

반응성 애착장애아와 발달성 언어장애아의 의사소통 의도 비교 연구

A COMPARISON STUDY ON THE COMMUNICATIVE INTENT OF CHILDREN WITH REACTIVE ATTACHMENT DISORDER AND DEVELOPMENTAL LANGUAGE DISORDER

이경숙* · 이호분** · 신정현* · 노경선*** · 임연화*

Kyung-Sook Lee, Ph.D.,* Ho-Boon Lee, M.D.,** Choeng-Hyoun Shin, M.A.,*
Kyung-Sun Noh, M.D.,*** Yun-Hwa Im, Ph.D.*

요 약 : (reactive attachment disorder : RAD)
(developmental language disorder : DLD)

DLD RAD , DLD
RAD 가 , DLD > > , RAD
> > ,
12가 DLD RAD
, DLD RAD

중심 단어 :

서 론 가 가

DSM - III(American Psychology (Zeanah 1996),
Association 1980)

..
...
Seoul
Ewha Woman's University Speech and Hearing Center, Seoul
Department of Psychiatry, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul
SungKyunkwan University College of Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital,

RAD (WHO 1992), DLD (communicative intent)

RAD (social relatedness), (social cognition)

RAD (communicative knowledge) (Bates 1979),

RAD (social interaction approach), (vertical di- (horizontal dimension) (McLean 1983).

DLD ()

DLD 가 가 (Harding 1984 ; Sugarman 1984),

RAD DLD

Zeanah Emde(1994) 가 가 가

RAD (Coggins & Carpenter 1981 ; Dale 1980 ; Dore 1874 ;

Halliday 1975 ; McShane 1980 ; Wetherby & Prutting 1984), Bruner(1981)

3가
1 3가

(behavioral regulation),

(social interaction)

(joint attention)
3가

가
(Wetherby 1988).

(request object), (request action),

(protest), (request social routine), (showing

off), (greeting), (calling),

(acknowledgement), 가 (request permission)

가 가 , (comment),

(request information), (clarification)

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가 ,

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

가

연구 내용 및 방법

1. 연구 대상

1996 12 1997 4

20 RAD DLD
27 51

1)

2

2) , 6

3) , 2

4)

(Table 1).

2. 연구 방법

1) 진단

Table 1. Demographic data for children

		Number of subject (%)	
		Reactive attachment disorder (n = 10)	Developmental language disorder (n = 10)
pre-linguistic stage (n = 2)	Mean age	34.5(mon)	32.5
	range	32 - 37	31 - 34
one-word stage (n = 6)	Mean age	37.5	33.8
	range	27 - 50	31 - 37
multi-word stage (n = 2)	Mean age	46.5	50
	range	45 - 48	49 - 51
Total	Mean age	38.7	36.4
Sex	Male	9(90%)	10(100%)
	Female	1(1%)	0(0%)

: 1) (Mean Length of Utterance in Morpheme) -

2) (pre - linguistic stage) -

가
3) (one - word stage) -

1.0 1.49

(Strange Situation Procedure) 가 ICD - 10 (1992) 10
 DSM - IV(1994) 가 가 가 3

2) 의사소통 의도 측정

가 Wetherby Prutting(1984) 6
 (1 6) 가 가 가
 가 Wetherby Prizant(1989)

4) 통계 처리

가 15
 10 7 가
 가 97% 가

3) 연구 절차

가 t- 가 %

(1) -

연구 결과

15 Wetherby Prutting 1. 의사소통 의도 비교
 (1984) 1) 수직적인 차원에서의 의사소통 의도 비교
 (2) - RAD
 5.10 , DLD 5.90 DLD
 가 가 RAD

Table 2

가 RAD 4 6 , 4
 가 1 (10%) , 7
 Wetherby Prizant(1989) 8 (70%) 5 ,

Table 2. Level of vertical communicative intent with language developmental stage

Communicative intent level	Number of subject (%)						1	
	2	3	4	5	6	Total		
RAD	Pre-linguistic stage	0	0	0	1	1	0	2
	One word stage	0	0	0	0	5	1	6
	Multi word stage	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	Total	0	0	0	1(10%)	7(70%)	1(10%)	10
DLD	Pre-linguistic stage	0	0	0	0	1	1	2
	One word stage	0	0	0	0	0	6	6
	Multi word stage	0	0	0	0	0	2	2
	Total	0	0	0	0	1(10%)	9(90%)	10

2 (20%) 6 . DLD
 , 1 (10%) 5
 (90%) 6
 RAD
 , DLD

Table 3 Mean frequency of horizontal communicative () = S.D

	RAD(n= 10)	DLD(n= 10)
Mean frequency	6.40(5.93)	33.90(15.19)
Frequency range	0 - 21	1 - 56
t = - 5.333, d.f. = 111.7, p = .00		

Table 4. Diversity of horizontal communicative intent () = S.D

	RAD(n= 10)	DLD(n= 10)
Mean	1.90(1.24)	4.80(1.87)
range	0 - 4	1 - 8
t = - 4.034, d.f. = 18, p = .000		

2) 수평적인 차원에서의 의사소통 의도 비교

RAD 6.40 , DLD 33.90
 DLD
 (Table 3).
 가 , RAD
 (' 0 ' 2 , ' 21 '
 1) 3 7 2 9
 . DLD RAD
 , ' 1 ' ,
 가 RAD
 DLD 가
 (Table 3)
 가 12가 RAD 57.81%
 , Table 4 . 37.50%
 12 , RAD 가 4.69%

0 4 , DLD 1 8
 , RAD 1.90 ,
 4.80
 DLD
 가 (Table 4). ,
 , RAD 12
 , 5 (, ,
 ,) , DLD 12
 가 .
 가 ,
 3가 가
 (Table 5).
 가 12가 RAD 57.81%
 , Table 4 . 37.50%
 12 , RAD 가 4.69%

Table 5. Major category of horizontal communicative intent

Category of communicative intent	Number of subject (percentager of subject)	
	RAD(n = 10)	DLD(n = 10)
Behavioral regulation	37(57.81%)	61(17.99%)
Social interaction	24(37.50%)	212(62.54%)
Joint attention	3(4.69%)	66(19.47%)
Total	64(100%)	339(100%)

DLD 62.54%

19.47%

17.99%

고찰

uttering(1984)가

5.90

Wetherby Pr - 가 5.10

(pragmatic development) Schecterman Woller Geller(1987)

1 5

6

5 9

21

1 1 2 6

Wetherby Prutting(1984) 6

22

6

14

10 가 47 가 가

14

(1988) : Analysis of intentional communication of normal children from the prelinguistic to the multiword stage. *Journal of Speech and Hearing Research* 31 : 240-252

World Health Organization (1992) : The ICD-10 Classification of Mental and Behavioral Disorders Clinical description and diagnosis guidelines. Geneva, Switzerland : Author

Zeanah CH (1996) : Beyond Insecurity : A reconceptualization of attachment disorders of infancy. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* 64 : 42-52

Zeanah CH & Emde RN (1994) : Attachment disorders in infancy. In Ritter M, Hersov L & Taylor E (Eds), *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry : Modern approaches*. Oxford, England : Blackwell, pp490-504

ABSTRACT

Korean J Child & Adol Psychiatr 8 : 207~216, 1997

A COMPARISON STUDY ON THE COMMUNICATIVE INTENT OF CHILDREN WITH REACTIVE ATTACHMENT DISORDER AND DEVELOPMENTAL LANGUAGE DISORDER

**Kyung-Sook Lee, Ph.D., Ho-Boon Lee, M.D., Choeng-Hyoun Shin, M.A.,
Kyung-Sun Noh, M.D., Yun-Hwa Im, Ph.D.**

Ewha Woman's University Speech and Hearing Center, Seoul

This study was conducted to investigate the communicative intent between Reactive Attachment Disorder (RAD) and Developmental Language Disorder (DLD).

The subject of this study were 20 27-51 monthold children (10 RAD Children, 10 DLD children) functioning at similar stage of language development.

The communicative intent was investigated vertical and horizontal dimension. Rating of vertical communicative intent was based on the assessment scales devised by Wetherby and Prutting (1984). Horizontal dimension was measured by the assessment guidelines of Wetherby and Prizant (1989). All the data were rated by two raters independantly.

1) In communicative intent, vertical development level of DLD children was more sophiscated than that of RAD children.

2) DLD children expressed more horizontal communicative intent than RAD children. The percentage of the three major categories (behavioral regulation, social interaction, and joint attention) of communicative intent in DLD children was lined up social interaction > joint attention > behavioral regulation. On the contrary, RAD children displayed in order of behavioral regulation > social interaction > joint attention. In addition, DLD children showed diversely as compared with RAD children.

KEY WORDS : Social deficit · Horizontal communicative intent · Vertical communicative intent.

□ 부 록 □

수직적(vertical) 의사소통의 발달단계

1. , . ,
2. , , , , , , /
3. . /
4. 가 .
5. 가 / .
6. , .

수평적(horizontal) 의사소통 발달 단계

■ 행동통제(behavioral regulation) :

1. (request object) -
2. (request action) -
3. (protest) -

■ 사회적 상호작용(social interaction) :

4. (request social routine) -
5. (showing off) -
6. (greeting) -
7. (calling) - 가 .
8. (acknowledgement) - ;
9. 가 (request permission) - .

■ 공유적인 주의(joint attention) :

10. (comment) -
11. (request information) - , ,
12. (clarification) -