_{A_\rho} (1 - |w|^2)^n d\mu(w) < \infty.$$

Hence $U_2 \neq \infty$ and is \mathcal{M} -superharmonic on B . □

3. Tangential approach region

For $\zeta \in S, c > 1$ and $\tau > 1$, the tangential approach regions that were used in [4] are

$$\Omega_{c,\tau}(\zeta) = \{z = |z|\eta \in B : |1 - \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle|^\tau < c(1 - |z|)\}.$$

These regions were also considered by Nagel et al.[5] for $n = 1$ and by Shaw[7] for any n .

THEOREM 5. *If $z \in \Omega_{c,\tau}(\zeta)$, then $E(z) \subset \Omega_{c',\tau}(\zeta)$ for some constant c' .*

Proof. Suppose $z \in B, \zeta \in S$. By Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \langle \varphi_z(w), \zeta \rangle &= 1 - \langle \varphi_z(w), \varphi_z(\varphi_z(\zeta)) \rangle \\ &= \frac{(1 - |z|^2)(1 - \langle w, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle)}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)(1 - \langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle)}. \\ 1 - \langle z, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle &= 1 - \langle \varphi_z(0), \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle = \frac{(1 - |z|^2)}{1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(5) \quad 1 - \langle \varphi_z(w), \zeta \rangle = \frac{(1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle)(1 - \langle w, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle)}{1 - \langle w, z \rangle}.$$

Suppose $w \in B_\delta, 0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}, z \in \Omega_{c,\tau}(\zeta)$. Put $\eta = \frac{z}{|z|}$.

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \zeta, \eta - z \rangle| &= \left| \langle \zeta, \frac{z}{|z|} - z \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \langle \zeta, \left(\frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right) z \rangle \right| \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right) |\langle \zeta, z \rangle| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|z|} - 1 \right) |\zeta||z| \leq (1 - |z|). \end{aligned}$$

Since $z \in \Omega_{c,\tau}(\zeta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad |1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^\tau &= |1 - \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle - \langle z - \eta, \zeta \rangle|^\tau \\
 &\leq (|1 - \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle| + |\langle z - \eta, \zeta \rangle|)^\tau \\
 &\leq (c^{\frac{1}{\tau}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} + (1 - |z|))^\tau \\
 &\leq (c^{\frac{1}{\tau}} + 1)^\tau (1 - |z|).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (5) and (6),

$$\begin{aligned}
 &|1 - \langle \varphi_z(w), \zeta \rangle| \\
 &\leq c_1(1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} \frac{|1 - \langle w, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle|}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|} \\
 &\leq c_1 \frac{(1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} |1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{\frac{2}{\tau}-1}}{(1 - |w|^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}} |1 - \langle w, \varphi_z(\zeta) \rangle| \\
 &\leq c_1(1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^{\frac{1}{\tau}} 3^{\frac{1}{\tau}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows by Lemma 2. Therefore,

$$(7) \quad |1 - \langle \varphi_z(w), \zeta \rangle|^\tau \leq c(1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad |1 - \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle| &\leq \left(|1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}} + |1 - \langle \eta, z \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \left(|1 - |z||^{\frac{1}{2}} + |1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2 \\
 &\leq \left(2|1 - \langle z, \zeta \rangle|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

By (7) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned}
 |1 - \langle \frac{\varphi_z(w)}{|\varphi_z(w)|}, \zeta \rangle|^\tau &\leq 4^\tau |1 - \langle \varphi_z(w), \zeta \rangle|^\tau \\
 &\leq 4^\tau c(1 - |\varphi_z(w)|^2)^2 \leq c'(1 - |\varphi_z(w)|).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\varphi_z(w) \in \Omega_{c',\tau}(\zeta)$. □

LEMMA 6. For $n \geq 1$, there exists a constant p_n such that for all δ , $0 \leq \delta \leq 2$,

$$\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^n \leq \sigma(Q(\zeta, \delta)) \leq p_n \delta^n.$$

where $Q(\zeta, \delta) = \{\eta \in S : |1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle| < \delta\}$ is the Koranyi ball centered at ζ with radius $\sqrt{\delta}$.

Proof. See [6. Proposition 5.1.4]. □

THEOREM 7. If μ is nonnegative regular Borel measure on B such that

$$\int_B (1 - |z|^2)^{\frac{n}{\tau}} d\mu(z) < \infty$$

for some $\tau \geq 1$, then $\mu(\Omega_{\tau, c}(\zeta)) < \infty$ for a.e. $\zeta \in S$, for all $c > 0$. Furthermore, for a.e. $\zeta \in S$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mu(\Omega_{\tau, c}(\zeta) \cap A_r) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{\Omega}_{c, \tau}(z) = \{\zeta \in S : z \in \Omega_{c, \tau}(\zeta)\}$ and $\eta = \frac{z}{|z|}$. If $\zeta \in \tilde{\Omega}_{c, \tau}(z)$, then $|1 - \langle \zeta, \eta \rangle| \leq 2|1 - \langle z, \eta \rangle| \leq 2c^{\frac{1}{\tau}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{\tau}}$. Hence $\zeta \in Q(\eta, 2c^{\frac{1}{\tau}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{\tau}})$. Since $\tilde{\Omega}_{c, \tau}(z) \subset Q(\eta, 2c^{\frac{1}{\tau}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{\tau}})$,

$$\sigma(\tilde{\Omega}_{c, \tau}(z)) \leq \sigma(Q(\eta, 2c^{\frac{1}{\tau}}(1 - |z|)^{\frac{1}{\tau}})) \leq C_n(1 - |z|)^{\frac{n}{\tau}}$$

by Lemma 6. Put $S\mu(\zeta) = \mu(\Omega_{c, \tau}(\zeta))$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S S\mu(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) &= \int_S \int_B \chi_{\Omega_{c, \tau}(\zeta)}(z) d\mu(z) d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \int_B \int_S \chi_{\tilde{\Omega}_{c, \tau}(z)}(\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta) d\mu(z) \\ &= \int_B \sigma(\tilde{\Omega}_{c, \tau}(z)) d\mu(z) \\ &\leq \int_B c_n(1 - |z|)^{\frac{n}{\tau}} d\mu(z) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $S\mu \in L^1(S)$. Therefore $\mu(\Omega_{c,\tau}(z)) < \infty$ for a.e. z and

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1} \mu(\Omega_{c,\tau}(z) \cap A_r) = 0$$

for a.e. $\zeta \in S$. □

Define the functions V on B as follows;

$$V(z) = \int_{E(z)} G(z, w) d\lambda(w)$$

THEOREM 8. *Let μ be a nonnegative regular Borel measure on B satisfying*

$$\int_B (1 - |w|^2)^n d\mu(w) < \infty.$$

Then

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta, z \in \Omega_{c,\tau}(\zeta)} V(z) = 0$$

for a.e. $\zeta \in S$.

proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\delta B} g(z)^q d\lambda(z) &\leq C_1 \int_{\delta B} |z|^{-q(2n-2)} d\lambda(z) \\ &\leq C_2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} r^{2n-q(2n-2)-1} dr < \infty \end{aligned}$$

provided $2n - q(2n - 2) > 0$. Thus, for all $q < \frac{n}{n-1}$,

$$\sup_{z \in B} \int_{E(z)} G^q(z, w) d\lambda(z) < \infty.$$

By Holder's inequality,

$$V(z) \leq C \left[\int_{E(z)} d\lambda(w) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

Suppose $z \in \Omega_{\tau,c}(\zeta)$. Since $E(z) \subset \Omega_{\tau,c'}(\zeta) \cap A_r$ for any $c' \geq c3^{\tau+1}$ and $r^2 = 3|z|^2 - 2$. Thus $V(z) \leq C \left[\int_{\Omega_{\tau,c'}(\zeta) \cap A_r} d\lambda(w) \right]^{\frac{1}{p}}$. By Theorem 7,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow \zeta, z \in \Omega_{c,\tau}(\zeta)} V(z) = 0$$

for a.e. $\zeta \in S$.

□

REFERENCES

1. J.A. Cima and C.S. Stanton, *Admissible limits of \mathcal{M} -subharmonic functions*, Michigan J. Math **32** (1985), 211-220.
2. K.T. Hahn and J. Mitchell, *Green's function on the classical cartan domains*, MRC Technical summary report **800** (1967).
3. K.T. Hahn and David Singman, *Boundary behavior of invariant Green's potentials on the unit Ball in \mathbb{C}^n* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc **309** (1988), 339-354.
4. K.T. Hahn and E.H. Youssfi, *Tangential boundary behavior of \mathcal{M} -harmonic Besov functions*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl **175** (1993), 206-221.
5. A. Nagel, W. Rudin and J. Shapiro, *Tangential boundary behavior of functions in Dirichlet-type spaces*, Annals of Math **116** (1982), 331-360.
6. W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Springer-Verlag (1980).
7. K. Shaw, *Tangential limits and exceptional sets for holomorphic Besov functions in the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n* , preprint (1990).
8. D. Ullich, *Radial limits of \mathcal{M} -subharmonic functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc **292** (1985), 501-518.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 KONYANG UNIVERSITY
 NONSAN 320-800, KOREA