

## APPLICATIONS OF RUSCHEWEYH DERIVATIVES

S. K. LEE, O. S. KWON AND N. E. CHO

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}(n)$  denote the class of the functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

which are analytic in the unit disk  $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ [8,9].

An univalent function  $f(z)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{A}(n)$  is said to be starlike of order  $\gamma$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , if it satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) > \gamma$$

for all  $z \in U$ . We denote this class by  $\mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma)$ .

An univalent function  $f(z)$  belonging to  $\mathcal{A}(n)$  is called convex of order  $\gamma$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) > \gamma$$

for all  $z \in U$ . We denote this class by  $\mathcal{C}(n, \gamma)$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma)$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . Then we define  $f \in \mathcal{K}(n, \beta, \gamma)$  if and only if

$$(1.4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z f'(z)}{g(z)} \right) > \beta,$$

---

Received Apr. 11, 1997.

The first author was supported in part by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Korea, 1996, Project No. BSRI-96-1411

where  $0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . Such functions are called close-to-convex functions of order  $\beta$  type  $\gamma$ .

Let  $f \in A(n)$  and  $g \in \mathcal{C}(n, \gamma)$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ . Then we define  $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(n, \beta, \gamma)$  if and only if

$$(1.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right) > \beta,$$

where  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ .

Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k$  and  $g(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k$  in  $A(n)$ . Then the Hadamard product(or convolution)  $f * g(z)$  of  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  is defined by

$$(1.6) \quad f * g(z) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k b_k z^k \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \dots).$$

By using the Hadamard product, we define, for  $\alpha \geq -1$ ,

$$(1.7) \quad D^\alpha f(z) = \frac{z}{(1-z)^{1+\alpha}} * f(z)$$

for  $f \in \mathcal{A}(n)$ ,  $D^\alpha f(z)$  is called the Ruscheweyh derivative and was introduced by Ruscheweyh[11].

We easily note that, for  $\alpha \geq -1$ ,

$$(1.8) \quad D^\alpha (zf'(z)) = z(D^\alpha f(z))'.$$

## 2. Main results

In proving our results, we shall need the following lemmas due to Miller and Mocanu [5,6], and Fukui and Sakaguchi [2].

LEMMA 2.1 [5, 6]. Let  $\psi(u, v)$  be a complex function,

$$\psi : D \longrightarrow C, \quad D \subset C \times C, \quad \text{where } C \text{ is a complex plane,}$$

and let  $u = u_1 + iu_2$  and  $v = v_1 + iv_2$ . Suppose that the function  $\psi(u, v)$  satisfies the following conditions :

i)  $\psi(u, v)$  is continuous in  $D$ ,

ii)  $(1, 0) \in D$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(1, 0)\} > 0$ ,

iii)  $\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(iu_2, v_1)\} \leq 0$  for all  $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$  with  $v_1 \leq -n(1 + u_2^2)/2$ .

Let  $p(z) = 1 + p_n z^n + p_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  be analytic in the unit disk  $U$  such that  $(p(z), z'p(z)) \in D$  for all  $z \in U$ . If  $\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z))\} > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ), then  $\operatorname{Re}\{p(z)\} > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ).

LEMMA 2.2 [2]. For a real number  $\alpha (\alpha > -1)$ , we have

$$z(D^\alpha f(z))' = (\alpha + 1)D^{\alpha+1}f(z) - \alpha D^\alpha f(z).$$

Now we consider the new classes:

$$\mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(n) : D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma), \alpha \geq -1\}.$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha(n, \gamma) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(n) : D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{C}(n, \gamma), \alpha \geq -1\}.$$

$$\mathcal{K}_\alpha(n, \beta, \gamma) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(n) : D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{K}(n, \beta, \gamma), \alpha \geq -1\}.$$

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \beta, \gamma) = \{f \in \mathcal{A}(n) : D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{C}^*(n, \beta, \gamma), \alpha \geq -1\}.$$

Above all classes is equal to the classes of Noor[7] when  $n = 1$ , respectively.

We study some properties of these classes and an integral operator for these classes.

Applying the above Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we get  $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha+1}^*(n, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$ .

*Proof.* Let us define the function  $h(z)$  by

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} = \gamma + (1 - \gamma)h(z),$$

where  $h(z) = 1 + c_n z^n + c_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots$  is analytic in  $U$ . Hence, from Lemma 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{D^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{D^\alpha f(z)} &= \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \left( \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} + \alpha \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} ((1 - \gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$(2.2) \quad D^{\alpha+1}f(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} ((1 - \gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha) D^\alpha f(z).$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.2) logarithmically and multiplying  $z$  to both sides of that equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1}f(z)} &= \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} + \frac{(1-\gamma)zh'(z)}{(1-\gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha} \\ &= (1-\gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \frac{(1-\gamma)zh'(z)}{(1-\gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $f \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha+1}^*(n, \gamma)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left( (1-\gamma)h(z) + \frac{(1-\gamma)zh'(z)}{(1-\gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha} \right) \\ (2.3) \quad &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1}f(z)} - \gamma \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Defining the function  $\psi(u, v)$  by

$$(2.4) \quad \psi(u, v) = (1-\gamma)u + \frac{(1-\gamma)v}{(1-\gamma)u + \gamma + \alpha}$$

where  $u = h(z)$  and  $v = zh'(z)$ , we have

- i)  $\psi(u, v)$  is continuous in  $D = \left( C - \left\{ \frac{\gamma+\alpha}{\gamma-1} \right\} \right) \times C$ ,
- ii)  $(1, 0) \in D$  and  $\operatorname{Re}\psi(1, 0) = 1 - \gamma > 0$ ,
- iii) for all  $(iu_2, v_1)$  such that  $v_1 \leq -n(1 + u_2^2)/2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\psi(iu_2, v_1) &= \frac{(1-\gamma)v_1(\gamma + \alpha)}{(\gamma + \alpha)^2 + (1-\gamma)^2u_2^2} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \frac{(1-\gamma)n(1 + u_2^2)(\gamma + \alpha)}{(\gamma + \alpha)^2 + (1-\gamma)^2u_2^2} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the function  $\psi(u, v)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.1. This implies that  $\operatorname{Re}(h(z)) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ), which is equivalent to

$$(2.5) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha f(z)} \right) > \gamma \quad (z \in U).$$

Hence  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4.** For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we get  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha+1}(n, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{C}_\alpha(n, \gamma)$ .

*Proof.* We easily note that

$$(2.6) \quad f \in \mathcal{C}(n, \gamma) \text{ if and only if } zf' \in \mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma).$$

By Theorem 2.3 and (2.6), we have that

$$D^{\alpha+1}(zf'(z)) = z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))' \in \mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma)$$

if  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha+1}(n, \gamma)$ . Thus

$$zf' \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha+1}^*(n, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma).$$

Hence

$$z(D^\alpha f(z))' = D^\alpha(zf'(z)) \in \mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma).$$

Therefore  $f \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha(n, \gamma)$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}(n, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{K}_\alpha(n, \beta, \gamma).$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $f \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}(n, \beta, \gamma)$ . Then there exists a function  $k \in \mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma)$  such that

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{k(z)} \right) > \beta.$$

Letting  $k(z) = D^{\alpha+1}g(z)$ , we have  $g \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha+1}^*(n, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$ , by Theorem 2.3. Hence we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z(D^\alpha g(z))'}{D^\alpha g(z)} \right) > \gamma$$

or

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1}g(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1}g(z)} = (1 - \gamma)h(z) + \gamma,$$

where  $\operatorname{Re}(h(z)) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ). Now we set

$$\frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha g(z)} = (1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta$$

or

$$(2.10) \quad z(D^\alpha f(z))' = D^\alpha g(z)\{(1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta\},$$

where  $p(z) = 1 + p_n z^n + \dots$ . From Lemma 2.2, (1.8) and (2.10), we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1} f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1} g(z)} &= \frac{D^{\alpha+1}(z f'(z))}{D^{\alpha+1} g(z)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{1}{\alpha+1} z(D^\alpha(z f'(z)))' + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} D^\alpha(z f'(z))}{\frac{1}{\alpha+1} z(D^\alpha g(z))' + \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1} D^\alpha g(z)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{z(D^\alpha(z f'(z)))'}{D^\alpha g(z)} + \alpha \frac{D^\alpha(z f'(z))}{D^\alpha g(z)}}{\frac{z(D^\alpha g(z))'}{D^\alpha g(z)} + \alpha} \\ &= \frac{\frac{z(D^\alpha(z f'(z)))'}{D^\alpha g(z)} + \alpha((1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta)}{(1 - \gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.10), we have

$$(z(D^\alpha f(z))')' = (1 - \beta)p'(z)D^\alpha g(z) + (D^\alpha g(z))'((1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta)$$

Hence, from (1.8) we get

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{z(D^\alpha(z f'(z)))'}{D^\alpha g(z)} \\ &= (1 - \beta)z p'(z) + ((1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta) \frac{z(D^\alpha g(z))'}{D^\alpha g(z)} \\ &= (1 - \beta)z p'(z) + ((1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta)((1 - \gamma)h(z) + \gamma) \end{aligned}$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\frac{z(D^{\alpha+1} f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1} g(z)} = (1 - \beta)p(z) + \beta + \frac{(1 - \beta)z p'(z)}{(1 - \gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha}$$

or

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Re} \left( (1 - \beta)p(z) + \frac{(1 - \beta)zp'(z)}{(1 - \gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha} \right) \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{D^{\alpha+1}g(z)} - \beta \right) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Define the function  $\psi(u, v)$  by

$$(2.14) \quad \psi(u, v) = (1 - \beta)u + \frac{(1 - \beta)v}{(1 - \gamma)h(z) + \gamma + \alpha}.$$

It is clear that the function  $\psi(u, v)$  defined in  $D = C \times C$  by (2.14) satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 2.1. To verify condition (iii),

$$\operatorname{Re}\psi(iu_2, v_1) = \frac{(1 - \beta)v_1\{(1 - \gamma)h_1 + \gamma + \alpha\}}{\{(1 - \gamma)h_1 + \gamma + \alpha\}^2 + \{(1 - \gamma)h_2\}^2}$$

where  $h(z) = h_1 + ih_2$  and  $\operatorname{Re}h(z) = h_1 > 0$ . By putting  $v \leq \frac{-n(1+u_2^2)}{2}$ ,

$$\operatorname{Re}\psi(iu_2, v_1) \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)n(1 + u_2^2)\{(1 - \gamma)h_1 + \gamma + \alpha\}}{2\{(1 - \gamma)h_1 + \gamma + \alpha\}^2 + \{(1 - \gamma)h_2\}^2} < 0.$$

Therefore, the function  $\psi(u, v)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.1. This implies that  $\operatorname{Re}p(z) > 0$  ( $z \in U$ ), which is equivalent to

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z(D^\alpha f(z))'}{D^\alpha g(z)} \right) > \gamma \quad (z \in U).$$

Hence  $f \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha(n, \beta, \gamma)$ .

From Theorem 2.5, (1.8) and the definition of  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \beta, \gamma)$ , we have

**COROLLARY 2.6.** For  $\alpha \geq 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{C}_{\alpha+1}^*(n, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \beta, \gamma)$

*Proof.* We note that

$$(2.15) \quad f \in \mathcal{C}^*(n, \beta, \gamma) \text{ if and only if } zf' \in \mathcal{K}(n, \beta, \gamma).$$

By Theorem 2.5 and (2.15), we have that

$$D^{\alpha+1}(zf'(z)) = z(D^{\alpha+1}f(z))' \in \mathcal{K}(n, \beta, \gamma)$$

if  $f \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha+1}^*(n, \beta, \gamma)$ . Hence

$$zf' \in \mathcal{K}_{\alpha+1}(n, \beta, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(n, \beta, \gamma).$$

Therefore

$$z(D^{\alpha}f(z))' = D^{\alpha}(zf'(z)) \in \mathcal{K}(n, \beta, \gamma).$$

It follows that

$$f \in \mathcal{C}_{\alpha}^*(n, \beta, \gamma).$$

Next we define the integral operator  $I_{n,c}(f)$  as

$$(2.16) \quad I_{n,c}(f) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt$$

for  $f \in A(n)$ . The operator  $I_{1,c}$  was studied by Noor[7], Owa and Chen[10]. The operator  $I_{1,m}$  (when  $m$  is positive integers) was studied by Bernardi[1] and  $I_{1,1}$  was investigated by Libera[3] and Livingston[4].

LEMMA 2.7. If  $f \in A(n)$ , then for  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $D^{\alpha+1}I_{n,\alpha}(f) = D^{\alpha}f$ .

*Proof.* By simple calculating of (2.16), we get

$$I_{n,\alpha}(f) = z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+k} a_k z^k.$$

From (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D^{\alpha+1}I_{n,\alpha}(f) &= z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+\alpha+1)}{(k-1)!} \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha+k} a_k z^k \\ &= z + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} (j+\alpha)}{(k-1)!} a_k z^k = D^{\alpha}f. \end{aligned}$$

With the aid of Theorem 2.3 and Lemma 2.7, we have



**THEOREM 2.8.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , then  $I_{n, \alpha}(f)$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $f \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$ , then  $D^\alpha f \in \mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma)$ . By Lemma 2.7, we have

$$D^{\alpha+1} I_{n, \alpha}(f) \in \mathcal{S}^*(n, \gamma).$$

From Theorem 2.3,

$$I_{n, \alpha}(f) \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha+1}^*(n, \gamma) \subset \mathcal{S}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma).$$

Using Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.7, we have the following.

**THEOREM 2.9.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{K}_\alpha(n, \beta, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , then  $I_{n, \alpha}(f)$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{K}_\alpha(n, \alpha, \beta)$ .*

Finally, we state the similar results for the classes  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$  and  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \beta, \gamma)$  from Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9.

**COROLLARY 2.10.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , then  $I_{n, \alpha}(f)$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \gamma)$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.11.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \beta, \gamma)$  with  $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1$  and  $0 \leq \gamma < 1$ , then  $I_{n, \alpha}(f)$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^*(n, \alpha, \beta)$ .*

## References

1. S. D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969) pages 429-446)
2. S. Fukui and K. Sakaguchi, *An extension of a theorem of S. Ruschweyh*, Bull. Fac. Edu. Wakayama Univ. Nat. Sci. **29** (1980), 1-3.
3. R. J. Libera, *Some classes of regular univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1965), 755-758.
4. A. E. Livingston, *On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 352-357
5. S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **65** (1978), 289-305.
6. S. S. Miller, *Differential inequalities and Cratheodory functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **81** (1975), 79-81
7. K. I. Noor, *On some applications of the Ruschweyh derivatives*, Math Japon. **36** (1991), 869-874.
8. S. Owa, *On certain Bazilevič functions of order  $\beta_1$* , Int. J. Math. & Math. Sci. **15** (1992), 613-616.

9. S. Owa, M. Nunokawa and H. Saitoh, *Some properties of certain analytic functions*, *Rendi. Math., Series VII* **12** (1992), 35-44.
10. S. Owa and C. Y. Chen, *A note on certain integral operator*, *Soochow J. Math.* **11** (1986), 57-61.
11. S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **49** (1975), 109-115.

Department of Mathematics  
Gyeongsang National University  
Chinju 660-701, Korea

Department of Mathematics  
Kyungsung University  
Pusan 608-738, Korea

Department of Applied Mathematics  
Pukyong National University  
Pusan 608-737, Korea