

: ,

.

:
:

가 가 가 가
. 가 가 ,

. 가 가 가
(, 1995).

가 , 가

. 가 ,

가 , 가 , 가

, 가 가 가 가
(, 1991).

가
(1986) “ ”

, 가 가 가 가 가 가 가 가
가 가 가 가 가 가 가
가 가 가 가 가 가 가
(, , 1992)

가 , 가 , 가 (, 1990),

가 (, 1991).

m
가 ,
가 ,
, 가 (, 1989).

가
가 , (Napholz, 1995).

(Malarkey, Kiecolt-Glaser, Pearl & Glaser, 1994 ; Mills, Finchilescu & Lea, 1995).

가
가
가 ,
(, 1992).
, 가
가 .

가

가?

1.

가 , 가 , , , , , , ,
, (, 1975).
가

(Thomas,1995). 가

가 . 가

가 (duvall, 1974). 가

가 . 가

가 가 . 가

가 가 . 가

가 가 . 가

(,1989). 가

가 . 가

가 (1991) ,가

가 (, 1987), 가

(Peterson & Baucom, 1989 ; Wink & Helson, 1993)

2. 가

(Aldous, 1974).

g 가

(Duvall,1974).

가

가 (, 1991). 가

가

가 가 . 가

가 가 . 가

가 (,1989).

가 , 가,

(Strong & DeVault, 1994).

가

30 40

, 32.6%, 28%, 20.5%, 18.9% Bem

, 1/3

가

가 (,1994).

가 (,1989).

가

가 ,

1.

2.

212

3.

212

82, 145, 182

1993 3 1 3 30

409

212

197

“가 7 , “

”가 2 ,

188

51.6%

가

4

가

1

가

4.

5

“

가

?”

5.

, , ,

(Downe-Wamboldt, 1992).

가 가

6.

.

1.

	19	38	28	,	1	166
가	30		72.4%		, 47.9%	가
		142		, 90.1%	가	
			(, 1992)	

2.

12

701 . 3

가 . 12 .

가

(scheme)

1) 가

“가 ”, “가 ”, “ ” 가

2)

“ ”, “ ”, “ ”

3)

“ ”, “ ”, “ ”

4)

“ ”, “ ”, “ ”

5)

“ ”, “ ”, “ ”, “ ”

6)

“ ”, “ ”, “ ”

7) 가

“가 ”, “가 ”, “가 ”

8)

“ 가 ”, “ ”

9)

“ ”, “ 가 ”

10)

“ ”, “ ”

11)

“ ”, “ ”, “ ”

12)

“ ”, “ ”

2

가“ ”, “ ”가 , 가

, , 12 3
 . 1)
 , 2)가 , 가 ,
 , , . 4)
 , 5)
 701 가 (243), (67),
 (63), (63), (47), (47), 가 (40),
 (33), (31), (28), (27),
 (13) (1). 가 가 가
 가 가 .

< 1>

		(%)
1	가	243(34.6)
2		67(9.5)
3		63(8.9)
4		63(8.9)
5		47(6.7)
6		47(6.7)
7	가	40(5.7)
8		33(4.7)
9	/	31(4.4)
10		28(4.0)
11		27(3.9)
12	가	13(2.0)
		701(100.0)

. 가
 가 (34.6%), (9.5%), (8.9%)
 . 가
 , , , 가 가
 가 가
 가 , ,
 , , .

가

가 가

가

가

Strong DeVault(1994)

가

(1993)

(1991) Strong DeVault(1994)가

가

가

가

(Bradbury, Campbell, & Fincham ; 1995, Gottman & Krokoff, 1989)

가

(Wink & Helson, 1993)

(,1992)

가

가

가

가

가

가

(,1987).

가

가

,1989 ;

,1991).

가

가

68.5% (, ,1992)가

(Charny & Parnass,1995). Charny (1992)

가

가

가

(Malarkey, Kiecolt-Glaser, Pearl & Glaser, 1994 ;

Mills, Finchilescu & Lea, 1995)

1 , 2 , 3

212

701

2 가 3

701

12

가

(243),

(67),

(63),

(63),

(47),

(47), 가

(40),

(33),

(31),

(28),

(27),

(13)

가

가

가 가

. 12

4

. 1)

가 , 2)가

, 가 , 3)

, 가

, 4)

, 5)

가

가

가

가

가

가

1.

2.

< >

1. (1994).

가

2. (1992).

가

3. (1991).

;

4. (1990).

5. (1992).

6. (1991).

가

7. (1993). 가

8. (1989).

9. (1986). , , : , 41-62.

10. (1991). 가 , 가 , , ;

11. (1987).

12. (1995).

13. , George W, (1974). 9

14. (1995). 가 , , , 159- 161.

15. (1989).

16. (1989).

17. , (1992). - - 8 . 127- 170.

18. (1989). , ;

19. (1989).
20. Aldous, J.(1974). The conceptual approach, The Developmental Approach to Family Analysis, Vol.1. Athens : University of Georgia press.
21. Antil, J.K.(1983). Sex role complementarity versus similarity in married couples. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 45, 144-455.
22. Bem, S.L.(1974). The measurement of psychological androgyny. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 42, 155-162.
23. Bradbury, T.N., Campbell, S.M., & Fincham, F.D.(1995). Longitudinal and behavioral analysis of masculinity and behavioral analysis of masculinity and femininity in marriage. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68(2), 328-341.
24. Charny, I.W.(1992). Catering and not catering affairs : The proper and improper pursuit of extramarital relationship. In I.W.Charny(ed). *Existential / dialectical marital therapy*. New York, Brunner / Mazel.
25. Charny, I.W. & Parnass, S.(1995). The impact of extramarital relationships on the continuation of marriage, *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*. 21(2) : 100-115.
26. Downe-Wamboldt, B.(1992). Content Analysis : Method, applications, and issues. *Health Care for Women International*, 13, 313-321.
27. Duvall, E.M.(1974). *Marriage and Family Development*, J.B.Lippincott, P.69-87.
28. Fausto-Sterling, Anne(1985). *Myths of Gender : Biological Theories about Men and Women*. New York : Basic Books.
29. Gottman, J.M. & Krokoff, L.J.(1989). Marital interaction and satisfaction : A longitudinal view. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 57, 47-52.
30. Karney, B.R., Bradbury, T.N., Fincham, F.D. & Sulival, K.T.(1994). The role of negative affectivity in the association between attributions and marital satisfaction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 66(2), 413-424.
31. Kurd , L.A. & Schmitt, J.P.(1986). Interaction of sex role self-concept with relationship quality and relationship beliefs in married, heterosexual cohabitating, gay and lesbian couples. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51, 365-370.
32. Langis, J., Sabourin, S., Lussier, Y., & Mathieu, M.(1994). Masculinity, femininity, and marital satisfaction : An examination of theoretical models. *Journal of Personality*, 62(3), 393-414.
33. Malarkey, W.B., Kiecolt-Glaser, J.K., Pearl, D. & Glaser, R.(1994). Hostile behavior during marital conflict alters pituitary and adrenal hormones, *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 56(1) : 41-51.
34. Mills, J.P., Finchilescu, G. & Lea, S.J.(1995). Postnatal depression-an examination of psychosocial factors. *South African Medical Journal*. 85(2) : 99-105.
35. Napholz, L.(1995). Mental health and American Indian women's multiple roles, *American Indian & Alaska Mental Health Research*, 6(2) : 57-75.
36. Peterson, C.D., Baucom, D.H., Elliott, M.J. & Farr, P.A.(1989). The relationship between sex role identity and marital adjustment. *Sex Role*, 21, 775-787.
37. Sayers, S.L. & Baucom, D.H.(1991). Role of femininity and masculinity in distressed

- couples' communication. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 61, 641-647.
38. Strong, Bryan. & DeVault, Christine.(1994). *Human Sexuality*, Mayfield Publishing Company, California. P.152- 155,178- 181.
39. Thomas, S.P.(1995). Psychosocial correlates of women's health in middle adulthood, *Issues in Mental Health Nursing*. 16(4) : 285-314.
40. Wink, P. & Helson, R.(1993). Personality change in women and their partners. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 65(3), 597-605.

- Abstract -

Key concept : spouse role, married women, Korea

Role Expectation on Spouse of Married Women in Korea

Soon Bok Chang · Young Ran Tak

Soon Bok Chang : College of Nursing Yonsei University T; 82-2-361-5135, F : 82-2-392-5440

Young Ran Tak : Department of Nursing Hanyang University.

This study sought to investigate the spousal role expectation of married childbearing women in the social milieu. The purpose of this study was to determine the spouse's role expectation which influences marital quality and marital satisfaction, thereby contributing to married women's psychological well-being and family health. Data collection was done in the prenatal care center of 212 early adult, married, middle class women living in the urban area by interview. Using content analysis, 701 answers were recoded by 12 categories of role expectation as family integration, health maintenance, father role taking, personal maturity, communication and respect, social confidence, division of domestic labor, relationship with extended family, recreation and hobby, social support for wife's self actualization, faith in sexual relationship, and security in economic status. The influence of the altered gender role in modern society, women's expectation is derived from somewhat masculinity and femininity in role expectation. The results are discussed in relation to prototype of gender role and relationships. These finding will assist nurse in the understanding and intervening the marital problem and women's health.