

A CHARACTERIZATION OF MINIMAL SEMIPOSITIVITY OF SIGN PATTERN MATRICES

S.-W. PARK*, H.-G. SEOL AND S.-G. LEE

ABSTRACT. A real $m \times n$ matrix A is *semipositive* (SP) if there is a vector $x \geq 0$ such that $Ax > 0$, inequalities being entrywise. A is *minimally semipositive* (MSP) if A is semipositive and no column deleted submatrix of A is semipositive. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the sign pattern matrix with n positive entries to be minimally semipositive.

1. Introduction

The property of qualitative semipositivity has been examined in [3]. And we are going to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the sign pattern matrix with n positive entries to be minimally semipositive. The concept of semipositivity has been found useful in a number of settings as followings:

- (1) In the class of Z -matrices; the semipositivity of matrices characterizes the non-singular M -matrices.
- (2) Given a finite set \mathcal{D} of diagonal matrices, semipositivity of a matrix constructed from \mathcal{D} determines convergence of \mathcal{D} .
- (3) The $m \times n$ matrix A is semipositive only if the interior of the cone generated by the columns of A interests the positive orthant.

A real $m \times n$ matrix A is called *semipositive* (SP) if there is a real vector $x \geq 0$ such that $Ax > 0$, inequalities being entrywise. A is *minimally semipositive* (MSP) if A is semipositive and no column deleted submatrix of A (i.e. matrix obtained from A by deleting a column) is

Received July 19, 1997. Revised May 5, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A03, 15A04, 15A33.

Key words and phrases: sign pattern matrix, semipositivity, minimal semipositivity.

This present studies were supported (in part) by the Basic Science Research Institute program, Ministry of Education, 1996. Project No. BSRI-96-1420.

semipositive. A characterization of the sign patterns that allow minimal semipositivity for full sign pattern matrices was given in [4]. Later a question was raised in [4] for $\{+, -, 0\}$ -sign patterns. It is the purpose of this paper to generalize the results and give an answer to the open question.

In this paper we discuss $\{+, -, 0\}$ -sign patterns that allow minimal semipositivity with exactly n positive entries. We note that this restriction is the least necessary condition of semipositivity. By a *sign pattern matrix* we mean an $m \times n$ array $\mathbf{B} = (b_{ij})$ each of whose entries b_{ij} is an element of the set $\{+, -, 0\}$. The *sign pattern class* $Q(\mathbf{B})$ associated with \mathbf{B} consists of all $m \times n$ real matrices $A = (a_{ij})$ such that a_{ij} is positive (respectively, negative, zero) if and only if b_{ij} is $+$ (respectively, $-$, 0).

If P is a property that a matrix may have, then the sign pattern \mathbf{B} *allows* P if there is $A \in Q(\mathbf{B})$ that enjoys property P , and \mathbf{B} *requires* P if each $A \in Q(\mathbf{B})$ enjoys P . A real square matrix is *inverse nonnegative* (*inverse positive*) provided it is nonsingular and its inverse is entrywise nonnegative (positive). The following theorem gives an equivalent condition for minimal semipositivity.

THEOREM 1.1 [3]. *A real square matrix is minimally semipositive if and only if it is inverse nonnegative.*

The qualitative properties of semipositivity were examined in [3]. In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the sign pattern matrix with n positive entries to allow minimal semipositivity. As in [4], a $\{+, -, 0\}$ -sign pattern is said to have *form* G provided each row has a nonzero entry, the rightmost one being a $+$, and in each row after the first the rightmost nonzero entry occurs in a position not to the left of the rightmost nonzero entry in the preceding row. The rightmost entry in each row of a sign pattern having form G is called a *frontal plus* of that row, and of the sign pattern. A *generalized positive column* is a sign pattern \mathbf{S} which is permutationally equivalent to a sign pattern having form G ; that is, $\mathbf{S} = P\mathbf{S}'Q$ for some sign pattern \mathbf{S}' having form G and some permutation matrices P and Q .

We conclude this introductory section with a summary of the results, each proved in [2]. Let S be a $\{+, -, 0\}$ -sign pattern matrix.

LEMMA 1.1. *A sign pattern matrix S allows semipositivity if and only if each row of S contains a $+$.*

LEMMA 1.2. *A sign pattern matrix S requires semipositivity if and only if S is a generalized positive column.*

LEMMA 1.3. *A sign pattern matrix S requires minimal semipositivity if and only if S is a permutationally equivalent to a sign pattern S' , with S' having form G and each column of S' containing a frontal plus that is the only $+$ in its row.*

2. Sign patterns that allow minimal semipositivity

In this section, we shall characterize the $\{+, -, 0\}$ -sign patterns that allow minimal semipositivity. It will be shown that they have a certain form, we now introduce D^+ -form of sign pattern matrix. A square sign pattern matrix B is said to have D^+ -form if B can be written (i.e. permutationally equivalent to) as the following form

$$PBQ = \begin{pmatrix} + & & & & \\ & + & & * & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & + & \\ & * & & & + \end{pmatrix}$$

for some permutation matrices P and Q , and all off diagonal entries of PBQ are nonpositive. And a square sign pattern matrix B is said to have T^+ -form if B can be written as the following upper triangular block matrix form

$$PBQ = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & & & & \\ & B_2 & & * & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & 0 & \\ & & & & B_k \end{pmatrix}$$

for some permutation matrices P and Q , and all off diagonal entries of PBQ are nonpositive.

An $n \times n$ matrix A is said to be *fully indecomposable* if A cannot be expressed in the form

$$P \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ 0 & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} Q$$

where A_{11}, A_{22} are squares of order at least one and P, Q are permutation matrices. An $n \times n$ matrix A is said to be *partly decomposable* if it is not fully indecomposable.

First of all, we characterize a fully indecomposable sign pattern matrix which is minimally semipositive.

Let B be an $n \times n$ fully indecomposable sign pattern matrix with $n \geq 2$. If B requires minimal semipositivity, then B is permutationally equivalent to a sign pattern matrix B' , with B' having form G. But this is impossible since B is fully indecomposable. Therefore we can have the following.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let B be an $n \times n$ fully indecomposable sign pattern matrix. Then B requires minimal semipositivity if and only if*

$$B = (+)_{1 \times 1}.$$

PROOF. Suppose that $n \geq 2$ and B requires minimal semipositivity. Then, by Lemma 1.3, B is permutationally equivalent to a sign pattern B' , with B' having form G and each column of B' containing a frontal plus that is the only $+$ in its row. But this is impossible since B is fully indecomposable. So B should be an 1×1 matrix

$$B = (+)_{1 \times 1}.$$

The converse is trivial. The proof is complete. □

LEMMA 2.2. *Let B be an $n \times n$ fully indecomposable sign pattern matrix with exactly n positive entries. If B allows minimal semipositivity, then B has D^+ -form.*

PROOF. Since B allows minimal semipositivity, B allows semipositivity. So, each row of B contains a $+$. If B has a column without $+$ (say, i -th column), then the i -th column deleted submatrix of B is semipositive. This violates the minimality. So each column of B contains a $+$. Hence there are permutation matrices P and Q so that

$$PBQ = \begin{pmatrix} + & & & & \\ & + & & * & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & * & & + & \\ & & & & + \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore B has D^+ -form. □

THEOREM 2.1. *Let B be an $n \times n$ fully indecomposable sign pattern matrix with $n \geq 2$. If B has D^+ -form, then B allows minimal semipositivity.*

PROOF. Let $\tilde{B} \in B$ with $\tilde{B} = [\tilde{b}_{ij}]$ ($\tilde{b}_{ii} > 0$). Then

$$\tilde{B}e = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{b}_{1j} \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{b}_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n \tilde{b}_{nj} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1)^T$. So we can choose b_{ii} are sufficiently large with $b_{ii} > \sum_{k=1, k \neq i}^n b_{ik}$. Then $\tilde{B}e > 0$. Hence B allows minimal semipositivity. The proof is complete. □

Let A be an $m \times n$ real matrix, then the sign pattern $s(A) = (s_{ij})$ of A is defined by

$$s_{ij} = \begin{cases} + & , & \text{if } a_{ij} > 0 \\ - & , & \text{if } a_{ij} < 0 \\ 0 & , & \text{if } a_{ij} = 0 \end{cases}.$$

For a fully indecomposable matrix A which $s(A)$ has D^+ -form, A has exactly n positive entries which consists of an n -cycle. Then the following theorem is an immediate consequence.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let B be an $n \times n$ fully indecomposable sign pattern matrix with exactly n positive entries ($n \geq 2$). Then B allows minimal semipositivity if and only if B has an n -cycle with exactly n positive entries.*

We have given a necessary and sufficient condition for a fully indecomposable sign pattern matrix with exactly n positive entries to be minimally semipositive. We now consider an $n \times n$ partly decomposable sign pattern matrix. Since a partly decomposable matrix B is permutationally equivalent to the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & & & \\ & B_2 & & * \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & B_k \end{pmatrix}$$

where each block B_i is a fully indecomposable square matrix ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$).

THEOREM 2.3. *Let B be an $n \times n$ partly decomposable sign pattern matrix with exactly n positive entries. Then B requires minimal semipositivity if and only if B is permutationally equivalent to an upper triangular matrix which has positive main diagonal and all off diagonal entries are nonpositive.*

PROOF. Suppose that B is permutationally equivalent to the block upper triangular matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_1 & & & \\ & B_2 & & * \\ & & \ddots & \\ & 0 & & B_k \end{pmatrix}$$

where each block B_i is a fully indecomposable square matrix ($i = 1, 2, \dots, k$). If there is a block B_j whose size is not 1×1 , then B does not require minimal semipositivity by Lemma 2.1. So

$$B_i = (+)_{1 \times 1}$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $k = n$. That is, there are permutation matrices P and Q so that

$$PBQ = \begin{pmatrix} + & & & & \\ & + & & * & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & 0 & & + & \\ & & & & + \end{pmatrix}$$

and super diagonal entries (*) are all nonpositive. Conversely, suppose that PBQ has the above form. For each $\tilde{B} \in Q(PBQ)$, consider the equation $\tilde{B}x = y$, that is,

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & & & & \\ & a_2 & & * & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & 0 & & a_{n-1} & \\ & & & & a_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}$$

For $i < j$, we choose x_i which is sufficiently larger than x_j . Then we have y which is entrywise positive. Hence B requires minimal semipositivity. The proof is complete. □

For the partly decomposable sign pattern matrix, we had characterized the sign patterns with n positive entries that require minimal semipositivity. From now on, we only need to consider the case of it allows but not require.

COROLLARY 2.1. *Let B be an $n \times n$ partly decomposable sign pattern matrix with exactly $n(\geq 2)$ positive entries which does not require minimal semipositivity. Then B allows minimal semipositivity if and only if B is permutationally equivalent to the upper triangular block matrix,*

$$T^+ = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & & & & \\ & B_2 & & * & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & 0 & & & \\ & & & & B_k \end{pmatrix}$$

with all the blocks B_j are D^+ -form and at least one is not 1×1 .

PROOF. Suppose that \mathbf{B} allows minimal semipositivity and \mathbf{B} is permutationally equivalent to T^+ whose diagonal blocks are all 1×1 . Then \mathbf{B} requires minimal semipositivity. This contradicts the assumption. Conversely, without loss of generality, we consider the 2×2 block sign pattern matrix which has D^+ -form. That is,

$$B_i = \begin{pmatrix} - & - \\ - & + \end{pmatrix}$$

Then B_i allows minimal semipositivity. □

Now we extend the characterization to rectangular sign pattern matrices, which was left to solve in [4]. Let \mathbf{B} be an $m \times n$ $\{+, -, 0\}$ -sign pattern matrix. If \mathbf{B} has a row consisting entirely of $+$'s, then it does not affect the allowance of minimal semipositivity. So we may assume that there are no rows consisting entirely of $+$'s. Note also that, by [3. Corollary 3.3.], no $m \times n$ sign pattern with $m < n$ can allow minimal semipositivity. We now assume that $m \geq n > 1$.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let \mathbf{B} be an $m \times n$ $\{+, -, 0\}$ -sign pattern matrix with $m \geq n > 1$, and assume \mathbf{B} has no row consisting entirely of $+$'s. Then \mathbf{B} allows minimal semipositivity if \mathbf{B} is permutationally equivalent to the following form of sign pattern matrix*

$$(1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} & B_{11} & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & B_{21} & \end{pmatrix}$$

where B_{11} is an $n \times n$ submatrix with D^+ -form or T^+ -form, B_{21} is an $(m - n) \times n$ submatrix whose each row has at least one positive entry.

PROOF. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} & B_{11} & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ & B_{21} & \end{pmatrix}$$

has the form in (1). Since B_{11} has D^+ -form or T^+ -form, there is $\tilde{B}_{11} \in Q(B_{11})$ such that \tilde{B}_{11} is minimally semipositive. Let x be a nonnegative real vector with $\tilde{B}_{11}x > 0$. If $s(b_{ij}) = +$ for each $i > n$, then we can choose sufficiently large $\tilde{b}_{ij} \in Q(b_{ij})$ with $\sum_{j=i}^n \tilde{b}_{ij}x_j > 0$. Let $\tilde{B}_{21} \in Q(B_{21})$ which contains \tilde{b}_{ij} for each $i > n$. Then

$$\tilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & \tilde{B}_{11} & \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ & & \tilde{B}_{21} & \end{pmatrix}$$

is minimally semipositive. Therefore B allows minimal semipositivity. The proof is complete. \square

The authors wish to express their appreciation to the editor and the referee for their helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] C. R. Johnson, *Sign patterns of inverse nonnegative matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **55** (1983), 69–80.
- [2] C. R. Johnson and D. P. Stanford, *Qualitative semipositivity*, in Combinatorial and Graph-Theoretic Problem, IMA Math. Appl. Springer Verlag **50** (1993).
- [3] C. R. Johnson, M. K. Kerr and D. P. Stanford, *Semipositivity of matrices*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **37** (1994), 265–271.
- [4] C. R. Johnson, W. D. MaCuaig and D. P. Stanford, *Sign patterns that allow minimal semipositivity*, Linear Algebra Appl. **223** (1995), 363–373.

S.-W. Park
 Department of Mathematics
 Seonam University
 Namwon 590-170, Korea

H.-G. Seol and S.-G. Lee
 Department of Mathematics
 Sungkyunkwan University
 Suwon 440-746, Korea
E-mail: sglee@yurim.skku.ac.kr