

## THE CORONA THEOREM FOR BOUNDED FUNCTIONS IN DIRICHLET SPACE

YOUNG-CHAE NAH

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove that the corona theorem for the algebra  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap D(\mathbf{D})$ . That is, we prove that  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \overline{\mathbf{D}}$  is an empty set where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the maximal ideal space of the given algebra.

### 1. Preliminary

Let  $\mathbf{D}$  be the unit disk in the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . As usual  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$  is the set of all bounded analytic functions on  $\mathbf{D}$ . And  $D(\mathbf{D})$  is the set of all analytic functions such that  $f'$  is square integrable with respect to the usual area measure. We know that  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap D(\mathbf{D})$  forms a Banach Algebra with the norm given by  $\|f\| = \|f\|_\infty + \|f\|_{D(\mathbf{D})}$  where

$$\|f\|_\infty = \sup\{|f(z)| : z \in \mathbf{D}\}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{D(\mathbf{D})}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{D}} |f'(z)|^2 dA(z).$$

The corona theorem for  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$  was originally proved by L.Carleson [2] in 1962. Later P.Wolff gave a nice simpler proof using Littlewood-Paley integrals in 1979(see, for example, [3, Chapter 8]). This theorem was generalized for  $H^\infty(\Omega)$  where  $\Omega$  is a finitely connected domain and also for various subalgebras of  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$ . The corona problem can be

---

Supported by Mokwon University

Received by the editors on June 30, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classifications*: Primary 47B38.

Key words and phrases: Banach Algebra, Corona Theorem, BMO, BMO( $\mathbf{D}$ ), Dirichlet Space, Disk Algebra, Carleson Measure.

stated as follows. Let  $\Omega$  be a domain in  $\mathbf{C}$  and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra consisting of analytic functions on  $\Omega$ . Further we assume that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains all constant functions. Suppose  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $\text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n} |f_i(z)| \geq \delta > 0$  for all  $z \in \Omega$ . (These functions are called the corona data). Then, can we find functions  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and a constant  $C$  depending only on  $\delta$ ,  $\|f_1\|, \|f_2\|, \dots$ , and  $\|f_n\|$  such that  $f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_ng_n = 1$  where  $\|g_i\| \leq C$  for all  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ? (These  $g_i$ 's are called the corona solutions). It turns out that the corona theorem is equivalent to the fact that  $\Omega$  is dense in  $\mathcal{M}$  where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the maximal ideal space of  $\mathcal{A}$ , that is  $\mathcal{M}$  is the set of all multiplicative linear functionals on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note that, by identifying each  $\omega \in \Omega$  with the point evaluation map  $\lambda_\omega : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  defined by  $\lambda_\omega(f) = f(\omega)$ , we can consider  $\Omega$  as a subset of  $\mathcal{M}$ . In this argument, we are using the Gelfand topology on  $\mathcal{M}$ . The set  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  is called the corona. And the corona theorem says that  $\mathcal{M} \setminus \overline{\Omega}$  is an empty set.

## 2. Main Theorem

In this section, we are going to prove the corona theorem for the algebra  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap D(\mathbf{D})$ .

**THEOREM.** Suppose  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$  are functions in  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap D(\mathbf{D})$  such that  $\text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n} |f_i(z)| \geq \delta > 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbf{D}$ . Then there exists a constant  $C = C(n, \delta)$  and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap D(\mathbf{D})$  such that

$$(1) \quad f_1g_1 + f_2g_2 + \dots + f_ng_n = 1$$

and  $\|g_i\| \leq C$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* By a normal family argument, we may assume that the corona data  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in H^\infty(\mathbf{D}) \cap D(\mathbf{D})$  are analytic on a neighbourhood of the closed unit disk  $\overline{\mathbf{D}}$ . So we can also assume that

$\|f_i\| \leq 1$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It is clear that

$$(2) \quad \varphi_i(z) = \frac{\overline{f_i(z)}}{\sum_{j=1}^n |f_j(z)|^2}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

are non-analytic solutions of (1). Let  $g_i = \varphi_i + \sum_{j=1}^n (b_{i,j} - b_{j,i})f_j$  where  $b_{i,j}$  satisfies  $\bar{\partial}b_{i,j} = \varphi_j \bar{\partial}\varphi_j$ . Then it is easy to check that  $\bar{\partial}g_i = 0$  and  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n$  satisfies (1) except the norm conditions. Here we are using the following notations:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial h &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right), \\ \bar{\partial} h &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} \right), \\ |\nabla h|^2 &= 2(|\partial h|^2 + |\bar{\partial} h|^2). \end{aligned}$$

We will also use  $\|f\|_{D(\mathbf{D})}^2$  to denote  $\int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla f|^2 dA$  for a non-analytic function  $f$ . Let  $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ . As in the case of  $H^\infty(\mathbf{D})$  (see, for example, [3, Chapter 8]), our problem is equivalent to finding a function  $b_{j,k}$  on  $\mathbf{D}$  such that

$$(4) \quad \bar{\partial}b_{j,k} = \varphi_j \bar{\partial}\varphi_k$$

in  $\mathbf{D}$  where  $\varphi_j, \varphi_k$  are as in (2) and  $\|b_{j,k}\| = \|b_{j,k}\|_\infty + \|b_{j,k}\|_{D(\mathbf{D})} \leq C$  for some constant  $C$  depending only on  $\delta$  and  $n$ . Let's use  $b$  and  $g$  instead of  $b_{j,k}$  and  $\varphi_j \bar{\partial}\varphi_k$ . Then (4) becomes

$$(5) \quad \bar{\partial}b = g.$$

We are looking for  $b$  satisfying  $\|b\| \leq C$  for some constant depending only on  $\delta$  and  $n$ . Direct calculation shows that (as in [3, page 326])

$$(6) \quad |g(z)|^2 \leq \frac{4}{\delta^6} \sum_{i=1}^n |f_i'(z)|^2.$$

In order to find a solution of (5) with  $\|b\|_\infty < C_1(n, \delta)$ , it is enough to show that  $|g(z)|dA(z)$  is a Carleson measure (see [3, page 320]). Let  $Q = Q_{\theta, h} = \{z \in \mathbf{D} : |z| \geq 1 - h \text{ and } \theta - h \leq \text{Arg } z \leq \theta + h\}$  for  $0 < h < 1$ . From (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_Q |g(z)|dA(z) &\leq \left( \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g(z)|^2 dA(z) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\text{Area } Q)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\delta^3} \sqrt{n} h = C_2(n, \delta)h. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $|g(z)|dA(z)$  is a Carleson measure and so, by [3, Theorem 1.1],

$$(7) \quad \inf\{\|\psi\|_\infty : \bar{\partial}\psi = g\} \leq A_1 C_2(n, \delta)$$

for some absolute constant  $A_1$ . Now let

$$H_0(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbf{D}} \frac{g(\xi)}{z - \xi} dA(\xi).$$

Then

$$(8) \quad \bar{\partial}H_0 = g$$

in  $\mathbf{D}$  (see, for example, [5, page 364]). Hence, by (6),

$$(9) \quad \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\bar{\partial}H_0|^2 dA \leq \frac{4n}{\delta^6}.$$

Furthermore  $\partial H_0$  is the Beurling transform of  $g$ . And so, as in ([1], page 411),

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} |\partial H_0|^2 dA \leq A_2 \int_{\mathbf{D}} |g(z)|^2 dA(z)$$

for some absolute constant  $A_2$ . Hence, by (6),

$$\int_{\mathbf{D}} |\partial H_0|^2 \leq A_2 \frac{4n}{\delta^6}.$$

Combining this inequality with (4), and (9), we have

$$(10) \quad \|H_0\|_{D(\mathbf{D})}^2 = \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla H_0|^2 dA \leq C_3(n, \delta).$$

For  $f \in L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$ , let

$$\|f\|_{B(\partial\mathbf{D})}^2 = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{1}{h^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f(e^{i(s+h)}) - f(e^{is})|^2 ds dh.$$

Then it is well known (see [6, page 152]) that there exists an absolute constant  $A_3$  such that

$$(11) \quad \frac{1}{A_3} \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla u|^2 dA(z) \leq \|f\|_{B(\partial\mathbf{D})}^2 \leq A_3 \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla u|^2 dA(z),$$

where  $u$  is the Poisson integral of  $f \in L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$ . By (10), we see that  $|\nabla H_0(z)| dA(z)$  is a Carleson measure and so, by [7, Theorem 1.1.2],  $\|H_0\|_{BMO} \leq C_4$ . Here BMO is the set of all functions in  $L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$  which have bounded mean oscillations. Hence  $H_0 \in L^2(\partial\mathbf{D})$ . Now (10) and (11) implies that

$$(12) \quad \|H_0\|_{B(\partial\mathbf{D})} \leq C_5(n, \delta).$$

We know that every solutions of (5) is of the form  $b(z) = H_0(z) + h(z)$  where  $h(z)$  is in the Disk algebra  $A(\mathbf{D})$  (see, for example, [3, page 321]). Let  $H^2$  be the Hardy space. Let's use  $BMOA(\mathbf{D})$  for the set of functions which are obtained from harmonic extensions of all functions in  $BMOA = BMO \cap H^2$ . Since  $A(\mathbf{D}) \subset BMOA(\mathbf{D})$ , the above argument implies that

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \inf\{\|H_0 - F\|_{\infty} : F \in BMOA(\mathbf{D})\} \\ & \leq \inf\{\|\psi\|_{\infty} : \bar{\partial}\psi = g\} \leq A_1 C_2(n, \delta) \end{aligned}$$

by (7). Peller and Hruscev proved that there exists a unique  $F_0 \in BMOA(\mathbf{D})$  satisfying

$$(14) \quad \|H_0 - F_0\|_{\infty} = \inf\{\|H_0 - F\|_{\infty} : F \in BMOA(\mathbf{D})\}$$

and furthermore

$$(15) \quad \|F_0\|_{B(\partial\mathbf{D})} \leq A_4 \|H_0\|_{B(\partial\mathbf{D})}$$

for some constant  $A_4$  (see [4], page 103). Hence, by (11), (12), and (15), we have

$$(16) \quad \|F_0\|_{D(\mathbf{D})} = \left( \int_{\mathbf{D}} |\nabla F_0|^2 dA \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \sqrt{A_3} \|F_0\|_{B(\partial\mathbf{D})} \leq C_6(n, \delta).$$

Combining (10), and (16), we have

$$(17) \quad \|H_0 - F_0\|_{D(\mathbf{D})} \leq C_7(n, \delta).$$

Finally, combining (13), (14), and (17), we have

$$\|H_0 - F_0\| \leq C(n, \delta).$$

Since  $\bar{\partial}(H_0 - F_0) = g$  in  $\mathbf{D}$ , we complete the proof of our theorem.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. J. Garcia-Cuerva and J. L. Rubio de Francia, *Weighted norm inequality and related topics*, North Holland, 1985.
2. L. Carleson, *Interpolations by bounded analytic functions and the corona theorem*, Ann. Math **76** (1962), 547–559.
3. J. B. Garnett, *Bounded Analytic Functions*, Academic Press, New York, 1981.
4. S. V. Hruscev and V. V. Peller, *Hankel operators best approximations, and stationary Gaussian process*, Russian Math. Surveys **37** (1982).
5. P. Koosis, *Introduction to  $H_p$  spaces*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series 40, Cambridge University Press, 1980.
6. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton University press, 1970.
7. N. T. Varopoulos, *BMO functions and the  $\bar{\partial}$ - equation*, Pacific J. Math. **71** (1977), 221–273.

YOUNG-CHAE NAH

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

MOKWON UNIVERSITY

TAEJON 301-729, KOREA

E-mail: ycnah@mwus.mokwon.ac.kr