

ON ISOMORPHISM THEOREMS IN BCI -SEMIGROUPS

SUN SHIN AHN* AND HEE SIK KIM**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the quotient algebra of BCI -semigroups, and obtain some isomorphism theorems of BCI -semigroups.

1. Introduction

In 1966, K. Iséki ([4]) introduced the notion of BCI -algebra which is a generalization of a BCK -algebra. A lot of works has been done on BCK -algebras and BCI -algebras. The ideal theory plays an important role in studying BCK -algebras and BCI -algebras, and some interesting results have been obtained ([2,3,5,6,10]). For BCK -algebras, every ideal is a subalgebra. Unfortunately, the ideal of BCI -algebra need not be a subalgebra. In 1993, Y.B. Jun et. al. ([7]) introduced the notion of BCI -semigroups/monoid, and studied their properties. They also considered the concept of \mathcal{I} -ideals in BCI -semigroups. The present authors ([1]) studied \mathcal{I} -ideals in BCI -semigroups, and some authors ([8,9]) studied BCI -semigroups related to the fuzzy theory. Since every p -semisimple BCI -algebra leads to an abelian group by defining $x + y := x * (0 * y)$, p -semisimple BCI -semigroup gives a ring structure. On the while, every ring gives BCI -algebra by defining $x * y := x - y$ and hence leads to a BCI -semigroup. This means that the BCI -semigroup is a generalization of the ring structure. In

Supported (in part) by the BSRI Program, MOE, 1995, Project No. BSRI-95-1423.

Received by the editors on March 2, 1996.

1991 *Mathematics subject classifications*: Primary 06F35.

this paper, we consider the quotient algebras and obtain some isomorphism theorems of *BCI*-semigroups.

Recall that a *BCI-algebra* is a non-empty set X with a binary operation “ $*$ ” and a constant 0 satisfying the axioms:

$$BCI-1 \ ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0,$$

$$BCI-2 \ (x * (x * y)) * y = 0,$$

$$BCI-3 \ x * x = 0,$$

$$BCI-4 \ x * y = 0 \text{ and } y * x = 0 \text{ imply that } x = y,$$

$$BCI-5 \ x * 0 = 0 \text{ implies that } x = 0,$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. In a *BCI*-algebra X , we define a partial ordering \leq by $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$. A non-empty subset A of a *BCI*-algebra X is said to be an *ideal* of X if (i) $0 \in A$, (ii) $x * y \in A$ and $y \in A$ imply that $x \in A$.

DEFINITION 1.1 ([7]). A *BCI-semigroup* is a non-empty set X with two binary operations “ $*$ ” and “ \cdot ” and constant 0 satisfying the axioms:

- (1) $(X; *, 0)$ is a *BCI*-algebra,
- (2) (X, \cdot) is a semigroup,
- (3) the operation “ \cdot ” is distributive (on both sides) over the operation “ $*$ ”, that is, $x \cdot (y * z) = (x \cdot y) * (x \cdot z)$ and $(x * y) \cdot z = (x \cdot z) * (y \cdot z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

We give some examples of the *BCI*-semigroup which is a generalization of the ring. We usually for convenience denote xy instead of $x \cdot y$ in a *BCI*-semigroup X .

EXAMPLE 1.2. Define two binary operations “ $*$ ” and “ \cdot ” on a set $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ as follows:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	3	2
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	2	0	3
3	3	3	2	0

.	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	0	1	0	1
2	0	0	2	2
3	0	0	2	3

Then, by routine calculations, we can see that X is a BCI -semigroup.

EXAMPLE 1.3. Let $X := \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ be a set in which the operations “ $*$ ” and “ \cdot ” are defined by

*	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	1	1	0
2	2	2	0	0	0
3	3	3	3	0	0
4	4	4	4	4	0

.	0	1	2	3	4
0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	2
3	0	0	0	2	3
4	0	1	2	3	4

Then $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$ is a BCI -semigroup.

PROPOSITION 1.4 ([7]). Let X be a BCI -semigroup. Then

- (i) $0x = x0 = 0$,
- (ii) $x \leq y$ implies that $xz \leq yz$ and $zx \leq zy$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

DEFINITION 1.5 ([7]). A non-empty subset A of a BCI -semigroup X is called a *left(right) \mathcal{I} -ideal* of X if

- (i) A is an ideal of a BCI -algebra X ,
- (ii) $a \in X$ and $x \in A$ imply that $ax \in A$ ($xa \in A$).

Both left and right \mathcal{I} -ideal is called a *two-sided \mathcal{I} -ideal* or simply *\mathcal{I} -ideal*. Let I be an \mathcal{I} -ideal of a BCI -algebra $(X; *, 0)$. For any x, y in X , we define $x \sim y$ by $x * y \in I$ and $y * x \in I$. Then \sim is a congruence relation on X . Denote $X/I := \{C_x | x \in X\}$ and define that $C_x * C_y = C_{x*y}$. Since \sim is a congruence relation on X , the operation “ $*$ ” is well-defined.

THEOREM 1.6 ([10]). *Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a BCI-algebra and let I be an ideal of X . Then $(X/I; *, C_0)$ is also a BCI-algebra, which is called the quotient algebra via I , and $C_0 = I$.*

DEFINITION 1.7. Let X and Y be BCI-semigroups. A map f is called a BCI-semigroup homomorphism if $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ and $f(x \cdot y) = f(x) \cdot f(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$.

2. Main Results

It is well known that in BCK-algebras every ideal is a subalgebra. But in BCI-algebras this fails to hold (see [10, p.498]). Hence in BCI-semigroups this also fails to hold. We say an ideal A of a BCI-algebra X regular in case A is also a subalgebra. Obviously, in BCK-algebras each ideal is regular.

For our purpose, we say an \mathcal{I} -ideal A of a BCI-semigroup X regular in case A is also a subalgebra of X .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$ be a BCI-semigroup and let X_0 be any non-empty subset of X . $(X_0; *, \cdot, 0)$ is called a subalgebra of X if for any $x, y \in X_0$, $x * y \in X_0$ and $x \cdot y \in X_0$.

We can easily prove the following theorem and omit the proof.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let X and Y be BCI-semigroups, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BCI-semigroup homomorphism. Then $\text{Ker } f$ is a regular \mathcal{I} -ideal of X .*

We define quotient algebra and obtain some isomorphisms of BCI-semigroups. Let I be an \mathcal{I} -ideal of a BCI-semigroup X . Then $(X/I; *, C_0)$ is a BCI-algebra by Theorem 1.6. Define $C_x \cdot C_y = C_{x \cdot y}$ on X/I . Then the operation “ \cdot ” is well-defined. If $C_x = C_y$ and $C_s = C_t$, then $x * y, y * x \in I$ and $s * t, t * x \in I$. Since I is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of a BCI-semigroup X , $y(t * s) = yt * ys \in I$ for any $y \in X$, and $(y * x)s = ys * xs \in I$ for any $s \in X$. Then,

$(yt * xs) * (ys * xs) \leq yt * ys \in I$ and so $(yt * xs) * (ys * xs) \in I$. Since $ys * xs \in I$ and I is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of X , $yt * xs \in I$. Similarly, $xs * yt \in I$. Since $xs * yt \in I$ and $xs * yt \in I$, $C_{xs} = C_{yt}$. Therefore $C_x \cdot C_s = C_y \cdot C_t$. Hence “ \cdot ” is well-defined.

We claim that $(X/I, \cdot)$ is a semigroup. Since $C_x \cdot (C_y \cdot C_z) = C_x \cdot (C_y \cdot C_z) = C_{x(yz)} = C_{(xy)z} = (C_{xy}) \cdot C_z = (C_x \cdot C_y) \cdot C_z$ for any $C_x, C_y, C_z \in X/I$, $(X/I, \cdot)$ is a semigroup.

Finally, we show that “ \cdot ” is distributive (on both sides) over the operation “ $*$ ”. For any $C_x, C_y, C_z \in X/I$, $C_x \cdot (C_y * C_z) = C_x \cdot (C_{y*z}) = C_{x(y*z)} = C_{xy*xz} = C_{xy} * C_{xz} = (C_x \cdot C_y) * (C_x \cdot C_z)$. By a similar way, we obtain $(C_x * C_y) \cdot C_z = (C_x \cdot C_z) * (C_y \cdot C_z)$. Hence $(X/I; *, \cdot, C_0)$ satisfies distributive laws. Thus X/I is a BCI -semigroup. Summarizing the above facts we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $(X; *, \cdot, 0)$ be a BCI -semigroup and let I be an \mathcal{I} -ideal of X . Then $(X/I; *, \cdot, C_0)$ is also a BCI -semigroup which is called the quotient algebras via I , and $C_0 = I$.*

Furthermore, if 1_X is the multiplicative identity of a BCI -semigroup X , then C_{1_X} is the multiplicative identity of X/I ; and if X is commutative, then X/I is also commutative. If $I = X$, then X/I is the zero BCI -semigroup. If $I = (0)$, then X/I is the same as the BCI -semigroup X by identifying $C_a, a \in X$, with a .

THEOREM 2.4. *Let X and Y be BCI -semigroups, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a BCI -semigroup epimorphism. Then $Y \cong X/Kerf$.*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.2, $Kerf$ is a regular \mathcal{I} -ideal of X . So the quotient algebra $X/Kerf$ can be obtained in the standard way. Now we define $h : Y \rightarrow X/Kerf$ by $h(f(x)) = (Kerf)_x$. We will prove that h is an isomorphism from Y onto $X/Kerf$. First, we claim that h is well-defined. If $f(x) = f(y)$, then $0 = f(x) * f(y) = f(y) * f(x)$

and so $f(x * y) = f(y * x) = 0$. It follows that $x * y, y * x \in \text{Ker}f$ and hence $(\text{Ker}f)_x = (\text{Ker}f)_y$. On the other hand, for each $y \in Y$, since f is an epimorphism, there exists an element x in X such that $f(x) = y$. So $h(y) = h(f(x)) = (\text{Ker}f)_x$. Since $h(f(x) * f(y)) = h(f(x * y)) = (\text{Ker}f)_{x * y} = (\text{Ker}f)_x * (\text{Ker}f)_y = h(f(x)) * h(f(y))$ and $h(f(x)f(y)) = h(f(xy)) = (\text{Ker}f)_{xy} = (\text{Ker}f)_x \cdot (\text{Ker}f)_y = h(f(x)) \cdot h(f(y))$, h is a *BCI*-semigroup homomorphism.

Suppose that $h(y) = (\text{Ker}f)_0$ for some y in Y . Then there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. So $(\text{Ker}f)_0 = h(f(x)) = (\text{Ker}f)_x$. This means $x = x * 0 \in \text{Ker}f$ and $y = f(x) = 0$, therefore h is one-one.

For each $(\text{Ker}f)_x \in X/\text{Ker}f$, we have $h(f(x)) = (\text{Ker}f)_x$. Hence h is onto. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let I be an \mathcal{I} -ideal of a *BCI*-semigroup X , and let $\eta : X \rightarrow X/I$ be the natural *BCI*-semigroup homomorphism. Then $X/I \cong X/\text{Ker}\eta$.*

The proof can be immediately obtained from Theorem 2.4. In the above Corollary 2.5, $\text{Ker}\eta = I_0$, where I_0 is the class containing 0 in the quotient algebra X/I . In general, $I \supseteq \text{Ker}\eta = I_0$.

THEOREM 2.6. *Given a *BCI*-semigroup homomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$, there exists a unique injective *BCI*-semigroup homomorphism $g : X/\text{Ker}f \rightarrow Y$ such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
 \eta \downarrow & & \nearrow g \\
 X/\text{Ker}f & &
 \end{array}$$

commutes, that is, $f = g\eta$, where η is the canonical (or natural)

BCI -semigroup homomorphism.

PROOF. Clearly, the map g defined by $g((Kerf)_x) = f(x)$ is injective. Also, $f = g\eta$, as proved in Theorem 2.4. To show that g is unique, let $f = h\eta$, where $h : X/Kerf \rightarrow Y$ is a BCI -semigroup homomorphism. Then $g\eta(x) = h\eta(x)$ for all $x \in X$. So $g((Kerf)_x) = h((Kerf)_x)$. This proves $g = h$.

DEFINITION 2.7. Let X and Y be BCI -semigroups. A BCI -homomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be *regular* if Imf is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of Y .

By the definition, for any subalgebra A of X , A is a regular \mathcal{I} -ideal if and only if the inclusion mapping $\iota : A \rightarrow X$ is a regular BCI -semigroup homomorphism.

PROPOSITION 2.8. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a regular BCI -semigroup homomorphism. Then for each \mathcal{I} -ideal I with $I \supseteq Kerf$, $f(I)$ is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of $f(X)$. Conversely, for each \mathcal{I} -ideal K of Y , $f^{-1}(K) := \{a \in X | f(a) \in K\}$ is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of X .

PROOF. Assume $y * b, b \in f(I) \subseteq f(X)$. Then there exists $a \in I$ such that $b = f(a)$. Since $f(x)$ is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of Y , $y \in f(X)$. So there exists $x \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$. It follows $f(x) * f(a) = f(c)$ for some c in I . Thus $f((x * a) * c) = 0$ and so $(x * a) * c \in Kerf \subseteq I$. Since I is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of X , $x * a \in I$, it follows $x \in I$. Therefore $y = f(x) \in f(I)$. Clearly $0 \in f(I)$. Suppose $b \in f(I)$ and $y \in f(X)$. Then there exist $x, a \in X$ such that $f(x) = y$ and $f(a) = b$. It follows that $by = f(a)f(x) = f(ax) \in f(I)$ and $yb = f(xb) \in f(I)$. Hence $f(I)$ is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of $f(X)$.

Conversely, let $x * a, a \in f^{-1}(K)$. Then $f(x) * f(a), f(a) \in K$. Since K is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of Y , $f(x) \in K$. It follows $x \in f^{-1}(K)$. If $x_1, x_2 \in f^{-1}(K)$, then $f(x_1), f(x_2) \in K$. Since K is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of Y ,

$f(x_1x_2) = f(x_1)f(x_2) \in K$. It follows $x_1x_2 \in f^{-1}(K)$. Obviously $0 \in f^{-1}(K)$. Therefore, $f^{-1}(K)$ is an \mathcal{I} -ideal of X .

Let $\eta : X \rightarrow X/A$ be the natural BCI -semigroup homomorphism of X onto X/A . By applying Proposition 2.8, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.9. *Let X be a BCI -semigroup and A be a regular \mathcal{I} -ideal of X . Then there is an one-to-one correspondence between the \mathcal{I} -ideals of X containing A and the \mathcal{I} -ideals of X/A .*

REFERENCES

1. S. S. Ahn and H. S. Kim, *A note on \mathcal{I} -ideals in BCI -semigroups*, Comm. Korean Math. Soc. (to appear).
2. J. Ahsan, A.B. Thaheen, *On ideals in BCK -algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **5** (1977), 167-172.
3. E.Y. Deeba, *On the algebra of ideals of a BCK -algebra*, Math. Japonica **30** (1985), 391-393.
4. K. Iséki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc. Japan. Acad. **42** (1966), 351-366.
5. K. Iséki, *On ideals in BCK -algebra*, Math. Seminar Notes **3** (1975), 1-12.
6. K. Iséki and S. Tanaka, *Ideal theory of BCK -algebras*, Math. Japonica **21** (1976), 351-366.
7. Y.B. Jun, S.M. Hong and E.H. Roh, *BCI -semigroups*, Honam. Math. J. **15** (1993), 59-64.
8. Y. B. Jun, S. S. Ahn, J. Y. Kim and H. S. Kim, *Fuzzy \mathcal{I} -ideals in BCI -semigroups*, submitted.
9. Y. B. Jun, Y. H. Kim, J. Y. Kim and H. S. Kim, *Fuzzy commutative \mathcal{I} -ideals in BCI -semigroups*, submitted.
10. C.Z. Mu and W.H. Xiong, *On ideals in BCK -algebras*, Math. Japonica **36** (1991), 497-501.

*

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
DONGGUK UNIVERSITY
SEOUL 100-715, KOREA

**

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION
CHUNGBUK NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
CHONGJU 361-763, KOREA