

CONVERGENCE OF WAVELET EXPANSIONS AT DISCONTINUITY

HONG-TAE SHIM

1. Introduction

Let ϕ be an orthogonal scaling function, i.e., $\phi : R \rightarrow R$ is a square integrable function having the properties:

1. the functions $\phi(x - n), n \in Z$, form an orthonormal system in $L^2(R)$.
2. the multiresolution subspaces V_m , of $L^2(R), m \in Z$ defined as the closed linear spans of orthogonal systems $\phi_{mn}(x) = 2^{\frac{m}{2}} \phi(2^m x - n), n \in Z$, are nested;

$$\cdots \subset V_{-2} \subset V_{-1} \subset V_0 \subset V_1 \subset V_2 \cdots$$

3. the union of the spaces $V_m, m \in Z$, is dense in $L^2(R)$.

Then by the first property the orthogonal projection P_m of $f \in L^2(R)$ onto V_m is given by

$$(1.1) \quad P_m f = \sum_{n \in Z} \langle f, \phi_{mn} \rangle \phi_{mn},$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denote the scalar product in $L^2(R)$. Because of the second and third property, the sequence $P_m f$ converges to f in the $L^2(R)$ norm as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for every $f \in L^2(R)$. In fact, $P_m f$ is a partial sum of the wavelet expansion associated with the given scaling function. Let ψ be a corresponding (mother)wavelet, i.e., a function in V_1 such that the system $\psi(x - n), n \in Z$, forms an orthonormal basis of the orthogonal complement of V_0 within V_1 . Then the system $\psi_{mn}(x) =$

Received July 28, 1996.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 40A05, 41A30, 41A58.

Key words and phrases: scaling function, wavelet, orthogonal system, wavelet expansion.

$2^{\frac{m}{2}}\psi(2^m x - n), m, n \in Z$, is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(R)$; every function $f \in L^2(R)$ admits the $L^2(R)$ convergent wavelet expansion

$$(1.2) \quad f = \sum_{m,n \in Z} \langle f, \psi_{mn} \rangle \psi_{mn}.$$

Now $P_m f$ is the partial sum

$$P_m f = \sum_n \sum_{k < m} \langle f, \psi_{kn} \rangle \psi_{kn}.$$

We assume, for some constant K ,

$$(1.3) \quad |\phi(x)| \leq K(1 + |x|)^{-\beta} \quad \text{for } x \in R, \beta > 1.$$

Then it is possible to interchange sum and integral in (1.1)(see[1]). So we can write P_m as an integral operator

$$(P_m f)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} 2^m q(2^m x, 2^m y) f(y) dy,$$

where the kernel $q(x, y)$ is defined by

$$q(x, y) = \sum_{n \in Z} \phi(x - n) \phi(y - n) \quad \text{for } x, y \in R.$$

For $\beta > 1$, we also have $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q(x, y) dx = 1$ (see also[1],[3, p33]). For the trigonometric series the following fact is well known:

PROPOSITION [4, P57]. *Suppose f is integrable and 2π periodic, and of bounded variation in an interval I . If $f(x^+)$ and $f(x^-)$ exist at $x \in I$, then the Fourier series of f converges to $\frac{1}{2}\{f(x^+) + f(x^-)\}$. Moreover, if f is continuous on I , then the Fourier series converges uniformly on any closed subinterval of I .*

For wavelet expansions, Walter[2] has shown the following fact:

PROPOSITION. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$, continuous on (a, b) and let f_m be the projection of f onto V_m , then

$$f_m \rightarrow f \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly on compact subsets of (a, b) .

So it is natural to ask if wavelet expansions have the same property as the Fourier series has at point of discontinuity.

2. Wavelet expansions at discontinuity

In [2], it is assumed that $\phi(x) \in S_r, r \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e., $|\phi^k(x)| \leq C_{pk}(1 + |x|)^{-p}, k = 0, \dots, r, p \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in \mathbb{R}$. But we don't assume any regularity condition on ϕ . In this paper we only assume the decay condition in (1.3).

LEMMA 1. Let $p(x) = \int_x^\infty q(x, y)dy$ and $p_m(x) = \int_{2^m x}^\infty q(2^m x, y)dy$; then we have

- (i) $p(x)$ is 1-periodic function.
- (ii) for $x = 2^{-k}j, j \in \mathbb{Z}, p_m(x) = p(0)$ whenever $m \geq k$.

Proof. (i) follows by observing $q(x, y) = q(x + k, y + k), k \in \mathbb{Z}$;

$$\begin{aligned} p(x + 1) &= \int_{x+1}^\infty q(x + 1, y)dy = \int_x^\infty q(x + 1, s + 1)ds \\ &= \int_x^\infty q(x, s)ds = p(x). \end{aligned}$$

For (ii), we have $p_m(x) = p(2^m x) = p(2^{m-k}j)$. If $m \geq k$, then $p_m(x) = p(\text{some integer})$. Hence $p_m(x) = p(0)$ by (i). \square

THEOREM 1. Suppose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ is piecewise continuous. Let x be a dyadic rational, i.e., $x = 2^{-k}j$, for $j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$; then

$$(P_m f)(x) = f_m(x) \longrightarrow \alpha f(x^+) + (1 - \alpha)f(x^-) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\alpha = \int_0^\infty q(0, y)dy$.

Proof. It can be proved by observing the orthogonal projection $P_m f$ of f onto V_m ;

$$\begin{aligned} (P_m f)(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^\infty q_m(x, y)f(y)dy \\ &= \int_x^\infty q_m(x, y)f(y)dy + \int_{-\infty}^x q_m(x, y)f(y)dy \\ &= \int_{2^m x}^\infty q(2^m x, s)f(2^{-m}s)ds + \int_{-\infty}^{2^m x} q(2^m x, s)f(2^{-m}s)ds; \end{aligned}$$

by taking $x = 2^{-k}j$,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_m f)(x) &= \int_{2^{m-k}j}^\infty q(2^{m-k}j, s)f(2^{-m}s)ds \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{2^{m-k}j} q(2^{m-k}j, s)f(2^{-m}s)ds; \end{aligned}$$

by taking $y = s - 2^{m-k}j$ and $m \geq k$,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_m f)(x) &= \int_0^\infty q(2^{m-k}j, y + 2^{m-k}j)f(2^{-m}y + 2^{-k}j)dy \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 q(2^{m-k}j, y + 2^{m-k}j)f(2^{-m}y + 2^{-k}j)dy \\ &= \int_0^\infty q(0, y)f(2^{-m}y + 2^{-k}j)dy \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 q(0, y)f(2^{-m}y + 2^{-k}j)dy \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality, we have used Lemma 1. By (1.3), we have $|q(x, y)| \leq C(1 + |x - y|)^{-\beta}$, $\beta > 1$ [1]. So by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain as $m \rightarrow \infty$

$$\int_0^\infty q(0, y)f(x^+)dy + \int_{-\infty}^0 q(0, y)f(x^-)dy = \alpha f(x^+) + (1 - \alpha)f(x^-),$$

where $\alpha = \int_0^\infty q(0, y)dy = 1 - \int_{-\infty}^0 q(0, y)dy$. \square

COROLLARY. Suppose scaling function $\phi(x)$ is even; then

$$(P_m f)(x) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \{f(x^+) + f(x^-)\} \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty,$$

for all dyadic rational x .

Proof. By the evenness of ϕ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} q(0, -y) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(-n) \phi(-y - n) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi(n) \phi(y + n) \\ &= q(0, y), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} q(0, y) dy = \int_0^{\infty} q(0, y) dy + \int_{-\infty}^0 q(0, y) dy \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} q(0, y) dy + \int_0^{\infty} q(0, -y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $2 \int_0^{\infty} q(0, y) dy = 1$, and we take α as $\frac{1}{2}$ in Theorem 1. \square

For an example, we show the wavelet expansion of the Haar system does not converge at non-dyadic rational.

EXAMPLE. Let ϕ be the scaling function for Haar wavelet. We consider a function f defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \geq 2 \\ 1, & \frac{1}{3} \leq x < 2 \\ 0, & x < \frac{1}{3} \end{cases}.$$

Then, by using the notations in Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (P_m f)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= \int_{\frac{1}{3}}^2 2^m q\left(\frac{2^m}{3}, 2^m y\right) dy \\ &= \int_{\frac{2^m}{3}}^{2^{m+1}} q\left(\frac{2^m}{3}, t\right) dt = p\left(\frac{2^m}{3}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $q(x, y) = \phi(y - [x])$, $[x] :=$ the greatest integer no bigger than x , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_m\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= p\left(\frac{2^m}{3}\right) = \int_{\frac{2^m}{3}}^{\left[\frac{2^m}{3}\right]+1} dt \\
 &= 1 + \left[\frac{2^m}{3}\right] - \frac{2^m}{3} = 1 - \left(\frac{2^m}{3} - \left[\frac{2^m}{3}\right]\right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_0\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) = 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3} \\
 P_1\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= p\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) = 1 - \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{3} \\
 P_2\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= p\left(\frac{4}{3}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{4}{3} - 1\right) = \frac{2}{3} \\
 P_3\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= p\left(\frac{8}{3}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{8}{3} - 2\right) = \frac{1}{3} \\
 P_4\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) &= p\left(\frac{16}{3}\right) = 1 - \left(\frac{16}{3} - 5\right) = \frac{2}{3} \\
 &\vdots
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows $(P_m f)\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)$ does not converge as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

Even though the Shannon scaling function $\phi(x) = \frac{\sin \pi x}{\pi x}$ does not satisfy the decay condition in (1.3), it has the same property as the Fourier series does under an additional condition.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose ϕ is the scaling function associated with the Shannon wavelet. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ be piecewise continuous and satisfy the Lipschitz condition to the left and right side of $x \in \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$(P_m f)(x) \longrightarrow \frac{1}{2} \{f(x^+) + f(x^-)\} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. From Lemma 1, for all $m \in Z$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_m(x) &= p(2^m x) = \int_{2^m x}^{\infty} q(2^m x, y) dy \\ &= \int_{2^m x}^{\infty} \frac{\sin \pi(2^m x - y)}{\pi(2^m x - y)} dy \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2} . \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (P_m f)(x) &= \int_x^{\infty} q_m(x, y) f(y) dy + \int_{-\infty}^x q_m(x, y) f(y) dy \\ &= \int_{2^m x}^{\infty} q(2^m x, s) f(2^{-m} s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^{2^m x} q(2^m x, s) f(2^{-m} s) ds \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} q(2^m x, 2^m x + t) f(2^{-m} t + x) dt \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 q(2^m x, 2^m x + t) f(2^{-m} t + x) dt \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t} f(2^{-m} t + x) dt + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t} f(2^{-m} t + x) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now we consider the following calculation;

$$\begin{aligned} (P_m f)(x) &- \frac{1}{2} \{f(x^+) + f(x^-)\} \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\sin 2^m \pi t}{\pi t} \{f(t + x) - f(x^+)\} dt \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 \frac{\sin 2^m \pi t}{\pi t} \{f(t + x) - f(x^-)\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

We show that the first integral converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then the

second integral has the same result by the same manner.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \frac{\sin 2^m \pi t}{\pi t} \{f(t+x) - f(x^+)\} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \sin 2^m \pi t \left\{ \frac{f(t+x) - f(x^+)}{\pi t} \right\} dt \\ &+ \int_1^\infty \sin 2^m \pi t \frac{f(t+x)}{\pi t} dt \\ &- f(x^+) \int_1^\infty \frac{\sin 2^m \pi t}{\pi t} dt \\ &= I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

To finish the proof, we need to show $I_i \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Indeed we have the following estimate: by the Lipschitz condition for f , we get

$$\left| \frac{f(t+x) - f(x^+)}{\pi t} \right| \leq M, \quad \text{for a constant } M.$$

This tells $\frac{f(t+x) - f(x^+)}{\pi t} \in L^1(0, 1)$ and by using the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, we obtain $I_1 \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. For I_2 , since $\frac{f(t+x)}{t} \in L^1(1, \infty)$ we again obtain $I_2 \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma. For I_3 , direct calculation shows

$$I_3 = \left[-\frac{\cos 2^m \pi t}{2^m \pi} \frac{1}{t} \right]_1^\infty - \int_1^\infty \frac{\cos 2^m \pi t}{2^m \pi} \frac{1}{t^2} dt,$$

which converges to 0 as $m \rightarrow \infty$. \square

References

1. H.-T. Shim and H. Volkmer, *On Gibbs phenomenon for wavelet expansions*, J. Approx. Theory **84** (1996), 74-95.
2. Gilbert G. Walter, *Wavelets and Other Orthogonal Systems With Applications*, CRC Press, Inc., Boca Raton, 1994.
3. Yves Meyer, *Wavelets and operators*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1992.

Convergence of wavelet expansions at discontinuity

4. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1957.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUN MOON UNIVERSITY, #100 KALSAN-RI, TANGI
EONG-MYEON, ASAN-SI, CHOONGNAM 336-840, KOREA

E-mail: hongtae@omega.sunmoon.ac.kr