

# CANCELLATION OF LOCAL SPHERES WITH RESPECT TO WEDGE AND CARTESIAN PRODUCT

HANS SCHEERER AND HEE-JIN LEE

## 0. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category of (pointed) spaces. For  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  we denote the wedge (or one point union) by  $X \vee Y$  and the cartesian product by  $X \times Y$ . Let  $Z \in \mathcal{C}$ ; we say that  $Z$  cancels with respect to wedge (resp. cartesian product) and  $\mathcal{C}$ , if for all  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$  the existence of a homotopy equivalence  $X \vee Z \rightarrow Y \vee Z$  implies the existence of a homotopy equivalence  $X \rightarrow Y$  (resp. for cartesian product). If this does not hold, we say that there is a non-cancellation phenomenon involving  $Z$  (and  $\mathcal{C}$ ).

Non-cancellation phenomena are studied in various papers. We refer to [5], [8] and [9] for further information in the case of the wedge and to [6], [13] for the case of the product. For  $\mathcal{C}$  the category of 1-connected rational  $CW$ -spaces the question has been studied in [2], [3], [4]. But even in this case it seems not to be known whether cancellation always holds.

Let  $R \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  be a subring. A space  $X$  is called  $R$ -local, if its reduced homology  $\tilde{H}_*(X; Z)$  is an  $R$ -module. Any simply connected  $CW$ -space  $X$  has an  $R$ -localization denoted by  $X_R$  (see [7]). Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the category of spaces of the homotopy type of  $CW$ -complexes; let  $1 - \mathcal{C}$  (resp.  $1 - \mathcal{C}_R$ ) be the subcategory of simply connected (resp. simply connected  $R$ -local) spaces.

In the present paper we shall in particular prove the following results in a rather elementary way:

---

Received June 30, 1994. Revised February 19, 1995.

AMS Classification: Primary 90A12 ; Secondary 54C65.

Key words and phrases: Cancellation of local spheres, wedge cancellation of Moor space, product cancellation of Eilenberg-MacLane space.

This paper was partially supported by KOSEF in 1992 and 1994.

RESULT I. Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  the localization of  $\mathbb{Z}$  away from  $\{p\}$ ,  $p$  a prime.

(1) Then  $S_R^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , cancels with respect to the wedge and  $1 - C_R$ .

(2) Then  $K(R, n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , cancels with respect to the cartesian product and the subcategory of  $1 - C_R$  of spaces of finite type over  $R$ .

RESULT II. Denote by  $p$  the smallest prime not invertable in  $R$ . Then the local sphere  $S_R^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , cancels with respect to cartesian product and the subcategory of  $1 - C_R$  of  $R - CW$ -spaces  $X$  which have finitely generated homology  $H_*(X; R)$  and  $R$ -dimension( $X$ )  $\leq n + 2p - 4$ , provided

(a) either  $n$  is odd and  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ,

(b) or  $n$  is even.

Partially these results were first found by applying algebraizations of tame homotopy theory (in the form of [10] in case of the cartesian product and in the form of [11] in case of the wedge). For the product such an approach is pursued in [1]. In fact, some of the technical calculations below are adapted from [1]. We also note that Result II is still in the spirit of tame homotopy theory.

In Section 1 we shall give a basic simple lemma. In Section 2 we shall more generally discuss wedge cancellation of Moore spaces (resp. cartesian product cancellation of Eilenberg-MacLane spaces) to obtain Result I as a special case. Result II will be proved in Section 3.

## 1. A basic lemma

Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$  (resp.  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ), let  $A, B, U$  be  $R$ -modules and  $U$  monogenic, e.g.  $U \cong R$  or  $U \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $k$ , in case  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ .

Assume that an isomorphism  $\varphi : A \oplus U \rightarrow B \oplus U$  is given. We then do not only want to know that  $A$  and  $B$  are isomorphic, we would like to construct an isomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : A \rightarrow B$  from  $\varphi$  in such a way that in the applications the construction can be realized geometrically. We paraphrase this as follows:

LEMMA. There is a "good" way to construct an isomorphism  $\tilde{\varphi} : A \rightarrow B$  from  $\varphi$ .

*Proof.* Let  $u \in U$  be a generator. We write:

- (i)  $\varphi(\lambda u + a) = u$ ,  $\lambda \in R$ ,  $a \in A$ ;
- (ii)  $\varphi(u) = \tau u + b$ ,  $\tau \in R$ ,  $b \in B$ .

**Case 1.** Let  $\tau \in R^*$ , the set of unit of  $R$ .

Define  $\beta : B \oplus U \rightarrow B \oplus U$  by setting  $(\beta|B)$  the inclusion  $B \rightarrow B \oplus U$  and  $\beta(u) = -\tau^{-1}b + \tau^{-1}u$ . (Note that  $u$  and  $-\tau^{-1}b + \tau^{-1}u$  have the same order). Then  $\beta$  is an isomorphism and  $\beta \circ \varphi(u) = u$ . Hence the composition  $A \hookrightarrow A \oplus U \xrightarrow{\beta \circ \varphi} B \oplus U \xrightarrow{pr} B$  (where  $pr$  is the projection) is an isomorphism, because  $\beta \circ \varphi$  induces an isomorphism on the quotients  $(A \oplus U)/U \rightarrow (B \oplus U)/U$ .

**Case 2.** Let  $\lambda \in R^*$ .

In this case  $\tilde{\varphi} := (pr \circ \varphi)|A$  is already an isomorphism. To see this define  $\alpha : A \oplus U \rightarrow A \oplus U$  by setting  $\alpha|A$  the inclusion  $A \rightarrow A \oplus U$  and  $\alpha(u) = \lambda u + a$ . Then  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism and  $\varphi \circ \alpha(u) = u$ . Then, as above,  $A \hookrightarrow A \oplus U \xrightarrow{\varphi \circ \alpha} B \oplus U \xrightarrow{pr} B$  is an isomorphism, it coincides with  $(pr \circ \varphi)|A$ .

**Case 3.** Let  $\lambda, \tau \notin R^*$  (e.g.  $\lambda = \tau = 0$  in case  $R = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Then we have  $\mu := \tau(1 - \lambda) + 1 \in R^*$  and  $(1 - \lambda) \in R^*$ . Define  $\beta : B \oplus U \rightarrow B$  by  $\beta|B = id_B$  and setting  $\beta(u) = -\mu^{-1}(1 - \lambda)b$ ; set  $\tilde{\varphi} := (\beta \circ \varphi)|A$ .

We claim that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is an isomorphism.

**Injectivity:** Let  $z \in A$  with  $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = 0$ . The kernel of  $\beta$  is generated by  $b + \mu(1 - \lambda)^{-1}u$ . Hence  $\varphi(z) = k(b + \mu(1 - \lambda)^{-1}u)$  for some  $k \in R$ . On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u + a) &= \tau u + b + u - \lambda(\tau u + b) \\ &= \mu u + (1 - \lambda)b \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\varphi(k(1 - \lambda)^{-1}(u + a)) = \varphi(z)$ . Since  $\varphi$  is injective, we have  $k(1 - \lambda)^{-1}(u + a) = z$ ; this implies  $k = 0$ , if the order of  $u$  is infinite, and  $k$  a multiple of  $\text{order}(u)$  in the other case; in both cases it follows  $z = 0$  (because  $\text{order}(a)$  is not larger than  $\text{order}(u)$ ).

**Surjectivity:** Let  $w \in B$  and choose  $k \in R, v \in A$  such that

$\varphi(ku + v) = w$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(v - ka) &= w - \varphi(ku) - \varphi(ka) \\ &= w - \varphi(k(u + a)) \\ &= w - k\mu u - k(1 - \lambda)b.\end{aligned}$$

It follows  $\tilde{\varphi}(v - ka) = \beta \circ \varphi(v - ka) = w + k\mu\mu^{-1}(1 - \lambda)b - k(1 - \lambda)b = w$ .

## 2. Proof of Result I

We first fix some more notation.

A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called an “ $R$ -homology equivalence” (resp. “ $R$ -cohomology equivalence”), if  $H_*(f; R)$  (resp.  $H^*(f; R)$ ) is an isomorphism. For  $X, Y \in 1 - \mathcal{C}_R$  (resp.  $X, Y \in 1 - \mathcal{C}_R$  of finite type over  $R$ )  $f$  is then a homotopy equivalence by the Whitehead theorem.

Let  $V$  be an abelian group. A Moore space  $M(V, n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is a simply connected space with reduced homology  $\tilde{H}_i(M(V, n); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $i \neq n$  and  $H_n(M(V, n); \mathbb{Z}) \cong V$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , let  $V$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and set  $M := M(V, n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $X, Y \in 1 - \mathcal{C}$  and suppose that an  $R$ -homology equivalence*

$$\phi : X \vee M \rightarrow Y \vee M$$

*is given. Then there exists an  $R$ -homology equivalence  $X \rightarrow Y$ .*

**COROLLARY.** *The spaces  $S_R^n$  and  $M(\mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}, n)$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , cancel with respect to the wedge and  $1 - \mathcal{C}_R$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Note that  $R$  is a principal ideal ring, hence  $V$  is a finite direct sum of monogenic  $R$ -modules. Without loss of generality we may therefore assume that  $V$  is monogenic, i.e. either  $V \cong R$  or  $V \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$  for some  $k$ .

Recall that  $\tilde{H}_i(X \vee M; R) \cong \tilde{H}_i(M; R) \oplus \tilde{H}_i(M; R)$  for all  $i$ . Therefore, for  $i \neq n$ , the isomorphisms  $H_i(\phi; R)$  can be identified with the homomorphisms induced by the composition  $X \rightarrow X \vee M \xrightarrow{\phi} Y \vee M \rightarrow Y$ . We now want to derive  $\tilde{\phi} : X \rightarrow Y$  from  $\phi$ , such that  $H_n(\tilde{\phi}; R)$

is an isomorphism by realizing the constructions in the Basic Lemma geometrically and keeping  $H_i(\tilde{\phi}; R) = H_i(\phi; R)$  for  $i \neq n$ .

For convenience, we set  $A := H_n(X; R), B := H_n(Y; R), U := H_n(M; R)$  (note that  $U$  is canonically isomorphic to  $V$ ) and  $\varphi := H_n(\phi; R)$ . Denote by  $u$  a generator of  $U$  and write

- (i)  $\varphi(\lambda u + a) = u, \lambda \in R, a \in A,$
- (ii)  $\varphi(u) = \tau u + b, \tau \in R, b \in B.$

For the sake of simplicity we discuss only Case 3.

For any pointed space  $Z$ , let  $[M, Z]$  denote the group of pointed homotopy classes of pointed maps  $M \rightarrow Z$ . Define a homomorphism  $h : [M, Z] \rightarrow H_n(Z, R)$  by  $[\psi] \mapsto \psi_*(u)$  for  $[\psi] \in [M, Z], u \in H_n(M, R)$  as above. Note that  $[M, M]$  is a (nilpotent)  $R$ -local group, because  $M$  is an  $R$ -local suspension. Hence image ( $h$ ) is an  $R$ -module for all  $Z$ .

Since  $u \in H_n(X \vee M; R)$  is in the image of  $h$ , so is  $\varphi(u) = \tau u + b \in H_n(Y \vee M; R)$  by naturality of  $h$ . Moreover,  $u$  and  $\tau u \in H_n(Y \vee M; R)$  lie in the image of  $h$ . hence we have  $b \in \text{image}(h)$ . Choose  $[\psi] \in [M, Y]$  such that  $h([\psi]) = b$ . Define  $\tilde{\beta} : Y \vee M \rightarrow Y$  by  $\tilde{\beta}|_Y = id_Y$  and  $\tilde{\beta}|_M := -\mu^{-1}(1 - \lambda)\psi$ ; set  $\tilde{\phi} := \tilde{\beta} \circ \phi|_X$ . We then have  $\tilde{\beta}_*(u) = -\mu^{-1}(1 - \lambda)b$  and the construction in the Basic Lemma implies that  $H_n(\tilde{\phi}; R)$  is an isomorphism; in degrees  $i \neq n$  we have  $H_i(\tilde{\phi}; R) = H_i(\phi; R)$ , hence  $\tilde{\phi}$  is an  $R$ -homology equivalence.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , let  $V$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and let  $X, Y \in 1 - \mathcal{C}$  be of finite type over  $R$ . Assume that an  $R$ -cohomology equivalence  $X \times K(V, n) \rightarrow Y \times K(V, n)$  is given. Then there exists an  $R$ -cohomology equivalence  $X \rightarrow Y$ .*

As a corollary we obtain part (2) of Result I.

*Proof.* As above we may suppose that  $V$  is monogenic . Let  $\phi : X \times K(V, n) \rightarrow Y \times K(V, n)$  be an  $R$ -cohomology equivalence. (Note that  $\phi$  is then also an  $R$ -homology equivalence and that all  $\pi_i(\phi) \otimes R$  are isomorphisms[7]). For  $i \neq n$  we may identify the isomorphisms  $\pi_i(\phi) \otimes R$  with the corresponding induced homomorphisms of the composition  $X \rightarrow X \times K(V, n) \xrightarrow{\phi} Y \times K(V, n) \xrightarrow{pr} Y$ . From  $\phi$  we will now construct a map  $\tilde{\phi} : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\pi_i(\tilde{\phi}) \otimes R = \pi_i(\phi) \otimes R$  for  $i \neq n$  and such that  $H^i(\tilde{\phi}; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism for  $i \leq n$ ; then, in particular,  $\pi_n(\tilde{\phi}) \otimes R$  is surjective (see [7]). From  $\pi_n(X) \otimes R \cong$

$\pi_n(Y) \otimes R$  it then follows that  $\pi_n(\tilde{\phi}) \otimes R$  is an isomorphism; hence  $\tilde{\phi}$  is an  $R$ -homology equivalence.

Set  $\varphi := H^n(\phi; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $A := H^n(Y; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $B := H^n(X; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $U := H^n(K(V, n); \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  with generator  $u \in U$ . Note that  $H^n(X \times K(V, n); \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong B \oplus U$ ,  $H^n(Y \times K(V, n); \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) \cong A \oplus U$ . Write

- (i)  $\varphi(\lambda u + a) = u$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $a \in A$ ,
- (ii)  $\varphi(u) = \tau u + b$ ,  $\tau \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $b \in B$ .

Let us again only consider Case 3; note that the base ring is now  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ , hence we have  $\lambda = \tau = 0$ . Define a map  $\tilde{\beta} : X \rightarrow X \times K(V, n)$  such that the first component  $\beta_1 = id_X$  and second component  $\beta_2 : X \rightarrow K(V, n)$  satisfies  $\beta_2^*(u) = -b$ . Such a map  $\beta_2$  exists by the following reasoning: Let  $r : V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  be reduction mod  $p$ . Clearly,  $u = r_*(\tilde{u})$  where  $\tilde{u} \in H^n(K(V, n); V)$  is the fundamental class. Hence  $\varphi(u) = b = r_*(H^n(\phi; V)(\tilde{u}))$ . Observe that  $H^n(X \times K(V, n); V)$  admits a canonical direct sum decomposition as  $H^n(X; V) \oplus H^n(K(V, n); V)$ . Writing correspondingly  $H^n(\phi; V)(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{u}_1 + \tilde{u}_2$  we have  $r_*(\tilde{u}_1) = b$  and  $r_*(\tilde{u}_2) = 0$ . Choose  $\beta_2$  as the map  $X \rightarrow K(V, n)$  including  $-\tilde{u}_1$ . Then, according to the Basic Lemma  $\tilde{\phi} := pr \circ \phi \circ \tilde{\beta} : X \rightarrow Y$  induces an isomorphism  $H^n(\tilde{\phi}; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  whereas  $H^i(\tilde{\phi}; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}) = H^i(\phi; \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$  for  $i < n$ . Thus  $\tilde{\phi}$  is as required above.

### 3. Proof of Result II

We first recall shortly the notion of  $R$ -dimension. A 1-connected  $R$ -local CW-complex of  $R$ -dimension  $m$  is built from a point by successively attaching reduced cones on  $R$ -local spheres  $S_R^n$ ,  $1 \leq n < m$ .

Let  $n$  be odd,  $n \geq 3$  and let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ . For any  $X \in 1 - \mathcal{C}_R$  denote by  $P^k(X)$  the  $k$ -th Postnikov section of  $X$ . Note that  $P^m(S_R^n)$  is an Eilenberg-MacLane space  $K(R, n)$  for  $m = n + 2\bar{p} - 4$  by [12].

Let  $X, Y$  be 1-connected  $R$ -local CW-complexes of finite type over  $R$  and with  $R$ -dimension( $X$ ),  $R$ -dimension( $Y$ )  $\leq m$ . Let  $X \times S_R^n$  and  $Y \times S_R^n$  be homotopy equivalent. Then  $P^m(X \times S_R^n) \simeq P^m(X) \times P^m(S_R^n) \simeq P^m(Y \times S_R^n) \simeq P^m(Y) \times P^m(S_R^n)$ . By Theorem 2  $P^m(X)$  and  $P^m(Y)$  are homotopy equivalent. It then follows  $X \simeq Y$  (by the condition on the dimensions).

Thus part (1) is proved.

Let now  $n$  be even,  $n \geq 2$ , and let a homotopy equivalence  $\phi : X \times S_R^n \rightarrow Y \times S_R^n$  be given,  $X, Y \in 1 - \mathcal{C}_R$ .

**Hilfssatz:** Let  $u \in H^n(S_R^n; R)$  be a generator. Write  $H^n(\phi, R)(\lambda u + b) = u$  with  $\lambda \in R, b \in H^n(Y; R)$  and  $H^n(\phi; R)(u) = \tau u + a, \tau \in R, a \in H^n(X, R)$ . Then, if  $\lambda \neq 0$  (resp.  $\tau \neq 0$ ) we have  $\lambda \in R^*$  (resp.  $\tau \in R^*$ ) and  $H^n(\phi; R)(u) = \sigma u$  with  $\sigma \in R^*$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\lambda \neq 0$  (the case  $\tau \neq 0$  is similar). Then  $0 = H^{2n}(\phi; R)(\lambda u + b)^2 = H^{2n}(\phi; R)(\lambda^2 u^2 + 2\lambda u b + b^2) = H^{2n}(\phi; R)(2\lambda u b + b^2)$ . Hence  $2\lambda u b + b^2 = 0$ ; this implies  $2\lambda b = 0$  and  $b^2 = 0$ . As a consequence  $H^n(\phi; R)(2\lambda^2 u) = 2\lambda u - H^n(\phi; R)(2\lambda b) = 2\lambda u$ . We deduce  $\lambda \in R^*$  and  $H^n(\phi; R)(u) = \sigma u$  with  $\sigma \in R^*$ .

**PROPOSITION.** *Let in addition  $H^*(X; R)$  and  $H^*(Y; R)$  be finitely generated  $R$ -modules. Suppose that the situation of the Hilfssatz applies for a homotopy equivalence  $\phi : X \times S_R^n \rightarrow Y \times S_R^n$ . Then there is a homotopy equivalence  $X \rightarrow Y$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $H^*(X \times S_R^n) \cong H^*(X; R) \oplus H^*(X; R) \cdot u$  and similarly for  $H^*(Y \times S_R^n; R)$ . We assume  $H^*(\phi; R)(u) = \sigma u$ . It follows that  $H^*(\phi; R)$  maps  $H^*(Y; R) \cdot u$  into  $H^*(X; R) \cdot u$ . As  $R$ -modules  $H^*(X; R)$  and  $H^*(X; R) \cdot u$  are isomorphic. Hence  $H^*(\phi; R)$  induces an isomorphism of quotient modules  $H^*(Y; R) \cong H^*(Y \times S_R^n)/H^*(Y; R) \cdot u$  and  $H^*(X; R) \cong H^*(X \times S_R^n)/H^*(X; R) \cdot u$ . Therefore the map  $X \hookrightarrow X \times S_R^n \xrightarrow{\phi} Y \times S_R^n \xrightarrow{pr} Y$  is an  $R$ -cohomology equivalence.

It remains to study the case  $\lambda = \tau = 0$ , that is

- (i)  $H^n(\phi; R)(b) = u, b \in H^n(Y; R)$ ,
- (ii)  $H^n(\phi; R)(u) = a, a \in H^n(X; R)$ .

Note that the Basic Lemma, Case 3, can be applied here without the assumption  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ , because  $\mu = (1 - \lambda) = 1$  in this simple situation. But we still have to check geometric realizability. Here we need the assumption  $R$ -dimension( $X$ ),  $R$ -dimension( $Y$ )  $\leq m = n + 2\bar{p} - 4$ .

Suppose first  $m < 2n - 1$ ; then  $P^m(S_R^n) \simeq K(R, n)$  and the argument can be completed as in the proof of part (1).

Assume now  $m \geq 2n - 1$ . Then  $P^m(S_R^n)$  fits into the fibre square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P^m(S_R^n) & \longrightarrow & E \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K(R, n) & \xrightarrow{c'} & K(R, 2n) \end{array}$$

where  $E \rightarrow K(R, 2n)$  is the path fibration (see [12]) and where  $c^2$  denotes the map inducing the square of the fundamental class in  $H^n(K(R, n); R)$ .

Define a map  $\bar{\beta} = (\bar{\beta}_1, \bar{\beta}_2) : X \rightarrow X \times S_R^n$  by setting  $\bar{\beta}_1 = id_X$  and choosing  $\bar{\beta}_2$  such that  $H^n(\bar{\beta}_2; R)(u) = -a$ . Such a map exists, because  $a^2 = 0$  and  $R$ -dimension( $X$ ) is restricted. We claim that the composition  $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$  of  $X \xrightarrow{\bar{\beta}} X \times S_R^n \xrightarrow{\phi} Y \times S_R^n \xrightarrow{pr} Y$  is an  $R$ -cohomology equivalence. (In the following we will omit the coefficients  $R$  from the notation).

### Injectivity of $\psi^*$ :

Let  $z \in H^l(Y)$  with  $\psi^*(z) = 0$ . Set  $\phi^*(z) = cu + c'$  with  $c, c' \in H^*(X)$ ; then  $\psi^*(z) = -ca + c' = 0$  and  $c' = ca$ .

Recall that  $\phi^*(u + b) = a + u$ .

Set  $c = \phi^*(eu + e')$ ,  $c, e' \in H^*(Y)$ . Then  $\phi^*(z) = c(a + u) = \phi^*((eu + e').(u + b)) = \phi^*(eub + e'u + e'b)$ , i.e.

$$z = eub + e'u + e'b = u(be + e') + e'b.$$

It follows  $be + e' = 0$ , hence  $z = e'b = -b^2e = 0$ , because  $b^2 = 0$ .

Thus  $H^l(\psi)$  is injective as long as  $H^l(\phi)$  is.

### Surjectivity of $\psi^*$ :

Let  $\omega \in H^l(X)$ . Assume  $\phi^*(xu + v) = \omega$  for  $x, v \in H^*(Y)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^*(v - xb) &= \omega - \phi^*(xu) - \phi^*(xb) \\ &= \omega - \phi^*(x(u + b)) \\ &= \omega - (\phi^*(x))(a + u). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^*(v - xb) &= \beta^*\phi^*(v - xb) = \bar{\beta}^*(\omega - (\phi^*(x))(a + u)) \\ &= \omega - (\bar{\beta}^*\phi^*(x))(a - a) = \omega. \end{aligned}$$



## References

1. Bierbrauer, G., *Quasi-minimale Modelle und Kürzen von Homotopieäquivalenzen*, Doctoral Dissertation. Fachbereich Mathematik der Universität Gesamthochschule Siegen, 1978. (Zbl. Math. 642.55006).
2. Body, R., Douglas, R., *Rational homotopy and unique factorization*, Pacific J. Math. **75** (1978), 331-338.
3. ———, *Unique factorization of rational homotopy types*, Pacific J. Math. **90** (1980), 21-26.
4. Douglas, R., Renner, L., *Uniqueness of product and coproduct decompositions in rational homotopy theory*, Transactions Amer. Math. Soc. **264** (1981), 165-180.
5. Molnar, E. A., *Relation between wedge cancellation and localization for complexes with two cells*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **3** (1973), 141-158.
6. Hilton, P., Mislin, G., Roitberg, J., *Sphere bundles over spheres and non-cancellation phenomena*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **6** (1972), 15-23.
7. ———, *Localization of nilpotent groups and spaces*, Math. Studies **15** North Holland Publishing Company (1975).
8. Llerena, I., *Wedge cancellation of certain mapping cones*, Compositio Math. **81** (1992), 1-17.
9. ———, *Power-cancellation of CW-complexes with few cells. Publications Mathematiques. To appear.*
10. Scheerer, H., *Report on tame homotopy theory via differential forms*, In Algebraic Topology, Rational Homotopy. Proceedings, Louvain-La-Neuve 1986; Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1318, Springer-Verlag, (1988), 192-207.
11. Scheerer, H., Tanré, D., *R-local homotopy theory as part of tame homotopy theory*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **22** (1990), 591-598.
12. Serre, J. P., *Groupes d'homotopie et classes de groupes abéliens.*, Ann. of Math. **58** (1953), 258-294.
13. Zabrodsky, A., *Hopf spaces*, Mathematics Studies **22** North-Holland Publishing Company, 1976.

Hans Scheerer  
 Mathematisches Institut  
 Freie Universität Berlin  
 Arnimallee 3-5, 14195 Berlin, Germany

Hee-Jin Lee  
 Department of Mathematics Education  
 Chonbuk National University  
 Chonju, Chonbuk 561-756, Korea