

## NOTES ON HADAMARD PRODUCT OF CERTAIN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

SANG KEUN LEE AND SHIGEYOSHI OWA

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Sigma$  be the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = \frac{a_0}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (a_0 > 0, a_n \geq 0)$$

which are meromorphic in the punctured disk  $D = \{z : 0 < |z| < 1\}$ . A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  is said to be meromorphic starlike of order  $\alpha$  in  $D$  if it satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \right) < -\alpha \quad (z \in D)$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ . We denote by  $\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha)$  the subclass of  $\Sigma$  consisting of all such functions. A functions  $f(z)$  in  $\Sigma$  is said to be meromorphic convex of order  $\alpha$  in  $D$  if it satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < -\alpha \quad (z \in D)$$

for some  $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ . We also denote by  $\Sigma K_0(\alpha)$  the subclass of  $\Sigma$  consisting of functions which are meromorphic convex of order  $\alpha$  in  $D$ .

For functions  $f_j(z) (j = 1, 2)$  defined by

$$(1.4) \quad f_j(z) = \frac{a_{0,j}}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n \quad (a_{0,j} > 0, a_{n,j} \geq 0),$$

---

Received Apr. 1, 1997.

The first author was supported in part by the Basic Science Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, Korea, 1996, Project No. BSRI 96-1411.

we define the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2(z)$  by

$$(1.5) \quad f_1 * f_2(z) = \frac{a_{0,1}a_{0,2}}{z} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n,1}a_{n,2}z^n.$$

In view of the previous definitions by Owa [4, 5, 6] and Kumar[1, 2], Mogra [3] has introduced the following class.

**DEFINITION.** A function  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  is said to be a member of the class  $\Sigma_k^*(\alpha)$  if and only if

$$(1.6) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k(n+\alpha)a_n \leq (1-\alpha)a_0$$

for some  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$  and  $k(k \geq 0)$ .

**REMARK 1.** Note that  $\Sigma_0^*(\alpha) \equiv \Sigma S_0^*(\alpha)$ , and that  $\Sigma_k^*(\alpha) \subset \Sigma_{k-1}^*(\alpha) \subset \dots \subset \Sigma_2^*(\alpha) \subset \Sigma K_0(\alpha) \subset \Sigma S_0^*(\alpha)$ .

For the above class  $\Sigma_k^*(\alpha)$ , Mogra [3] has proved

**THEOREM A.** For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let the functions  $f_j(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha_j)$ , respectively. Then, the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{m-1}^*(\alpha^*)$ , where  $\alpha^* = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\alpha_j\}$ .

**THEOREM B.** For each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let the functions  $f_j(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma K_0(\alpha_j)$ , respectively. Then, the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{2m-1}^*(\alpha^*)$ , where  $\alpha^* = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\alpha_j\}$ .

**THEOREM C.** For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , let the functions  $f_i(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma S_0^*(\alpha_i)$ , respectively; and for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , let the functions  $g_j(z)$  belong to the class  $\Sigma K_0(\beta_j)$ , respectively. Then, the Hadamard product  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z)$  belongs to the class  $\Sigma_{m+2q+1}^*(\gamma)$ , where  $\gamma = \max_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq q}} \{\alpha_i, \beta_j\}$ .

In this present paper, we prove the generalization theorems of the results by Mogra [3].

## 2. Generalization of theorems

We begin with the statement and the proof of our main result.

**THEOREM 1.** If  $f_j(z) \in \Sigma_k^*(\alpha_j)$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , then  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z) \in \Sigma_k^*(\alpha)$ , where  $k = (\sum_{j=1}^m k_j) + m - 1$  and  $\alpha = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\alpha_j\}$ .

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^k (n + \alpha) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{n,j} \right) \leq (1 - \alpha) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{0,j}.$$

Note that for  $f_j(z) \in \Sigma_k^*(\alpha_j)$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k_j} (n + \alpha_j) a_{n,j} \leq (1 - \alpha_j) a_{0,j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

or

$$(2.3) \quad a_{n,j} \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha_j) a_{0,j}}{n^{k_j} (n + \alpha_j)} \leq \frac{1}{n^{k_j+1}} a_{0,j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Further, we may assume that  $\alpha = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} \{\alpha_j\} = \alpha_m$ . Then we see that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^k (n + \alpha) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{n,j} \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^k (n + \alpha_m) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} \frac{1}{n^{k_j+1}} a_{0,j} \right) a_{n,m} \right) \\ & = \left( \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{0,j} \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k_m} (n + \alpha_m) a_{n,m} \right) \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha_m) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{0,j} \\ & = (1 - \alpha) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{0,j}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of theorem.

REMARK 2. Since  $\sum_0^*(\alpha) \equiv \sum S_0^*(\alpha)$ , letting  $k_j = 0(j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  in Theorem 1, we have Theorem A by Mogra [3].

REMARK 3. If we take  $k_j = 1(j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  in Theorem 1, then  $k = (\sum_{j=1}^m k_j) + m - 1 = 2m - 1$ . Therefore, taking  $k_j = 1(j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ , Theorem 1 leads to Theorem B by Mogra [3].

COROLLARY 1. If  $f_i(z) \in \sum_{k_i}^*(\alpha_i)$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and if  $g_j(z) \in \sum_{k'_j}^*(\beta_j)$  for each  $j = 1, 2, \dots, q$ , then  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m * g_1 * g_2 * \dots * g_q(z) \in \sum_k^*(\gamma)$ , where  $k = \sum_{i=1}^m k_i + \sum_{j=1}^q k'_j + m + q - 1$  and  $\gamma = \max_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq j \leq q}} \{\alpha_i, \beta_j\}$ .

The proof of the corollary is clear from Theorem 1.

REMARK 4. If we take  $k_i = 0(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  and  $k'_j = 1(j = 1, 2, \dots, q)$  in Corollary 1, then we have Theorem C by Mogra [3].

Next, we prove

THEOREM 2. If  $f_j(z) \in \sum_k^*(\alpha)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , then  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z) \in \sum_{k+m-1}^*(\delta)$ , where

$$(2.5) \quad \delta = \delta(m, \alpha, \beta) = (1 + \alpha)^m - \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1, \quad 1 \leq \beta \leq (1 + \alpha)^m$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad (1 - \alpha)^m + (1 + \alpha)^m \leq 1 + \beta.$$

*Proof.* It follows from  $f_j(z) \in \sum_k^*(\alpha)(j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ , that

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k (n + \alpha) a_{n,j} \leq (1 - \alpha) a_{0,j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

From (2.7), we get

$$(2.8) \quad n^k (n + \alpha) a_{n,j} \leq (1 - \alpha) a_{0,j} \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, m)$$

for all  $n$ . From (2.8), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^{k+m-1} (n + \delta) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{n,j} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^{k+m-1} (n + (1 + \alpha)^m - \beta) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{n,j} \right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( n^{k+m-1} (n + \alpha)^m \prod_{j=1}^m a_{n,j} \right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \left( n^{m-1} (n + \alpha)^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{n,j} \right) (n + \alpha) a_{n,m} \\
 (2.9) \quad &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \left( n^{m-1} \left( \frac{1 - \alpha}{n^k} \right)^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{n,j} \right) (n + \alpha) a_{n,m} \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^k \left( (1 - \alpha)^{m-1} \prod_{j=1}^{m-1} a_{0,j} \right) (n + \alpha) a_{n,m} \\
 &\leq (1 - \alpha)^m \prod_{j=1}^m a_{0,j} \\
 &\leq (1 - ((1 + \alpha)^m - \beta)) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{0,j} \\
 &= (1 - \delta) \prod_{j=1}^m a_{0,j}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1 - \alpha)^m + (1 + \alpha)^m \leq 1 + \beta, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1 \text{ and } 1 \leq \beta \leq (1 + \alpha)^m.$$

This implies that  $f_1 * f_2 * \cdots * f_m(z) \in \Sigma_{k+m-1}^*(\delta)$ .

Taking  $k = 0$  in Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY 2. If  $f_j(z) \in \sum S_0^*(\alpha)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , then  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z) \in \sum_{m-1}^*(\delta)$ , where  $\delta$  is given by (2.5), and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy the condition in Theorem 2.

Further, letting  $k = 1$  in Theorem 2, we have

COROLLARY 3. If  $f_j(z) \in \sum K_0(\alpha)$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , then  $f_1 * f_2 * \dots * f_m(z) \in \sum_m^*(\delta)$ , where  $\delta$  is given by (2.5), and  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  satisfy the condition in Theorem 2.

### References

1. V. Kumar, *Hadamard product of certain starlike functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **110** (1985), 425-428.
2. V. Kumar, *Quasio-Hadamard product of certain univalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **126** (1987), 70-77.
3. M. L. Mogra, *Hadamard product of certain meromorphic univalent functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **157** (1991), 10-16.
4. S. Owa, *On the classes of univalent functions with negative coefficients*, Math. Japon. **27** (1982), 409-416.
5. S. Owa, *On the starlike functions of order  $\alpha$  and type  $\beta$* , Math. Japon. **27** (1982), 723-735.
6. S. Owa, *On the Hadamard products of univalent functions*, Tamkang J. Math. **14** (1983), 15-21.

Department of Mathematics  
Gyeongsang N. University  
Chinju 660-701, Korea

Department of Mathematics  
Kinki university  
Higashi-Osaka, Osaka 577, Japan