

A KOHN-NIRENBERG EXAMPLE USING LOWER DEGREE

JEONG SEON YI

ABSTRACT. We will construct polynomials of degree 6 in z and \bar{z} on \mathbb{C}^2 which gives, via its coefficient β as a parameter, a family of pseudoconvex domains Ω_β in \mathbb{C}^2 with the origin being a boundary point, and show that the domains Ω_β has no peak functions of class C^1 at the origin and has no holomorphic support functions for $1 \leq \beta < \frac{9}{5}$.

1. Introduction

Let us consider a plurisubharmonic function on \mathbb{C}^2

$$(1) \quad \rho_1(z, w) = \operatorname{Re}(w) + p_1(z),$$

where $p_1(z) = |z|^8 + \frac{15}{7}|z|^2 \operatorname{Re} z^6$ for $(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$. Then the pseudoconvex domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by

$$\Omega = \{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \rho_1(z, w) < 0 \}$$

gives the well-known Kohn–Nirenberg example on \mathbb{C}^2 [3]; the domain Ω is Levi pseudoconvex but does not have a holomorphic support function at the origin. In particular, the domain Ω has no peak function of class C^1 at the origin [1].

Recall that for a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and $p \in \partial\Omega$, we say that p has a holomorphic support function for the domain Ω provided that there is

Received January 4, 1995.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 93C32.

Key words and phrases: pseudoconvex, strictly pseudoconvex domains, holomorphic support functions, peak functions.

The author was supported by Hyosung Women's University.

a neighbourhood U_p of p and a holomorphic function $f_p : U_p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\{z \in U_p \mid f_p(z) = 0\} \cap \overline{\Omega} = \{p\}.$$

Now let

$$(2) \quad k(z) = \operatorname{Re} z + p_1(z).$$

Then $k(z)$ is obviously subharmonic, but not convex near 0 in \mathbb{C} along the imaginary axis in \mathbb{C} . Moreover it is easy to see that the function f on \mathbb{C} defined by

$$f(z) = \operatorname{Re}(\alpha z + \beta z^n), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$$

is not convex near 0 for any $\alpha, \beta \neq 0$, and $n \geq 2$ along the line generated by the n -th root of $-\overline{\beta}/|\beta|$.

2. Main propositions

One property of the function k near 0 is as following

LEMMA 1. *There is no holomorphic change of coordinates g near 0 satisfying $g(0) = 0$, $g'(0) = 1$, and $k \circ g(z)$ is convex at 0.*

PROOF. Suppose there is such a function g and consider the Taylor expansion of $k \circ g$ at 0. Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad c_1 = 1.$$

Successive application of the last fact mentioned above shows

$$k \circ g(z) = \operatorname{Re}(z + c_8 z^8) + |z|^8 - \frac{16}{7} \operatorname{Re}(z^7 \bar{z}) + O(|z|^9).$$

When z is real, we get $\operatorname{Re} c_8 \geq \frac{9}{7}$ by convexity at 0. To get a contradiction, let $z = r e^{i \frac{3\pi}{8}}$, $r \geq 0$. Hence

$$k \circ g(z) = \operatorname{Re} z + \{-\operatorname{Re} c_8 + 1 - \frac{16}{7} \operatorname{Re} e^{i \frac{\pi}{4}}\} r^8 + O(r^9).$$

So $k \circ g$ is not convex at 0 since the coefficient of r^8 is negative. This completes the proof.

Now let

$$(3) \quad h(z) = Re\, z + |z|^4 - \alpha |z|^2 Re\, z^2, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{C}.$$

We can choose $\alpha = \frac{4}{3}$ for h to be subharmonic. Then the Laplacian of h is identically zero along the real axis, and h can be convexified by the holomorphic function $g(z) = z + \frac{1}{3}z^4$. Suppose

$$(4) \quad r(z) = Re\, z + |z|^6 - \alpha(z^5 \bar{z} + \bar{z}^5 z).$$

We can choose $\alpha = \frac{9}{10}$ to make r subharmonic, which implies that r is not convex at 0 along the real axis.

LEMMA 2. *There is no holomorphic change of coordinates g such that $g(0) = 0$, $g'(0) = 1$, and $r \circ g(z)$ is convex at 0.*

PROOF. Assume the existence of such a holomorphic change g of coordinate and consider the Taylor expansion of $r \circ g$ near 0. Let

$$g(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n z^n, \quad c_1 = 1.$$

Then

$$r \circ g(z) = Re(z + c_6 z^6) + |z|^6 - \frac{5}{7} |z|^2 Re\, z^4 + O(|z|^7).$$

Hence the coefficient of c_6 of z^6 in the Taylor expansion of $r(g(z))$ is such that $Re\, c_6 \geq \frac{4}{5}$ along the real axis and $Re\, c_6 \leq -\frac{4}{5}$ along the imaginary axis by the convexity of $r \circ g$. Thus r is not convexifiable at 0.

Let

$$(5) \quad \rho_2(z, w) = Re(w) + p_2(z), \quad p_2(z) = \beta |z|^6 - \frac{9}{5} |z|^2 Re\, z^4, \quad \beta \geq 1$$

and a domain Ω_β in \mathbb{C}^2 given by

$$\Omega_\beta = \{ (z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid \rho_2 < 0 \}.$$

Clearly ρ_2 is C^2 -plurisubharmonic in Ω_β as a sum of two C^2 -subharmonic functions on \mathbb{C} . Hence Ω_β is pseudoconvex. It is strictly pseudoconvex at every boundary point except for the line : $z = 0$, $Re\, w = 0$.

REMARK. (1) By adding $|zw|^2$ ($|z|^2 + |w|^2$, resp.) to the plurisubharmonic function $\rho_2(z, w)$, we obtain a strictly pseudoconvex domain except at the origin where it is pseudoconvex (a strictly pseudoconvex domain, resp.)

(2) The domain Ω_β has no peak functions at 0 that extends to be holomorphic in a neighborhood of 0 (for if f were a peak function, then $f(z) - 1$ would be a holomorphic support function at 0.)

The following Proposition shows that the pseudoconvex domains Ω_β has no C^1 peak functions at the origin.

PROPOSITION 3. For $1 \leq \beta < \frac{9}{5}$, let U_β be a neighborhood of $0 \in \partial\Omega_\beta$. Then there exists no function $f \in H(\Omega_\beta \cap U_\beta) \cap C^1(\overline{\Omega}_\beta \cap U_\beta)$ so that $f(0) = 1$ and $|f| < 1$ on $\overline{\Omega}_\beta \cap U_\beta \setminus \{0\}$.

PROOF. Assume there exist such functions f and let $h(z, w) = \operatorname{Re} f(z, w) - 1$. Then $\alpha := \frac{\partial h}{\partial n}(0) > 0$ by the Hopf lemma. If $\epsilon > 0$, there is a neighborhood $U_\epsilon(0)$ of 0 such that

$$\alpha - \epsilon < \frac{\partial h}{\partial n}(z, w) < \alpha + \epsilon \quad \text{whenever} \quad (z, w) \in U_\epsilon(0).$$

For $u < 0$, let

$$\Delta_u = \{(z, u) \mid |z| < \sqrt[6]{5|u|/(5\beta + 9)}\}.$$

Then $\Delta_u \subset \Omega$.

Notice that if $(z, u) \in \Delta_u$ and $z^4 > 0$ we have $(z, t) \in \overline{\Omega}_\beta$ for $u \leq t \leq (\frac{9}{5} - \beta)|z|^6$. Now follow the idea of the proof in [4, p. 123] to establish the following harmonic function h on D satisfying

- (i) $h(0) \geq -\alpha$
- (ii) $h(\tilde{z}) \leq -\alpha(1 - |\tilde{z}|^6)$
- (iii) $h(\tilde{z}) \leq -\alpha(1 - \frac{5\beta - 9}{5\beta + 9}|\tilde{z}|^6)$ whenever $|\tilde{z}|^4 > 0$

where $\tilde{z} := z / \sqrt[6]{5|u|/(5\beta + 9)}$.

Let

$$g(\tilde{z}) = (5\beta + 9) \frac{h(\tilde{z}) + \alpha}{\alpha}.$$

then g is harmonic on the unit disc D in \mathbb{C} , $g(0) = 0$, $g(\tilde{z}) \leq (5\beta + 9)|\tilde{z}|^6$, and $g(\tilde{z}) \leq (5\beta - 9)|\tilde{z}|^6$ if $\tilde{z}^4 > 0$. By the harmonicity of g , there exists a holomorphic function F such that $\operatorname{Re} F = g$ and $F(0) = 0$. Then

$$F(\tilde{z}) = c\tilde{z}^l + O(|\tilde{z}|^{l+1}).$$

Since $g(\tilde{z}) \leq (5\beta + 9)|\tilde{z}|^6$ and $g(\tilde{z}) \leq (5\beta - 9)|\tilde{z}|^6 \leq 0$ when $\tilde{z}^4 > 0$ imply $l = 6$ and $c \neq 0$.

If $\tilde{z}_n = re^{i\frac{n\pi}{4}}$, $n = 0, 2$, then $\tilde{z}_n^4 = r^4 > 0$ and

$$g(\tilde{z}_n) = \operatorname{Re} F(\tilde{z}_n) = r^6 \operatorname{Re} ce^{i\frac{3n\pi}{2}} + O(r^7).$$

Hence

$$g(\tilde{z}_1) + g(\tilde{z}_2) = r^6 \operatorname{Re} c(1 + e^{i3\pi}) + O(r^7) = O(r^7),$$

which contradicts the fact that $g(\tilde{z}) \leq (5\beta - 9)|\tilde{z}|^6$ when $\tilde{z}^4 > 0$. This completes the proof.

The following Proposition gives us another Kohn-Nirenberg example in \mathbb{C}^2 given by a polynomial defining function whose degree in z and \bar{z} is 6 and so lower than that of the defining function for the Kohn-Nirenberg example.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let*

$$\rho_3(z, w) = \operatorname{Re} w + \beta|z|^6 - \frac{9}{5}|z|^2 \operatorname{Re} z^4, \quad \beta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and the pseudoconvex domain Ω_β in \mathbb{C}^2 defined by $\rho_3(z, w) < 0$. Then

- (1) If $\beta \geq \frac{9}{5}$, Ω_β has a holomorphic support function at 0.
- (2) If $1 \leq \beta < \frac{9}{5}$, Ω_β has no holomorphic support function at 0.

REMARK. When $\beta < 1$, the Levi-form of ρ_3 at (z, w) with $\operatorname{Re} w = 0 = \operatorname{Im} z$, $\operatorname{Re} z \neq 0$ is strictly negative if $|z|$ is small.

PROOF. Let $T = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid w = z^8\}$. It suffices to show that T is a holomorphic support manifold at 0. Let $z = re^{i\theta}$ with $r > 0$. Then

$$\rho_3(z, z^8) = 2r^8 \cos^2 4\theta - r^8 + r^6(\beta - \frac{9}{5} \cos 4\theta).$$

If $\cos 4\theta < -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, we have $\rho_3(z, w) \geq 2r^8 \cos^2 4\theta - r^8 > 0$ for all $\beta \geq \frac{9}{5}$. On the other hand, if $\cos 4\theta \geq -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$, then $\rho_3(z, z^8) \geq -r^8 + r^6(\beta - \frac{9}{5} \cos 4\theta) > 0$ for small $r > 0$ if $\beta > \frac{9}{5}$.

When $\beta = \frac{9}{5}$; suppose $\cos 4\theta \neq 1$, then

$$\rho_3(z, z^8) > -r^8 + r^6(\beta - \frac{9}{5} \cos 4\theta) > 0.$$

If $\cos 4\theta = 1$, $\rho_3(z, z^8) > r^8 > 0$. Hence (1) holds.

(2) When the complex tangent space T_0T has a component in the z -direction;

M can be written as a graph $\{w = \phi(z)\}$ over the z -axis. In particular, suppose $\phi(z) = \alpha z^6 + O(|z|^7)$ where $\alpha \neq 0$. Let $z_1 = r$ and $z_2 = ir$, $r > 0$. Then

$$\rho_3(z_1, w_1) + \rho_3(z_2, w_2) = 2(\beta - \frac{9}{5})r^6 + O(r^7) < 0,$$

which contradicts the fact that $(z_n, w_n) \in \Omega_\beta$ for $n = 1, 2$ and $T \cap \bar{\Omega}_\beta = \{0\}$. All other cases when $\phi(z) = \alpha z^l + O(z^{l+1})$ with $l \neq 6$ or when T_0T is the w -axis can be proved by imitating the proof of [5, page 119 or 3, Theorem C].

References

1. Bloom, T., *Peak functions for pseudoconvex domains of strict type*, Duke Math. J45 (1978), 133-147.
2. Fuks, B. A., *Introduction to the theory of analytic functions of several complex variables* (1962), Moscow. (English translation ; Amer.Math. Soc. 19 63).
3. Kohn, J. J. and Nirenberg, L., *A pseudoconvex domains not admitting a holomorphic support functions*, Math. Ann **201** (1973), 265-268.
4. Fornæss, J. E., *Peak points on weakly pseudoconvex domains*, Math. Ann **227** (1977), 173-175.

5. Fornaess, J .E. and Stenson, B., *Lectures on counterexamples in several complex variables* . *Math. notes*, Princeton uni. press, 1987.
6. Hörmander, L., *An introduction to complex analysis in several variables*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1973.
7. Krantz, S. G., *Function theory of several complex variables*, Wadsworth & Brooks /cole, 1992.
8. Rudin, W., *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* , Springer Verlag, 1980.

Department of Mathematics Education
Hyosung Women's University
Kyungbuk 713-702, Korea