

WEIGHTED BLOCH SPACES IN \mathbb{C}^n

KYONG TAIK HAHN AND KI SEONG CHOI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, weighted Bloch spaces \mathcal{B}_q ($q > 0$) are considered on the open unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n . These spaces extend the notion of Bloch spaces to wider classes of holomorphic functions. It is proved that the functions in a weighted Bloch space admit certain integral representation. This representation formula is then used to determine the degree of growth of the functions in the space \mathcal{B}_q . It is also proved that weighted Bloch space is a Banach space for each weight $q > 0$, and the little Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ associated with \mathcal{B}_q is a separable subspace of \mathcal{B}_q which is the closure of the polynomials for each $q \geq 1$.

1. Introduction

Let D be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . The Bloch space of D consists of analytic functions f on D such that

$$\sup\{(1 - |z|^2)|f'(z)| \mid z \in D\} < +\infty.$$

The functions in the Bloch space on the unit disk in the complex plane are well known and have been studied by many authors [1, 2].

In this paper, we will consider Bloch type functions on the open unit ball B in the complex n -space \mathbb{C}^n . The Bergman metric (on B) $b_B : B \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow R$ is given by

$$b_B^2(z, \xi) = \frac{n+1}{(1 - \|z\|^2)^2} [(1 - \|z\|^2)\|\xi\|^2 + |\langle z, \xi \rangle|^2].$$

Received September 20, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 32H25, 32E25, 30C40.

Key words and phrases: Bergman metric, weighted Bloch spaces, little Bloch space.

Let $f \in C^1(B)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$. The maximal derivative of f with respect to the Bergman metric b_B is defined by

$$\hat{Q}f(z) = \sup_{|\xi|=1} \frac{|df(z) \cdot \xi|}{b_B(z, \xi)}, \quad z \in B$$

where

$$df(z) \cdot \xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial z_i} \xi_i + \frac{\partial f(z)}{\partial \bar{z}_i} \bar{\xi}_i \right].$$

The quantity $\hat{Q}f$ is invariant under the group $Aut(B)$ of holomorphic automorphisms of B . Namely, $\hat{Q}(f \circ \varphi) = (\hat{Q}f) \circ \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in Aut(B)$. If $f \in H(B)$ where $H(B)$ is the set of holomorphic functions on B , then the quantity $\hat{Q}f$ is reduced to

$$Qf(z) = \sup_{|\xi|=1} \frac{|\nabla f(z) \cdot \xi|}{b_B(z, \xi)}, \quad z \in B, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

where $\nabla f(z) = \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_n} \right)$ is the holomorphic gradient of f .

A holomorphic function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called a Bloch function if

$$\sup_{z \in B} Qf(z) < \infty.$$

Bloch functions on bounded homogeneous domains were first studied by K. T. Hahn[5]. In [8], Timoney showed that the linear space of all holomorphic functions $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy

$$\sup_{z \in B} (1 - \|z\|^2) \|\nabla f(z)\| < \infty$$

is equivalent to the space \mathcal{B} of Bloch functions on B .

The little Bloch space \mathcal{B}_0 is the subspace of \mathcal{B} consisting of those functions $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy:

$$\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} (1 - \|z\|^2) \|\nabla f(z)\| = 0.$$

In this paper, we introduce the Weighted Bloch Space $\mathcal{B}_q (q > 0)$ on the open unit ball B in \mathbb{C}^n which extend the notion of Bloch space \mathcal{B} to larger classes of holomorphic functions on B .

For each $q > 0$, let \mathcal{B}_q denote the space of holomorphic functions $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which satisfy:

$$\sup_{z \in B} (1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f(z)\| < \infty.$$

The corresponding little Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ is defined by the functions f in \mathcal{B}_q such that

$$\lim_{\|z\| \rightarrow 1} (1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f(z)\| = 0.$$

Clearly, both \mathcal{B}_q and $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ are increasing function spaces of $q > 0$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{1,0} = \mathcal{B}_0$.

In §2, we prove certain integral representation theorems (See Theorem 2 and Theorem 3) for the functions in \mathcal{B}_q for $q > 0$. The space \mathcal{B}_q is a Banach space with respect to the norm, as defined in §3, for each $q > 0$ (See Theorem 4), and for $q > 1$, \mathcal{B}_q can be identified with the space of holomorphic functions f with the conditions:

$$\sup\{(1 - \|z\|^2)^{q-1} |f(z)| \mid z \in B\} < \infty.$$

These results are given in §3. In §4, it is shown that the weighted little Bloch space $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ is the closure of the set of polynomials in the norm topology of \mathcal{B}_q for each $q \geq 1$.

2. Integral representations on the space \mathcal{B}_q

Let $a \in B$ and let P_a be the orthogonal projection of \mathbb{C}^n onto the subspace generated by a , which is given by $P_0 = 0$, and

$$P_a z = \frac{\langle z, a \rangle}{\langle a, a \rangle} a, \quad \text{if } a \neq 0.$$

Let $Q_a = I - P_a$. Define φ_a on B by

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - P_a z - \sqrt{1 - |a|^2} Q_a z}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle}.$$

It is easily shown that the mapping φ_a belongs to $Aut(B)$ where $Aut(B)$ is the group of all biholomorphic mappings of B onto itself, and satisfies,

$$\varphi_a(0) = a, \varphi_a(a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_a(\varphi_a(z)) = z.$$

Furthermore, for all $z, w \in \overline{B}$, we have

$$1 - \langle \varphi_a(z), \varphi_a(w) \rangle = \frac{(1 - \|a\|^2)(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)}{(1 - \langle z, a \rangle)(1 - \langle a, w \rangle)}.$$

In particular, for $a \in B, z \in \overline{B}$,

$$1 - \|\varphi_a(z)\|^2 = \frac{(1 - \|a\|^2)(1 - \|z\|^2)}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2}$$

[See [7] Theorem 2.2.2].

THEOREM 1. *Let ψ be a biholomorphic mapping of B onto itself and $a = \psi^{-1}(0)$. The determinant $J_R\psi$ of the real Jacobian matrix of ψ satisfies the following identity:*

$$J_R\psi(z) = |J\psi(z)|^2 = \left(\frac{1 - \|a\|^2}{|1 - \langle z, a \rangle|^2} \right)^{n+1} = \left(\frac{1 - \|\psi(z)\|^2}{1 - |z|^2} \right)^{n+1}.$$

Proof. See [7] Theorem 2.2.6. □

Let ν be the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{C}^n normalized by $\nu(B) = 1$. Let σ be the rotation invariant surface measure on S normalized by $\sigma(S) = 1$. The measure μ_q is the weighted Lebesgue measure:

$$d\mu_q = c_q(1 - \|z\|^2)^q d\nu(z)$$

where $q > -1$ is fixed, and c_q is a normalization constant such that $\mu_q(B) = 1$.

THEOREM 2. *If $f \in L^1_{\mu_q}(B) \cap H(B)$, $q > 0$, then*

$$f(z) = c_q \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q+1}} f(w) d\nu(w).$$

Proof. Since $f \in H(B)$, by the mean value theorem,

$$(1) \quad f(0) = \int_S f(r\zeta) d\sigma(\zeta), \quad 0 < r < 1.$$

By integrating both side of (1) with respect to the measure $2n(1 - r^2)^q r^{2n-1} dr$ over $[0, 1]$, we have

$$2n \int_0^1 \int_S f(r\zeta) (1 - r^2)^q r^{2n-1} d\sigma(\zeta) dr = f(0) c_q^{-1}.$$

Namely,

$$f(0) = c_q \int_B f(w) (1 - \|w\|^2)^q d\nu(w).$$

Replace f by $f \circ \varphi_z$ and apply Theorem 1, then

$$\begin{aligned} & f(z) \\ &= c_q \int_B f(w) (1 - \|\varphi_z(w)\|^2)^q \left(\frac{(1 - \|z\|^2)}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^2} \right)^{n+1} d\nu(w) \\ &= c_q \times \\ & \int_B f(w) \left(\frac{(1 - \|z\|^2)(1 - \|w\|^2)}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^2} \right)^q \left(\frac{(1 - \|z\|^2)}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^2} \right)^{n+1} d\nu(w) \\ &= c_q (1 - \|z\|^2)^{n+q+1} \int_B f(w) \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q}{|1 - \langle w, z \rangle|^{2(n+q+1)}} d\nu(w) \\ &= c_q (1 - \|z\|^2)^{n+q+1} \times \\ & \int_B f(w) \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q}{(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+q+1} (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q+1}} d\nu(w). \end{aligned}$$

Replace $f(w)$ again by $f(w)(1 - \langle w, z \rangle)^{n+q+1}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & f(z)(1 - \|z\|^2)^{n+q+1} \\
 &= c_q(1 - \|z\|^2)^{n+q+1} \int_B f(w) \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q+1}} d\nu(w). \\
 & f(z) = c_q \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q+1}} f(w) d\nu(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

□

THEOREM 3. *Suppose $q > 0, z \in B$, and $f \in \mathcal{B}_\gamma$. Then*

$$f(z) = f(0) + \frac{c_q}{n+q} \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z}{\langle z, w \rangle (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q}} d\nu(w).$$

Proof. Taking the line integral from 0 to z , we get

$$f(z) - f(0) = \int_0^1 \nabla f(tz) \cdot z dt.$$

Applying Theorem 2 to a holomorphic function $\nabla f(w) \cdot z, z \in B$, and integrating $\nabla f(\zeta) \cdot z, \zeta = tz$, in t over $[0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 \nabla f(\zeta) \cdot z dt \\
 &= \int_0^1 c_q \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z}{(1 - \langle \zeta, w \rangle)^{n+q+1}} d\nu(w) dt, \\
 &= c_q \int_B (1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z \left[\int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1 - t \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q+1}} dt \right] d\nu(w) \\
 &= c_q \int_B (1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z \times \\
 & \quad \left[\frac{1}{(n+q) \langle z, w \rangle (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q}} - \frac{1}{(n+q) \langle z, w \rangle} \right] d\nu(w) \\
 &= \frac{c_q}{n+q} \int_B (1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z \frac{1}{\langle z, w \rangle (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q}} d\nu(w) \cdot \\
 & \quad - \frac{c_q}{n+q} \int_B (1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z \frac{1}{\langle z, w \rangle} d\nu(w).
 \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that the second integral vanishes. Indeed, let $w = r\eta$ ($0 < r < 1, \eta \in S$) be the polar form of $w \in B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z}{\langle z, w \rangle} d\nu(w) \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_S \frac{(1 - r^2)^q \nabla f(r\eta) \cdot z}{r \langle z, \eta \rangle} 2nr^{2n-1} dr d\eta \\ &= 2n \int_0^1 (1 - r^2)^q r^{2n-2} dr \int_S \frac{\nabla f(r\eta) \cdot z}{\langle z, \eta \rangle} d\sigma(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

By the homogeneous polynomial expansion of a holomorphic function,

$$\nabla f(r\eta) \cdot z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k(r\eta) \cdot z.$$

By [7, proposition 1.4.7 (1)],

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \frac{\nabla f(r\eta) \cdot z}{\langle z, \eta \rangle} d\sigma(\eta) &= \int_S d\sigma(\zeta) \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{\nabla f(r\zeta e^{i\theta}) \cdot z}{\langle z, \zeta e^{i\theta} \rangle} d\theta \\ &= \int_S \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{P_k(r\zeta) \cdot z}{\langle z, \zeta \rangle} e^{(k+1)i\theta} d\theta d\sigma(\zeta). \end{aligned}$$

But the inner integrals vanish for all $\zeta \in S$ and all $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ by the periodicity of exponential function. Namely,

$$\frac{c_q}{n+q} \int_B (1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z \frac{1}{\langle z, w \rangle} d\nu(w) = 0$$

and, we have

$$f(z) - f(0) = \frac{c_q}{n+q} \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z}{\langle z, w \rangle (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q}} d\nu(w). \quad \square$$

3. Some properties of weighted Bloch spaces

Let us define norm on \mathcal{B}_q as follows;

$$\| f \|_q = |f(0)| + \sup\{(1 - \| w \|^2)^q \| \nabla f(w) \| \mid w \in B\}.$$

LEMMA 1. *If $f \in \mathcal{B}_q$, $q > 0$, then*

$$|f(z)| \leq |f(0)| + \| f \|_q (1 - \| z \|^2)^{-q}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) - f(0) &= \int_0^1 \nabla f(tz) \cdot z dt. \\ |f(z) - f(0)| &\leq \int_0^1 \| \nabla f(tz) \| \| z \| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \frac{\| \nabla f(tz) \| (1 - \| tz \|^2)^q}{(1 - \| tz \|^2)^q} dt \\ &\leq \| f \|_q \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1 - t^2 \| z \|^2)^q} dt \\ &\leq \| f \|_q \frac{1}{(1 - \| z \|^2)^q}. \end{aligned}$$

□

THEOREM 4. *For each $q > 0$, \mathcal{B}_q is a Banach space with norm $\| \cdot \|_q$.*

Proof. Let (f_n) be a Cauchy sequence in \mathcal{B}_q . By Lemma 1,

$$|(f_n - f_m)(z) - (f_n - f_m)(0)| \leq M \| f_n - f_m \|_q (1 - \| z \|^2)^{-q}.$$

It follows that the sequence (f_n) is a Cauchy sequence in the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. Thus there exists holomorphic function $f : B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subsets of B as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly on compact subsets of B as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\nabla f_n(z) \rightarrow \nabla f(z)$ uniformly on compact subsets of B as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus, for each n , as $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla(f_n - f_m)(z)\| \rightarrow (1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla(f_n - f)(z)\|$$

for each $z \in B$. Therefore, for all $n \geq N$

$$(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla(f_n - f)(z)\| \leq \epsilon.$$

Namely, $\|f_n - f\|_q \leq \epsilon$. □

THEOREM 5. For $z \in B$, c is real, $t > -1$, define

$$I_{c,t}(z) = \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^t}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+1+c+t}} d\nu(w), \quad z \in B$$

we have

- (i) $I_{c,t}(z)$ is bounded in B if $c < 0$;
- (ii) $I_{0,t}(z) \sim -\log(1 - \|z\|^2)$ as $\|z\| \rightarrow 1^-$;
- (iii) $I_{c,t}(z) \sim (1 - \|z\|^2)^{-c}$ as $\|z\| \rightarrow 1^-$ if $c > 0$.

Proof. See [7 Proposition 1.4.10]. □

THEOREM 6. Suppose $q > 1$. Then f is in \mathcal{B}_q if and only if $(1 - \|z\|^2)^{q-1}|f(z)|$ is bounded on B .

Proof. First assume that f is in \mathcal{B}_q . By Theorem 3,

$$f(z) = f(0) + \frac{c_q}{n+q} \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^q \nabla f(w) \cdot z}{\langle z, w \rangle (1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q}} d\nu(w).$$

It follows that

$$|f(z) - f(0)| \leq \frac{c_q}{n+q} \|f\|_q \int_B \frac{\|z\|}{|\langle z, w \rangle| |1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+q}} d\nu(w).$$

The factor $|\langle z, w \rangle|$ in the denominator does not change the growth rate of the integral for z near the boundary. Thus Theorem 5 implies that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|f(z) - f(0)| \leq C \|f\|_q (1 - \|z\|^2)^{-(q-1)}, \quad z \in B.$$

This shows that $(1 - \|z\|^2)^{q-1} f(z)$ is bounded on B .

Conversely, if $(1 - \|z\|^2)^{q-1} |f(z)| \leq M$ for some constant $M > 0$, then

$$f(z) = c_q \int_B \frac{(1 - \|w\|^2)^{q-1}}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q}} f(w) d\nu(w)$$

by Theorem 2.

Differentiating under the integral sign, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla f(z) \\ &= c_q \int_B \frac{(n+q)(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{n+q-1} (-\bar{w})(1 - \|w\|^2)^{q-1} f(w)}{(1 - \langle z, w \rangle)^{2(n+q)}} d\nu(w). \\ & \|\nabla f(z)\| \leq c_q(n+q)M \int_B \frac{1}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{n+q+1}} d\nu(w). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5, there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\|\nabla f(z)\| \leq CM(1 - \|z\|^2)^{-q}$$

for all $z \in B$. This clearly shows that f is in \mathcal{B}_q . □

4. Little Bloch space

LEMMA 2. $f_n \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}, f \in \mathcal{B}_q$ and $\|f_n - f\|_q \rightarrow 0$ if and only if

- (1) $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, locally uniformly in B .
- (2) $(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f_n(z)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1$, uniformly in n .

Proof. Applying Lemma 1 to $f_n - f$, we get

$$|(f_n - f)(z)| \leq |(f_n - f)(0)| + \|f_n - f\|_q \frac{1}{(1 - r^2)^q}, \quad |z| \leq r.$$

Since $\|f_n - f\|_q \rightarrow 0, \sup_{\|z\| \leq r} |f_n(z) - f(z)| \leq \epsilon$.

Thus, $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, locally uniformly in B which proves (1).

Since $\|f_n - f\|_q \rightarrow 0$, there exists $n_o(\epsilon)$ such that if $m, n \geq n_o(\epsilon)$, then $\|f_n - f_m\|_q \leq \epsilon$. Since $(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla(f_n - f_{n_o})(z)\| \leq \|f_n - f_{n_o}\|_q$,

$$(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f_n(z)\| \leq (1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f_{n_o}(z)\| + \|f_n - f_{n_o}\|_q$$

$$\leq (1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f_{n_0}(z)\| - \epsilon.$$

Since $f_{n_0} \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$, we deduce that, for some $\varrho < 1$,

$$(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f_n(z)\| < 2\epsilon \quad (n > n_0, \varrho < |z| < 1).$$

Thus

$$(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f_n(z)\| \rightarrow 0$$

as $|z| \rightarrow 1$, uniformly in n .

Conversely, by (2), $f_n \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$. Furthermore, $\nabla f_n(z) \rightarrow \nabla f(z)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) for each $z \in B$ by (1). Together with (2), this shows that

$$(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f(z)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (|z| \rightarrow 1).$$

Therefore, $f \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$.

By (2), we can choose $\varrho < 1$ such that

$$(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f_n(z) - \nabla f(z)\| < \epsilon \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots, \varrho < |z| < 1).$$

We use (1) to estimate this difference for $|z| \leq \varrho$ and large n and deduce that

$$\|f_n - f\|_q \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \quad \square$$

LEMMA 3. $f \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ if and only if $\|f(z) - f(z\zeta)\|_q \rightarrow 0$ as $\zeta \rightarrow 1, |\zeta| \leq 1$.

Proof. We have already proved that $f_n \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}, \|f_n - f\|_q \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty)$ implies $f \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$. Thus $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ is closed. If $f \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$, then $f(z\zeta) \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ for every $\zeta \in B$. Since $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ is closed and $\|f(z) - f(z\zeta)\|_q \rightarrow 0, f \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$. Conversely, let $f \in \mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ and $\zeta_n \rightarrow 1 (n \rightarrow \infty), |\zeta_n| \leq 1$. It is clear that the functions $f(\zeta_n z) = f_n(z)$ in $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ satisfy

- (1) $f_n(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, locally uniformly in B .
- (2) $(1 - \|z\|^2)^q \|\nabla f_n(z)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1$, uniformly in n .

By Lemma 2, $\|f(z) - f(z\zeta)\|_q \rightarrow 0$ as $\zeta \rightarrow 1, |\zeta| \leq 1$. □

THEOREM 7. For $q \geq 1$, $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ is the closure of the set of polynomials in the norm topology of $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$. In particular, $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ is a separable Banach space by itself.

Proof. Since every polynomial belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ is closed, it follows that the closure of the polynomials is contained in $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$. If we choose $\zeta_n = 1 - \frac{1}{n}$, then $f_n(z) = f(\zeta_n z)$ is analytic in $\|z\| \leq 1$. Hence we can find a polynomial $P_n(z)$ such that

$$|f(\zeta_n z) - P_n(z)| < \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{for } \|z\| < 1.$$

Since $\|f\|_q \leq 2 \sup_{z \in B} |f(z)|$ for $q \geq 1$ by the Schwarz-Pick lemma,

$$\|f - P_n\|_q \leq \|f - f_n\|_q + \frac{2}{n} \rightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 8. Suppose $q > 1$. f is in $\mathcal{B}_{q,0}$ if and only if $(1 - \|z\|^2)^{q-1} f(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$.

Proof. It is clear from Theorem 6. □

References

- [1] J. Anderson, *Bloch functions: The Basic theory, operators and function theory*, S. Power. editor, D. Reidel (1985).
- [2] J. Anderson, J. Clunie and Ch. Pommerenke, *On Bloch functions and normal functions*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **270** (1974), 12-37.
- [3] J. Arazy, S. D. Fisher, J. Peetre, *Hankel operators on weighted Bergman spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **110** (1988), 989-1054.
- [4] S. Axler, *The Bergman spaces, the Bloch space and commutators of multiplication operators*, Duke Math. J. **53** (1986), 315-332.
- [5] K. T. Hahn, *Holomorphic mappings of the hyperbolic space into the complex Euclidean space and Bloch theorem*, Canadian J. Math. **27** (1975), 446-458.
- [6] K. T. Hahn, E. H. Youssfi, *M-harmonic Besov p-spaces and Hankel operators in the Bergman space on the unit ball in \mathbb{C}^n* , Manuscripta Math **71** (1991), 67-81.
- [7] W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Springer Verlag, New York (1980).
- [8] R. M. Timoney, *Bloch functions of several variables*, J. Bull. London Math. Soc. **12** (1980), 241-267.
- [9] K. H. Zhu, *Duality and Hankel operators on the Bergman spaces of bounded symmetric domains*, J. Funct. Analysis **81** (1988), 262-278.
- [10] K. H. Zhu, *Bloch type spaces of analytic functions*, Rocky Mountain J. Math **23** (1993), 1143-1177.

Kyong Taik Hahn
Department of Mathematics
The Pennsylvania State University
University Park, PA 16802
USA

and

Department of Mathematics
Konyang university
NonSan 320-800, Korea

Ki Seong Choi
Department of Mathematics
Konyang university
NonSan 320-800, Korea
E-mail: ksc@kytis.konyang.ac.kr