oxygen (Juaristi, E.; Cuevas, G. The Anomeric Effect; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1995; pp. 208-209.).

- 8. Equatorial orientation of the sulfoxide oxygen could be inferred from the NMR data (see Ref. 6). For ketone **3a** the axial proton at C-2, *cis* to oxygen suffered an upfield shift from δ 5.97 ppm to δ 5.37 ppm upon oxidation. Also, C-5 of sulfoxide **4a** appeared at lower field than C-5 of sulfide **3a** (δ 50.8, 42.9 ppm, respectively).
- 9. Dale, J. A.; Mosher, H. S. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35,

Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1998, Vol. 19, No. 9 913

4002-4003.

- 10. Chung, S.-K.; Han, G. Syn. Commun. 1982, 12, 903-906.
- 11. Addition of CH₃MgBr to sulfide **3b** and sulfoxide **4b** proceeded with a high (96% de) and moderate (40% de) diastereoselectivities, respectively. Even though the absolute configuration of the Grignard addition product has not been determined yet, the lower selectivity in Grignard addition to **4b** suggests that Mg ion chelates with ring oxygen more strongly than Li ion does.

Liquid Chromatographic Resolution of N-1- and 2-Naphthylamide Derivatives of 2-Aryloxypropionic Acids

Wonjae Lee

LG Chemical Ltd., Research Park, P.O. Box 61 Yu Sung, Science Town, Taejon 305-380, Korea Received April 27, 1998

2-Aryloxypropionic acids and their ester or amide derivatives have proven to be essential herbicides and some of them are sold as the racemic mixtures.^{1,2} It has been reported that the R-isomers show the herbicidal activity, the S-isomers being inactive.3 Therefore, a few of these compounds are marketed as the single R-enantiomers.¹ Also, the most biologically active 2-aryloxypropionic acids and their derivatives have been developed. Owing to the importance of determining the enantiomeric purity of these compounds and to the dependence of their biological activities on stereochemistry, a number of studies for the resolution of 2-aryloxypropionic acids and/or their derivatives have been reported. Gas chromatographic chiral stationary phases (CSPs) based on modified cyclodextrins to resolve the ester derivatives of three 2-aryloxypropionic acids were reported.⁴ Several liquid chromatographic methods using CSPs derived from N-3,5-dinitrobenzoyl-phenylglycine,⁵⁻⁸ α_1 -acid glycoprotein,^{6.8} tartaric acid,⁹ cellulose derivatives¹⁰ and diaminocyclohexane^{11,12} were investigated to separate the enantiomers of these various analytes. Recently, brush-type synthetic CSPs¹³ 1 and 2 (Figure 1) were also employed to resolve several 2aryloxypropionic acids and their derivatives.¹⁴ Although these compounds showed generally good enantioseparation on CSPs 1 and 2, some analytes showed poor resolution, as



Figure 1. Structures of commercially available CSPs 1 and 2 used in this study.

seen in Table 1.¹⁴ For example, 2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy) propionic acid,²⁸ one of the important herbicides of this class in Europe and 2-(2-chlorophenoxy)propionic acid showed little enantioselectivity on CSPs 1 and 2 in the previous study. Even the enantiomers of their ester or *N*-n-butyl amide derivatives were poorly resolved on CSP 1 and/ or CSP 2. In these cases, derivatization of the analyte with a proper achiral reagent which sometimes provides the necessary interaction sites for chiral recognition may improve the resolution.¹⁵

Therefore, racemic 2-aryloxypropionic acids were derivatized with a strong π -basic 1- or 2-naphthylamine which is expected to allow an enantioselective π - π interaction with a π -acidic 3,5-dinitrobenzoyl (DNB) group of CSP. In this study, the enantioseparation of 2-aryloxypropionic acids as their N-1- and 2-naphthylamide derivatives was investigated on CSP 1 or CSP 2. The presence of N-naphthyl derivatizing moiety serves also to provide strong UV adsorption to aid detection, which affords an advantage of a lower limit of detection of 2-aryloxypropionic acids.

As good enantioseparation of the N-1- and 2-naphthylamide derivatives of 2-aryloxypropionic acids is observed on CSP 1, chromatographic data of these analytes on CSP 1 are presented in Tables 2 and 3. The enantiomers of N-1naphthylamide derivatives of 2-aryloxypropionic acids were base-line separated on CSP 1 in all cases. Especially, fairly good enantioselectivity (α =1.37-1.66) was observed for the resolution of N-1-naphthylamide derivatives of 2-(2,4dichloro- and 2-chlorophenoxy)propionic acids. The separation factors of the enantiomers of all analytes are superior to those of the corresponding N-n-butyl amides.¹⁴ The degree of enantioselectivity of the N-1-naphthylamide derivatives of 2-aryloxypropionic acids is greater than that of the corresponding N-2-naphthylamide derivatives. These observed results are considered to arise from the

Table 1. Separation of enantiomers of 2-(2,4-dichloro- and 2-chlorophenoxy)pro	pionic acids and their derivatives*
---	-------------------------------------

Aro X CH3							
Ar	v	(R,R) CSP 1		(R,R) CSP 2			
	х —	α	k' 1	Ret	α	k'ı	Ret ^a
2,4-dichlorophenyl	ОН	1.00	0.69*		1.00	0.78^{h}	
2-chlorophenyl	OH	1.00	0.94"		1.05	0.91	
2,4-dichlorophenyl	OEt	1.11	0.80°	(+)(R)	1.00	1.88°	
2-chlorophenyl	OEt	1.16	1.07	(+)	1.06	2.44'	(+)
2,4-dichlorophenyl	O-n-Bu	1.11	0.60	•	1.00	1.41 ^c	
2-chlorophenyl	O-n-Bu	1.15	0.81		1.00	1.62 [°]	
2,4-dichlorophenyl	NH-n-Bu	1.00	2.36		1.33	2.314	(-)(R)
2-chlorophenyl	NH-n-Bu	1.00	2.78^{d}		1.26	2.88	(-)

*All of the data were taken from reference 14.; Flow rate=2.0 mL/min; UV 254 nm; "absolute configuration and/or the sign of optical rotation of the second eluted enantiomer. $^{b}5\%$ 2-propanol/hexane (V/V) with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid as a mobile phase. $^{\circ}0.5\%$ 2-propanol/hexane (V/V).

 Table 2.
 Separation of enantiomers of N-1-naphthylamide

 derivatives of 2-aryloxypropionic acids on (R,R) CSP 1*



*Chromatography was performed at room temperature using an HPLC consisting of a Waters model 510 pump, a Rheodyne model 7125 injector with a 20 μ L loop, a variable wavelength detector Dynamax UV-1 detector and a Waters 746 data module integrating recorder.; Flow rate=2.0 mL/min; UV 254 nm; Mobile phase=40% 2-propanol/hexane (V/V); **absolute configuration and/or the sign of optical rotation of the second eluted enantiomer.

conformational rigidity of the N-1-naphthyl derivatives engendered by the peri-hydrogen of the N-1-naphthyl moiety.¹⁶ The conformationally rigid N-1-naphthyl derivatives without the substantial deviation from the heavily populated conformation with a lower energy are more favorable for formation of the stable diastereomeric adsorbate than the conformationally flexible N-2-naphthyl derivatives.¹⁷

A consistent elution order for the enantiomers of N-1- or 2-naphthyl-2-aryloxypropionamides examined was observed on (R,R) CSP 1, where the R-isomers were selectively retained. Two principal competing recognition processes are expected to occur during diastereomeric complexation between the enantiomers of N-1- or 2-naphthyl-2-aryloxy-

Table 3. Separation of enantiomers of *N*-2-naphthylamide derivatives of 2-aryloxypropionic acids on (R,R) CSP 1



Entry	Ar	α	\mathbf{k}_{1}^{\prime}	Retained*
1	1-naphthyl	1.27	14.54	(-)(R)
2	2-naphthyl	1.36	11.82	(+)(R)
3	phenyl	1.10	6.01	(-)
4	4-methylphenyl	1.11	5.75	
5	4-n-butoxyphenyl	1.17	5.61	(+)
6	4-chlorophenyl	1.28	6.23	(+)(R)
7	2,4-dichlorophenyl	1.56	4.31	(-)(R)
8	3-chlorophenyl	1.15	5.98	(-)
9	2-chlorophenyl	1.31	4.14	(-)

Flow rate=2.0 mL/min; UV 254 nm; Mobile phase=40% 2-propanol/hexane (V/V); *absolute configuration and/or the sign of optical rotation of the second eluted enantiomer.

propionamides and the chiral selector. From the study of CPK molecular models, one chiral recognition mechanism of the *N*-naphthylamide derivatives of 2-aryloxypropionic acids is proposed, which utilizes 1) a π - π interaction between the DNB group of the chiral selector and *N*-naphthyl derivatizing moiety of the analyte and 2) a hydrogen bonding interaction between the DNB N-H hydrogen of the chiral selector and the carbonyl oxygen of the analyte. The other competing chiral recognition process is similar to the previously proposed mechanistic rationale: a π - π interaction between the DNB moiety of the CSP and the 2-aryloxy group of the analyte and a hydrogen bonding interaction between the DNB moiety of the CSP and the 2-aryloxy group of the analyte and a hydrogen bonding interaction between the DNB N-H hydrogen of the CSP and the carbonyl oxygen of the analyte.¹⁴

For the N-naphthylamide derivatives of 1- or 2-naphthoxy substituted 2-propionic acids, the π -electron rich N-naphthyl derivatizing group competes strongly with the π -electron rich naphthoxy group for a π - π interaction with the DNB

Table 4. Separation of enantiomers of N-1- and 2-naphthylamide and N-3,5-dimethylanilide derivatives of some 2-aryloxypropionic acids

0 II

AIO X CH ₃							
Ar	x —	(R,R) CSP 1			(R,R) CSP 2		
		α	k' 1 ^a	Ret [*]	α	\mathbf{k}_{1}^{*}	Ret"
2,4-dichlorophenyl	NH-1-naphthyl	1.66	4.26	(-)(R)	1.12	3.92	(-)(R)
4-chlorophenyl	NH-1-naphthyl	1.45	6.54	(+)(R)	1.14	5.25	(+)(R)
2-chlorophenyl	NH-1-naphthyl	1.37	4.28	(-)	1.10	4.13	(-)
2,4-dichlorophenyl	NH-2-naphthyl	1.56	4.31	(-)(R)	1.13	2.93	(+)(S)
4-chlorophenyl	NH-2-naphthyl	1.28	6.23	(+)(R)	1.22	3.15	(-)(S)
2-chlorophenyl	NH-2-naphthyi	1.31	4.14	(-)	1.14	3.05	(+)
2,4-dichlorophenyl	NH-3,5-DNP*	1.38	1.86°	(•)	1.05	1.48 ^c	(+)
4-chlorophenyl	NH-3,5-DNP*	1.14	3.60°	(+)	1.12	1.94°	(-)
2-chlorophenyl	NH-3,5-DNP*	1.17	1.84 [°]	(-)	1.00	1.70°	

Flow rate=2.0 mL/min; UV 254 nm; *DNP=3,5-dimethylphenyl; "40% 2-propanol/hexane (V/V) as a mobile phase. "absolute configuration and/or the sign of optical rotation of the second eluted enantiomer." 20% 2-propanol/hexane (V/V).

group of the chiral selector. Therefore, two chiral recognition processes mentioned above compete strongly with each other in these analytes. In case of the N-naphthylamide derivatives of other aryloxy substituted 2-propionic acids, however, the π -electron rich N-naphthyl derivertizing molety of the analyte is expected to interact more preferentially with the DNB group of the chiral selector than π -electron poor 2-aryloxy group. Consequently, the former chiral recognition process predominates over the latter in this case. The greatest enantioseparation of the N-1- and 2-naphthylamide derivatives of 2-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)propionic acid can be explained by the most predominant π - π interaction between the DNB group of the chiral selector and the π electron rich N-naphthyl derivatizing moiety, which competes least with 2,4-dichlorophenoxy group for a π - π interaction with the DNB group. It should be pointed out that when two competing chiral recognition mechanisms occur, the relative contribution of each process to the overall time-averaged chiral recognition can be influenced by certain structural features in the analyte.¹⁸

On the other hand, the enantiomers of N-2-naphthyl amide derivatives of some 2-aryloxypropionic acids show the inverted elution orders on CSP 2, as shown in Table 4. As a result, the signs of optical rotation for the preferentially retained enantiomers of N-2-naphthylamide derivatives of these analytes on CSP 2 are the opposite of those on CSP 1. The reason for the observed reversal of elution order depending upon N-1- or 2-naphthyl derivatizing group on CSP 2 is not clear yet, because both CSP 1 and CSP 2 are expected to have similar patterns of chiral recognition, judging from two X-ray crystallographic data of similar spatial orientation of the essential interaction sites.^{19,20} Presumably, the conformationally flexibility of the N-2naphthylamides resulting from the absence of the perihydrogen might be responsible for the inversion of elution orders of these analytes. The same results are observed for the resolution of N-3,5-dimethylanilide derivatives of these analytes (Table 4). The enantiomers of the N-3,5-dimethylanilide derivatives lacking the peri-hydrogen show the

inverted elution order on CSP 2. It is also noted that lower enantioselectivity of the N-3,5-dimethylanilide derivatives than that of the N-1- or 2-naphthylamide derivatives is due to the less strong π -basic nature of the former derivatizing group than that of the latter.

In summary, liquid chromatographic enantioseparation of 2-aryloxypropionic acids as their N-1- or 2-naphthylamide derivatives was investigated with two proposed competing chiral recognition processes in this study. CSP 1 showed the base-line resolution of all N-1-naphthylamide derivatives of 2-aryloxypropionic acids used in this study. Especially, CSP 1 afforded good enantioselectivity (α =1.31-1.66) for the resolution of the enantiomers of N-1- or 2-naphthyl-2-(2, 4-dichloro- and 2-chlorophenoxy)propionamides. CSP 1 is expected to be useful for a lower limit of enantiomeric detection of the N-1- or 2-naphthylamide derivatives of several 2-aryloxypropionic acids owing to a strong UV adsoption of N-naphthyl derivatizing moiety. Consequently, either CSP 1 or CSP 2 proved to be capable of separating the enantiomers of a variety of 2-aryloxypropionic acids and their derivatives.

Acknowledgment. The author wishes to thank Prof. Myung-Ho Hyun for his comments on this manuscript.

References

- Hopkins, W. L. In *Global Herbicide Directory* 2nd Ed.; Ag Chem Information Services: Indianapolis, U. S. A., 1997.
- Matolcsy, G.; Nadasy, M.; Andriska, V. In *Pestcide Chemistry*; Studies in Environmental Science 32: Elsevier Science Publishers: Amsterdam, The Netherlands, 1988; p 503.
- Naber, J. D.; van Rensen, J. J. S. In Stereoselectivity of Pesticides, Ariens, E. J.; van Rensen, J. J. S.; Welling, W. Ed.; Elsevier, Amsterdam, 1988; p 263.
- Konig, W. A.; Icheln, D.; Runge, T.; Pfaffenberger, B.; Ludwig, P.; Huhnerfuss, H. J. High Resol. Chromatogr. 1991, 14, 530.

- 916 Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 1998, Vol. 19, No. 9
- 5. Demoncour, R.; Azerad, R. J. Chromatogr. 1987, 410, 355.
- Blessington, B.; Crabb, N.; O'Sullivan, J. J. Chromatogr. 1987, 396, 177.
- Blessington, B.; Crabb, N. J. Chromatogr. 1988, 454, 450.
- 8. Blessington, B.; Crabb, N. J. Chromatogr. 1989, 483, 349.
- 9. Muller, M. D.; Bosshardt, H.-P. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 1988, 71, 614.
- Lin, S. H.; Chiou, A. J.; Wu, S. H.; Wang, K. T. Chirality 1991, 3, 476.
- 11. Tambute, A.; Siret, L.; Caude, M.; Rosset, R. J. Chromatogr. 1991, 541, 349.
- Bettoni, G.; Ferorelli, S.; Loiodice, F.; Tangari, N.; Tortorella, V.; Gasparrini, F.; Misiti, D.; Villani, C. *Chirality* 1992, 4, 193.
- 13. CSP 1 (β -Gem 1) and CSP 2 (Whelk-O 1) are

Communications to the Editor

commercially available from Regis Technologies (250 \times 4.6 mm I.D., 5 μ m, Morton Grove, Illinois).

- 14. Pirkle, W. H.; Lee, W.; Welch, C. J. Enantiomer 1997, 2, 423.
- 15. Perrin, S. R.; Pirkle, W. H. In *Chiral Separations by Liquid Chromatography*; American Chemical Society Symposium Series 471, Ahuja, S., Ed.: Washington, D. C., 1991; Chapter 3, p 43.
- Hyun, M. H.; Kim, M. H. J. Liq. Chromatogr. 1990, 13, 3229.
- 17. Pirkle, W. H.; Pochapsky, T. C. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 347.
- Pirkle, W. H.; McCune, J. E. J. Chromatogr. 1989, 469, 67.
- Pirkle, W. H.; Welch, C. J.; Wilson, S. R. Chirality 1994, 6, 615.
- McCune, J. E. Ph. D. Dissertation; University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, IL 1990.

Easy Preparation of (Z)- γ -Trimethylsilyl Allylic Alcohol from 3-(Trimethylsilyl)-1-propyne for the Stereoselective Synthesis of syn-1,2-Diols

Hyo Won Lee*, Hee Kyoon Yoon, and Ihl-Young Choi Lee[†]

Department of Chemistry, Chungbuk National University, Cheongju 361-763, Korea [†]Korea Research Institute of Chemical Technology, Taejon 305-606, Korea Received May 25, 1998

Stereoselective synthesis of 1,2-diols and 1,3-diols' has been well utilized by synthetic chemists who are interested in polyoxygenated natural products. As part of our efforts to develop methods for the stereoselective synthesis of 1,2diols, we describe herein a simple approach to *syn*-1,2-diols *via* (Z)- γ -trimethylsilyl allylic alcohols 3.

In 1988, Matsumoto *et al.* reported the utilization of compound 3 for the synthesis of *syn*-diol 5, which was prepared from (Z)- γ -allylic alcohols.² Their synthesis involved complicate sequences. In contrast, our approach in Scheme 1 is relatively concise and straightforward.

In our synthetic route, first of all, the carbanion of 3-(trimethylsily)-1-propyne (1) was reacted with aldehyde to give compound 2. Subsequently 2 was partially hydrogenated to *cis*-allylic alcohol 3^3 utilizing Lindlar catalyst. Then, compound 3 was converted to *threo*-epoxide 4 by treating with mcpba. The treatment of crude 4 with tetrabutylammonium fluoride provided the desired *syn*-1,2-diol 5.



We examine this reaction for several aldehydes. The results are summarized in Table 1. The yields are satisfactory and the stereoselectivities are preferentially *syn*. In a hope to change the *syn*-selectivity to *anti*-selectivity, we used VO(acac)₂ with *t*-butylhydroperoxide⁴ for the expoxidation or *t*-butyldimethylsilyl ether derivative of **3**. But the results gave predominantly *syn*-selectivity. To expand the

Table 1. The Stereoselectivity for Various Aldehydes

Entry	Aldehyde Yield of Diol (%)		syn : anti °	
1	C ₂ H ₅ CHO	92	97:3	
2	с₃н,сно	90	96:4	
3	С—сно	81	96 :4	
4	С—сно	92	94:6	
5	Съсно	98	100:0	
6	СЪсно	92	95:5	

^a The ratios were determined with both GC separations and ¹H NMR data of the acetonide of diols.⁵