

A NOTE ON CONTACT CONFORMAL CURVATURE TENSOR

JIN SUK PAK* AND YANG JAE SHIN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we show that every contact metric manifold with vanishing contact conformal curvature tensor is a Sasakian space form.

1. Introduction

The contact conformal curvature tensor ([3]) is a curvature-like tensor defined on a contact metric manifold $(M; \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ which is constructed from the conformal curvature tensor ([6]) by using the Boothby-Wang's fibration ([3]). It seems to play an important role to studying the spectral geometry of compact Sasakian manifolds (cf. [5], [7]).

On the other hand Tanno([10, 11]) proved that every conformally flat K-contact manifold is a space form, and Blair and Koufogiorgos ([2]) improved Tanno's result as follow:

Every conformally flat contact metric manifold with $R\varphi = \varphi R$ is a space form, where R denotes the Ricci operator.

In this paper we shall prove the following theorem which gives a geometric characterization of a contact metric manifold with vanishing contact conformal curvature tensor:

THEOREM. *Every $(2n + 1)(n > 2)$ -dimensional contact metric manifold with vanishing contact conformal curvature tensor is a Sasakian space form.*

Received January 14, 1998. Revised March 19, 1998.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15.

Key words and phrases: contact metric manifold, contact conformal curvature tensor, Sasakian space form.

* This paper is partially supported by Research Fund of Korean Council for University Education, 1997 and BSRI-98-1404.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold with an almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) . Then we have by definition

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, & \varphi\xi = 0, & \eta \circ \varphi = 0 \\ g(\varphi X, \varphi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \end{cases}$$

for any vector fields X, Y tangent to M , where I denotes the identity transformation(cf, [1], [9]). Denoting by ∇ the Riemannian connection and by Φ the fundamental 2-form defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \Phi(X, Y) = g(\varphi X, Y)$$

the almost contact metric structure (φ, ξ, η, g) is called a *contact metric structure* if Φ satisfies

$$(2.3) \quad \Phi = d\eta.$$

A manifold with a contact metric structure is called a *contact metric manifold*. (cf. [1], [9]). A contact metric manifold for which ξ is Killing is called a *K-contact manifold*. Also, a manifold with a normal contact metric structure is called a *Sasakian manifold*. Thus a Sasakian manifold is *K-contact* but the converse is not true except in dimension 3. (cf. see [1]). Moreover, the following lemmas are well known (cf. see [1]), which give inclusion relations among those manifolds.

LEMMA 2.1. *On a contact metric manifold, the followings are equivalent to each other:*

- (1) *The manifold is a K-contact manifold.*
- (2) *The sectional curvature of plane section containing ξ is equal to 1.*
- (3) *The Ricci curvature in the direction of ξ is $2n$.*

LEMMA 2.2. *Let M be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold admitting a unit Killing vector field ξ such that*

$$K(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y,$$

where K denotes the curvature tensor. Then M is a Sasakian manifold.

Finally we recall the definition and fundamental properties of D -homothetic deformation due to Tanno ([11]), where D means the distribution defined by η . D -homothetic deformation $g \mapsto {}^*g$ is defined by

$${}^*g = \alpha g + \alpha(\alpha - 1)\eta \otimes \eta$$

for a positive constant α . The following identities for D -homothetic deformation are well known ([11]):

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^*K(X, Y)Z = K(X, Y)Z + (\alpha - 1) \\ \times \{g(\varphi X, Z)\varphi Y - g(\varphi Y, Z)\varphi X \\ + 2g(\varphi X, Y)\varphi Z\} + (\alpha - 1)^2\{\eta(Y)X \\ - \eta(X)Y\}\eta(Z) + (\alpha - 1)[\eta(X)\{g(Y, Z)\xi \\ - \eta(Z)Y\} - \eta(Y)\{g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)X\} \\ + \eta(Z)\{\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y\}], \\ {}^*g({}^*RX, Y) = g(RX, Y) - 2(\alpha - 1)g(X, Y) \\ + 2(\alpha - 1)(n\alpha + n + 1)\eta(X)\eta(Y), \\ {}^*s = \alpha^{-1}s - 2n\alpha^{-1}(\alpha - 1). \end{array} \right.$$

Moreover, if (φ, ξ, η, g) is a Sasakian structure, then $({}^*\varphi, {}^*\xi, {}^*\eta, {}^*g)$ is also a Sasakian structure, where

$$(2.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} {}^*\varphi = \varphi, \quad {}^*\xi = \alpha^{-1}\xi, \quad {}^*\eta = \alpha\eta, \\ {}^*g = \alpha g + \alpha(\alpha - 1)\eta \otimes \eta \end{array} \right.$$

for a positive constant α . In this case it is said that $(M; \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is D -homothetic to $(M; {}^*\varphi, {}^*\xi, {}^*\eta, {}^*g)$ ([11]).

3. Proof of the main theorem

Let $(M; \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional contact metric manifold. Then we can consider the following contact conformal curvature tensor

C_0 of type (1,3) on M , which is defined by ([4])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.1) \quad C_0(X, Y)Z &= K(X, Y)Z + \frac{1}{2n} \{ R_0(Y, Z)X \\
 &\quad - R_0(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)RX - g(X, Z)RY \\
 &\quad + \eta(Y)R_0(X, Z)\xi - \eta(X)R_0(Y, Z)\xi \\
 &\quad + \eta(X)\eta(Z)RY - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)RX \\
 &\quad + S_0(X, Z)\varphi Y - S_0(Y, Z)\varphi X + \Phi(X, Z)SY \\
 &\quad - \Phi(Y, Z)SX + 2\Phi(X, Y)SZ + 2S_0(X, Y)\varphi Z \} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2n(n+1)} \left\{ 2n^2 - n - 2 + \frac{(n+2)s}{2n} \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \{ \Phi(Y, Z)\varphi X - \Phi(X, Z)\varphi Y - 2\Phi(X, Y)\varphi Z \} \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{2n(n+1)} \left\{ n + 2 - \frac{(3n+2)s}{2n} \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \{ g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y \} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2n(n+1)} \left\{ 4n^2 + 5n + 2 - \frac{(3n+2)s}{2n} \right\} \\
 &\quad \times \{ \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\
 &\quad + \eta(X)g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi \},
 \end{aligned}$$

where R_0 and s denote the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature, respectively, i.e., $R_0(X, Y) := g(RX, Y)$, $s := \text{trace}R$, and

$$(3.2) \quad SX := R(\varphi X), \quad S_0(X, Y) := g(SX, Y).$$

Using (2.4), we can easily verify that the tensor C_0 is invariant under the D -homothetic deformation defined by (2.5)([4]).

From now on we assume that the contact conformal curvature tensor C_0 vanishes identically on M , i.e. $C_0 \equiv 0$. Then from (3.1) with $C_0 = 0$ we can easily see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad RX &= \frac{1}{4n-5} \{ -3\varphi R\varphi X - 3\eta(RX)\xi - 2\eta(X)R\xi \} \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{n(4n-5)} \{ (n-2)s - 2n(n-2) \} X \\
 &\quad + \frac{2}{n(4n-5)} \{ 2n(2n^2 + n - 2) - (n-2)s \} \eta(X)\xi.
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (3.3) and using (2.1), we have

$$(3.4) \quad R\xi = 2n\xi,$$

which and Lemma 2.1 give

LEMMA 3.1. *Every contact metric manifold with $C_0 \equiv 0$ is a K -contact manifold.*

Substituting (3.4) into (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} RX &= \frac{-3}{4n-5}\varphi R\varphi X + \frac{2(n-2)}{n(4n-5)}(s-2n)X \\ &+ \frac{2}{n(4n-5)}\{n(4n^2-3n-4)-(n-2)s\}\eta(X)\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the operator φ to the both side of (3.6) and using (2.1) and (3.4), it can be easily verified that

$$(3.6) \quad g(\varphi RX, Y) = \frac{3}{4n-5}g(R\varphi X, Y) + \frac{2(n-2)(s-2n)}{n(4n-5)}g(\varphi X, Y)$$

because of R being a symmetric endomorphism. Since φ is a skew-symmetric endomorphism, (3.6) implies

$$g(R\varphi X, Y) = \frac{3}{4n-5}g(\varphi RX, Y) + \frac{2(n-2)(s-2n)}{n(4n-5)}g(\varphi X, Y),$$

from which together with (3.6), we have $g(\varphi RX, Y) = g(R\varphi X, Y)$ that is,

$$(3.7) \quad \varphi R = R\varphi.$$

Hence it follows from (3.5) and (3.7) that

$$(3.8) \quad g(RX, Y) = \left(\frac{s}{2n} - 1\right)g(X, Y) + \left(2n + 1 - \frac{s}{2n}\right)\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

provided $n > 2$. Substituting (3.8) into (3.1) with $C_0 = 0$, we can easily see that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} K(X, Y)Z &= \frac{k+3}{4} \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \\ &+ \frac{k-1}{4} \{g(\varphi Y, Z)\varphi X - g(\varphi X, Z)\varphi Y \\ &- 2g(\varphi X, Y)\varphi Z - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y \\ &- \eta(X)g(Y, Z)\xi + \eta(Y)g(X, Z)\xi\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \frac{1}{n(n+1)}\{s - n(3n+1)\}$. Moreover (3.9) yields

$$K(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y,$$

which together with Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 3.1 implies our main theorem stated in section 1 because s is constant, provided $n > 2$.

Combining the theorem with Proposition 4.1 ([13], p.504) and Corollary ([8], p.282), we have

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let M be a $(2n+1)(n > 2)$ -dimensional complete, simply connected contact metric manifold with vanishing contact conformal curvature tensor.*

- (1) *If $s > -2n$, it is D -homothetic to the unit sphere S^{2n+1} ;*
- (2) *If $s = -2n$, it is isometric to $E^{2n+1}(-3)$;*
- (3) *If $s < -2n$, it is D -homothetic to the universal pseudo-Riemannian covering manifold of S_{2n}^{2n+1} , which is diffeomorphic to $E^{2n} \times S^1$.*

References

- [1] D. E. Blair, *Contact manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture notes in Math.*, vol. 509, Springer-Verlag Berlin, 1976.
- [2] D. E. Blair and T. Koufogiorgos, *Conformally flat contact metric manifolds*, preprint.
- [3] W. M. Boothby and H. C. Wang, *On contact manifolds*, Ann of Math **68** (1958), 721 - 734.
- [4] J. C. Jeong, J. D. Lee, G. H. Oh and J. S. Pak, *On the contact conformal curvature tensor*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (1990), 133 - 142.

- [5] T. H. Kang and J. S. Pak, *Some remarks for the spectrum of the p -Laplacian on Sasakian manifolds*, J. Korean Math. Soc **32** (1995), 341 - 350.
- [6] H. Kitahara, K. Matsuo and J. S. Pak, *A conformal curvature tensor field on hermitian manifolds; Appendum*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (1990), 7 - 17; Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **27** (1990), 27 - 30.
- [7] J. S. Pak, J. C. Jeong and W. T. Kim, *The contact conformal curvature tensor field and the spectrum of the Laplacian*, J. Korean Math Soc. **28** (1991), 267 - 274.
- [8] T. Takahashi, *Sasakian manifold with pseudo-Riemannian metric*, Tôhoku Math J **21** (1969), 271 - 290.
- [9] S. Sasaki and Y. Hatakeyama, *On differentiable manifolds with contact metric structures*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962), 249 - 271.
- [10] S. Tanno, *Some transformations on manifolds with almost contact and contact metric structure II*, Tôhoku Math. J. **15** (1963), 322 - 331.
- [11] ———, *Locally symmetric K -contact Riemannian manifolds*, Proc. Japan Acad. **43** (1967), 581 - 583.
- [12] ———, *The topology of contact Riemannian manifolds*, Illinois J. Math. **12** (1968), 700 - 717.
- [13] ———, *Sasakian manifolds with constant φ -holomorphic sectional curvature*, Tôhoku Math. J. **21** (1969), 501 - 507.

Jin Suk Pak
Department of Mathematics Education
Kyungnam University
Masan 631-701, Korea
Department of Mathematic Education
Kyungpook University
Tague 702-701, Korea

Yang Jae Shin
Department of Mathematics Education
Kyungnam University
Masan 631-701, Korea