

## QUADRATURE FORMULAS FOR WAVELET COEFFICIENTS

SOON-GEOL KWON

**ABSTRACT.** We derive quadrature formulas for approximating wavelet coefficients for smooth functions from equally spaced point values with arbitrarily high degree of accuracy. We also estimate the error of quadrature formulas.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $n$  be a fixed positive integer. Assume  $f \in C^n(\mathbf{R})$ , the set of  $n$  times continuously differentiable functions on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\phi$  be a scaling function with  $M$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ . Let  $j$  be an integer translate and  $h = 2^{-k}$ , where  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  is a level number.

We denote the scaled translates of  $\phi$  by

$$(1) \quad \phi_j^k(x) = 2^{k/2} \phi(2^k x - j).$$

The *continuous moments* of the scaling function  $\phi$  is defined by

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{M}_p = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^p \phi(x) dx.$$

for any nonnegative integer  $p$ .

We also define the *shifted continuous moments* of  $\phi$  by

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{M}_{i,j} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^i \phi(x - j) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x + j)^i \phi(x) dx = \sum_{l=0}^i \binom{i}{l} j^l \mathcal{M}_{i-l}.$$

---

Received May 6, 1997.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65D32, 65G99, 42C15.

Key words and phrases: quadrature formulas, wavelets.

The author was supported in part by POSTECH BSRI 95F001.

We would like to find a highly accurate numerical approximation for the wavelet coefficients of  $f$ ,

$$f_j^k := \langle f, \phi_j^k \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_j^k(x) dx.$$

Applications for wavelet coefficients are:

- wavelet approximations

$$f(x) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \langle f, \phi_j^k \rangle \phi_j^k(x) + \mathcal{O}(h^M),$$

- solutions of differential equations using wavelet-Galerkin methods, and
- wavelet series expansion of a multiplication of two functions.

Now, by substitution,

$$(4) \quad \langle f, \phi_j^k \rangle = h^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(h(x+j)) \phi(x) dx.$$

Consider the level  $k = 0$  and the integer translate  $j = 0$ . Consider  $\phi$  as a weight function with support  $[0, L]$ . Finally, consider  $n$  point quadrature formulas of type

$$(5) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i f(x_i),$$

where  $x_i$  are specially chosen abscissae and  $w_i$  are undetermined weights. We can choose the number of abscissae  $n$  arbitrarily high to obtain the desired degree of accuracy.

Given equally spaced  $x_i$ , we find weights  $w_i$  so that the formula (5) is exact for all polynomials of degree up to  $n-1$ . We are allowed to choose some of the abscissae  $x_i$  from outside of the  $[0, L]$  if  $n$  is sufficiently large.

Our ultimate purpose is to find  $n$  point quadrature formulas which are applicable in [7]. There, we require the abscissae to be of fixed step size for all  $n \geq 2$ .

The multiple point formulas in [8] are not appropriate because of the inconsistent distance between two adjacent abscissae for different  $n$ . Hence we derive new  $n$  point quadrature formulas with fixed step size  $h$  ( $h = 1$  for  $k = 0$ ) for all  $n \geq 2$ .

## 2. Algorithm for finding weights $w_i$ and abscissae $x_i$

Consider first for the shift  $\tau = 0$ . We follow a standard approach from numerical analysis.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let the level number  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and translate  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  be fixed. Let  $h = 2^{-k}$ . If we choose equally spaced abscissae  $x_{i,j}^k = h(j+i)$ , then there exist weights  $w_{i,j}^k, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  such that for  $f(x) = x^p, p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$*

$$(6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_j^k(x) dx = h^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i,j}^k f(x_{i,j}^k).$$

Moreover, for any  $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}, w_{i,j}^k = w_{i,0}^0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$

*Proof.* (6) leads to a system of  $n$  linear equations for  $w_{i,j}^k$

$$(7) \quad h^{p+1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i,j}^k (j+i)^p = h^{p+1/2} \mathcal{M}_{p,j} \quad \text{for } p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Matrix form of (7) is

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{A} \vec{w} = \vec{b},$$

where

$$\mathcal{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ j & j+1 & j+2 & \dots & j+n-1 \\ j^2 & (j+1)^2 & (j+2)^2 & \dots & (j+n-1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ j^{n-1} & (j+1)^{n-1} & (j+2)^{n-1} & \dots & (j+n-1)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\vec{w} = (w_{0,j}^k, w_{1,j}^k, w_{2,j}^k, \dots, w_{n-1,j}^k)^T,$$

$$\vec{b} = (\mathcal{M}_{0,j}, \mathcal{M}_{1,j}, \mathcal{M}_{2,j}, \dots, \mathcal{M}_{n-1,j})^T.$$

For the existence of weights  $w_{i,j}^k, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ , it suffices to show that  $\det \mathcal{A} \neq 0$ . By performing elementary row operations on  $\mathcal{A}$  we obtain

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{V} \vec{w} = \vec{d},$$

where

$$\mathcal{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & n-1 \\ 0 & 1^2 & 2^2 & \cdots & (n-1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 1^{n-1} & 2^{n-1} & \cdots & (n-1)^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\vec{w} = (w_{0,j}^k, w_{1,j}^k, w_{2,j}^k, \dots, w_{n-1,j}^k)^T,$$

$$\vec{d} = (\mathcal{M}_0, \mathcal{M}_1, \mathcal{M}_2, \dots, \mathcal{M}_{n-1})^T.$$

Note that the transpose matrix  $\mathcal{V}^T$  of  $\mathcal{V}$  is the Vandermonde matrix with  $0, 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $\det \mathcal{V} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i! \neq 0$  ([1]).

Since the determinant of a matrix does not change by elementary row operations,

$$(10) \quad \det \mathcal{A} = \det \mathcal{V} = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} i! \neq 0$$

This completes the existence of weights  $w_{i,j}^k, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

Since (9) is the case  $j = 0$  and  $k = 0$  in (8), we obtain

$$w_{i,j}^k = w_{i,0}^0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1.$$

This completes the proof. □

Consider the case with the shift  $\tau$ .

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Let the shift  $\tau$  be given. Let the level number  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$  and translate  $j \in \mathbf{Z}$  be fixed. Let  $h = 2^{-k}$ . If we choose equally spaced abscissae  $x_{i,j}^k = h(\tau + j + i)$ , then there exist weights  $w_{i,j}^k, i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  such that for  $f(x) = x^p, p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$*

$$(11) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_j^k(x) dx = h^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_{i,j}^k f(x_{i,j}^k).$$

Moreover, for any  $j, k \in \mathbf{Z}, w_{i,j}^k = w_{i,0}^0, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

Theorem 2.2 can be proved in the same way as Theorem 2.1.

REMARK 2.3. One of the advantages of the above algorithm is that finding weights once for  $w_{i,0}^0$  covers  $w_{i,j}^k$  for any integer translate  $j$  and level number  $k$ .

REMARK 2.4. Above algorithm is adaptable and is easy to implement for any positive integer  $n$ .

The matrix  $\mathcal{V}$  becomes ill-conditioned as  $n$  increases. See Table 1 for the condition numbers  $\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ .

TABLE 1. The condition numbers  $\kappa(\mathcal{V})$ .

$n$	$\kappa(\mathcal{V})$	$n$	$\kappa(\mathcal{V})$
3	1.3912e+01	8	5.2938e+07
4	1.5446e+02	9	2.0437e+09
5	2.5929e+03	10	9.0078e+10
6	5.7689e+04	11	4.4628e+12
7	1.5973e+06	12	2.4564e+14

To overcome the ill-conditioning problem, the Chebyshev polynomials were considered in [8]. Instead of using the standard polynomials  $f(x) = x^p$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  in (6) and their continuous moments, they used the Chebyshev polynomials  $f(x) = T_p(x)$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$  in (6) and their continuous moments. The Chebyshev polynomial  $T_p(x)$  of degree of  $p$  is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(x) &= 1, \\ T_1(x) &= x, \\ T_p(x) &= 2xT_{p-1}(x) - T_{p-2}(x), \quad \text{for } p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

We have not pursued this aspect very far, since the numerical problems only occur in the derivation of the weights, not in the application, and only for higher levels of accuracy.

### 3. Error estimates

Fix the number of abscissae  $n$  and the level  $k$ . Let  $\tau$  be the shift. The error of  $n$  point quadrature formula for the monomial  $x^p$  at resolution

$h = 2^{-k}$  (for the integer translate  $j = 0$ ) is

$$\begin{aligned} e_p(\tau) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^p \phi_0^k(x) dx - h^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i x_i^p \\ &= h^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (hx)^p \phi(x) dx - h^{p+(1/2)} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i (\tau + i)^p \\ &= h^{p+(1/2)} \left[ \mathcal{M}_p - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i (\tau + i)^p \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\tilde{e}_p(\tau) = h^{-p-(1/2)} e_p(\tau) = \mathcal{M}_p - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i (\tau + i)^p.$$

By (11),  $e_p \equiv 0 \equiv \tilde{e}_p$ ,  $p = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ .

If  $f \in C^n$ , then, by using the Taylor expansion,

$$f(xh + jh) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(l)}(jh)}{l!} x^l h^l + \frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!} x^n h^n,$$

for some  $\xi$  between  $jh$  and  $xh + jh$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_j^k(x) dx &= h^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(xh + jh) \phi(x) dx \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(l)}(jh)}{l!} h^{l+(1/2)} \mathcal{M}_l + \rho_n h^{n+(1/2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$|\rho_n| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \left\{ \max_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}} |f^{(n)}(\xi)| \right\} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^n |\phi(x)| dx \right\}.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} h^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i f(x_{i,j}^k) &= h^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i f((\tau + i)h + jh) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(l)}(jh)}{l!} h^{l+(1/2)} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i (\tau + i)^l \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+(1/2)}). \end{aligned}$$

The error of the  $n$  point quadrature formula is then

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_n(f) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_j^k(x) dx - h^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i f(x_{i,j}^k) \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(l)}(jh)}{l!} h^{l+(1/2)} \left[ \mathcal{M}_l - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i (\tau + i)^l \right] + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+(1/2)}) \\
 &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \frac{f^{(l)}(jh)}{l!} h^{l+(1/2)} \tilde{e}_l(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+(1/2)}) \\
 &= \mathcal{O}(h^{n+(1/2)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If  $f \in C^{n+1}$ , we can take the Taylor series expansion one step further:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_n(f) &:= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_j^k(x) dx - h^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i f(x_{i,j}^k) \\
 &= \frac{f^{(n)}(jh)}{n!} h^{n+(1/2)} \tilde{e}_n(\tau) + \mathcal{O}(h^{n+(3/2)}).
 \end{aligned}$$

If the shift  $\tau$  is a zero of  $\tilde{e}_n(\tau)$ , it is a *superconverging shift*, with error of  $\mathcal{O}(h^{n+(3/2)})$  for the quadrature formula based on  $x_{i,j}^k = h(\tau + i + j)$ .

It is not obvious how to find the superconverging shift  $\tau$  with  $\tilde{e}_n(\tau)$ , since weights  $w_i$  are functions of  $\tau$ . So we follow the method introduced in [8].

Let the level  $k = 0$  and the integer translate  $j = 0$ . The value of the superconverging shift  $\tau$  can be determined using the product polynomial  $\prod(x)$ . This polynomial is defined as

$$\prod(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - x_{i,0}^0) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - \tau - i) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i(\tau) x^i$$

where  $p_i(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n - i$ . Since the degree of accuracy is  $n$ , the quadrature formula gives the exact result for the product polynomial  $\prod(x)$ . Hence

$$0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod(x) \phi(x) dx - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i \prod(x_i) = \sum_{i=0}^n p_i(\tau) \mathcal{M}_i := q(\tau).$$

The latter expression is a polynomial of degree  $n$  in  $\tau$ . The existence of a root  $\tau$  is guaranteed for odd  $n$ , but not for even  $n$ . If there is no root, an arbitrary value for  $\tau$ , e.g.  $\tau = 0$ , must be chosen and one degree of accuracy is lost. With the superconverging shift  $\tau$  and weights  $w_i$  we obtain

$$(12) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i (i + \tau)^n = \mathcal{M}_n.$$

## 4. Numerical examples

### 4.1. Examples of the shift $\tau$ and weights $w_i$

Let the level  $k = 0$  and the integer translate  $j = 0$ . Given  $\tau = 0$  we find weights  $w_i$  in terms of continuous moments.

- For  $n = 2$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= 1 - \mathcal{M}_1, \\ w_1 &= \mathcal{M}_1. \end{aligned}$$

- For  $n = 3$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= 1 - \frac{3}{2}\mathcal{M}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_2, \\ w_1 &= 2\mathcal{M}_1 - \mathcal{M}_2, \\ w_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_2. \end{aligned}$$

- For  $n = 4$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= 1 - \frac{11}{6}\mathcal{M}_1 + \mathcal{M}_2 - \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{M}_3, \\ w_1 &= 3\mathcal{M}_1 - \frac{5}{2}\mathcal{M}_2 + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_3, \\ w_2 &= -\frac{3}{2}\mathcal{M}_1 + 2\mathcal{M}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_3, \\ w_3 &= \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{M}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{M}_2 + \frac{1}{6}\mathcal{M}_3. \end{aligned}$$

We find the superconverging shift  $\tau$  and weights  $w_i$ .



- For  $n = 1$ :

Since no step size is involved in the one point formulas, the one point formulas are the same as those in [8]. Hence  $\tau = \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $w_0 = 1$ .

Note that the one point quadrature formula with the point  $x_0 = \mathcal{M}_1$  was introduced at first in [2].

If  $\phi$  is an orthogonal scaling function with  $M \geq 2$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_1^2$  ([5, 8]). The one point quadrature formula  $f(\mathcal{M}_1)$  for the wavelet coefficients of  $f$  has degree of accuracy 2 ([8]).

- For  $n = 2$ :

The product function is

$$\prod(x) = (x - \tau)(x - \tau - 1) = x^2 - (2\tau + 1)x + \tau(\tau + 1).$$

The polynomial  $q(\tau)$  is

$$q(\tau) = \tau^2 + (-2\mathcal{M}_1 + 1)\tau + (\mathcal{M}_2 - \mathcal{M}_1)$$

so that

$$\tau = \frac{2\mathcal{M}_1 - 1 \pm \sqrt{1 + 4\mathcal{M}_1^2 - 4\mathcal{M}_2}}{2}.$$

If  $\phi$  is the Daubechies scaling function with one vanishing moment for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_1 = 1/2$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2 = 1/3$ . The discriminant is then  $1 + 4\mathcal{M}_1^2 - 4\mathcal{M}_2 = 2/3 > 0$  so that  $\tau = \pm\sqrt{6}/6$ . The weights for the shift  $\tau = -\sqrt{6}/6$  can be found by solving

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -\sqrt{6}/6 & 1 - \sqrt{6}/6 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \sqrt{6}/6 & -1 \\ \sqrt{6}/6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (3 - \sqrt{6})/6 \\ (3 + \sqrt{6})/6 \end{pmatrix}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^2 w_0 + (\tau + 1)^2 w_1 &= \left(-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6}\right)^2 \left(\frac{3 - \sqrt{6}}{6}\right) + \left(-\frac{\sqrt{6}}{6} + 1\right)^2 \left(\frac{3 + \sqrt{6}}{6}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{3} = \mathcal{M}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence equation (12) is satisfied. The weights for the shift  $\tau = \sqrt{6}/6$  are  $w_0 = (3 + \sqrt{6})/6$  and  $w_1 = (3 - \sqrt{6})/6$ .

If  $\phi$  is an orthogonal scaling function with  $M \geq 2$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M}_1^2$  ([5, 8]). The discriminant is  $1 + 4\mathcal{M}_1^2 - 4\mathcal{M}_2 = 1 > 0$ . Hence there always exist two distinct real  $\tau$ , and

$$\tau = \mathcal{M}_1 \text{ or } \mathcal{M}_1 - 1.$$

The weights for the shift  $\tau = \mathcal{M}_1$  can be found by solving

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 & \mathcal{M}_1 + 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_0 \\ w_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}_1 + 1 & -1 \\ -\mathcal{M}_1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \mathcal{M}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies that

$$\tau^2 w_0 + (\tau + 1)^2 w_1 = \mathcal{M}_1^2 1 + (\mathcal{M}_1 + 1)^2 0 = \mathcal{M}_1^2 = \mathcal{M}_2.$$

Hence equation (12) is satisfied. The weights for the shift  $\tau = \mathcal{M}_1 - 1$  are  $w_0 = 0$  and  $w_1 = 1$ .

Since one of the weights,  $w_1$ , is zero for the shift  $\tau = \mathcal{M}_1$ , two point quadrature formulas become one point quadrature formulas with degree of accuracy 2. This result is the same as that for one point quadrature formulas.

**REMARK 4.1.** Let  $\phi$  be an orthogonal scaling function with  $M$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ . If the two point quadrature formulas have degree of accuracy 2, then  $M = 1$ .

Table 2 shows the shift  $\tau$  and weights  $w_i$  for some  $M$ , where  $\phi$  is a Daubechies scaling function with  $M$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ .

- For  $n = 3$ :

The product function is

$$\begin{aligned} \prod(x) &= (x - \tau)(x - \tau - 1)(x - \tau - 2) \\ &= x^3 - 3(\tau + 1)x^2 - (3\tau^2 + 6\tau + 2)x - (\tau^3 + 3\tau^2 + 2\tau). \end{aligned}$$

TABLE 2. The superconverging shift  $\tau$  and weights  $w_i$  for  $n = 2$ .

$n$	$M$	$\tau$	$w_0$	$w_1$
2	1	-4.0825e-01	9.1752e-02	9.0825e-01
		4.0825e-01	9.0825e-01	9.1752e-02
	2	6.3397e-01	1.0000e+00	0.0000e+00
		-3.6603e-01	0.0000e+00	1.0000e+00
	3	8.1740e-01	1.0000e+00	0.0000e+00
		-1.8260e-01	0.0000e+00	1.0000e+00
	4	1.0054e+00	1.0000e+00	0.0000e+00
		5.3932e-03	0.0000e+00	1.0000e+00
	5	1.1939e+00	1.0000e+00	0.0000e+00
		1.9391e-01	0.0000e+00	1.0000e+00

The polynomial  $q(\tau)$  is

$$q(\tau) = -\tau^3 + 3(\mathcal{M}_1 - 1)\tau^2 + (3\mathcal{M}_2 + 6\mathcal{M}_1 - 2)\tau + (\mathcal{M}_3 - 3\mathcal{M}_2 + 2\mathcal{M}_1).$$

Table 3 shows the shift  $\tau$  and weights  $w_i$  for some  $M$ , where  $\phi$  is a Daubechies scaling function with  $M$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ .

## 4.2. Examples of error and convergence order

We fix the shift  $\tau = 0$  for all the examples in this section.

EXAMPLE 4.2. In order to compare our results to those in [8], we take  $\phi$  and  $f$  as in [8], i.e., let  $\phi$  be the Daubechies scaling function with  $M = 3$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ , and  $f(x) = \sin(x)$ . Table 4 shows the weights for  $n = 5$  and  $n = 10$ . As we can see from Table 4,  $w_1$  is always the biggest for any  $n$ , because  $\mathcal{M}_1 = 0.8174 \approx 1$ .

Let  $Q_n$  be the  $n$  point quadrature formula introduced in [8]. Table 5 shows the error of the  $n$  point quadrature formulas with  $\tau = 0$  for  $n = 5$  and  $n = 10$ , and the error of  $Q_5$  and  $Q_{10}$  which are in Table 2.1 of [8].

The absolute error of our formula for  $n = 5$  is smaller than  $Q_5$  for  $k \geq 2$ , but greater than  $Q_5$  for  $k \leq 1$ . The absolute error for  $n = 10$  is greater than  $Q_{10}$  for  $2 \leq k \leq 5$ . This is because some of the abscissae

TABLE 3. The superconverging shift  $\tau$  and weights  $w_i$  for  $n = 3$ .

$n$	$M$	$\tau$	$w_0$	$w_1$	$w_2$
3	1	-1.3660e+00	-1.6346e-02	1.6667e-01	8.4968e-01
		-5.0000e-01	4.1667e-02	9.1667e-01	4.1667e-02
		3.6603e-01	8.4968e-01	1.6667e-01	-1.6346e-02
	2	-1.4229e+00	3.0074e-02	-1.1706e-01	1.0870e+00
		5.6518e-01	8.9917e-01	1.3286e-01	-3.2031e-02
		-2.4032e-01	7.0753e-02	9.8420e-01	-5.4951e-02
	3	-1.2296e+00	2.4593e-02	-9.6165e-02	1.0716e+00
		7.6264e-01	9.1936e-01	1.0651e-01	-2.5879e-02
		-8.0864e-02	5.6043e-02	9.8965e-01	-4.5693e-02
	4	-1.0452e+00	2.6555e-02	-1.0367e-01	1.0771e+00
		9.4570e-01	9.1224e-01	1.1582e-01	-2.8064e-02
		1.1564e-01	6.1200e-02	9.8785e-01	-4.9046e-02
	5	1.1265e+00	9.0110e-01	1.3035e-01	-3.1450e-02
		-8.6208e-01	2.9562e-02	-1.1511e-01	1.0855e+00
		3.1734e-01	6.9337e-02	9.8476e-01	-5.4100e-02

fall outside of the support of  $\phi_j^k$  for  $n = 10$  and also because we use the shift  $\tau = 0$ .

TABLE 4. Weights  $w_i$  for  $n = 5$  and  $n = 10$ .

weights	$n = 5$	$n = 10$
$w_0$	9.0735e-02	7.1852e-02
$w_1$	1.0230e+00	1.1499e+00
$w_2$	-1.4013e-01	-5.2157e-01
$w_3$	3.1030e-02	7.0958e-01
$w_4$	-4.5979e-03	-7.9913e-01
$w_5$		6.3929e-01
$w_6$		-3.5404e-01
$w_7$		1.2961e-01
$w_8$		-2.8267e-02
$w_9$		2.7845e-03

EXAMPLE 4.3. Let  $\phi$  be the Daubechies scaling function with  $M$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ . Let  $f(x) = \cos(2\pi x)$ .

TABLE 5. Errors of the quadrature formulas for  $n = 5$  and  $n = 10$ .

$k$	$n = 5$ ( $Q_5$ )	$n = 10$ ( $Q_{10}$ )
0	3.0239e-03 (2.15e-03)	-
1	3.9833e-05 (4.40e-05)	4.4891e-07 (1.03e-08)
2	2.6513e-07 (6.51e-07)	1.0228e-10 (1.11e-12)
3	1.5325e-09 (9.38e-09)	9.7172e-14 (4.21e-15)
4	8.5614e-12 (1.38e-10)	2.0747e-15 (9.99e-16)
5	4.7431e-14 (2.09e-12)	-
6	2.6173e-16 (3.19e-14)	-
7	1.5179e-18 (1.11e-16)	-

Then  $f(x+1) = f(x)$ . Fix level  $k = 3$ . Let  $M = 2$ . We test for some  $n$ . Errors of quadrature formulas in norms  $l^1$ ,  $l^2$ , and  $l^\infty$  are in Table 6. As we expect, the errors become smaller as  $n$  increases.

TABLE 6. Errors of quadrature formulas with fixed level  $k$ .

$k$	$M$	$n$	error		
			$l^1$	$l^2$	$l^\infty$
3	2	1	1.1476e-01	1.2536e-01	1.7142e-01
		2	1.5528e-02	1.7056e-02	2.3508e-02
		3	3.3179e-03	3.7507e-03	5.2812e-03
		4	1.6155e-03	1.7763e-03	2.4756e-03
		5	8.7432e-04	9.5906e-04	1.3125e-03
		6	4.7909e-04	5.3749e-04	7.6567e-04

EXAMPLE 4.4. Let  $\phi$  be the Daubechies scaling function with  $M$  vanishing moments for the corresponding wavelet  $\psi$ . Let  $f(x) = \cos(2\pi x)$ . Then  $f(x+1) = f(x)$ . Fix  $n = 3$ . Let  $M = 2$ . We test for some  $k$ . Errors and convergence orders for quadrature formulas in norms  $l^1$ ,  $l^2$ , and  $l^\infty$  are in Table 7. As we expect, the convergence orders for  $n$  point quadrature formulas approach  $n + (1/2)$  as  $k$  increases.

TABLE 7. Errors and convergence orders for quadrature formulas with fixed  $n$ .

$n$	$M$	$k$	error			convergence order		
			$l^1$	$l^2$	$l^\infty$	$l^1$	$l^2$	$l^\infty$
3	2	3	3.3179e-03	3.7507e-03	5.2812e-03			
		4	3.0608e-04	3.4148e-04	4.8238e-04	3.4383	3.4573	3.4526
		5	2.7343e-05	3.0404e-05	4.2987e-05	3.4847	3.4894	3.4882
		6	2.4234e-06	2.6924e-06	3.8074e-06	3.4961	3.4973	3.4970

## 5. Summary

For the numerical calculation of the wavelet coefficients of  $f$ ,

$$f_j^k := \langle f, \phi_j^k \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_j^k(x) dx,$$

we can choose a positive integer  $n$  arbitrarily large to obtain the desired degree of accuracy. We then use  $n$  point quadrature formulas of type

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \phi_j^k(x) dx \approx h^{1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} w_i f(x_{i,j}^k).$$

We choose the abscissae

$$x_{i,j}^k = h(\tau + i + j),$$

in order to guarantee that the abscissae are equally spaced with fixed step size  $h = 2^{-k}$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

The error of  $n$  point quadrature formulas with the superconverging shift  $\tau$  is  $\mathcal{O}(h^{n+(3/2)})$ . With all shifts  $\tau$  other than superconverging  $\tau$  the error is  $\mathcal{O}(h^{n+(1/2)})$ . Hence we achieve the same degree of accuracy and convergence order as in [8] from our derivations for quadrature formulas. Moreover, we obtain the same weights for any integer translate  $j$  and level  $k$ .

## References

- [1] K. E. Atkinson, *An Introduction to Numerical Analysis*, Second edition, John Wiley & Sons, 1989.
- [2] G. Beylkin, R. Coifman, and V. Rokhlin, *Fast Wavelet Transforms and Numerical Algorithms I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **44** (1991) 141–183.

- [3] I. Daubechies, *Orthonormal Bases of Compactly Supported Wavelets*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **41** (1988), 909–996.
- [4] I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, volume 61 of CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [5] R. A. Gopinath and C. S. Burrus, *On the Moments of the Scaling Function*, Proceedings of the ISCAS '92, San Diego, 1992.
- [6] F. Keinert and S.-G. Kwon, *High Accuracy Reconstruction from Wavelet Coefficients*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis, **4** (1997), 293–316.
- [7] S.-G. Kwon, *High Accuracy Multiplication of Functions in the Wavelet Basis*, Preprint
- [8] W. Sweldens and R. Piessens, *Quadrature Formulae and Asymptotic Error Expansions for Wavelet Approximations of Smooth Functions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., **31** (1994), 1240–1264.

Department of Mathematics  
Pohang University of Science and Technology  
Pohang 790-784, Korea  
*E-mail:* sgkwonposmath.postech.ac.kr