

## MAXIMAL MONOTONE OPERATORS IN THE ONE DIMENSIONAL CASE

SANGHO KUM\*

**ABSTRACT.** Our basic concern in this paper is to investigate some geometric properties of the graph of a maximal monotone operator in the one dimensional case. Using a well-known theorem of Minty, we answer S. Simons' questions affirmatively in the one dimensional case. Further developments of these results are also treated. In addition, we provide a new proof of Rockafellar's characterization of maximal monotone operators on  $R$ : every maximal monotone operator from  $R$  to  $2^R$  is the subdifferential of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

The maximal monotonicity of the subdifferential of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function due to Rockafellar [6] is one of the fundamental theorems in convex analysis. Many authors [1, 11] have provided independent proofs for this theorem. Recently, Simons [8-10] has generalized this result in several directions and has given very elementary and simple proofs which do not depend on any difficult results. One of the generalizations [9, Theorem 6.1] is as follows; Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and  $E^*$  its dual space. Let  $\psi : E \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$  be a proper convex lower semicontinuous function and  $\partial\psi$  be the subdifferential of  $\psi$ . If  $Q$  is a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of  $E$ ,  $q^* \in E^*$  and, for all  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(\partial\psi)$ , there exists  $q \in Q$  such that  $\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle \geq 0$  then

$$(Q \times \{q^*\}) \cap Gr(\partial\psi) \neq \emptyset.$$

---

Received May 16, 1996.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H05, 47H99.

Key words and phrases: maximal monotone operator, subdifferential of a convex function, cyclically monotone.

\* Supported in part by the Post-Doctoral Fellowship of KOSEF and in part by GARC-KOSEF in 1995.

At the same time, he asked whether the corresponding result is true for general maximal monotone operators: let  $M$  be a maximal monotone operator from  $E$  to  $2^{E^*}$ , and  $Gr(M)$  be the graph of  $M$  in  $E \times E^*$ . Let  $Q$  be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of  $E$ ,  $q^* \in E^*$  such that  $(Q \times \{q^*\}) \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$ . Does there necessarily exist  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that for all  $q \in Q$ ,  $\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle < 0$ .

Our basic concern in this paper is to investigate some geometric properties of the graph of a maximal monotone operator in the one dimensional case. In section 2, we answer Simons' question affirmatively in the one dimensional case  $E = R$ . Moreover, we verify that this result remains true for the case where the point  $q^*$  is replaced by a nonempty compact convex set  $Q^*$ . This also answers in the one dimensional case another question posed by Simons [9] for general Banach space. After this, we give a characterization of maximal monotone operators in  $R$ : every maximal monotone operator from  $R$  to  $2^R$  is cyclically monotone, hence is the subdifferential of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function by means of Rockafellar [6]. Actually, this characterization was first proved by Rockafellar [7, Theorem 24.3, p.232]. His proof was based upon some properties of the one-sided directional derivative of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function and a characterization of the graph of a maximal monotone operator as a complete non-decreasing curve [7, Sections 23 and 24]. However, we will provide a simpler approach to that characterization. Section 3 deals with a refinement of the two theorems in the previous section.

Let  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  be maximal monotone and  $D(M)$  be the effective domain of  $M$ . If  $D(M)$  is a singleton  $\{a\}$ , then  $M(x) = (-\infty, \infty)$  if  $x = a$ ,  $M(x) = \emptyset$  otherwise. Recall that Minty's theorem [3] says: let  $H$  be a finite dimensional Hilbert space, with real or complex scalars, and  $M : H \rightarrow 2^H$  be maximal monotone. Then  $D(M)$  is *almost convex* in the sense that  $D(M)$  contains the relative interior of the convex hull  $\text{co}D(M)$  of  $D(M)$ . So, in the case where  $D(M)$  contains at least two points, using Minty's result [3], we know that  $D(M)$  is a convex subset of  $R$ . Indeed, let  $a, b \in D(M)$ . Clearly,  $(a, b)$  is contained in the relative interior of  $\text{co}D(M)$ . Since  $D(M)$  is almost convex, the open interval  $(a, b)$  is contained in  $D(M)$ , so is the closed interval  $[a, b]$  as desired. Hence  $D(M)$  is of one of the forms  $[a, b]$ ,  $(a, b)$ ,  $(a, b]$ ,  $[a, b)$ . Moreover,  $Gr(M)$  is path-connected by virtue of another theorem of

Minty [4]. Since  $M$  is monotone, we have

$$(1.1) \quad \sup M(x_1) \leq \inf M(x_2) \text{ whenever } x_1 < x_2, x_1, x_2 \in D(M).$$

It is easily seen that  $M$  can be represented by

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} [\inf M(x), \sup M(x)] & \text{if } a < x < b \\ (-\infty, \sup M(a)] & \text{if } x = a \\ [\inf M(b), \infty) & \text{if } x = b \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the above representation, we follow the usual convention

$$\sup \emptyset = -\infty, \quad \inf \emptyset = \infty.$$

Recall that a set-valued map  $M : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$  is said to be *cyclically monotone* provided

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k^* \rangle \geq 0$$

whenever  $n \geq 2$  and  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in E, x_n = x_0$ , and  $x_k^* \in M(x_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

### 2. Applications of a theorem of Minty

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  be maximal monotone and  $Q$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of  $R, q^* \in R$  such that  $(Q \times \{q^*\}) \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle < 0 \text{ for all } q \in Q.$$

*Proof.* Since  $Q = [a, b]$  for some  $a, b \in R, a \leq b$ , and  $q^* \notin M(Q)$ , there exist  $(z_1, z_1^*)$  and  $(z_2, z_2^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \langle z_1 - a, z_1^* - q^* \rangle < 0 \text{ and } \langle z_2 - b, z_2^* - q^* \rangle < 0.$$

We shall now prove that

$$(2.3) \quad \text{either } z_1 < a, z_1^* > q^* \quad \text{or} \quad z_2 > b, z_2^* < q^*.$$

Suppose the contrary. By (2.2), we have  $z_1 > a$ ,  $z_1^* < q^*$  and  $z_2 < b$ ,  $z_2^* > q^*$ , so  $z_1^* < q^* < z_2^*$ . By (1.1), we have  $a < z_1 \leq z_2 < b$ . Since  $M$  is maximal monotone, the range  $R(M)$  is convex by Minty's theorem [3], hence it must contain  $[z_1^*, z_2^*]$ . Thus there exists  $z_0 \in R$  such that  $q^* \in M(z_0)$  and  $a < z_1 \leq z_0 \leq z_2 < b$  by (1.1), thus  $z_0 \in Q$ . This contradicts the assumption. Therefore (2.3) is true. Moreover, each case of the two possibilities in (2.3) clearly implies (2.1). This completes the proof. □

In a similar way, we get the following generalization of Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  be maximal monotone and  $Q_1 = [a, b]$ ,  $Q_2 = [c, d]$  be two closed intervals such that*

$$(Q_1 \times Q_2) \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset.$$

*Then there exists  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that*

$$\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle < 0 \quad \text{for all } (q, q^*) \in Q_1 \times Q_2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $(Q_1 \times \{c\}) \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$ , it follows from the proof of Theorem 1 that there exists  $(z_1, z_1^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that either  $z_1 < a$ ,  $z_1^* > c$  or  $z_1 > b$ ,  $z_1^* < c$ . Similarly, because  $(Q_1 \times \{d\}) \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$ , we see that there exists  $(z_2, z_2^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that either  $z_2 < a$ ,  $z_2^* > d$  or  $z_2 > b$ ,  $z_2^* < d$ . We claim that at least one of the two possibilities

$$(2.4) \quad z_1 > b, z_1^* < c \quad \text{or} \quad z_2 < a, z_2^* > d$$

must be true. If not,  $z_1 < a$ ,  $z_1^* > c$  and  $z_2 > b$ ,  $z_2^* < d$ . Since  $z_1 < a \leq b < z_2$ , we have

$$(2.5) \quad c < z_1^* \leq z_2^* < d$$

by (1.1). Applying Minty's theorem [3] again to the domain  $D(M)$ , we know that  $D(M)$  contains  $[z_1, z_2]$ , hence contains  $[a, b] = Q_1$ . Moreover,

$$(2.6) \quad M(q) \subset [z_1^*, z_2^*] \subset [c, d] = Q_2 \quad \text{for all } q \in Q_1.$$

(The first inclusion comes from (1.1) and the second one from (2.5).) But the relation (2.6) obviously contradicts the assumption  $(Q_1 \times Q_2) \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$ . Thus (2.4) is true. Each case of the two possibilities in (2.4) clearly implies the theorem  $\square$

REMARK. As mentioned in the introduction, Theorem 2 answers in the one dimensional case another question posed by Simons [9, Remarks and Problems, p.1387] for general Banach space.

THEOREM 3. *Let  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  be maximal monotone. Then  $M$  is cyclically monotone, hence is the subdifferential of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function.*

*Proof.* If  $D(M)$  is a singleton  $\{a\}$ ,  $M$  is represented by

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} (-\infty, \infty) & \text{if } x = a \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In this case,  $M$  is clearly cyclically monotone, and the subdifferential of the proper convex lower semicontinuous function  $\psi : R \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$  defined by

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } x = a \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $k$  is a real number. Now we assume that  $D(M)$  contains at least two points. Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in R, x_n = x_0$ , and  $x_k^* \in M(x_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since the  $x_k$ s are not necessarily distinct, it may be true that for some  $0 \leq i < j \leq n, x_i = x_j$  and  $x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j$  are distinct. In this case,  $x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_j$  is called a *cycle*. We put  $S_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k^* \rangle$ . Then  $S_0 = S_1 + R_1$  where  $S_1 = \sum_{k=i+1}^j \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k^* \rangle$  and  $R_1$  is the remainder part. Observe that  $R_1$  is the same type of summation as  $S_0$  except  $R_1$  may be a cycle. Anyway, by recursion, we have

$$S_0 = \sum_{k=1}^m S_k$$

where each  $S_k$  is the corresponding sum of a cycle. So we may assume without loss of generality that  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n$  is a cycle. Then we can

select a monotone function  $f : D(M) \rightarrow R$  such that  $f(x) \in M(x)$  for all  $x \in D(M)$ , and  $f(x_k) = x_k^*$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $D(M)$  is an interval,  $f$  is integrable on  $D(M)$ . For each  $k$ , we have

$$f(x_k)(x_k - x_{k-1}) \geq \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} f(t)dt$$

since  $f$  is monotone. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_k - x_{k-1}, x_k^* \rangle &= \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k)(x_k - x_{k-1}) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{x_{k-1}}^{x_k} f(t)dt \\ &= \int_{x_0}^{x_0} f(t)dt \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The second part of the theorem directly follows from Rockafellar's result [6, Theorem B].  $\square$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3, we get the following.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  be monotone. Then  $M$  is cyclically monotone.*

*Proof.* By Zorn's lemma,  $M$  can be extended to a maximal monotone operator  $\overline{M}$ . It follows from Theorem 3 that  $\overline{M}$  is cyclically monotone, hence  $M$  is cyclically monotone.

**REMARKS.** 1. Theorem 3 implies that there is only one kind of maximal monotone operators, namely, the subdifferential of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function in  $R$ . However, Theorem 3 does not hold even in  $R^2$ . As shown in Phelps [5, Examples 2.21, p.26], the linear map in  $R^2$  defined by  $T(x_1, x_2) = (x_2, -x_1)$  is maximal monotone, but not cyclically monotone.

2. Due to Theorem 3, we observe that Simons' result [9, Theorem 6.1] already contains the answer to his own question [9, Remarks and Problems, p.1387] in the one dimensional case. To get the result in general Banach spaces, Simons used the Ekeland variational principle [2] and Mazur-Orlicz version of the Hahn-Banach theorem.

### 3. Further developments

We begin with the following result which holds for general Banach spaces.

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $f$  and  $g$  be proper convex lower semicontinuous functions on a Banach space  $E$  such that  $D(\partial g) = E$ . Let  $Q$  be a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of  $E$ . If for each  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(\partial f)$ , there exists  $(q, q^*) \in Gr(-\partial g)$  such that*

$$q \in Q \quad \text{and} \quad \langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then

$$(Q \times E^*) \cap Gr(\partial f) \cap Gr(-\partial g) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Let  $(x, x^*) \in Gr(\partial f + \partial g)$ . Then  $x^* = u^* + v^*$ , where  $(x, u^*) \in Gr(\partial f)$  and  $(x, v^*) \in Gr(\partial g)$ . By assumption there exists  $(q, q^*) \in Gr(-\partial g)$  such that

$$(4.1) \quad q \in Q \quad \text{and} \quad \langle x - q, u^* - q^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

Since  $(x, v^*)$ ,  $(q, -q^*) \in Gr(\partial g)$ , we have

$$\langle x - q, v^* + q^* \rangle = \langle x - q, v^* - (-q^*) \rangle \geq 0.$$

Combining with (4.1), we obtain

$$\langle x - q, x^* \rangle = \langle x - q, u^* + v^* \rangle = \langle x - q, u^* - q^* \rangle + \langle x - q, v^* + q^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

So we have proved: for  $(x, x^*) \in Gr(\partial f + \partial g)$ , there exists  $q \in Q$  such that  $\langle x - q, x^* \rangle \geq 0$ .

From the sum formula [5, Theorem 3.16, p.47],  $\partial(f + g) = \partial f + \partial g$ . Now apply Simons' theorem [9, Theorem 6.1] to the subdifferential  $\partial(f + g) = \partial f + \partial g$  (with  $q^* = 0$ ): there exists  $q \in Q$  such that  $(q, 0) \in Gr(\partial(f + g))$ . This gives the desired result.  $\square$

Actually, we can recover Simons' theorem [9, Theorem 6.1] from Proposition 4, which implies that two results are equivalent. For the sake of completeness, we state and prove Simons' theorem.

**THEOREM.** (Simons [9, Theorem 6.1]) *Let  $f : E \rightarrow R \cup \{\infty\}$  be a proper convex lower semicontinuous function, and  $\partial f$  be the subdifferential of  $f$ . If  $Q$  is a nonempty weakly compact convex subset of  $E$ ,  $q^* \in E^*$  and, for all  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(\partial f)$ , there exists  $q \in Q$  such that  $\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle \geq 0$  then*

$$(Q \times \{q^*\}) \cap Gr(\partial f) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Take the function  $g \equiv -q^*$  in Proposition 4. Then it follows from the assumption that for each  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(\partial f)$ , there exists  $(q, q^*) \in Gr(-\partial g)$  such that

$$q \in Q \quad \text{and} \quad \langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle \geq 0$$

because  $-\partial g(x) = q^*$  for every  $x \in E$ . By Proposition 4

$$(Q \times \{q^*\}) \cap Gr(\partial f) = (Q \times E^*) \cap Gr(\partial f) \cap Gr(-\partial g) \neq \emptyset,$$

which completes the proof. □

In one dimensional case, we can rewrite Proposition 4 as follows.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $M$  and  $N : R \rightarrow 2^R$  be maximal monotone operators such that  $D(N) = R$ . Let  $Q$  be a nonempty compact convex subset of  $R$ . If for each  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$ , there exists  $(q, q^*) \in Gr(-N)$  such that*

$$q \in Q \quad \text{and} \quad \langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

Then

$$(Q \times R) \cap Gr(M) \cap Gr(-N) \neq \emptyset.$$

*Proof.* Directly from Theorem 3. □

As a special case of Theorem 5, we have the following.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  be maximal monotone, and  $L$  be a line segment joining two points  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  in  $R^2$  whose slope  $(d - b)/(c - a)$  is less than 0. Assume that  $L \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$ . Then there exists  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that*

$$\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle < 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad (q, q^*) \in L.$$



*Proof.* Taking  $-N$  the straight line through two points  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  in Theorem 5, we get the result.  $\square$

LEMMA 6. *Let  $L$  be a line segment joining two points  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  in  $R^2$  whose slope  $(d - b)/(c - a)$  is real and greater than 0. (We may assume  $a < c$ .) Then there exists a maximal monotone operator  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  such that  $L \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$  and any point  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$  does not satisfy the inequality*

$$\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle < 0 \text{ for all } (q, q^*) \in L.$$

*Proof.* Define  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  by

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{d-b}{c-a}(x - a) + d & \text{if } 2a - c < x < a \\ (-\infty, b] & \text{if } x = 2a - c \\ [d, \infty) & \text{if } x = c \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $M$  is a desired maximal monotone operator.  $\square$

REMARK. We can take another  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  as follows;

$$M(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{d-b}{c-a}(x - c) + b & \text{if } c < x < 2c - a \\ (-\infty, b] & \text{if } x = c \\ [d, \infty) & \text{if } x = 2c - a \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining Theorem 1, the Corollary of Theorem 5 and Lemma 6, we have the following.

THEOREM 7. *Let  $L$  be a line segment joining two points  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  in  $R^2$ . The slope of  $L$  is  $\leq 0$  if and only if for each maximal monotone operator  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  with  $L \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$ , there exists  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that*

$$\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle < 0 \text{ for all } (q, q^*) \in L.$$

As a direct consequence of Lemma 6 and the remark following, we get the following.

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $P$  be the convex hull of a finite subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  in  $R^2$ . (We may assume that each  $x_i$  is an extreme point of  $P$  and the set of line segments  $\{\overline{x_i x_{i+1}}\}_{i=1}^n$  consist of the edges of  $P$ . Here,  $x_{n+1} = x_1$ .) If we have at least one  $1 \leq i \leq n$  such that the slope of  $\overline{x_i x_{i+1}}$  is real and greater than 0, then there exists a maximal monotone operator  $M : R \rightarrow 2^R$  such that  $P \cap Gr(M) = \emptyset$  and for any  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$ ,*

$$\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle < 0 \text{ for all } (q, q^*) \in P$$

*is not true.*

**REMARK.** Theorem 8 tells us that the conclusion “there exists  $(z, z^*) \in Gr(M)$  such that

$$\langle z - q, z^* - q^* \rangle < 0 \text{ for all } (q, q^*) \in P.”$$

does depend on the shape of the given compact convex set  $P$ . Hence it is not unreasonable to guess that Simons’ question [9] for general maximal monotone operators on a Banach space may have a negative answer.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** The author expresses deep thanks to Professor S. Simons for his valuable comments and suggestions. In particular, Proposition 4 was suggested by Professor S. Simons.

## References

- [1] J. M. Borwein, *A note on  $\epsilon$ -subgradients and maximal monotonicity*, Pacific J. Math. **103** (1982), 307-314.
- [2] I. Ekeland, *Nonconvex minimization problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1979), 443-474.
- [3] G. J. Minty, *On the maximal domain of a monotone function*, Michigan Math. J. **8** (1961), 135-137.
- [4] G. J. Minty, *Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **29** (1962), 341-346.
- [5] R. R. Phelps, *Convex Functions, Monotone Operators and Differentiability*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1364, Springer-Verlag, Second Edition, 1993.
- [6] R. T. Rockafellar, *On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings*, Pacific J. Math. **33** (1970), 209-216.
- [7] R. T. Rockafellar, *Convex Analysis*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.

- [8] S. Simons, *Subdifferentials are locally maximal monotone*, Bull. Australian Math. Soc. **47** (1993), 465-471.
- [9] S. Simons, *Subtangents with controlled slope*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA **22** (1994), 1373-1389.
- [10] S. Simons, *Swimming below icebergs*, Set-Valued Analysis **2** (1994), 327-337.
- [11] P. D. Taylor, *Subgradients of a convex function obtained from a directional derivative*, Pacific J. Math. **44** (1973), 739-747.

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Korea Maritime University  
Pusan 606-791, Korea  
*E-mail:* kum@hanara.kmaritime.ac.kr