# ON REFLECTED DIFFUSION WITH DISCONTINUOUS COEFFICIENT

### YOUNGMEE KWON

ABSTRACT. Consider a d-dimensional domain D that has finite Lebesque measure and a Dirichlet form which has discontinuous coefficient. Then the stationary Markov process corresponding to the given Dirichlet form is a semimartingale under suitable condition for D and the coefficient.

### 1. Introduction

Let A and D be bounded and open in  $R^n$  with  $\overline{A} \subset D$  and let m denote Lebesque measure on D, normalized so that m(D) = 1. Consider a piecewise continuous dxd symmetric matrix valued function  $a(x) = (a_{ij}(x))$  such that

(1) 
$$a(x) = a^{1}(x) \text{ on } A$$
$$= a^{2}(x) \text{ on } D \setminus \overline{A}$$
$$= I \text{ on } \partial A$$

where  $a^1$  and  $a^2$  are  $C^1$  on A and  $D \setminus \overline{A}$  resepectively and there exist constant  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  independent of x such that  $|a(x)| \leq M_1$ ,  $|\nabla .a(x)| \leq M_2$  for  $x \in D \setminus \partial A$ . Here  $\nabla .a(x) = (b^1(x), ..., b^d(x))$  where | | denotes the matrix norm and  $b^i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_{ji}(x)$ .

(2) a(x) is uniformly positive definite, that is, there is  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(x)y_iy_j \geq \lambda |y|^2$  for all  $y \in R^d$  and  $x \in D$ .

Received October 6, 1996. Revised March 18, 1997.

Key words and phrases: reflected diffusion, Dirichlet form.

<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60J60, 60J65, 60G44.

This research is partially supported by KOSEF grant 961-0105-027-1 and Administry of Education BSRI-95-1407.

Now we consider a Dirichlet form  $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}))$  such that

(3) 
$$\mathcal{E}(f,f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{D} \nabla f.a \nabla f m(dx), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E})$$
$$\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}) = \{ f \in L^{2}(D, dx) \cap H^{1}(D) : \mathcal{E}(f, f) < \infty. \}$$

Here  $H^1(D)=W^{1,2}(D)$ , the Sobolev space of functions  $f\in L^2(D)$  that have all distributional derivatives in  $L^2(D)$ . Then we concern the stationary Markov process  $X_t$  associated to  $\mathcal E$  and show that under some conditions for D, A, and a, it is a semimartingale, that is, a sum of martingale and bounded variation processes. The association between X and  $(\mathcal E, \mathcal D(\mathcal E))$  is following: Let  $(T_t)$  be the transition semigroup of X and  $(f,g)=\int_D fgm(dx)$ . Then

$$\mathcal{E}(f,f) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{1}{t} (f,f-T_t f), \quad f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}).$$

(4) We define that  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  has the finitely upper Minkowski content if the following holds:

$$\overline{\lim}_{r\downarrow 0} \frac{m\{x\in C; \quad \operatorname{dist}(x,\partial C\leq r)\}}{r} < \infty.$$

This is known to be finite when C is a Lipschitz domain. (See [4])

(5) We assume that D and A have the property (4) and  $m(\partial A) = 0$ .

Pardoux and Williams showed in [3] that under some condition for D, which is more general than having finite upper Minkowski content and the condition that a(x) is locally Lipschitz continuous with condition (2), there exists the associated stationary Markov process  $X_t$  to  $\mathcal{E}$  and it is a semimartingle (Theorem 6.1 of [3]). We show that they hold when a(x) is piecewise continuous by approximating a(x) with smooth coefficients.

## 2. Tightness

Let a domain  $A \subset R^d$  be given and for  $x \in A$ ,  $\theta(x)$  be the distance of x from  $\partial A$ . We need  $\delta(x)$ , the regularized distance function whose existence is guaranteed by Stein ([4], p.171).

LEMMA 1. There exists a function  $\delta(x) = \delta(x, \partial A)$  defined for  $x \in A$  such that

- (i)  $c_1\theta(x) \leq \delta(x) \leq c_2\theta(x)$  for all  $x \in A$  and
- (ii)  $\delta$  is  $C^{\infty}$  in A and for any multi-index  $\beta$ , the  $\beta$  th derivatives  $\delta^{(\beta)}$  satisfies the following inequality:

$$|\delta^{(\beta)}(x)| \le b_{\beta}(\theta(x))^{1-|\beta|}$$
 for all  $x \in A$ .

The constants  $b_{\beta}$ ,  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  are independent of A. Now we have an important lemma for  $\delta(x)$ .

LEMMA 2. Suppose A has the condition (4). Then there is a finite constant C such that for each  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$ ,

$$\int_{A} |\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} q(\delta(x))| dx \le C$$

for any monotone function q defined on  $[0,\infty)$ , which is  $C^1$  on  $(0,\infty)$  and satisfies q(0) = 0 and  $q(\infty) \equiv \lim_{x \to \infty} q(x) = 1$ .

PROOF. In Lemma 2.2 of [5], Williams and Zheng showed that the lemma holds with  $B_n \cap A$  instead of A and the constant depends on n where  $\{B_n, n=1,2,...\}$  denotes some open sets in  $R^d$  such that  $\overline{A} \subset \bigcup_n B_n$  and A has their Condition (2.1) which implies (4). Hence we can choose finitely many  $B_n$  such that  $A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^k B_n$  and take C such that  $\int_{A \cap B_n} |\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} q(\delta(x))| dx \leq C$  for any n=1,2,...,k and the lemma holds.  $\square$ 

REMARK 1. We can extend  $\delta(x)$  to  $R^d$  such that  $\delta(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin A$ . Then  $\delta(x)$  is continuous on  $R^d$  and  $C^{\infty}$  on  $R^d \setminus \partial A$ . From now on, we mean  $\delta(x)$  as this extension.

When  $a(x)=(a_{ij}(x))$  is  $C^1$ , symmetric and uniformly positive definite and D has the property (4), Pardoux and Williams ([3]) showed that the stationary Markov process  $X_t$  associated to  $\mathcal{E}(f,f)=\frac{1}{2}\int_D \nabla f.a$   $\nabla fm(dx)$  with  $\mathcal{D}(E)=\{f\in L^2(D,dx)\cap H^1(D):\mathcal{E}(f,f)<\infty\}$  is a continuous semimartingale. More precisely

THEOREM 1. Let  $b(x) = (b^1, ..., b^d)(x)$  such that  $b^i(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_{ji}(x))$  and  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^X\}$  be the filtration generated by X. Then for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

$$X_t = X_0 + M_t + \int_0^t b(X_s)ds + V_t,$$

where M is a martingale relative to  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^X\}$  with  $\langle M_i, M_j \rangle_t = \int_0^t a_{ij}(X_s) ds$  and V is a  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^X\}$  adapted process of bounded variation such that for each  $v \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $E[\int_0^1 v(X_t).dV_t] = -\frac{1}{2} \int_D div(av) m(dx)$ .

Now we give the main theorem.

THEOREM 2. Under the condition (1),(2),(4) and (5) for a(x), D and A,  $X_t$ , the stationary Markov process associated to the Dirichlet form in (3) is a semimartingale with the decomposition such that

$$X_t = X_0 + M_t + \int_0^t b(X_s)(1_{(X_s \notin \partial A)})ds + L_t + V_t$$

where  $M_t$  is a martingale with  $\langle M_i, M_j \rangle_t \leq c_1 t$  and  $L_t$  and  $V_t$  are bounded variation processes adapted to  $\{\mathcal{F}_t^X\}$  such that  $L_t = \int_0^t 1_{(X_s \in \partial A)} dL_s$  and  $E[\int_0^t v(X_s).dV_s] \leq c_2 t$  for any function  $v \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$  for some constants  $c_1, c_2$ .

PROOF. We consider regularized distance functions  $\delta_1(x)$  and  $\delta_2(x)$  for A and  $D \setminus \overline{A}$ . Then  $\delta_1(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin A$  and  $\delta_2(x) = 0$  if  $x \notin D \setminus \overline{A}$ . Take increaing functions on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $\{f_n\}$  such that for each  $n, f_n \in C^{\infty}$  on  $[0, \infty)$ ,  $f_n(0) = 0$ ,  $f_n(r) = 1$  if  $r \geq \frac{1}{n}$ . Here the derivative of  $f_n$  at 0 means  $f'_n(0+)$ . We can extend  $a^1(x)$  and  $a^2(x)$  to D so that they satisfy the condition (1) and (2) on D. Now let

$$c^{n}(x) = a^{1}(x)(1 - f_{n}(\delta_{2}(x))) + a^{2}(x)(1 - f_{n}(\delta_{1}(x)))$$

where  $a^1$  and  $a^2$  are above extensions. Then  $c^n$  is differentiable on D satisfying the condition (2). Let  $\mathcal{E}_n(f,f)=\frac{1}{2}\int_D \nabla f.c^n\nabla fm(dx)$  on  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{E}_n)=\{f\in L^2(D,dx)\cap H^1(D):\mathcal{E}_n(f,f)<\infty\}$ . Let  $X_s^{(n)}$  be the stationary Markov process associated to  $\mathcal{E}_n$ . Then by Theorem 1,

$$X_t^{(n)} = X_0^{(n)} + M_t^{(n)} + \int_0^t b^n(X_s^{(n)}) ds + V_t^{(n)} \text{ where } < M_i^{(n)}, M_j^{(n)}>_t = \int_0^t c_{ij}^n(X_s) ds, \, b^{(n)i}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} c_{ji}^{(n)}(x) \text{ and }$$

$$E[\int_0^t v(X_t^{(n)}).dV_t^{(n)}] = -rac{1}{2}\int_D div(c^nv)m(dx).$$

Here  $c^n(x) \to a(x)$  in matrix norm except  $\partial A$ . Hence by Lyons and Zheng ([1]),  $X_t^{(n)}$  converges weakly to  $X_t$  associated to  $\mathcal{E}(f,f)=\frac{1}{2}\int_D \nabla f.a\nabla fm(dx)$  since  $m(\partial A)=0$ . In fact, Theorem in [1] is with respect to  $\mathcal{E}(f,f)=\frac{1}{2}\int_{R^d} \nabla f.a\nabla fdx$  instead of D. But the proof goes also in case of D only if  $c^n(x)\to a(x)$  except some measure zero set. Hence for all large n,

$$E[< M_i^{(n)}, M_j^{(n)}>_t] = E[\int_0^t c_{ij}^{(n)}(X_s^{(n)}) ds] \leq \sup_{x \in D} |a(x)| m(D) t \leq c_1 t.$$

Therefore  $M_t^{(n)}$  has a subsequence converging to  $M_t$  in  $L^2$  norm and  $M_t$  is a continuous martingale with  $\langle M_i, M_j \rangle_t \leq c_1 t$ . Now

$$b^{(n)i}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} c_{ji}^{(n)}(x)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} a_{ji}^1(x) (1 - f_n(\delta_2(x))) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (a_{ji}^2(x) (1 - f_n(\delta_1(x)))\right]$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left[\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (a_{ji}^k(x)) (1 - f_n(\delta_l(x))) + a_{ji}^k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} (1 - f_n(\delta_l(x)))\right].$$

where l=2 if k=1 and l=1 if k=2.  $|a_{ji}^k(x)|$  is uniformly bounded for i,j,k by the condition (1). Since  $X_t^{(n)}$  is stationary,

$$E[|\int_0^t b^{(n)i}(X_s^{(n)})ds|] \le t \int_D |b^{(n)i}(x)|dx.$$

Now we show that for all n, i,  $\int_D |b^{(n)i}(x)| dx \le c_2$  which shows  $E[\int_0^t b^{(n)}(X_s^{(n)}) ds|] \le c_2 t$ . Let

$$I = \int_{D} |(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}}(a_{ji}^{k}(x)))(1 - f_{n}(\delta_{l}(x)))| dx$$

and

$$II = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \int_{D} |\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} f_{n}(\delta_{k}(x))| dx.$$

Then for all i, j, k,  $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(a_{ji}^k(x))\right| \leq M_2$  by the condition (1), hence  $I \leq m(D)M_2$ . Now for II, for all n, j,

$$II \leq \int_{D} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} f_{n}(\delta_{1}(x)) \right| dx + \int_{D} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} f_{n}(\delta_{2}(x)) \right| dx$$

$$= \int_{A} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} f_{n}(\delta_{1}(x)) \right| dx + \int_{D \setminus A} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} f_{n}(\delta_{2}(x)) \right| dx$$

$$\leq Cm(D)$$

by Lemma 2. Similarly for  $v \in C_c^2(R^d, R^d)$ ,  $E[\int_0^t v(X_s^{(n)}).dV_s^{(n)}] = \int_D div(c^n v) m(dx)$  is uniformly bounded. Hence by Meyer-Zheng condition ([2]),  $\{X_t^{(n)}\}$  is tight and we have a subsequence for  $\{X_t^{(n)}\}$  converging to a semimartingale. But we already know  $X_t^{(n)} \to X_t$  in distribution. Hence  $X_t$  must be a semimartingale such that  $X_t = X_0 + M_t + A_t + V_t$  where  $M_t$  is a martingale with  $M_t \in M_t$ ,  $M_t \in M_t$  and  $M_t \in M_t$  are the weak limits of  $M_t \in M_t$  where  $M_t \in M_t$  and  $M_t \in M_t$  where  $M_t \in M_t$  where  $M_t \in M_t$  and  $M_t \in M_t$  where  $M_t \in M_t$  where  $M_t \in M_t$  and  $M_t \in M_t$  where  $M_t \in M_t$  where  $M_t \in M_t$  and  $M_t \in M_t$  where  $M_t \in M_t$  wher

REMARK 2. It is true that  $E[\int_0^t 1_{(X_s^{(n)} \in \partial A)} ds] = 0$  but still we do not know  $E[\int_0^t 1_{(X_s \in \partial A)} ds] = 0$ . If it is true, we have  $L_t = 0$ .

#### References

 T. Lyons and T. S. Zheng, Note on convergence of Dirichlet process, Bull. London Math. Soc 25 (1993), 353-356.

- [2] P. A. Meyer and W. Zheng, *Tightness criteria for laws of semimartingales*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare **20** (1984), 357-372.
- [3] E. Pardoux and R. J. Williams, Symmetric reflected diffusions, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare 30 (1994), 13-62.
- [4] E. M. Stein, Singular Integrals and Differentiability properties of functions, Princeton University press, 1970.
- [5] R. J. Williams and W. Zheng, On reflecting Brownian motion-a weak convergence Approach, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare 26 (1990), 461-488.

Hansung University 389 2 ga Samsun-dong Sungbuk-gu Seoul 136-792, Korea