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#### Abstract

The oxidation of triphenylphosphine by $\left[(t \mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen}) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[(\mathrm{bpy})(p-t e r t-\text { butylpy }) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{rv}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ (tpy is $2,2^{2}$ : $6^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}$-terpyridine, phen is 1,10 -phenanthroline, bpy is $2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine, and $p$-tert-butylpy is para-tertbutylpyridine) in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ has been studied. Experiments using ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-labeled complex show the oxyl group transfer from $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{24}$ to triphenylphosphine occured quantitatively within experimental error. Kinetic data were fit to a second-order for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]$. The initial product, $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{U}}-\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{{ }^{+}}$, was formed as an observable intermediate and then underwent slow solvolysis. The reaction proceeded as endothermic in activation enthalpy and a dectease in activation entropy. The oxidative reactivity of four representative ruthenium mono-oxo oxidants against triphenylphosphine was compared. These systems have been utilized as electrochemical oxidative catalysts.


## Introduction

Metal oxo complexes have been developed as new oxygen atom transfer reagents ${ }^{1}$ and invoked as the reactive entities in metalloporphyrin-catalyzed epoxidations. ${ }^{2}$ Dramatic progress in catalyzed epoxidation chemistry has been achieved in recent years with iodosylbenzene, peroxyacids, amine oxides, or hypochtorite anion as oxygen atom sources and porphyrin complexes of iron, chromium, and manganese as catalysts. ${ }^{3}$

A series of polypyridyl ruthenium aqua complexes have been known and a notable feature in their chemistry was the appearance of higher oxidation states obtained by the successive loss of proton and electron, which was accessible over a relatively narrow range in redox potentials. ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+} \xlongequal[+\mathrm{e}^{--} \cdot+\mathrm{H}^{+}]{\stackrel{-\mathrm{e}^{-}}{\rightleftharpoons} \cdot \mathrm{H}^{+}}\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{OH}\right]^{2+}} \\
& \xlongequal[+\mathrm{e}^{-},+\mathrm{H}^{+}]{\stackrel{\mathrm{e}^{-}}{+} \cdot \mathrm{H}^{+}}\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}
\end{aligned}
$$

Crystal structures of complexes containing the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV})=\mathrm{O}$ group showed that there was considerable ruthenium oxo multiple bond character arising from the electronic donation from the oxo group to $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV}){ }^{5}$
The oxo complexes of ruthenium with polypyridyl ligands have been shown to be efficient stoichiometric and/ or catalytic oxidants toward inorganic and organic substrates. ${ }^{6}$ An important part of ruthenium mono-oxo complexes was the discovery of the extensive mechanistic and catalytic properties. In these $\mathrm{d}^{4}$ complexes of the Ru (IV)-oxo group, the electron acceptor capability of the oxidant lay in the two vacancies in the d orbitals. It acted as a proton binding site or as a source of $\mathrm{O}^{2-}$ following electron transfer to the ruthenium metal.?
In the present work, we investigated the reactions between cis- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{1 \mathrm{~V}}(\mathrm{bpy})(p \text {-tert-butylpy })(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ and cis- $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{I V}\right.$ $($ tpy $)($ phen $)(\mathrm{O})]^{2+}$ complexes and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. We also wanted to establish the two-electron capability of the oxidants and to compare the reactivity of other known ruthenium mono-oxo oxidants.

## Experimental Section

Materials. $\mathrm{RuCl}_{3}, \quad 2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine(bpy), 2,2':6', $2^{\prime \prime}$ terpyridine(tpy), 1,10-phenanthroline (phen), $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4},\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2}$ $\left[\mathrm{Ce}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{6}\right](\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})), \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$, activated alumina, and SP-C25 Sephadex were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. and used without further purification. Deuterium oxide ( $99.9 \%$ D, Aldrich Gold Label) and acetonitrile- $\mathrm{d}_{3}$ ( $99.6 \%$ D , Aldrich Chemical C. .) were used as received. Triphenylphosphine was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co., recrystallized twice from ethanol, and checked by FTIR spectrophotometer to confirm the absence of " $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ " stretching frequency ( $\nu_{\mathrm{p}=\mathrm{n}}=1195 \mathrm{~cm}{ }^{1}$; nujol mull). ${ }^{8}$ Acetonitrile was purified by distillation from $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ under an Ar atmosphere. Distilled water was further purified by passing through a Nanopure ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ (Barnstead) water system. Para-tert-butylpyridine(p-tert-butylpy) was also obtained from Aldrich and purified according to a literature method. ${ }^{9}$ ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-labelled water (isotopic purity $>97.1 \%$ ) was purchased from Isotec, Inc. and used as received.

Preparations. $\quad\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right], \quad\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(p-\right.$ tert-butylpy) $\left.\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$, and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{2}(p\right.$-tert-butylpy $\left.)(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ were prepared by previously described procedures. ${ }^{6,7}$
$[(t p y)($ phen $) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathbf{C l})]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right) . \quad \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 0.68$ mmol, 1,10 -phenanthroline ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and LiCl $(317 \mathrm{mg}, 30.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ dissolved in 60 mL of ethanol and 20 mL of distilled water were heated at reflux under a stream of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 3 h . After this period, the deep purple pot contents were filtered hot and reduced to ca. one-third of the original volume by evaporation. To the filtrate was added an excess of saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ solution which resuited in the formation of a brown precipitate. The crude $\mathrm{PF}_{6}{ }^{-}$salt was dissolved in minimum $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ followed by elution on a $1 \times$ 20 cm column of alumina; eluent was $1: 2$ tolueneacetonitrile. The second purple band was evaporated to dryness to give a crystalline product. Yield: 319 mg ( $66 \%$ ). Anal. Found (Calcd): C, 44.97 (45.49); H, 3.18 (2.97); N, 9.67 (9.82).

Caution! Care should be exercised in using a spatula or stirring rod to mechanically agitate any solid perchlorate.

These complexes, as well as other perchlorate salts, should be handled only in small quantities.
$\left[(t p y)(\right.$ phen $\left.) \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2} . \quad[($ tpy $)($ phen $) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{Cl})]$ $\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right)(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.14 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}(31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15$ mmol) were dissolved in 40 mL of acetone and 15 mL of distilled water and heated at reflux for 1 h . The formation of complex was verified by the observation of AgCl precipitate. The resultant mixture was filtered and rotary evaporated. The brownish black precipitate was obtained by adding 2 mL of a $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ solution. Purification was achieved by column chromatography using Sephadex SP-C25 as the column support and a $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaClO}{ }_{4}$ aqueous soluion as the eluent. The second band was recovered from the column and this red solution was reduced in volume by rotary evaporator until a precipitate began to form. The solution was then cooled in refrigerator ovemight and a red product was recovered by filtration. Yield: 90 mg ( $89 \%$ ). Anal. Found (Calcd): C, 43.99 (44.33); H, 3.08 (3.17); N, 9.59 (9.57).
$[($ tpy $)($ phen $) \mathbf{R u}(\mathrm{O})]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} . \quad\left[(\right.$ tpy $)($ phen $\left.) \mathrm{Ru}\left(\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right)\right]$ $\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in a 20 mL of distilled water. To the stirred solution was slowly added Ce (IV) $(90 \mathrm{mg}, 0.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of a $1.0 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{HClO}_{4}$. The yellow precipitate was filtered and washed well with iced water, then dried in a vacuum dessicator. Yield: 48 mg $(96 \%)$. IR (KBr): $V_{\mathrm{Ru}=0}=799 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Anal. Found (Calcd): 44.88 (45.58); H, 2.67 (2.69); N, 9.78 (9.84).

Instrumentation. Routine UV-visible spectra and slow kinetic runs were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 8452A Diode Aray spectrophotometer using HP 89532A general scanning and HP 89532 K kinetics softwares. FT-IR spectra were obtained on a Bomen MB 100 FT-IR spectrophotometer as either on nujol mulls or in solutions using NaCl plates. Fast kinetic measurements were carried out by using a Photal RA-401 stopped-flow spectrophotometer. The system was interfaced with an IBM compatible computer system employing RA-451 data processor. The temperature of the solution was controlled within $\pm 0.2{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by using a Brinckman Lauda K-2/RD water bath circulator. All of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR data were obtained in a Varian Model Gemini-200 FT-NMR spectrometer using $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ as solvent. The chemical shift parameters were presented in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) downfield from internal reference tetramethylsilane (TMS) while the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ chemical shifts were referenced to extemal $85 \% \quad \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}$. Cyclic voltametric experiments were conducted in a one-compartment cell equipped with Pt-gauze working electrode, a platinum-wire electrode, and the $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ electrode at $25 \pm 2$ ${ }^{\text {" }} \mathrm{C}$ and were uncorrected for the junction potencial effects. ${ }^{10}$ Cyclic voltammograms were obtained with a home-made potentiostat/galvanostat. In the coulometric determinations a Pt-gauze working electrode was used. Elemental analyses were performed by analytical laboratory at Basic Science Institute of Korea.

UV-Visible Measurements. The formation of [(tpy) (phen) $\left.\mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[(\text { bpy })_{2} \text { (p-tert-butylpy) } \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{I}}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ was easily identified by dissolving corresponding each aqua complex in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. Spectral changes with time showed that the half-life ( $t_{1: 2}$ ) of solvation reaction occurred in 15 $\min$ for $\left[(t p y)(p h e n) \mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ and 50 min for $\left[(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(p-\right.$ tert-butylpy) $\left.\mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+} .{ }^{11}$ Stoichiometric relationships in the
reaction between $\left[(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen}) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ and $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{-}$ CN were in part determined in a spectrophometric titration where the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV}) / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ mole ratio was varied beween 0 and 2 by adding different volumes of stock solution of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ to equal volumes of a $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV})$ stock solution. No precautions were taken to exclude air. With the addition of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ solution, the visible absorption peak at 485 nm decreased in intensity with the increase of the peak at 456 nm of [(tpy) (phen) $\left.\mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$. A mole ratio $\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3} / \mathrm{Ru}\right)$ against absorbance at 456 nm showed a linear ratio of 1.0 . Continued addition of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ did not cause any increase in absorbance until an apparent end point at $\mathrm{Ru} / \mathrm{PPh}_{3}=1.0$ is observed. A blank solution of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV})$ without adding $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ showed no spectral changes in the visible region over a time span of several hours. Same experiments were performed for $\left[(b p y)_{2}(p-\text { tert-butylpy }) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}=0\right]^{2+} \quad$ oxidant toward $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$.

Infrared Measurements. To observe the infrared spectral changes that occur in the course of the reaction between $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\left[(\mathrm{tpy})(\text { phen }) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ complex in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 5 \mathrm{mg}$ of freshly recrystallized $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in 10 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (to give 1.9 mM solution) was added to 14 mg of solid $\left[(t p y)(p h e n) \mathrm{Ru}^{1 v}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}(2.0 \mathrm{mM}$ solution $)$. The infrared spectra of solution in an approximately 1 mm pathlength NaCl was obtained at every min in the region 1300-1050 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. From the completely reacted ( 1 day old) solution, we confirmed $\mathrm{P}=0$ stretching frequency at $1195 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Quantitative infrared analysis for $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}$ was carried out by following the previous mentioned procedures. ${ }^{12}$
Kinetic Measurements. The oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by $\left[(t p y)(\mathrm{phen}) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{Fv}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ initially afforded an intermediate observed at the wavelength of 488 nm . The rate of the rapid reduction of $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV})$ to $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ intermediate was monitored from the absorbance change against time at 468 nm, which was an isosbestic point of the intermediate and the final solvolysis product, $\left[(t p y)(\text { phen }) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{l}}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ at 456 nm . Likewise, the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by [(bpy) $)_{2}(p-t e r t-$ butylpy) $\left.\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {IV }}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ initially afforded an intermediate observed at the wavelength of 478 nm . The rate of the rapid reduction of Ru (IV) to Ru (II) intermediate was monitored from the absorbance change against time at 456 nm , which was an isosbestic point of the intermediate and the final solvolysis product, [(bpy) (p-tert-butylpy) $\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {II }}$ $\left.\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ at 441 nm . Stopped-flow measurements of the rate of formation of the intermediate in the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV})$ were carried out on the Photal RA-401 stopped-flow apparatus as was described in Experimental Section. Pseudo-first-order condition was maintained throughout the experiments with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in excess. Reported values of the rate constants were average of five or more experiments in which the same solutions reacted under the reaction conditions employed.

## Results and Discussion

Syntheses. The preparations of the six-coordinate ruthenium and osmium complexes were reported by Dwyer and co-workers. ${ }^{13}$ The syntheses reported here represented alternative procedures for obtaining similiar complexes. The mono-subsituted complexes $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}\left(\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{py}\right.$ or $p^{-}$ tert-butylpy) and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}=\mathrm{bpy}\right.$ or phen) were
prepared by reaction of the free ligand either with cis-Ru (bpy) $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or with $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}$ (eq. 1-2).

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{L}= & \text { py or p-tert-butylpy } \frac{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{ON}_{4} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}}{\text { reflux }} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right) }  \tag{1}\\
\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy}) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}+\mathrm{L}^{\prime}= & \text { bpy or phen } \frac{\mathrm{EtOH} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{PF}_{6}}{\text { reflux }} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { tpy })\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]\left(\mathrm{PF}_{6}\right) } \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The ruthenium mono-aquo complex was easily achieved by exchange reaction using $\mathrm{AgClO}_{4}$. Purification of the crude aquo complexes was accomplished by filtering off AgCl followed by precipitation using a saturated $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ solution (eq. 3-4). The aquation reaction was easily checked spectrophotometrically by monitoring the disappearance of the peak for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$or $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}$around $\lambda_{\text {max }}=504-512 \mathrm{~nm}$ and the appearance of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ or $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ peak at $\lambda_{\text {max }}=474-476 \mathrm{~nm}$. In several preparations, pure complexes were obtained through column chromatography.

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}+2 \mathrm{AgClO}_{4} \frac{\text { Acetone: } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=10: 1}{\text { reflux } / \mathrm{NaClO}_{4}}} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{AgCl}}  \tag{3}\\
{\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { tpy })\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{Cl}\right]^{+}+2 \mathrm{AgClO}_{4} \frac{\text { Acetone: } \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=4: 1}{\text { reflux } / \mathrm{NaClO}_{4}}} \\
{\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})\left(\mathrm{L}^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}+2 \mathrm{AgCl}} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The oxidation of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ species with $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})$ to the corresponding $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{FV}}=0$ species was performed in aquous solution, in which the product spontaneously precipitated from a saturated $\mathrm{NaClO}_{4}$ solution (eq. 5-6). Upon addition of $\mathrm{Ce}(\mathrm{IV})$ to an aqueous solution of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}$, the redcolored mixture turned to a yellow color. The oxidation reaction was easily confirmed by monitoring the complete disappearance of MLCT band at $\lambda_{\text {max }}=474-476 \mathrm{~nm}$.

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
{\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \text { ireflux }} \mathrm{Ce}_{\mathrm{e}(\mathrm{I}) / \mathrm{HClO}}^{4} \mathrm{~L}}
\end{array} \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{~L}) \mathrm{O}\right]^{2+} .
$$

Stoichiometry. Using a mole ratio plot of the spectrophotometric titration data taken near the end of the reaction, a $1: 1$ mole relationship between $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{1 \mathrm{VV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{3+}$ and added $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ was established. Using the calibration curve for $0 \mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ as described in Experimental Section, free $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}$ formed from the completely reacted solutions of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was determined as quantitative.

The UV-visble spectral changes during the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{3 \mathrm{~V}}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen})(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at room temperature are shown in Figure 1.

The initially featureless spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ in visible region changed rapidly to give an intemediate with $\lambda_{\text {max }}=$ 485 nm upon addition of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. The subsequent spectral changes show the solvolysis of the intermediate by $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ to yield $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ with $\lambda_{\text {max }}=456 \mathrm{~nm}$ in eq. 7. The spectrum of the intermediate is typical of MLCT bands of other polypyridyl $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes. ${ }^{12,14,15}$ Similar spectral


Figure 1. UV/VIS spectral changes observed during the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\left(7.6 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{M}\right)$ by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}{ }^{\text {uv }}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen})(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ $\left(6.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{M}\right)$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ : 1 . $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {v }}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen})(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}$ only; 2 . $5 \mathrm{sec} ; 3.30 \mathrm{~min} ; 4.1 \mathrm{hr} ; 5.2 \mathrm{hr} ; 6.3 \mathrm{hr} ; 7.4 \mathrm{hr} ; 8.6 \mathrm{hr}$.
changes are obtained from the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by $\left[(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(p-\right.$ tert-butylpy) $\left.\mathrm{Ru}^{\text {rv }}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at room temperature.

The overall reaction was

$$
\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2}}\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(7)
$$

FT-IR Spectral Changes. Infrared spectral changes which parallel the changes in the UV-visble spectra are shown in Figure 2.

Shortly after $\left[\mathrm{L}_{S} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{KN}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2^{2+}}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ are mixed in acetonitrile, an intense peak observed at $1152-1158 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is usually associated with the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequency of O bound $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}$ to the metal. ${ }^{8}$ Over the long period of solvolysis reaction shown in Figure 2, the band of an intermediate peak decreases while the peak of free $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ at $1195 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ increases. From the electronic and IR spectral characteristics of the intermediate, it seems clear that its structure is the $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}$ ligand bound to $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$, $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}-\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}$. Because of the labile nature of the intermediate, it solvolyzes far slowly to give $\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ (eq. 8 ).

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{[\mathrm{V}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \xrightarrow{\text { fast }}} \\
& {\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right)^{2+} \frac{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}}{\text { slow }}\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)\right]^{2+}+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right.} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Although the isolation of the intermediate from the altemate routes ${ }^{15}$ was not successful, the solids obtained did show the same electronic and IR spectral patterns. As is shown in Table 1, the $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O}$ stretching band in $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{l}} \cdot \mathrm{O}=\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ intermediate from the reaction of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{Iv}}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(p\right.$-tertbutylpy $)(\mathrm{O})]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ shifts to the lowest wavenumber. The presence of the bulky tert-butyl group in the ligand might be related to the great degree of the shift.

Labeling Studies. To find out possible sources of the oxygen that appears in the $\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}$ product, a tracer study


Figure 2. FT-IR spectra in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ solution that result from the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.026 \mathrm{mM})$ by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen})(\mathrm{O})\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)$ ) $(0.013 \mathrm{mM}):$ A. 5 sec ; B. 1.5 hr ; C. 5 hr ; D. 24 hr .
using ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-labeled oxidant was conducted. An experiment in which $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{[\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ containing approximately 60 atom $\%$ $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{1 \mathrm{~V}}\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)\right]^{2+}$ was allowed to react with $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ and analyzed in the manner previously described. ${ }^{4} \mathrm{~A}$ quantitative analysis for ${ }^{18} \mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\left(1158 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{OPPh}_{3}$ ( $1192 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) using data from two separate experiments showed essentially complete oxygen atom transfer from [Ru $(\mathrm{O})]^{2+}$ to $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} \quad\left(60 \%{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right.$ product expected vs. $58 \%$ observed).

NMR Spectral Changes. The course of reaction that appears to occur is also corroborated with proton and phosphorous NMR spectrophotometers. In complexes of the
type of six coordinated $\left[(b p y)_{2}(p y) \mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{X}\right]^{2+}$ complexes, the chemical shift of the one of 6 -protons of the bipyridine that is the nearest to X is shifted furthest downfield. The peak is affected by the nature of $X$ and also indicates the presence


Figure 3. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral changes of a reaction mixture in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ containing (1) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.042 \mathrm{M})$ and [(bpy) $)_{2}(p$-tert-butylpy) $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{O})]^{2+}(0.039 \mathrm{M}):$ A. 10 min ; B. 30 min ; C. 4 hr . (2) $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ $(0.026 \mathrm{mM})$ by $[(t \mathrm{tp})(\mathrm{phen}) \mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{O})]^{2+}(0.013 \mathrm{mM}): \mathrm{A} .10 \mathrm{~min} ; \mathrm{B}$. 4 hr C. 19 hr ; D. 60 hr .

Table 1. The spectral data for the intermediates in the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{9}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

| Entry | Intermediate | Spectral data |  |  |  | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{UV} / \mathrm{Vis} \\ & \lambda_{\operatorname{trax}}, \mathrm{nm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { FT-IR } \\ v_{\mathrm{P}=0}, \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}^{\circ}$ <br> $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ | ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR <br> $\delta, \mathrm{ppm}$ |  |
| 1 | [(bpy) $\left.\left.{ }^{(\mathrm{py}}\right) \mathrm{Ru}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ | 479 | 1150 | 9.45 | 50.1 | 12 |
| 2 | $\left[(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{p} \text {-tert-butylpy)Ru-} \mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}\right.$ | 478 | 1152 | 9.47 | 50.3 | this work |
| 3 | $\left[(t p y)(\mathrm{bpy}) \mathrm{Ru}^{\prime \prime}-\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ | 485 | 1161 | 9.75 | 49.5 | 4 |
| 4 | $\left[(t p y)(\text { phen }) \mathrm{Ru}^{10} \text { - } \mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ | 488 | 1158 | 10.06 | 50.3 | this work |

${ }^{a}$ the chemical shift observed in the region between 9.0 and 11.0 ppm .
of different ligand bound to $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$. $^{15.16}$ Likewise, the chemical shift of the one of 6 or $6^{\prime}$ proton of the bpy ligand in the complex of type $\left[(t \mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{bpy}) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{HI}}-\mathrm{L}\right]^{2+}$ is also affected by the nature of the $\mathrm{L}^{4,17}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectral changes from the mixing of $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen}) \mathrm{O}\}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ shown in Figure 3 indicate the occurrence of resonances at 10.06 ppm and 9.96 ppm in addition to the peak at 9.88 ppm . Over the fonger duration, the 10.06 ppm peak decreases with concommitant increase in the resonance at 9.96 ppm , which ascribes to the formation of $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen})$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{NCCD}_{3}\right)\right]^{2+}$ complex. Finally the sole peak at 9.96 ppm was observed after 24 h . Similiar spectral behaviors have been observed for the oxidation $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{TV}}(\text { bpy })_{2}(p\right.$-tert butylpy)(O) $]^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, which shows the resonances at 9.47 ppm and 9.43 ppm in addition to the peak at 9.30 ppm . After 4 h , the 9.47 ppm peak decreases with concommitant increase in the resonance at 9.43 ppm which is attributed to the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\text { bpy })_{2}(p \text {-tert-butylpy })\left(\mathrm{NCCD}_{3}\right)\right]^{2+}$ complex.
${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectroscopy was also used to deduce the nature of the intermediate. Figure 4 shows the appearance of the peak at 50.3 ppm , which is related to the intermediate from the reaction mixture of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(p-t e r t-\right.$ butylpy)(O) $]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. After the reaction was complete, only the resonance at 27.4 ppm for $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ was observed. Similiar spectral changes have been obtained from the reaction between $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{Iv}}(\mathrm{tpy})(\text { phen })(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$. The peak which is related to the intermediate appeared at 50.3 ppm .

Table 1 summarizes the proton and phosphorous NMR results of the chemical shifts of the intermediates in the oxidation $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{rv}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{3+}$. Although there is no significant change in the chemical shift, there is a lower field shift by changing from the tpy-phen or tpy-bpy system to bis/bpy-py one from the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra. From the data in hand, bulky tert-butyl substituent at para position on bpy ligand did not affect the change in the chemical shift of the intermediate. Rather the chemical shift may be dictated largely by electronic effects from the comparision between entries 3 and 4.

Redox Properties. A cyclic voltammogram of [Ru (tpy)(phen) $\mathrm{OH}_{2} \mathrm{~J}^{2+}$ in an aqueous solution buffered at pH 6.8 shows two distinct reversible redox waves. Similiar behaviors were observed for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{bpy}) \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ and $[\mathrm{Ru}$ $(\text { bpy })_{2}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{2} \mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}$ (here $\mathrm{L}=$ py or $p$-tert-butylpy). Within the 10 $>\mathrm{pH}>1.5$ region, the potentials for the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II} / \mathrm{III})$ couple and the $\mathrm{Ru}(I I I / / \mathrm{V})$ couple form two straight lines, with slopes very close to the Nernstian prediction of $-0.059 \mathrm{~V} /$ pH unit, indicative of an one-electron oxidation accompanied by the dissociation of one proton. ${ }^{3,10}$ The potentials for the other $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II} / \mathrm{II})$ and $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II} / \mathrm{IV})$ in Table 2


Figure 4. ${ }^{34} \mathrm{P}$ NMR spectral changes of a reaction mixture in $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, which contained initially $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}(0.026 \mathrm{M})$ and $[(t p y)(p h e n)$ $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{O})]^{2+}(0.013 \mathrm{M})$ : A. $\mathrm{PPh}_{3} ;$ B. $10 \mathrm{~min} ;$ C. $19 \mathrm{hr} ;$ D. 60 hr .
are quite similiar. However, substitution of p-tert-butyl-py for py in bis/bpy-py system results in an increase in the oxidation potentials of approximately 0.06 V . Considering the ability of p-tert-butyl-py ligand to provide stronger coordination to the ruthenium metal, it is hardly explainable with a change in activation energy of oxygen atom transfer equal to $\sim 4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
Kinetics. The kinetics of formation of the intermediate in the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{NN}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were monitored at the isosbestic point of the disappearance of the intermediate and the appearance of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ for each oxidant using UV-vis spectroscopy. Rate constant data for the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{iv}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ at each temp are summarized in Table 2. Kinetic data were fit to a second-order model for $\left[\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{[\mathrm{V}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$. Previous kinetic studies support this assumption. The rate enhancement for bis/bpy-py system must be attributed to the presence of less sterically hindered pyridine lignd compared to bis/bpy-p-tert-butylpy one, which will also account for the rate difference between tpy-bpy and tpy-phen system.
Activation parameters for the reaction between $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{[\mathrm{VV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ were obtained from the plot of $\ln (k / T)$ vs $1 / T$ over the temperature range of $15-40{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The results shown in Table 2 would not suggest the apparent change in mechanism for different oxidant. The higher activation energy for sterically hindered bis/bpy-p-tert-butylpy system against bis/bpy-py one was observed, although the difference is not significant.

Table 2. Summary of half-potential, kinetic and thermodynamic parameters for the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by four representative oxidants

| Oxidant | Half-potential ${ }^{4}$ | $k_{b}$ | $\Delta H^{+}$ | $\Delta 5^{+}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{py}) \mathrm{Ru}^{\text {IV }}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ | 0.42, 0.53 | $1.75( \pm 0.10) \times 10^{3}$ | 4.7( $\pm 0.5)$ | -19( $\pm 3$ ) | 12 |
| $\left[(\text { bpy })_{2}(p \text {-tert-butylpy }) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{NV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ | 0.48, 0.61 | $9.00( \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-1}$ | $9.0( \pm 0.6)$ | $-28( \pm 4)$ | this work |
| $\left[(t p y)(\text { bpy }) \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{TN}}=0\right]^{2-}$ | 0.49, 0.62 | $1.25( \pm 0.08) \times 10^{6}$ | $3.5( \pm 0.5)$ | $-23( \pm 3)$ | 4 |
| $\left[(t p y)(\text { phen }) \mathrm{Ru}^{\text {Nv }}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ | 0.48, 0.58 | $1.49( \pm 0.16) \times 10^{3}$ | $5.9( \pm 0.8)$ | -20( $\pm 1)$ | this work |

[^0]The solvolysis rates were independent of the concentration of added $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. The solvolysis rate for $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen})$ $\left.\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}, k\left(25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=7.8 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ is slower than for $[\mathrm{Ru}$ (tpy) $($ bpy $\left.) \mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}, k\left(25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=6.7 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{sec}{ }^{1}$. Howevet the rate for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(p \text {-tert-butylpy }) \mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2+}, k\left(25{ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{C}\right)=$ $2.3 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ is quite similiar to that for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\mathrm{py})\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{OPPb}_{3}\right]^{2+}, k\left(25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ within experimental error, which suggests the steric relief does not affect the solvolysis rate.

Mechanism. It is clear that the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{IV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{3+}$ in acetonitrile occurs by a net oxygen atom transfer. The final free products, $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{11}-\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right]^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{O}=$ $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$, are formed in quantitative yield by the sequence reactions shown in eq. 8. In an initial rapid step, the bound phosphine oxide complex, $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{OPPh}_{3}\right]^{2 \boldsymbol{}}$, is formed as an detectable intermediate followed by a far slower solvolysis reaction to afford the products. The results of the detection of the intermediate and the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-labelling experiment suggest that the transfered oxygen in $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ is the oxyl group of the $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV})$ oxidant.

There is a clear evidence for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{VV}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ acting as a 2 e oxidant with oxo atom transfer to the reductant. The O atom transfer reactivity suggests an electrophilic character at the oxo group. The electrophilic character is a shared property of the metal-oxo combination. In an electronic sense, the acceptor orbitals are largely $\mathrm{d} \pi(\mathrm{Ru})$ in character. The metal-based acceptor orbitals are strongly mixed with oxygen $p$ orbitals, which promote the Ru-oxo interaction. ${ }^{19}$

We have previously discussed several mechanistic possibilities for the initial redox step. ${ }^{4}$ The oxidation reactivity toward $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ by four representative $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{O}^{2+}$ oxidants reported here will provide a more quantitative view of ruthenium mono-oxo oxidants toward $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$. Regarding the rate constant, the strongest oxidant is tpy-bpy system and the weakest one is bis/bpy-p-tert-butylpy and the ratio of the rate difference is surprisingly more than $10^{7}$ !

A negative value for $\Delta S^{\dagger}$ suggests associative mechanism. The difference of 5 e.u. in $\Delta S^{\dagger}$ does not simply explain the rate change. However, there is a big difference in activation enthalpy for $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{bpy})(\mathrm{O})]^{2+}$ system ( $\left.3.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ against for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(p \text {-tert } \text {-butylpy })(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ one $(9.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$.

A magnetic study on the $\mathrm{d}^{4}\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{1 \mathrm{~N}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$ at room temperature shows that $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{IV})$ oxidant has a "triplet" ground state with two unpaired electrons. Without considering the effects of spin-orbit coupling, the observation of two unpaired electrons is consistent with $\left(d_{x y}\right)^{2}\left(d_{x y}\right)^{1}\left(d_{y z}\right)^{1}$ where the $\mathrm{Ru}=0$ bond is taken as the $z$ axis and the symmetry of the complex is assumed to be $C_{4 v}$. Since the actual symmetry of the oxidant is $C_{1}$ rather than $C_{4 v}$, the $d_{x z}$ and $d_{y z}$ orbitals are inequivalent and their degeneracy should be lifted. With the lifting of the degeneracy, it is not unreasonable to expect that a relatively low-lying "singlet" state having the electronic population $\left(d_{x y}\right)^{2}\left(d_{y z}\right)^{2}$ might exist for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{kV}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$.

Since the initial intermediate in the redox step is the $d^{6}$ $\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{II})$ complex with $S=0$, which has diamagnetic character, a spin state will decide the occurance of the reaction quantum mechanically. It will also determine the rate in the redox step. The intermediate eventually produces diamagnetic $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\prime \prime}\left(\mathrm{NCCH}_{3}\right)\right]^{2+}$ complex with $S=0$.
A possible mechanism might be involved in the initial population of the $S=0$ reactant state followed by the thermal-
ly activated redox step. A spin change should be occurred in the preequilibrium step.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{N}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{3+}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3} \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{~L}_{5} \mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{NV}}(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}, \mathrm{PPh}_{3}(\mathrm{~A})} \\
& S=1 \quad S=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Such a preequilibrium step assumed to be very rapid, under our reaction conditions, no deviation from second-order kinetics was observed.

In the case of $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(p \text {-tert-butylpy })(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ complex, the presence of the bulky tert-butyl substitutent requires more orientation and vibration to the termally accessible reactant orientation in the associated complex. Because of a slow change in electronic distribution, there exists a precursor (B) before leading to the formation of an intermediate. This mechanism will be also applied to the sterically more strained tpy-phen system compared to tpy-bpy one.
The formation of the possible diamagnetic complex (B) in the slow step should be evidenced from the proton NMR spectral changes in Figure 3 which show additional peak at 9.30 ppm for $\left[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}(\text { p-tert-butylpy })(\mathrm{O})\right]^{2+}$ and at 9.88 ppm for $[\mathrm{Ru}(\mathrm{tpy})(\mathrm{phen})(\mathrm{O})]^{2+}$ in the oxidation of $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$.

Implications for Catalysis. Previous work has shown that the $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{1 v}=\mathrm{O}^{2+} /\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{16}-\mathrm{OH}_{2}\right]^{2+}\right.$ couples can be utilized as chemical and electrochemical oxidation catalysts toward a variety of organic and inorganic substrates. ${ }^{18}$ We have attempted to develop an eletrocatalytic procedure for the oxidation of triphenylphosphine to triphenylphosphine oxide using four representative [(bpy) $)_{2}\left(p\right.$-tert-butylpy) $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{NV}}=$ $\mathrm{O}]^{2+}$ oxidants. For example, electrocatalysis of an aqueous solution which was 0.08 M in $\mathrm{PPh}_{3}, 4 \mathrm{mM}$ in $\left[\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{VN}}=\mathrm{O}\right]^{2+}$, and 0.1 M in $\mathrm{HPO}_{4}{ }^{2-} / \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{PO}_{4}{ }^{-}$buffer solution containing $50 \%$ by volume tert-butylalcohol as a solubilizing agent was carried out at 1.0 V (vs $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ ). Steady-state catalytic currents of $10 \mu \mathrm{~A}$ were obtained by using threecompartment cell. The electrolysis was continued until 108 coulombs had passed as shown by integrating the area under the current-time curve. The amount of $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{PPh}_{3}$ formed ( $100 \%$ ) was verified from FT-IR spectroscopy.
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#### Abstract

Acid-catalyzed benzidine rearrangements of new unsymmetrical diazanes 1-3, prepared from the reduction of corresponding diazenes 4-6, were carried out in ethanolic solutions. The results are as follows; rearrangement of (3-carbomethoxyphenyl)(3-methoxyphenyl)diazane 1 gave 4,4'-diamino-2-carbomethoxy-2'-methoxybiphenyl 12 ( $p$-benzidine type) in $71 \%$ and 10 -amino-3-methoxyphenanthridin- $6(5 H)$-one 13 , 8 -amino-3-methoxy-phenanthridin- $6(5 H)$-one 14 in $7.1 \%$ and $3.4 \%$, respectively. Product 13 and 14 were formed by the condensation reaction of primarily formed $o$-benzidine and diphenyline type product, respectively. (5-Carbomethoxy-2-chlorophenyl)(4-methoxyphenyl)diazane 2 and (5-carbomethoxy-2-methylphenyl)(4-methoxyphenyl)diazane 3 underwent mainly disproportionations to give fission amines and corresponding diazenes in about $53 \%$ and $40 \%$ yields, respectively. The results obtained from the rearrangements of diazanes $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ indirectly indicated the importance of disproportionations to understand the benzidine rearrangements. The structures of benzidine rearrangement products were determined by usual NMR techniques such as DEPT, 2D H-H COSY, H-C COSY, 2D NOESY, and Gaussian function multiplication.


## Introduction

Acid-catalyzed benzidine rearrangement has been studied extensively for more than 130 years since the rearrangement was discovered accidentally by Hofmann in the reduction of azobenzene. ${ }^{1}$ Benzidine rearrangements refer to reactions in which diazanes are converted to two kinds of products in the presence of acid (eq. 1). ${ }^{2}$


One kind comprises diaminobiphenyl compounds such as benzidine ( $4,4^{\prime}$-bonding), diphenyline ( $2,4^{\prime}$-bonding), and obenzidine ( $2,2^{2}$-bonding). In the other are aminodiphenylamine derivatives such as $o$-semidine ( $2, \mathrm{~N}^{\prime}$-bonding) and $p$ semidine ( $4, N^{\prime}$-bonding). Also formed are disproportionation products such as azobenzenes and arylamines, which are unavoidable in the usual benzidine rearrangments. At present it has been well established, by heavy atom kinetic isotope effects, that the rearrangement follow the patterns of the sigmatropic shifts. ${ }^{3}$ Recently, a $[9,9]$-sigmatropic shift in the acid-catalyzed benzidine rearrangement of bis[4-(2-furyl) phenyl]diazane was discovered, supporting that the benzidine rearrangement follow the patterns for sigmatropic processes. ${ }^{4}$ Described herein are benzidine rearrangements of new unsymmetrically substituted diazanes $\mathbf{1 . 3}$ containing carbomethoxy and methoxy groups separately at meta and meta/para positions of benzene rings. This work was undertaken in order to study the effects of unsymmetrical


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ potential values are vs $\$ \mathrm{CE}, \mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{I}=0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=7$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ average of five determinations at a single concentration ( $\mathrm{T}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ).

