REAL HYPERSURFACES SATISFYING $\nabla_{\xi} S = 0$ OF A COMPLEX SPACE FORM

EUN-HEE KANG AND U-HANG KI

ABSTRACT. The main purpose of this paper is to prove that if a real hypersurface M of a complex space form satisfies $\nabla_{\xi}S=0$ and $S\xi=\sigma\xi$ for some constant on σ on M, then the structure vector field ξ is principal, where S denotes the Ricci tensor of M.

1. Introduction

An *n*-dimensional complex space form $M^n(c)$ is a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. A complete and simply connected complex space forms are isometric to a complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^n$, a complex Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^n or a complex hyperbolic space $\mathbb{C}H^n$ according as c>0, c=0 or c<0.

Let M be a real hypersurface of $M^n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. Then M has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) induced from the Kaehlerian metric and complex structure J of $M^n(c)$. The structure vector ξ is said to be principal if $A\xi = \alpha \xi$, where A is the shape operator in the direction of the unit normal C and $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$. We denote by ∇ and S, the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Riemannian metric tensor g and the Ricci tensor of type (1,1) on M respectively. There exist many studies about real hypersurfaces of $M^n(c)$. One of the first studies is the classification of homogeneous real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space CP^n by Takagi ([9]), who showed that these hypersurfaces of CP^n could be divided into six types which are said to

Received October 1, 1997.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C45.

Key words and phrases: real hypersurfaces, Ricci tensor, principal curvature vector.

The first author was supported by the Postdoctoral Program of Korea Research Foundation, 1997. The second author was supported by TGRC-KOSEF.

be of type A_1, A_2, B, C, D and E, and in ([3]) Cecil-Ryan and Kimura ([6]) proved that they are realized as the tubes of constant radius over Kaehlerian submanifolds.

THEOREM A ([6]). Let M be a connected real hypersurface of $\mathbb{C}P^n$. Then M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is principal if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following;

- (A₁) a geodesic hypersphere (that is, a tube of radius r over a hyperplane CP^{n-1}), where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
- (A₂) a tube of radius r over a totally geodesic CP^k $(1 \le k \le n-2)$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{2}$,
 - (B) a tube of radius r over a complex quadric Q^{n-1} , where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$,
 - (C) a tube of radius r over $CP^1 \times CP^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and $n \ge 5$ is odd,
 - (D) a tube of radius r over a complex Grassmann $G_{2,5}(C)$, where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and n = 9,
 - (E) a tube of radius r over a Hermitian symmetric space SO(10)/U(5), where $0 < r < \frac{\pi}{4}$ and n = 15.

Recently Berndt ([2]) showed that all real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space CH^n with constant principal curvatures are realized as the tubes of constant radius over certain submanifolds when the structure vector field ξ is principal.

THEOREM B ([2]). Let M be a connected real hypersurface of CH^n . Then M has constant principal curvatures and ξ is principal curvature vector if and only if M is locally congruent to one of the following;

- (A₀) a horosphere in CH^n ,
- (A_1) a tube over a complex hyperbolic hyperplane CH^{n-1} ,
- (A₂) a tube over a totally geodesic CH^k $(1 \le k \le n-2)$,
 - (B) a tube over a totally real hyperbolic space RH^n .

On the other hand, it is known that there is no real hypersurface with parallel Ricci tensor $\nabla S = 0$ of $M^n(c)$, $c \neq 0$ ([4]). Because of this fact we know that there does not exist any Einstein real hypersurface of $M^n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. In such a situation, let us investigate the covariant

derivative of the Ricci tensor in $M^n(c)$, $c \neq 0$, along the structure vector ξ in such a way that $\nabla_{\xi} S = 0$.

In order to prove our result we prepare the following theorems without proof:

THEOREM C ([5]). Let M be a real hypersurface of CH^n . If the structure vector ξ is principal and $\nabla_{\xi}S = 0$, then M is locally congruent to one of (A_0) , (A_1) and (A_2) .

THEOREM D ([8]). Let M be a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}P^n(\geq 3)$ on which ξ is a principal curvature vector and the focal map φ_r has constant rank on M. If $\nabla_{\xi}S=0$, then M is locally congruent to one of (A_1) , (A_2) , (B), (C), (D) and (E).

In this paper let us consider the condition that ξ is an eigenvector of the Ricci tensor S, which is more general notion than $A\xi = \alpha \xi$.

THEOREM. Let M be a real hypersurface of $M^n(c)$, $c \neq 0$. If it satisfies $\nabla_{\xi} S = 0$ and $S\xi = \sigma \xi$ for some constant σ on M, then ξ is a principal curvature vector.

All manifolds in this paper are assumed to be connected and of class C^{∞} and the real hypersurfaces are supposed to be orientable.

1. Preliminaries

Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex n-dimensional complex space form $M^n(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, and let C be a unit normal vector field on a neighborhood of a point x in M. We denote by ∇ and ∇ the Riemannian connection in $M^n(c)$ and in M respectively. Then by the Gauss formula, we have the relationship between ∇ and ∇ : For any vector fields X and Y on M

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)C,$$

where g is the Riemannian metric tensor of M induced from that of $M^n(c)$ and A denotes the shape operator with respect to C of M in $M^n(c)$. Furthermore, we have another equation which is called the Weingarten formula:

$$\bar{\nabla}_X C = -AX.$$

For any local vector field X on a neighborhood of x in M, the transformations of X and C under the complex structure J in $M^n(c)$ can be given by

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)C, \quad JC = -\xi,$$

where ϕ defines a skew-symmetric transformation on the tangent bundle TM of M, where η and ξ denote a 1-form and a vector field on a neighborhood of x in M respectively. Then it is seen that $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$. The set of tensors (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called an almost contact metric structure on M. They satisfy the following

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi$$
, $\phi \xi = 0$, $\eta(\phi X) = 0$, $\eta(\xi) = 1$,

where I denotes the identity transformation and \otimes the tensor product. Furthermore the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors are given by

$$(1.1) \qquad (\nabla_X \phi) Y = \eta(Y) A X - g(AX, Y) \xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi A X.$$

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, equations of the Gauss and Codazzi are respectively given as follows;

$$(1.2) R(X,Y)Z = c\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - 2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z\}/4 + g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY,$$

$$(1.3) (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = c\{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}/4,$$

where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M and $\nabla_X A$ the covariant derivative of the shape operator A with respect to X.

The Ricci tensor S' of M is a tensor of type (0,2) given by $S'(X,Y) = tr\{Z \to R(Z,X)Y\}$. Also it may be regarded as the tensor of type (1,1) and denoted by $S: TM \to TM$ satisfying S'(X,Y) = g(SX,Y). From (1.3) we see that the Ricci tensor S of M is given by

$$(1.4) S = c\{(2n+1)I - 3\eta \otimes \xi\}/4 + hA - A^2,$$

where we have put h = trA. Moreover, using (1.2) we get

(1.5)
$$(\nabla_X S)Y = -3c\{g(\phi AX, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi AX\}/4 \\ + dh(X)AY + (hI - A)(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_X A)AY,$$

where d denotes the exterior differential.

In what follows, to write our formulas in convention forms, we denote $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$, $\beta = g(A^2\xi, \xi)$ and ∇f by the gradient vector field of a function f. Define a 1-form u by u(X) = g(U, X), where $U = \nabla_{\xi}\xi$. Because of properties of the almost contact metric structure and the second equation of (1.1), we can get

$$\phi U = -A\xi + \alpha \xi,$$

which shows that $g(U,U) = \beta - \alpha^2$. By the definition of U and the second equation of (1.1), we easily see that

$$(1.7) g(\nabla_X \xi, U) = g(A^2 \xi, X) - \alpha g(A \xi, X).$$

On the other hand, differentiating (1.6) covariantly and making use of (1.1), we find

$$\eta(X)g(AU,Y) + g(\phi X, \nabla_Y U) = g((\nabla_Y A)X, \xi) - g(A\phi AX, Y) \\
- \eta(X)g(\nabla \alpha, Y) + \alpha g(A\phi X, Y),$$

which enables us to obtain

$$(1.9) g((\nabla_X A)\xi, \xi) = 2g(AX, U) + g(\nabla \alpha, X).$$

By the definition of U, (1.1), (1.8) and (1.9) it is verified that

(1.10)
$$\nabla_{\xi} U = 3\phi A U + \alpha A \xi - \beta \xi + \phi \nabla \alpha.$$

2. Real hypersurfaces of $M^n(c)$ satisfying $\nabla_{\xi} S = 0$

In what follows let M be a real hypersurface of $M^n(c)$, $c \neq 0$ and assume that the Ricci tensor S satisfies

$$(2.1) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\xi}} S = 0,$$

and that

$$(2.2) S\xi = \sigma \xi$$

for some function σ . Then by (1.4) we have

(2.3)
$$A^{2}\xi = hA\xi + (\beta - h\alpha)\xi,$$

where we have put

(2.4)
$$\beta - h\alpha = -\sigma + \frac{c}{2}(n-1).$$

Differentiating (2.2) covariantly along M, we find

$$(\nabla_X S)\xi + S\nabla_X \xi = (X\sigma)\xi + \sigma\nabla_X \xi.$$

Because of (2.1) we then obtain

$$SU = d\sigma(\xi)\xi + \sigma U,$$

which together with (2.2) gives

$$(2.5) d\sigma(\xi) = 0,$$

and hence $SU = \sigma U$. It follows that

(2.6)
$$A^{2}U = hAU + \left(\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c\right)U,$$

where we have used (1.4) and (2.4).

We put $A\xi=\alpha\xi+\mu W$, where W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . Then from (1.6) we see that $U=\mu\phi W$, and W is also orthogonal to U. We assume that $\mu\neq 0$ on M, that is, ξ is not a principal curvature vector and we put $\Omega=\{p\in M|\mu(p)\neq 0\}$. Then Ω is an open subset of M and from now on we discuss our arguments on Ω .

Making use of (2.3), we find

$$(2.7) AW = (h - \alpha)W + \mu \xi,$$

where $\mu^2 = \beta - \alpha^2$ and hence

$$A^2W - hAW = (\beta - h\alpha)W$$

because of $\mu \neq 0$.

If we differentiate (2.3) covariantly along Ω and use the second equation of (1.1), then we get

(2.8)
$$(\nabla_X A) A \xi + A(\nabla_X A) \xi + A^2 \phi A X - h A \phi A X$$

$$= dh(X) A \xi + h(\nabla_X A) \xi + d(\beta - h\alpha)(X) \xi + (\beta - h\alpha) \phi A X.$$

So, by using (1.9) and (2.3), we obtain

(2.9)
$$g((\nabla_X A)\xi, A\xi) = hg(AU, X) + \frac{1}{2}d\beta(X),$$

which together with (1.3) gives

$$(2.10) g((\nabla_{\xi}A)AX,\xi) = hg(AU,X) - \frac{c}{4}u(X) + \frac{1}{2}d\beta(X).$$

Replacing X by ξ in (2.8) and using (1.9) and (2.10), we get

$$(2.11) \quad hAU + 2(\beta - h\alpha + c)U = dh(\xi)A\xi - A\nabla\alpha + h\nabla\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\nabla\beta.$$

If we take the inner product with (2.11) and ξ and make use of (2.4) and (2.5), then we can derive the equation

$$2g(A\xi, \nabla \alpha) = \alpha dh(\xi) + h d\alpha(\xi),$$

which implies

$$(2.12) 2\mu d\alpha(W) = (h - 2\alpha)d\alpha(\xi) + \alpha dh(\xi).$$

Since $\nabla_{\xi}S = 0$, by replacing X by ξ , we have from (1.3) and (1.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{3}{4}c\{u(X)\eta(Y) + u(Y)\eta(X)\} + \frac{c}{4}\{g(AY,\phi X) + g(AX,\phi Y)\} \\ &= dh(\xi)g(AX,Y) + hg((\nabla_X A)Y,\xi) + \frac{c}{4}hg(\phi X,Y) \\ &- g(AY,(\nabla_X A)\xi) - g(AX,(\nabla_Y A)\xi). \end{aligned}$$

By replacing X with $A\xi$ in (2.13) and using (1.9) and (2.6), we find

(2.14)
$$\left(h^2 + 2\beta - 2h\alpha - \frac{c}{4}\right)AU + \left\{h\beta - h^2\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c(h+\alpha)\right\}U$$

$$= dh(\xi)A^2\xi - \frac{1}{2}A\nabla\beta - (\beta - h\alpha)\nabla\alpha.$$

Using (2.11) and (2.14) we have the following:

(2.15)
$$\frac{3}{4}c(3AU - \alpha U) + A^2\nabla\alpha - hA\nabla\alpha - (\beta - h\alpha)\nabla\alpha = 0,$$

$$(2.16) \qquad \frac{1}{2}(A\nabla\beta - h\nabla\beta) - h(A\nabla\alpha - h\nabla\alpha) + (\beta - h\alpha)\nabla\alpha$$
$$= dh(\xi)(\beta - h\alpha)\xi + \left(2h\alpha - 2\beta + \frac{c}{4}\right)AU$$
$$+ \left(h\beta - h^2\alpha + \frac{5}{4}ch - \frac{3}{4}c\alpha\right)U.$$

Combining (2.11) and (2.15) with (2.16), we can verify that

(2.17)
$$-\frac{1}{2} \{ A^2 \nabla \beta - hA \nabla \beta - (\beta - h\alpha) \nabla \beta \}$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} c \{ (h+\alpha)AU - (\beta + \frac{c}{4})U \}.$$

Now, differentiating (2.7) covariantly along Ω , we find

$$(\nabla_X A)W + A\nabla_X W = d\mu(X)\xi + \mu\nabla_X \xi + d(h - \alpha)(X)W + (h - \alpha)\nabla_X W.$$

By taking the inner product in the last equation with W, we have

$$(2.18) g((\nabla_X A)W, W) = -2g(AX, U) + dh(X) - d\alpha(X).$$

because W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . We also have by applying ξ

$$(2.19) \quad \mu g((
abla_X A)W, \xi) = (h-2lpha)g(AU, X) + rac{1}{2}deta(X) - lpha dlpha(X).$$

If we replace X by μW to the both sides of (2.13) and take account of (1.3), (2.6) and (2.19), then we obtain

(2.20)

$$\left(h^2 - 3\alpha h + 2\beta - \frac{c}{4}\right)AU + \left\{(h - 2\alpha)\left(\beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c\right) + \frac{c}{4}\alpha\right\}U$$
$$= \mu dh(\xi)AW - \mu A\nabla\mu - \beta\nabla\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\alpha\nabla\beta.$$

On the other hand, we have from (1.3) and (2.8)

$$\frac{c}{4}\left\{-u(X)\eta(Y) + u(Y)\eta(X)\right\} + \frac{c}{2}(h-\alpha)g(\phi Y, X)
- g(A^2\phi AX, Y) + g(A^2\phi AY, X) + 2hg(\phi AX, AY)
- (\beta - h\alpha)\left\{g(\phi AY, X) - g(\phi AX, Y)\right\}
= g(AY, (\nabla_X A)\xi) - g(AX, (\nabla_Y A)\xi) + dh(Y)g(A\xi, X)
- dh(X)g(A\xi, Y) + d(\beta - h\alpha)(Y)\eta(X) - d(\beta - h\alpha)(X)\eta(Y).$$

Thus we get

(2.22)

$$\begin{split} \left(4\beta - 4h\alpha + h^2 + \frac{c}{4}\right)AU + \left(\frac{3}{2}c\alpha - \frac{5}{4}ch\right)U \\ &= \mu dh(\xi)AW - \mu dh(W)A\xi - \mu \{d\beta(W) - hd\alpha(W) - \alpha dh(W)\}\xi \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(2\alpha - h)\nabla\beta + (h\alpha - 2\beta)\nabla\alpha + (\beta - \alpha^2)\nabla h, \end{split}$$

where we have used (1.9), (2.6), (2.7), (2.19) and (2.20), which implies

$$\begin{split} &\frac{3}{4}\left(4\beta-4h\alpha+h^2+\frac{c}{4}\right)AU+\left(\frac{3}{2}c\alpha-\frac{5}{4}ch\right)U\\ &=\frac{1}{2}(2\alpha-h)\{A^2-hA-(\beta-h\alpha)I\}\nabla\beta\\ &+(\alpha h-2\beta)\{A^2-hA-(\beta-h\alpha)I\}\nabla\alpha\\ &+(\beta-\alpha^2)\{A^2-hA-(\beta-h\alpha)I\}\nabla h. \end{split}$$

Thus, if we take account of (2.15) and (2.17), then we obtain

$$(2.23)$$

$$(\beta - \alpha^2) \{ A^2 - hA - (\beta - h\alpha)I \} \nabla h$$

$$= \frac{3}{4} c \left\{ \left(-2\beta + 2\alpha^2 + \frac{c}{4} \right) AU + (h\beta - h\alpha^2 + c\alpha - ch)U \right\},$$

which enables us to obtain

(2.24)
$$g(U,U)dh(U) = \left(-2\beta + 2\alpha^2 + \frac{c}{4}\right)g(AU,U) + (h\beta - h\alpha^2 + c\alpha - ch)g(U,U),$$

because of (2.6).

3. The case that σ is constant

In this section, we assume that M is a real hypersurface of $M^n(c)$, $c \neq 0$ satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) with $\sigma = \text{constant}$. Then by (2.4) we have

$$(3.1,) \qquad \nabla \beta = h \nabla \alpha + \alpha \nabla h.$$

Thus, using (2.15), (2.17) and (2.23) we obtain

$$igg(3.2) \ igg(heta-hlpha^2-rac{1}{4}clphaigg)AU=igg\{2(eta-lpha^2)\left(hlpha-eta-rac{3}{4}c
ight)+c(eta-hlpha)igg\}U.$$

Applying (2.14) by ξ and making use of (3.1), we find

$$\mu d\beta(W) = (2\beta - \alpha^2)dh(\xi) + (\alpha h - 2\beta)d\alpha(\xi),$$

or using (2.12) and (3.1), (3.3)

$$\mu lpha dh(W) = \left(2eta - lpha^2 - rac{1}{2}lpha h
ight)dh(\xi) + \left(2lpha h - 2eta - rac{1}{2}h^2
ight)dlpha(\xi).$$

Now, let Ω_1 be the set of points in Ω such that U is not principal. Then we have on Ω_1

$$(3.4) \quad h(\beta-\alpha^2)=\frac{c}{4}\alpha, \quad 2(\beta-\alpha^2)\left(h\alpha-\beta-\frac{3}{4}c\right)+c(\beta-h\alpha)=0.$$

Differentiation the second equation of (3.4) along Ω_1 gives $\nabla \beta - 2\alpha \nabla \alpha = 0$, which shows that $(h - 2\alpha)\nabla \alpha + \alpha \nabla h = 0$.

In a similar way, from the first equation of (3.4), we have $(\beta - \alpha^2)\nabla h = \frac{c}{4}\nabla\alpha$ on Ω_1 . Thus by virtue of these two equations we have $(\beta - \alpha^2)(2\alpha - h)\nabla\alpha = \frac{c}{4}\alpha\nabla\alpha$. Therefore we have $\nabla\alpha = 0$ on Ω_1 because of (3.4). So that (2.15) implies $3AU = \alpha U$ on Ω_1 . This can't occur in Ω_1 .

Hence (3.2) means $AU = \lambda U$ on Ω , and so we have

$$\left\{h(\beta-lpha^2)-rac{c}{4}lpha
ight\}\lambda=2(eta-lpha^2)\left(hlpha-eta-rac{3}{4}c
ight)+c(eta-hlpha).$$

By using $AU = \lambda U$ and (2.6), we find

(3.5)
$$\lambda^2 = \lambda h + \beta - h\alpha + \frac{3}{4}c.$$

Therefore, the last two equations imply

(3.6)
$$\lambda(h-2\lambda)(\beta-\alpha^2) = \frac{c}{4}(4h\alpha-4\beta-\alpha\lambda).$$

Thus we have

LEMMA 1. $AU = \lambda U$ on Ω , where λ satisfies (3.5) and (3.6).

From this lemma and (2.24), it follows that

$$(3.7) dh(U) = \left(\beta - \alpha^2 - \frac{c}{4}\right)(h - 2\lambda) + \frac{c}{4}(4\alpha - \lambda - 3h).$$

Therefore we obtain, by using that σ is constant and with (2.15), (2.17) and Lemma 1,

(3.8)
$$\alpha dh(U) = \left(h\lambda - 2\alpha\lambda + 2\beta - h\alpha + \frac{c}{2}\right)(\beta - \alpha^2).$$

The covariant differentiation of (3.5) gives

$$(3.9) (2\lambda - h)\nabla \lambda = \lambda \nabla h.$$

We notice here that $\lambda \neq 0$ on Ω because of (3.5) and (3.6). So $\alpha \neq 0$ on Ω since we have (2.15). Consequently, we can, using (3.6), (3.7) and (3.9), verify that $2 \lambda - h \neq 0$ on Ω .

On the other hand, if we make use of (2.13) and Lemma 1, then we get

$$(3.10) (h - \lambda)g((\nabla_X A)U, \xi) - g(AX, (\nabla_U A)\xi)$$

$$= \frac{3}{4}cg(U, U)\eta(X) + \frac{c}{4}\mu(\lambda - h)w(X) - \frac{c}{4}\mu g(AX, W) - \lambda dh(\xi)u(X).$$

In a same way, from (2.21) and (3.5) we have

$$\lambda g((\nabla_X A)U, \xi) - g(AX, (\nabla_U A)\xi) + dh(U)g(AX, \xi)$$

= $\frac{c}{4}g(U, U)\eta(X) - \frac{c}{2}(h - \alpha)\mu w(X) - \frac{3}{4}c\mu g(AX, W).$

From the above two equations we obtain

$$(3.11) \qquad (h-2\lambda)g((\nabla_X A)U,\xi) - dh(U)g(AX,\xi)$$

$$= \frac{c}{2}g(U,U)\eta(X) + \frac{c}{2}\mu g(AX,W) + \frac{c}{2}(h-\alpha)\mu w(X)$$

$$+ \frac{c}{4}\mu(\lambda - h)w(X) - \lambda dh(\xi)u(X).$$

If we differentiate $AU = \lambda U$ covariantly along Ω , then we get

(3.12)
$$(\nabla_X A)U + A(\nabla_X U) = d\lambda(X)U + \lambda \nabla_X U,$$

which by taking the inner product with ξ and using (1.3) and (1.10) implies

(3.13)

$$g((\nabla_X A)U, \xi) = d\lambda(\xi)u(X) - \frac{c}{4}\mu w(X) - g(AX, \phi \nabla \alpha) - \lambda g(\phi X, \nabla \alpha) + \mu(3\lambda - \alpha)\{g(AX, W) - \lambda w(X)\} + (\beta - \alpha^2)\{g(AX, \xi) - \lambda \eta(X)\}.$$

Combining (3.11) with (3.13) and taking account of (3.5) and (3.7), we have

(3.14)
$$A\phi\nabla\alpha + \lambda\phi\nabla\alpha = -\mu(3\lambda - \alpha)(AW - \lambda W).$$

Thus (3.13) turns out to be (3.15)

$$g((
abla_XA)U,\xi)=d\lambda(\xi)u(X)+(eta-lpha^2)\{g(AX,\xi)-\lambda\eta(X)\}-rac{c}{4}\mu w(X).$$

Since $\nabla_X \xi = \phi A X$ and $U = \nabla_{\xi} \xi$, we see that $\nabla_X U = \phi(\nabla_X A) \xi + \alpha A X - g(A^2 X, \xi) \xi$. Replacing X by U and using (1.3) and (3.15), we have

(3.16)
$$\nabla_U U = -\lambda (h - \alpha) U + \left(\alpha \lambda + \beta - \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{4}\right) U - \mu d\lambda(\xi) W.$$

On the other hand we find from (3.12)

$$\frac{c}{4} \{ \eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y \} U + g(AX, \nabla_Y U) - g(AY, \nabla_X U)
= d\lambda(Y)u(X) - d\lambda(X)u(Y) + \lambda \{ (\nabla_Y u)(X) - (\nabla_X u)(Y) \},$$

which shows that by using (3.16) and Lemma 1,

$$\mu d\lambda(\xi)(AW - \lambda W) = g(U, U)\nabla\lambda - d\lambda(U)U.$$

It follows that

(3.17)
$$\mu dh(\xi)(AW - \lambda W) = (\beta - \alpha^2)\nabla h - dh(U)U$$

because of (3.9). Therefore we have

(3.18)
$$\mu dh(W) = (h - \alpha - \lambda)dh(\xi).$$

We now prove

LEMMA 2. $dh(\xi) = 0$ and $d\alpha(\xi) = 0$ on Ω .

Proof. From $\mu^2 = \beta - \alpha^2$ and (3.1) we have

$$2\mu\nabla\mu = \alpha\nabla h + (h - 2\alpha)\nabla\alpha.$$

Differentiating (3.6) covariantly along Ω and making use of (3.9), we find

$$2\lambda(h-2\lambda)\mu\nabla\mu-2\mu^2\lambda\nabla\lambda=-\frac{c}{4}(\lambda\nabla\alpha+\alpha\nabla\lambda).$$

As is already remarked that $\lambda(h-2\lambda) \neq 0$ on Ω , the last two equations imply that

$$(3.19) x\nabla h + y\nabla \alpha = 0,$$

where we have put

$$\begin{cases} x = \alpha(h-2\lambda)^2 + 2\lambda\mu - \frac{c}{4}\alpha, \\ y = (h-2\lambda)\{(h-2\lambda)(h-2\alpha) + \frac{c}{4}\}. \end{cases}$$

So we have $\{y\alpha - x(2\lambda - h)\}dh(\xi) = 0$ because of (2.12) and (3.18). Let Ω_2 be the set of points at which $dh(\xi) \neq 0$ in Ω . Suppose that Ω_2 is not empty. Then we have $y\alpha = x(2\lambda - h)$ on Ω_2 . Therefore we have $x(\lambda h + 2\beta - 2h\alpha + 2c) = 0$ on Ω_2 because of (2.15), (2.17), (3.1), (3.5), (3.9) and (3.19). Let $\Omega_3 = \{p \in \Omega_2 | x(p) \neq 0\}$. Suppose that Ω_3 is nonvoid. Then we have $\lambda h + 2\beta - 2h\alpha + 2c = 0$ on Ω_3 . So we have $\lambda h = \text{constant because of (2.4)}$. From this fact and (3.9) we see that $\nabla h = 0$ on Ω_3 , a contradiction. Therefore x=0 on Ω_2 and hence y=0 because $\alpha \neq 0$ on Ω . Thus (3.19) leads to

$$(3.20) (h-2\lambda)(h-2\alpha) + \frac{c}{4} = 0,$$

which enables us to obtain

$$(3.21) \qquad \qquad (2\lambda - h)^2 \nabla \alpha = \left(h^2 + 2\beta - 3\alpha h + \frac{3}{2}c\right) \nabla h,$$

on Ω_2 . If we take the inner product with W and make use of (3.18), then we get

$$\mu d\alpha(W) = (h - \alpha - \lambda)d\alpha(\xi)$$

because $2\lambda - h \neq 0$ on Ω , or use (2.12)

(3.22)
$$\alpha dh(\xi) = (h - 2\lambda)d\alpha(\xi)$$

on Ω_2 . Therefore we have $d\alpha(\xi) \neq 0$ on Ω_2 .

Applying ξ to (3.21) and using (3.20) and (3.22), we find $\lambda^2 - \alpha \lambda - \frac{c}{8} = 0$ on Ω_2 . From this and (3.9) and (3.22) we see that $\lambda = 0$ on Ω_2 , a contradiction. This is impossible on Ω . Thus $dh(\xi) = 0$ on Ω . So (3.18) means dh(W) = 0 and hence $\{(h-2\alpha)^2 + 4(\beta-\alpha^2)\}d\alpha(\xi) = 0$ because of (3.3). Therefore $d\alpha(\xi) = 0$ on Ω . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.

According to Lemma 2, (3.17) turns out to be

$$g(U,U)\nabla h = dh(U)U,$$

or using (3.8),

(3.23)
$$\alpha \nabla h = \left(h\lambda - 2\alpha\lambda + 2\beta - h\alpha + \frac{c}{2}\right)U.$$

By differentiating (3.23) covariantly, we have

$$\begin{split} &d\alpha(Y)dh(X) - d\alpha(X)dh(Y) \\ &- \{(h-2\alpha)d\lambda(Y) + (\lambda+\alpha)dh(Y) + (h-2\lambda)d\alpha(Y)\}u(X) \\ &+ \{(h-2\alpha)d\lambda(X) + (\lambda+\alpha)dh(X) + (h-2\lambda)d\alpha(X)\}u(Y) \\ &- \left(h\lambda - 2\alpha\lambda + 2\beta - h\alpha + \frac{c}{2}\right)\{(\nabla_Y u)X - (\nabla_X u)Y\} \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

Hence we have

$$\left(h\lambda-2lpha\lambda+2eta-hlpha+rac{c}{2}
ight)du(\xi,X)=0$$

for any vector field X because of (3.8) and Lemma 2. Let $M_0 = \{p \in \Omega | du(\xi, X)(p) \neq 0\}$. Suppose that M_0 is not empty. Then on a component C of M_0 we have

(3.24)
$$h\lambda - 2\alpha\lambda + 2\beta - h\alpha + \frac{c}{2} = 0.$$

Thus (3.23) implies $\nabla h = 0$ so that (3.7) becomes

$$(3.25) \qquad (h-2\lambda)\left(\beta-\alpha^2-\frac{c}{4}\right)-\frac{c}{4}(\lambda-h)-c(h-\alpha)=0$$

on C. By means of (3.9), we see that λ is constant on C. By using (3.1) and (3.24) it is seen that α is constant on C. Thus (2.15) and (2.17) turn out to be $3\lambda = \alpha$, $(h + \alpha)\lambda = \beta + \frac{c}{4}$ on C respectively. So these facts, (3.5) and (3.25) will produce a contradiction. Hence M_0 is void.

Therefore we have

LEMMA 3. $du(\xi, X) = 0$ for any vector field X on Ω .

4. Proof of Theorem

Using Lemma 3 and (2.7) and the definition of U we have

$$\nabla_{\xi} U = -\mu \{ \mu \xi + (h - \alpha) W \}.$$

Hence, from (1.10) and Lemma 1 we see that

(3.26)
$$\mu(h-\alpha)W = -\mu(\alpha-3\lambda)W - \phi\nabla\alpha,$$

which implies $\mu^2(h-\alpha) = -\mu^2(\alpha-3\lambda) + d\alpha(U)$. From (2.6), (2.15) and Lemma 1 we can get $h=\alpha$. Thus it is clear that

$$\phi \nabla \alpha = \mu (3\lambda - \alpha) W.$$

Accordingly we obtain

$$(3.27) \nabla \alpha = -(3\lambda - \alpha)U.$$

Substituting $h = \alpha$ into (3.5), we have $\lambda^2 = \beta - \alpha^2 + \lambda \alpha + \frac{3}{4}c$ and comparing (3.23) with (3.27), we get

$$\beta - \alpha^2 + \frac{c}{4} + \alpha \lambda = 0.$$

From these two equations, (3.9) and (3.27) we deduce a contradiction. Hence we conclude that Ω is empty. It completes the proof of main theorem.

References

- [1] S.-S. Ahn, S.-B. Lee and Y. J. Suh, On ruled real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, Tsukuba J. Math. 17 (1993), 311-322.
- [2] J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, J. Reine Angew. Math. 395 (1989), 132-141.
- [3] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 481-499.
- [4] U-H. Ki, Real hypersurfaces with parallel Ricci tensor of a complex space form, Tsukuba J. Math. 13 (1989), 73-81.
- [5] and N.-G. Kim and S.-B. Lee, On certain real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, J. Korean Math. Soc. 29 (1992), 63-77.
- [6] M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 137-149.
- [7] _____, Sectional curvatures of holomorphic planes on a real hypersurface in $P_n(C)$, Math. Ann. 276 (1987), 487-497.
- [8] S. Maeda, Ricci tensors of real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Proc. AMS. 122 (1994), 1229-1235.
- [9] R. Takagi, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975), 43-53; 507-516.
- [10] K. Yano and M. Kon, CR submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian manifolds, Birkhäuser, (1983).

Topology and Geometry Research Center, Kyungpook National University, Taegu 702-701, Korea