ON REAL HYPERSURFACES OF A COMPLEX HYPERBOLIC SPACE

EUN-HEE KANG AND U-HANG KI

0. Introduction

An n-dimensional complex space form $M_n(c)$ is a Kaehlerian manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c. As is well known, complete and simply connected complex space forms are a complex projective space P_nC , a complex Euclidean space C_n or a complex hyperbolic space H_nC according as c>0, c=0 or c<0.

Let M be a real hypersurfaces of $M_n(c), c \neq 0$. Then M has an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) induced from the Kaehlerian metric and complex structure J of $M_n(c)$. The structure vector ξ is said to be principal if $A\xi = \alpha \xi$, where A is the shape operator in the direction of the unit normal C and $\alpha = \eta(A\xi)$. We denote by ∇ and S, the Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Riemannian metric tensor g and the Ricci tensor of type (1,1) on M respectively. Takagi ([12]) classified all homogeneous real hypersurfaces of P_nC as six model spaces which are said to be A_1, A_2, B, C, D and E, and Cecil-Ryan ([3]) and Kimura ([6]) proved that they are realized as the tubes of constant radius over Kaehlerian submanifolds. Also Berndt ([1],[2]) showed that all real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures of a complex hyperbolic space H_nC are realized as the tubes of constant radius over certain submanifolds when the structure vector ξ is principal. Nowadays in H_nC they are said to be of type A_0, A_1, A_2 and B.

Received August 28, 1996.

¹⁹⁹¹ Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C45.

Key words and phrases: Real hypersurfaces, Ricci tensor, Lie derivative, principal curvature vector.

Supported by TGRC-KOSEF and BSRI-97-1404.

Under certain conditions for the Ricci tensor of M, real hypersurfaces of a complex space form were studied by many geometers [4], [5], [7], [8], [9], [10] etc. In the present paper, we study real hypersurfaces of a complex space form $M_n(c), c \neq 0$ which satisfy $L_{\xi}S = 0$, where L_{ξ} is the Lie derivative in the direction of the structure vector ξ . It is remarkable that the condition $L_{\xi}S = 0$ in a real hypersurface of $M_n(c), c \neq 0$ implies the following equation:

$$||S\phi - \phi S||^2 + \frac{3}{2}c||\nabla_{\xi}\xi||^2 = 0$$

(See (2.4) in section 2 or [8]). Furthermore, Kimura and Maeda ([8]) proved a local classification theorem for real hypersurfaces of P_nC which satisfy $L_{\xi}S = 0$. On the other hand, for real hypersurfaces of H_nC we proved ([4]) that

THEOREM A. Let M be a (2n-1)-dimensional real hypersurface of H_nC , $n \geq 3$. If the structure vector ξ is principal and M satisfies $L_{\xi}S = 0$, then M is congruent to one of the following spaces:

- (A_0) a horosphere in H_nC , i.e., a Montiel tube,
- (A_1) a tube of a totally geodesic hyperplane $H_kC(k=0 \text{ or } n-1)$,
- (A_2) a tube of a totally geodesic $H_kC(1 \le k \le n-2)$.

The main purpose of the present paper is to improve the above theorem. More specifically we prove

THEOREM. Let M be a real hypersurface of H_nC . If it satisfies $L_{\xi}S = 0$ and $S\xi = \sigma\xi$ for some function σ on M, then ξ is principal.

1. Preliminaries

Let M be a real hypersurface of a complex n-dimensional complex space form $M_n(c)$ of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, and let C be a unit normal vector field on a neighborhood of a point x in M. We denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Riemannian connection in $M_n(c)$ and in M respectively. Then by the Gauss formula, we have the relationship between $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ : For any vector fields X and Y on M

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + g(AX, Y)C,$$

where g is the Riemannian metric tensor of M induced from that of $M_n(c)$ and A denotes the shape operator with respect to C of M in $M_n(c)$. Furthermore, we have another equation which is called the Weingarten formula:

$$\bar{\nabla}_X C = -AX.$$

For any local vector field X on a neighborhood of x in M, the transformations of X and C under the complex structure J in $M_n(c)$ can be given by

$$JX = \phi X + \eta(X)C$$
, $JC = -\xi$,

where ϕ defines a skew-symmetric transformation on the tangent bundle TM of M, where η and ξ denote a 1-form and a vector field on a neighborhood of x in M respectively. Then it is seen that $g(\xi, X) = \eta(X)$. The set of tensors (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is called an almost contact metric structure on M. They satisfy the following

$$\phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi$$
, $\phi \xi = 0$, $\eta(\phi X) = 0$, $\eta(\xi) = 1$,

where I denotes the identity transformation and \otimes denotes the tensor product.

Furthermore the covariant derivatives of the structure tensors are given by

$$(1.1) \qquad (\nabla_X \phi) Y = \eta(Y) A X - g(AX, Y) \xi, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi A X.$$

Since the ambient space is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature c, equations of the Gauss and Codazzı́ are respectively given as follows;

$$(1.2) \\ R(X,Y)Z = c\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y + g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X - g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y \\ - 2g(\phi X,Y)\phi Z\}/4 + g(AY,Z)AX - g(AX,Z)AY,$$

$$(1.3) (\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_Y A)X = c\{\eta(X)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi X - 2g(\phi X, Y)\xi\}/4,$$

where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M and $\nabla_X A$ denotes the covariant derivative of the shape operator A with respect to X.

The Ricci tensor S' of M is a tensor of type (0,2) given by $S'(X,Y) = tr\{Z \to R(Z,X)Y\}$. Also it may be regarded as the tensor of type (1,1) and denoted by $S:TM \to TM$; it satisfies S'(X,Y) = g(SX,Y). From (1.3) we see that the Ricci tensor S of M is given by

(1.4)
$$S = c\{(2n+1)I - 3\eta \otimes \xi\}/4 + hA - A^2,$$

where we have put h = trA. Moreover, using (1.2) we get

(1.5)

$$(\nabla_X S)Y = -3c\{g(\phi AX, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\phi AX\}/4$$
$$+dh(X)AY + (hI - A)(\nabla_X A)Y - (\nabla_X A)AY,$$

where d denotes the exterior differential.

In what follows, to write our formulas in convention forms, we denote $\alpha = g(A\xi, \xi)$, $\beta = g(A^2\xi, \xi)$ and ∇f by the gradient vector field of a function f. If we put $U = \nabla_{\xi}\xi$, then U is orthogonal to the structure vector ξ . Because of properties of the almost contact metric structure and the second equation of (1.1), we can get

$$\phi U = -A\xi + \alpha \xi,$$

which shows that $g(U, U) = \beta - \alpha^2$. By the definition of U and the second equation of (1.1), we easily see that

$$(1.7) g(\nabla_X \xi, U) = g(A^2 \xi, X) - \alpha g(A \xi, X).$$

On the other hand, differentiating (1.6) covariantly and making use of (1.1), we find

(1.8)

$$\eta(X)g(AU,Y) + g(\phi X, \nabla_Y U) = g((\nabla_Y A)X, \xi) - g(A\phi AX, Y) - \eta(X)g(\nabla \alpha, Y) + \alpha g(A\phi X, Y),$$

which enable us to obtain

$$(1.9) g((\nabla_X A)\xi, \xi) = 2g(AX, U) + g(\nabla \alpha, X).$$

By the definition of U, (1.1), (1.8) and (1.9) it is verified that

(1.10)
$$\nabla_{\xi} U = 3\phi A U + \alpha A \xi - \beta \xi + \phi \nabla \alpha.$$

2. Real hypersurfaces of H_nC satisfying $L_{\xi}S=0$

In the sequel we assume that the Ricci tensor S satisfies

$$L_{\xi}S=0,$$

where L_{ξ} denotes the Lie derivative with respect to the structure vector ξ . By definition we have

$$L_{\xi}S(X) = L_{\xi}(SX) - SL_{\xi}X$$

for any vector field X on M and hence using (1.1) we obtain

(2.1)
$$\nabla_{\xi} S = \phi A S - S \phi A.$$

Thus it follows that we get

$$(2.2) (A\phi - \phi A)S = S(A\phi - \phi A).$$

From (1.4) we have

(2.3)
$$S\phi - \phi S = h(A\phi - \phi A) - A^2\phi + \phi A^2.$$

Using the last two equations, it is seen that

$$(A\phi - \phi A)(S\phi - \phi S) = 0.$$

Thus, by applying $A\phi$ to (2.2), then we have

(2.4)
$$||S\phi - \phi S||^2 + \frac{3}{2}c||\nabla_{\xi}\xi||^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, if c > 0 , then we have $S\phi = \phi S$ and $A\xi = \alpha \xi$ (cf [8]).

Let M be a real hypersurface of H_nC of constant holomorphic sectional curvature -4. Now, suppose that

$$(2.5) S\xi = \sigma \xi$$

for some function σ . Then by (1.4) we have

$$(2.6) A^2 \xi = hA \xi + (\beta - h\alpha) \xi,$$

where we put

$$(2.7) \beta - h\alpha = -\sigma - 2(n-1).$$

Differentiating (2.5) covariantly along M, we find

$$(\nabla_X S)\xi + S\nabla_X \xi = (X\sigma)\xi + \sigma\nabla_X \xi.$$

Since we can, using (2.1) and (2.5), see that $(\nabla_{\xi} S)\xi = 0$, if we replace X by ξ , then we obtain

$$SU = d\sigma(\xi)\xi + \sigma U.$$

On the other hand, applying ξ to the both sides of (2.2), and making use of the second equation of (1.1) and (2.5), we obtain $SU = \sigma U$ and hence

(2.8)
$$d\sigma(\xi) = 0, i.e., d(\beta - h\alpha)(\xi) = 0$$

Thus it follows that we have

$$(2.9) hAU - A^2U = (h\alpha - \beta + 3)U,$$

where we have used (1.4) and (2.7).

We put $A\xi = \alpha \xi + \mu W$, where W is a unit vector field orthogonal to ξ . Then from (1.6) we see that $U = \mu \phi W$, and W is also orthogonal to U. We assume that $\mu \neq 0$ on M, that is, ξ is not a principal curvature vector and we put $\Omega = \{p \in M | \mu(p) \neq 0\}$. Then Ω is an open subset of M and from now on we discuss our arguments on Ω . Making use of (2.6), we find

(2.10)
$$\mu AW = (h - \alpha)A\xi + (\beta - \alpha h)\xi$$

On real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space

and hence

$$A^2W - hAW = (\beta - \alpha h)W$$

because of $\mu \neq 0$. From this and (1.4), it follows that we get

(2.11)
$$SW = -\{2n + 1 + \beta - \alpha h\}W.$$

If we apply W to the both sides of (2.2) and take account of (1.1), (2.10) and (2.11), then we obtain

$$(2n+1+\beta-\alpha h)\{AU-(h-\alpha)U\}=(h-\alpha)SU-SAU,$$

which together with (1.4) and (2.9) implies that

$$(2.12) AU = (h - \alpha)U.$$

Accordingly (2.9) means that

(2.13)
$$g(U, U) = \beta - \alpha^2 = 3.$$

Therefore, (2.6) turns out to be

$$A^{2}\xi = hA\xi + (\alpha^{2} - h\alpha + 3)\xi.$$

Differentiating this covariantly along Ω and using the second equation of (1.1), we find

$$(2.14)$$

$$(\nabla_X A)A\xi + A(\nabla_X A)\xi + A^2 \phi AX - hA\phi AX$$

$$= dh(X)A\xi + h(\nabla_X A)\xi + d(\alpha^2 - h\alpha)(X)\xi + (\alpha^2 - h\alpha + 3)\phi A.$$

From (2.14), using (1.9) and (2.12) we obtain

$$(\nabla_{\xi} A)A\xi = U + \alpha \nabla \alpha + h(h - \alpha)U.$$

Replacing X by ξ , we also have from (2.14)

$$(\nabla_{\xi} A)A\xi - 3\alpha(h - \alpha)U + A\nabla\alpha = dh(\xi)A\xi + h\nabla\alpha + (\alpha^2 - h\alpha + 3)U,$$

Eun-Hee Kang and U-Hang Ki

where we have used (1.3), (2.7), (2.8) and (2.12). Combining the last two equations, it follows that

$$(2.15) dh(\xi)A\xi = A\nabla\alpha - (h-\alpha)\nabla\alpha + (h^2 - 3\alpha h + 2\alpha^2 - 2)U,$$

which enable us to obtain

$$(2.16) h^2 - 3\alpha h + 2\alpha^2 = 2$$

because of $g(A\xi, U) = 0$ and (2.12). Thus it is seen that

$$(2h - 3\alpha)\nabla h + (4\alpha - 3h)\nabla \alpha = 0,$$

which shows that

$$(2h - 3\alpha)dh(\xi) + (4\alpha - 3h)d\alpha(\xi) = 0.$$

On the other hand, because of (2.8) and (2.13), we obtain

$$(2\alpha - h)d\alpha(\xi) - \alpha dh(\xi) = 0.$$

From the last two equations, we have $(h - \alpha)d\alpha(\xi) = 0$ and hence $d\alpha(\xi) = 0$ by virtue of (2.16). Therefore we have

$$(2.17) dh(\xi) = 0.$$

In facts, suppose that Ω_1 be the set of points at which $dh(\xi) \neq 0$ in Ω and Ω_1 is not empty. Then we have $\alpha = 0$ and consequently $A\xi = 0$ in Ω_1 because of (2.15) and (2.16). This is impossible in Ω .

3. Proof of Theorem

Let M be a real hypersurface of H_nC satisfying $L_{\xi}S=0$ and $S\xi=\sigma\xi$. Then we have $SU=\sigma U$ and hence

$$g((S\phi - \phi S)U, W) = 3\mu,$$

On real hypersurfaces of a complex hyperbolic space

where we have used (2.7) and (2.11). Therefore we see, using (2.4) with c = -4, that

$$||S\phi - \phi S - \mu(u \otimes W + w \otimes U)||^2 = 0,$$

where we have defined u(X) = g(X, U) and w(X) = g(X, W). Accordingly we have

$$(3.1) (S\phi - \phi S)X = g(\phi X, U)U - g(X, U)\phi U.$$

Using (1.4), it can be rewritten as

$$(3.2) (hA - A^2)\phi X - \phi (hA - A^2)X = TX,$$

where we have put

(3.3)
$$TX = g(\phi X, U)U - g(X, U)\phi U.$$

Because of (1.7) and (2.13), we have

$$g(\nabla_X \xi, U) = (h - \alpha)g(A\xi, X) + (\alpha^2 + 3 - h\alpha)\eta(X).$$

Thus, if we take account of (1.6), (2.12) and (3.1), then we obtain

$$S\phi A = \phi SA + (h - \alpha)T + 3\eta \otimes U.$$

Thus (2.1) turns out to be

(3.4)
$$\nabla_{\xi} S + (h - \alpha)T = 0.$$

On the other hand, by (1.5) and (2.17) we have

$$(\nabla_{\xi}S)X = 3u(X)\xi + \eta(X)U + h(\nabla_{\xi}A)X - A(\nabla_{\xi}A)X - (\nabla_{\xi}A)AX.$$

Hence (3.4) becomes

(3.5)

$$h(\nabla_{\xi}A)X - A(\nabla_{\xi}A)X = (\nabla_{\xi}A)AX - (h-\alpha)TX - 3\{\eta(X)U + u(X)\xi\},\$$

or using the Codazzi equation (1.3),

$$h(\nabla_X A)\xi - A(\nabla_X A)\xi - h\phi X + A\phi X$$

= $(\nabla_\xi A)AX - (h - \alpha)TX - 3\{\eta(X)U + u(X)\xi\}.$

Combining this with (2.14), it follows that we obtain

$$(\nabla_X A)A\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)AX$$

$$= -A^2 \phi AX + hA\phi AX + dh(X)A\xi + d(\alpha^2 - h\alpha)(X)\xi$$

$$(3.6) + (\alpha^2 - h\alpha + 3)\phi AX + h\phi X - A\phi X - (h - \alpha)TX$$

$$-3\{\eta(X)U + u(X)\xi\}.$$

By differentiating (3.2) covariantly along Ω and using (1.1) and (1.3), we find

$$(\nabla_X T)Y - (\nabla_Y T)X$$

$$= dh(Y)\phi AX - dh(X)\phi AY - h\{\eta(X)(Y - \eta(Y)\xi)\}$$

$$- \eta(Y)(X - \eta(X)\xi)\} - \eta(X)\phi A\phi Y + \eta(Y)\phi A\phi X$$

$$+ 2g(\phi X, Y)U + \phi(\nabla_X A)AY - \phi(\nabla_Y A)AX$$

$$+ dh(X)A\phi Y + h(\nabla_X A)\phi Y - (\nabla_X A)A\phi Y - A(\nabla_X A)\phi Y$$

$$- dh(Y)A\phi X - h(\nabla_Y A)\phi X + (\nabla_Y A)A\phi X + A(\nabla_Y A)\phi X$$

$$+ (h\alpha - \beta)\{\eta(X)AY - \eta(Y)AX\} + \eta(Y)(hA^2X - A^3X)$$

$$- \eta(X)(hA^2Y - A^3Y).$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in above equation, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X T)\xi - (\nabla_\xi T)X$$

$$= -dh(X)U + h(X - \eta(X)\xi) + hA^2X - A^3X$$

$$+ (\alpha^2 + 3 - h\alpha)AX + \phi A\phi X + \phi((\nabla_X A)A\xi - (\nabla_\xi A)AX)$$

$$- \{h(\nabla_\xi A)\phi X - (\nabla_\xi A)A\phi X - A(\nabla_\xi A)\phi X\},$$

where we have used (2.6), (2.13) and (2.17). If we substitute (3.5) and (3.6) into the last equation, then we find

(3.7)
$$(\nabla_X T)\xi - (\nabla_\xi T)X = hA^2X - A^3X - \phi A^2\phi AX + h\phi A\phi AX + (\alpha^2 + 3 - h\alpha)\eta(AX)\xi - 2(h - \alpha)\{u(X)U + g(\phi X, U)\phi U\}$$

because of (3.3). Putting X = U in (3.7) and making use of (2.6) and (2.12), we get

$$(3.8) \qquad (\nabla_U T)\xi - (\nabla_\xi T)U = -3(h - \alpha)U.$$

Using the same method as that used to derive (3.8) from (3.2), we can derive from (3.3) the following:

$$(\nabla_U T)\xi - (\nabla_{\xi} T)U = 3(h - \alpha)U + d\alpha(U)U - 3\nabla\alpha,$$

where we have used (1.1), (1.6) and (1.10). From this and (3.8) it follows that we obtain

$$abla lpha = rac{1}{3} dlpha(U) U + 2(h-lpha) U,$$

which together with (2.13) gives $h = \alpha$ in Ω . Thus, by (2.16) it is contradictory. Hence we conclude that Ω is empty. It completes the proof of main theorem.

References

- [1] J. Berndt, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex hyperbolic space, J. Reine Angew. Math. 395 (1989), 132-141.
- [2] _____, Real hypersurfaces with constant principal curvatures in complex space forms, Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds II, Avignon (1988), 10-19; (1990), World Scientific.
- [3] T. E. Cecil and P. J. Ryan, Focal sets and real hypersurfaces in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 269 (1982), 481-499.
- [4] U-H. Ki and N.-G. Kim and S.-B. Lee, On certain real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, J. Korean Math. Soc. 29 (1992), 63-77.
- [5] U-H. Ki and Y. J. Suh, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, Math. J. Okayama 32 (1990), 207-221.
- [6] M. Kimura, Real hypersurfaces and complex submanifolds in complex projective space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 296 (1986), 137-149.
- [7] _____, Some real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Saitama Math. J. 5 (1987), 1-5.
- [8] M. Kimura and S. Maeda, Lie derivatives on real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space, Czechoslovak Math. J. 45 (1995), 1229-1235.
- [9] J. H. Kwon and H. Nakagawa, Real hypersurfaces with cyclic-parallel Ricci tensor of a complex projective space, Hokkaido Math. J. 17 (1988), 355-371.

Eun-Hee Kang and U-Hang Ki

- [10] Y. J. Suh, On real hypersurfaces of a complex space form, Tsukuba J. Math. 14 (1990), 27-37.
- [11] R. Takagi, On homogeneous real hypersurfaces of a complex projective space, Osaka J. Math. 10 (1973), 495-506.
- [12] _____, Real hypersurfaces in a complex projective space with constant principal curvatures I, II, J. Math. Soc. Japan 27 (1975), 43-53; 507-516.
- [13] K. Yano and M. Kon, CR submanifolds of Kaehlerian and Sasakian manifolds, Birkhäuser, (1983).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KYUNGPOOK UNIVERSITY, TAEGU 702-701, KOREA