

Development of Blue Mussel Hydrolysate as a Flavouring

Yong-Jun Cha[†], Hun Kim and Eun-Jeong Kim*

Dept. of Food Science and Nutrition, Changwon National University, Changwon 641-773, Korea
*Living System Laboratory, LG Electronics Inc., Changwon 641-711, Korea

Abstract

The hydrolysis conditions of blue mussel were evaluated by response surface methodology (RSM) for the alkaline protease Optimase™ APL-440. Conditions favoring the highest degree of hydrolysis in blue mussel were pH 9.8, 58°C reaction temperature, 2.9 hrs reaction time, 46.8% (w/v) substrate concentration, and 0.34% (v/w) enzyme/substrate ratio. Levels of n-3 fatty acids, e.g. C18:3, C18:4, and C20:5, did not change after hydrolysis in blue mussel sample.

Key words: blue mussel, enzyme hydrolysis, flavouring

INTRODUCTION

Blue mussel, having a desirable and unique taste and aroma, is an important marine resource in Korea(1). Processing of blue mussel is limited mainly to dried and seasoned or canned products(2,3). In recent years processing utilization of blue mussel has declined due to decreasing harvesting yields associated with marine environmental contamination. Therefore, an alternative use of blue mussel, such as production of flavorants via enzymatic hydrolysis, for having a supplementary value may be a fundamental benefit to seafood industry.

General methods for production and application of natural blue mussel extract have been reported by Ochi(4). However, use of enzymatic hydrolysis of shellfish protein products is limited. Several studies have addressed the production of seafood flavorings by enzymatic hydrolysis (5,6). Rebeca et al.(7) reported about nutritional value on fish protein hydrolysates produced with bacterial proteases. However, little information is available on the enzymatic hydrolysis of shellfish protein. Only recently has research in this area focused on statistical approaches to the optimization of such processes(8).

The objective of this study was to set an optimal condition for production of a flavouring from blue mussel with alkaline protease Optimase™ APL-440.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

A fresh blue mussel (*Mytilus edulis*) was purchased from a fish market in Masan, Korea and transported on ice in polyethylene bag to the Flavor Lab., Changwon National University within 1 hr. The sample was refrigerated(4°C) until analysis. Before analysis, blue mussel was homogenized using a Waring blender (Waring Products Co., Winsted, USA). Proximate analysis of blue mussel such as moisture, protein, lipid, and ash was done by official methods(9). Optimase™ APL-440 was obtained from Solvay Enzymes, Inc. (Elkhart, USA).

Optimization of hydrolysis conditions

Five independent variables affecting hydrolysis of blue mussel, e.g. pH, temperature(T), reaction time(t), substrate concentration(S), and enzyme/substrate(E/S) ratio, were optimized by using response surface methodology (RSM) (10). Experimental design levels for each parameter were coded from -2 to +2 with 1 interval as described by Baek and Cadwallader(8) (Table 1). As shown in Table 2, the central composite design consisted of 36 experiments including 16 fractional factorial designs, 10 star points, and 10 central points. The equation of second order model was defined as described by Baek and Cadwallader(8).

[†]Corresponding author

Table 1. Experimental design levels expressed in coded and natural units

| Code unit | Independent variables | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | pH | Temp (°C) | Time (hr) | S ¹⁾ (%) | E/S ²⁾ (%) |
| -2 | 8.0 | 40 | 0.5 | 15 | 0.1 |
| -1 | 9.0 | 50 | 1.5 | 30 | 0.2 |
| 0 | 10.0 | 60 | 2.5 | 45 | 0.3 |
| +1 | 11.0 | 70 | 3.5 | 60 | 0.4 |
| +2 | 12.0 | 80 | 4.5 | 75 | 0.5 |

¹⁾Concentration(w/v) of blue mussel

²⁾Ratio(v/w) of Optimase™ APL-440 to blue mussel

Table 2. Response of dependent variables to the hydrolysis conditions for Optimase™ APL-440

| Run No. | Independent variables ¹⁾ | | | | | |
|---------|-------------------------------------|------|------|----|-----|---------------------|
| | pH | Temp | time | S | E/S | DH(%) ²⁾ |
| 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 33.25 |
| 2 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 44.98 |
| 3 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 42.93 |
| 4 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 42.99 |
| 5 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 47.12 |
| 6 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 49.56 |
| 7 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 46.61 |
| 8 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 39.20 |
| 9 | -1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 38.18 |
| 10 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 34.08 |
| 11 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 54.15 |
| 12 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 38.78 |
| 13 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 53.69 |
| 14 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 50.64 |
| 15 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 47.36 |
| 16 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | -1 | 29.17 |
| 17 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48.59 |
| 18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26.64 |
| 19 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40.69 |
| 20 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33.27 |
| 21 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 36.59 |
| 22 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 58.36 |
| 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 47.64 |
| 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 54.16 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 44.68 |
| 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 69.27 |
| 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 46.70 |
| 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45.53 |
| 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52.31 |
| 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.62 |
| 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49.24 |
| 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48.44 |
| 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47.05 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51.74 |
| 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50.67 |
| 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53.12 |

¹⁾The codes of independent variables were same as represented in Table 1

²⁾Degree of hydrolysis(%)

Enzymatic hydrolysis of blue mussel

Blue mussel homogenate(50g) plus distilled water (30ml) was hydrolyzed in a 100ml jacketed reaction vessel at each experiment of 36 central composite designs shown in Table 2. Further details about enzymatic hydrolysis have been discussed elsewhere(8,11). Degree of hydrolysis(DH) was also estimated as described by Baek and Cadwallader(8).

Statistical analysis

Response surface equations were obtained using the RSREG procedure of the Statistical Analysis System (SAS)(12).

Lipid analysis

Lipids were extracted from untreated(UBM) and hydrolyzed blue mussel(HBM) using the method of Bligh and Dyer(13). Extracted lipids were separated into neutral, glycolipid and phospholipids by silicic acid column chromatography(14). The procedures for saponification and methyl esterification were the same as described by Cha and Park(15). One μ l of each hexane extract was injected (split mode, 1:100) in an HP 5890A GC(Hewlett-Packard Co., Palo Alto, USA), equipped with a flame ionization detector(FID) and a fused silica open-tubular column (Supelcowax 2330, 30m \times 0.25mm i.d. \times 0.20 μ m film thickness; Supelco, Inc., Bellefont, USA). Oven temperature was programmed from 180°C to 220°C at 2°C/min with initial and final holding times of 14 min. Injector and detector temperatures were maintained at 230°C and 240°C, respectively. He was used as a carrier gas at a head pressure of 11 psi. FAMEs were identified by comparison with standards(Applied Science Lab., Deerfield, USA). Duplicate analysis were carried out on each sample.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Optimization of hydrolysis condition

The proximate composition of fresh untreated blue mussel(UBM) was composed of 79.4% moisture, 7.9% protein, 2.1% lipid, and 2.0% ash. The pH was 5.78. Compared with other shellfish harvested in Korea, blue mussel was higher in protein content on a dry weight basis(1), and therefore was considered a good feedstock for production of hydrolysate.

Responses of dependent variables to hydrolysis conditions for Optimase™ APL-440 were calculated (Table 2). Estimated regression coefficients for each dependent variable were obtained using the RSREG procedure (12) to evaluate significant differences among the treatments (Table 3). Regression coefficients showed that pH ($p < 0.01$), reaction time (t) ($p < 0.01$) and enzyme/substrate (E/S) ($p < 0.05$) had linear effects on DH for blue mussel, and pH ($p < 0.01$) and temperature (T) ($p < 0.05$) had quadratic effects, respectively. However, interaction effects, having negative values, were significant between pH and T ($p < 0.05$), pH and substrate (S) ($p < 0.05$), and T and t ($p < 0.01$). The pH and t were considered the important linear variables affecting on DH with -3.24 and 3.23 values, respectively, and followed by E/S (value = 2.28). Here, the positive values of t and E/S implied that increasing values of reaction time and E/S ratio were contributed

to increasing of DH. From the results of RSM, a model equation was estimated by multiple linear regression for DH as follows: $\%DH = 49.73 - 3.24pH + 3.23t + 2.28(E/S) - 3.29pH^2 - 3.44T^2 - 2.99pH \times T - 2.98pH \times S - 4.18T \times t$. Although the lack of fit was significant, which means no secondary order of regression equation, this model equation was considered adequate with its coefficient of determination ($R^2 = 0.844$) and significant F value ($p < 0.01$). However, stationary point by canonical analysis of response surface was saddle-shape, and showed a DH of only 40.12%. Therefore, ridge analysis was executed to obtain maximum hydrolysis condition near the center of original design. Using results of ridge analysis, optimal condition was set at 0.3 coded radius (52.8% of DH, S.E. = 1.63) ridge point. Optimal hydrolysis conditions with Optimase™ APL-440 were established as pH 9.8, temperature of 58°C, reaction time of 2.9 hr, substrate concentration of 46.8% (w/v), and an enzyme/substrate ratio of 0.34%. Blue mussel hydrolysate was produced under these conditions, followed by heat treatment (121°C for 15min) which had the dual purposes of generating Maillard reaction flavor and enzyme inactivation.

Table 3. Model coefficients estimated by multiple linear regression for Optimase™ APL-440

| Factor | Coefficient |
|-------------------|-------------|
| Constant | 49.73 |
| Linear | |
| pH | -3.24^a |
| T | -1.05 |
| t | 3.23^a |
| S | 0.53 |
| (E/S) | 2.28^b |
| Quadratic | |
| pH^2 | -3.29^a |
| T^2 | 3.44^b |
| t^2 | 0.81 |
| S^2 | 0.04 |
| $(E/S)^2$ | 1.56 |
| Interactions | |
| pH \times T | -2.99^b |
| pH \times t | 1.16 |
| pH \times S | -2.98^b |
| pH \times (E/S) | 1.86 |
| T \times t | 4.18^a |
| T \times S | 0.24 |
| T \times (E/S) | -0.93 |
| t \times S | -0.16 |
| t \times (E/S) | 0.30 |
| S \times (E/S) | 0.14 |
| R^2 | 0.844 |
| F | 4.05 |
| Probability of F | 0.004* |

Superscript letters are significantly different: ^a $p < 0.01$, ^b $p < 0.05$

*Probability > F

Fatty acid composition in blue mussel hydrolysate

Fatty acid (FA) compositions of HBM and UBM are shown in Table 4. The principal FAs of UBM were composed of 32.8% saturated FAs (SFAs), 17% monoene FAs (MUFAs), and 50.4% polyene FAs (PUFAs). The PUFAs of UBM were composed mainly of n-3 FAs, e.g. C18:3, C18:4, C20:5, and C22:6, and did not change after hydrolysis. With regards to the FA composition of HBM, SFAs were thought to be contributed from neutral FA, while MUFAs and PUFAs were derived from glycolipid and phospholipid. Aside from SFAs, n-3 PUFAs were the major FAs in both HBM and UBM. However, these n-3 PUFAs were well known to be very unstable and decompose to form secondary reaction products that adversely affect flavor quality (16-18).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research was funded by the SGRP/PTRP (Problem-Oriented Technology Development Project for Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries) in Korea.

Table 4. Fatty acid compositions in UBM and HBM produced by Optimase™ APL-440¹⁾ (Area %)

| Fatty acid (FA) | UBM | | HBM | | |
|--------------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | Total FA | Total FA | NL ²⁾ | GL ³⁾ | PL ⁴⁾ |
| C14:0 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 4.9 | 4.1 | 3.6 |
| C15:0 | 0.1 | - ⁵⁾ | - | - | - |
| C16:0 | 18.2 | 19.6 | 36.8 | 26.2 | 21.5 |
| C17:0 | 6.0 | 1.6 | 3.0 | 6.8 | 3.2 |
| C18:0 | 4.1 | 4.1 | 15.2 | - | 6.2 |
| C19:0 | 0.6 | - | 2.1 | - | - |
| Saturated | 32.8 | 29.5 | 62.0 | 37.1 | 34.5 |
| C14:1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | - | - | 0.7 |
| C16:1 | 6.9 | 5.8 | - | 5.8 | 4.8 |
| C17:1 | 0.7 | - | - | - | 0.1 |
| C18:1 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 19.7 | 6.6 | 4.4 |
| C20:1 | 2.7 | 3.5 | - | 0.9 | 4.5 |
| C22:1 | 2.3 | 2.5 | - | - | - |
| C24:1 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 6.9 | - |
| Monoene | 17.0 | 17.0 | 27.1 | 21.6 | 15.4 |
| C18:2 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 6.4 | 1.1 |
| C18:3 | 0.8 | 0.9 | - | 0.9 | 0.5 |
| C18:4 | 4.7 | 4.7 | - | 2.1 | 3.9 |
| C20:2 | 0.5 | 0.4 | - | - | - |
| C20:4 | 0.2 | - | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| C20:5 | 16.6 | 17.7 | 5.3 | 13.3 | 17.0 |
| C22:5 | 1.4 | 1.7 | - | - | 3.0 |
| C22:6 | 24.6 | 16.7 | 2.8 | 19.2 | 22.5 |
| Polyene | 50.4 | 49.1 | 11.0 | 42.7 | 50.0 |

¹⁾UBM, Untreated blue mussel; HBM, Enzyme hydrolyzed blue mussel with Optimase™ APL-440

²⁾Neutral lipid, ³⁾Glycolipid, ⁴⁾Phospholipid, ⁵⁾Detected in trace amount

REFERENCES

- National Fisheries Research and Development Agency : Chemical Composition of Marine Products in Korea. National Fisheries Research and Development Agency in Republic of Korea, p.56, 88(1989)
- Lee, E. H., Kim, W. J., Kim, S. K. and Cho, D. J. : Suitability of shellfishes for processing. 4. Suitability of mussel for processing. *Bull. Korean Fish. Soc.*, **13**, 23(1980)
- Lee, E. H., Chung, S. Y., Koo, J. G., Kwon, C. S. and Oh, K. S. : Studies on the processing and keeping quality of retort pouched foods. 1. Preparation and keeping quality of retort pouched seasoned-dried sea mussel products. *Bull. Korean Fish. Soc.*, **16**, 355(1983)
- Ochi, H. : Production and applications of natural seafood extracts. *Food Technol.*, **34**, 5154(1980)
- In, T. and Lyraz, I. : Seafood flavorants produced by enzymatic hydrolysis. In "Making profits out of seafood wastes" Keller, S.(ed.), Proceedings of the International Conference on Fish By-Products, April 25-27, Anchorage, AK. USA, p.197(1990)
- Goldhor, S. H., Curren, R. A., Soistad, O., Levin, R. E. and Nichols, D. : Hydrolysis and fermentation of fishery byproducts: Cost and benefits of some processing variables. In "Making profits out of seafood wastes" Keller, S.(ed.), Proceedings of the International Conference on Fish By-Products, April 25-27, Anchorage, AK. USA, p.203 (1990)
- Rebeca, B. D., PenaVera, M. T. and DiazCastaneda, M. : Production of fish protein hydrolysates with bacterial proteases: Yield and nutritional value. *J. Food Sci.*, **56**, 309(1991)
- Baek, H. H. and Cadwallader, K. R. : Enzymatic hydrolysis of crayfish processing byproducts. *J. Food Sci.*, **60**, 929 (1995)
- AOAC : *Official Methods of Analysis*. 14th ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Washington, DC.(1984)
- Box, G. E.P., Hunter, W. G. and Hunter, J. S. : *Statistics for Experiments*. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York (1978)
- Cha, Y. J. and Kim, E. J. : Response surface methodology in development of oyster hydrolysate. *J. Korean Soc. Food Nutr.*, **24**, 427(1995)
- SAS Institute Inc. : *SAS/STAT User's Guide Version 6*. 4th ed., SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC.(1989)
- Bligh, E. G. and Dyer, W. J. : A rapid method for total lipid extraction and purification. *Can. J. Biochem. Physiol.*, **37**, 911(1959)
- Rouser, G., Kritchevsky, G. and Yamamoto, A. : Column chromatographic and associated procedures for separation

- and determination of phosphatides and glycolipids. In "*Lipid chromatographic analysis*" Marinetti, G. V.(ed.), Marcel Dekker, Inc, New York, p.713(1976)
15. Cha, Y. J. and Park, T. H. : Studies on the fatty acids composition in rice bran oil. *Research Bulletin of Institute of Basic Sci.*, 4, 53(1993)
 16. St. Angelo, A. J. : Lipid oxidation in foods. *CRC Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 36, 175(1996)
 17. Ho, C. T., Bruechert, L. J., Zhang, Y. and Chiu, E. M. : Contribution of lipids to the formation of hetrocyclic compounds in model systems. In "*Thermal generation of aromas*" Parliment, T. H., McGorin, R. J. and Ho, C. T.(eds.), ACS Symposium Series 409, American Chemical Society, Washington DC., p.105(1989)
 18. Karahadian, C. and Lindsay, R. C. : Role of oxidation in the formation and stability of fish flavors. In "*Flavor chemistry: Trends and developments*" Teranishi, R., Buttery, R. G. and Shahidi, F.(eds.), ACS Symposium Series 388, American Chemical Society, Washington DC., p.60(1989)

(Received January 24, 1998)