THE VOLUME/DIAMETER RATIO PINCHING SPHERE THEOREMS

Jongkook Yun

1. Introduction

In this paper, M will always denote an n--dimensional complete Riemannian manifold and ω_n is the volume of S^n .

Wu [Wu] showed that if $K(M) \geq 1$, then $\frac{vol(M)}{d(M)} \leq \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}$ and the equality holds iff M is isometric to S^n or P^n . Here we come across a natural question, that is, what can we say about M if $K(M) \geq 1$ and $\frac{vol(M)}{d(M)}$ is sufficiently close to $\frac{\omega_n}{\pi}$?

This paper is concerned with this problem and we established the following pinching theorem:

THEOREM A. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if M is an n--dimensional Riemannian manifold with $K(M) \geq 1$, $\frac{\omega_n}{\pi} - \varepsilon \leq \frac{vol(M)}{d(M)} \leq \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}$, then M is diffeomorphic to S^n or P^n .

In 1988, Durumeric proved the following theorem: Let (M, g_k) be a sequence of smooth Riemannian metrics with $1 \leq K(M, g_k) \leq K, d(M, g_k)$ converging to $\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $vol(M, g_k)$ converging to $\frac{\omega_n}{2}$. Then there exists a subsequence converging to (P^n, can) in the Hausdorff-Lipschitz sense. Now we can see that the proof of Theorem A implies that the above theorem can be generalized.

Generally, under $Ric(M) \geq n-1$, the inequality in the [Wu] is not true, but we may obtain some analogous results by pinching the excess of M. Recall that the excess of M is defined by $e(M) = \min_{p,q \in M} \max_{x \in M} e_{p,q}(x)$, where $e_{p,q}(x) = d(p,x) + d(q,x) - d(p,q)$.

Received May 22, 1996.

¹⁹⁹¹ AMS Subject Classification: 53C20, 53C21.

Key words and phrases: volume/diameter ratio, curvatures, excess, volume comparison theorem.

THEOREM B. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if M is an n--dimensional Riemannian manifold with $n-1 \leq Ric(M) \leq C$, $\frac{\omega_n}{\pi} - \varepsilon \leq \frac{vol(M)}{d(M)}$ and $e(M) < \varepsilon$, then M is diffeomorphic to S^n .

Recall that under $Ric(M) \geq n-1$, if vol(M) is close to ω_n , then it follows immediately that d(M) is close to π and e(M) is close to zero. So in the above theorem, the volume/diameter and excess conditions can be repalted by volume condition

THEOREM C. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if M is an n--dimensional Riemannian manifold with $Ric(M) \geq n-1, K(M) \geq k \in R, \frac{\omega_n}{\pi} - \varepsilon \leq \frac{vol(M)}{d(M)}$ and $e(M) < \varepsilon$, then M is diffeomorphic to S^n .

By the proof of Theorem C, we have

THEOREM D. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if M is an n--dimensional Riemannian manifold with $Ric(M) \geq n - 1$, $\frac{\omega_n}{\pi} - \varepsilon \leq \frac{vol(M)}{d(M)}$ and $e(M) < \varepsilon$, then M is homeomorphic to S^n .

Using C^{α} -compactness theorem in [AC], we easily obtain

THEOREM E. Given an integer $n \geq 2$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if M is an n--dimensional Riemannian manifold with $Ric(M) \geq n-1, inj(M) \geq \rho > 0, \frac{\omega_n}{\pi} - \varepsilon \leq \frac{vol(M)}{d(M)}$ and $e(M) < \varepsilon$, then M is diffeomorphic to S^n .

2. Proof of the theorems

Throughout this section, we adopt the convention that if $p \in M$, then $B_p(r) = \{x \in M : d(x,p) < r\}$ and $\tilde{B}(r)$ is the metric r ball in S^n . Recall that the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison theorem says that if $Ric(M) \ge n - 1$, then $vol(\tilde{B}(r)) \ge vol(B_p(r))$ for each r.

Proof of Theorem A. Suppose not! Then there exists a sequence of manifolds M_i such that $K(M_i) \geq 1$, $\frac{vol(M_i)}{d(M_i)} \rightarrow \frac{\omega}{\pi}$ and M_i is neither diffeomorphic to S^n nor P^n . By passing to a subsequece, if necessary, we may assume $d(M_i) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all i or $d(M_i) > \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all i.

Case 1. $d(M_i) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all i: Note that there exists a space M such that $M_i \to M$ in the Gromov-Haussdorff topology. let l = d(M) Then $d(M_i) =: l_i \to l \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and we have $vol(M_i) \to \frac{\omega_n}{\pi} l$, or

$$\frac{vol(M_i)}{\omega_n} \le \frac{\int_0^{l_i} \sin^{n-1} r dr}{\int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} r dr} \le \frac{l_i}{\pi} - \frac{l}{\pi}.$$

Thus

$$\frac{\int_{0}^{l} \sin^{n-1} r dr}{l} = \frac{\int_{0}^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} r dr}{\pi}.$$

Now since $f(x) = \frac{\int_0^x \sin^{n-1} r dr}{x}$ is strictly increasing function of $x (\leq \frac{\pi}{2})$, we have $l = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $vol(M_i) \to \frac{\omega_n}{2}$. Now by [OSY], we have M_i is diffeomorphic to P^n for large i, which is a contradiction.

CASE 2. $d(M_i) > \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all i: In Lemma 3.2 of [GP], we have the following: Suppose $M_i \to X$ in the Gromov-Haussdorff topology and $K(M_i) \geq 1$, $d(M_i) = D \in [\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi]$, $vol(M_i) \to \frac{D}{\pi} vol(S^n)$ and $M_i \neq P^n$, then D must be π . The proof of this relies essentially on the volume limit argument and a slight modification of this proof makes it possible to say that " $d(M_i) = D$ " above can be replaced by " $d(M_i) \to D$ ". Consequently, we have in our situation that $vol(M_i) \to vol(S^n)$ an dM_i is diffeomorphic to S^n for large i, which is a contradiction. \square

Let M be a complete Riemannian manifold with $Ric(M) \geq n-1, e(M)=0$. Then it is easy to see that $M=\bar{B}_p(\alpha)\cup \bar{B}_p(\beta)$ for any $\alpha>0, \beta>0$ with $\alpha+\beta=d(M)$ and $e_{p,q}(x)=0$ for every $x\in M$. Note that d(M)=d(p,q), since $d(M)\leq e(M)+d(p,q)$, if $e(M)=\max_x e_{p,q}(x)$. Similarly, if M is a complete Riemannian manifold with $Ric(M)\geq n-1, e(M)<\varepsilon$, then we have $M=\bar{B}_p(\alpha+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})\cup \bar{B}_p(\beta+\frac{\varepsilon}{2})$, where $e(M)=\max_x e_{p,q}(x)$ and $\alpha+\beta=d(p,q)$.

Proof of Theorem B. Consider a sequence of manifolds M_i such that $n-1 \leq Ric(M_i) \leq C, \frac{\omega_n}{\pi} - \varepsilon_i \leq \frac{vol(M_i)}{d(M_i)}$ and $e(M_i) < \varepsilon_i$, where $\lim_{i \to \infty} \varepsilon_i = 0, \varepsilon_i > 0$. Passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we assume that $d(M_i) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all i or $d(M_i) > \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all i.

CASE 1. $d(M_i) > \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all i: Let p_i, q_i be the points satisfying $\max_x e_{p_i,q_i}(x) = e(M_i)$, and put $d_i = d(p_i,q_i)$. From $d(M_i) \leq e(M_i)$ +

 $d(p_i,q_i)$, it follow immediately that $d:=\lim_{i\to\infty}d_i=\lim_{i\to\infty}d(M_i)\geq \frac{\pi}{2}$. So we can choose α_i,β_i so that $\alpha_i+\beta_i=d_i$ and $\alpha_i\uparrow\frac{\pi}{2},\ \beta_i\uparrow d\frac{\pi}{2}$. Now we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{vol(M_i)}{d(M_i)} &\leq \frac{1}{d_i} \left\{ vol(B_{p_i}(\alpha_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{2})) + vol(B_{q_i}(\beta_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{2})) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d_i} \left\{ vol(\tilde{B}(\alpha_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{2})) + vol(\tilde{B}(\beta_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{2})) \right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{d_i} \left\{ \omega_{n-1} \int_0^{\alpha_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{2}} \sin^{n-1}t dt + \omega_{n-1} \int_0^{\beta_i + \frac{\epsilon_i}{2}} \sin^{n-1}t dt \right\} \\ &= \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{d_i} \left\{ \int_0^{\alpha_i} \sin^{n-1}t dt + \int_0^{\beta_i} \sin^{n-1}t dt \right\} + \delta_i \\ &\leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{d_i} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1}t dt + \frac{\beta_i}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1}t dt \right\} + \delta_i \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\pi} + \delta_i, \end{split}$$

where $\delta_i \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Since $\frac{vol(M_i)}{d(M_i)} \to \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}$, we obtain by letting $i \to \infty$, that $\frac{1}{d-\pi/2} \int_0^{d-\pi/2} \sin^{n-1} t dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t dt$. Consequently, $d - \pi/2 = \pi/2$ or $d = \pi$. So $vol(M_i) \to \omega_n$ and the result follows by [AC].

Case 2. $d(M_i) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ for all i: In this case, we observed that $d(M_i) \to \frac{\pi}{2}$ previously. Under the same setting as in case 1, choose α_i , β_i so that $\alpha_i \uparrow \pi/3$, $\beta_i \uparrow \pi/6$. Then we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{vol(M_i)}{d(M_i)} &\leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{d_i} \left\{ \int_0^{\alpha_i} \sin^{n-1} t dt + \int_0^{\beta_i} \sin^{n-1} t dt \right\} + \delta_i \\ &\leq \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{d_i} \left\{ \frac{\alpha_i}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t dt + \frac{\beta_i}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1} t dt \right\} + \delta_i \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\pi} + \delta_i. \end{split}$$

By letting $i \to \infty$, we have a contradiction to the strict increasing property of $f(x) = \frac{\int_0^x \sin^{n-1} r dr}{x} (0 \le x \le \frac{\pi}{2})$

Proof of Theorem C. The result can be easily obtained by the same argument as theorem B together with theorem A in [Y] which states that any complete Riemannian n-manifold with $Ric(M) \geq n-1$, $K(M) \geq k \in R$ and vol(M) close to ω_n is isometric to S^n . \square

Proof of Theorem D. Recall that Perelman [P] showed that any complete n- manifold with $Ric(M) \geq n-1$ and vol(M) close to ω_n is homeomorphic to S^n . This result together with the proof of theorem C says the result is true. \square

LEMMA. Let M be an n-dimensional complete Riemannian manifold with $Ric(M) \geq n-1, e(M)=0$. Then $vol(M)/d(M) \leq \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}$ and the equality holds iff M is isometric to S^n .

Proof. Case 1. $d(M) \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$: In this case, $vol(M)/d(M) \leq \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}$ follows from the same argument in Theorem A, and we observed that $vol(M)/d(M) = \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}$ implies $d(M) = \frac{\pi}{2}$. So

$$\begin{split} \frac{\omega_n}{\pi} &= \frac{vol(M)}{d(M)} \leq \frac{1}{\pi/2} \left\{ vol(\tilde{B}(\frac{\pi}{6})) + vol(\tilde{B}(\frac{\pi}{3})) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\pi} \omega_n \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{6}} \sin^{n-1} t dt + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{3}} \sin^{n-1} t dt \right\} \\ &< \frac{2}{\pi} \omega_n \left\{ \frac{\pi/6}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{6}} \sin^{n-1} t dt + \frac{\pi/3}{\pi} \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{3}} \sin^{n-1} t dt \right\} \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}, \end{split}$$

which is a contradiction.

Case 2.
$$d(M) > \frac{\pi}{2}$$
: Let $d = d(M)$. Then

$$\begin{split} \frac{vol(M)}{d(M)} &= \frac{1}{d} \left\{ vol(\tilde{B}(\frac{\pi}{2})) + vol(\tilde{B}(d - \frac{\pi}{2})) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d} \omega_n \left\{ \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{n-1}t dt + \int_0^{d - \frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{n-1}t dt \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{\omega_n}{d} \left\{ \frac{\pi/2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1}t dt + \frac{d - \pi/2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} \sin^{n-1}t dt \right\} \\ &= \frac{\omega_n}{\pi}. \end{split}$$

Jongkook Yun

Thus the equality holds iff $d - \frac{\pi}{2} = \frac{\pi}{2}$, that is, $d = \pi$. So M is isometric to S^n , by the Cheng's maximal diameter theorem, which states that any complete Riemannian manifold with $Ric(M) \leq n-1$ and $d(M) = \pi$ is isometric to S^n . \square

Proof of Theorem E. This is an immediate consequence of the above lemma and C^{α} -compactness theorem in [AC], which states that given any sequence of compact Riemannian manifolds (M_i,g_i) such that $Ric(M_i) \geq n-1, inj(M_i) \geq \rho > 0, vol(M) \leq V$, and given any fixed $\alpha < 1$, there is a compact manifold M, and diffeomorphisms $f_j: M \to M_j$, for a subsequence $\{j\}$ of $\{i\}$, such that the metrics $f_j^*g_j$ converge in the C^{β} topology for $\beta < \alpha$, to a Riemannian manifold (M,g) with C^{α} metric g. \square

References

- [AC] M. T. Anderson, J. Cheeger, C^{α} -compactness for manifolds with Ricci curvature and injectivity radius bounded below, J. Diff. Geom. 35 (1992), 265-281.
- [D] O. C. Durumeric, Manifolds of almost half of the maximal volume, Proceedings of the Amer. Math. Soc. 104 (1988), 277-283.
- [GP] K. Grove, Petersen, Manifolds near the boundary of existence, J. Diff. Geom. 33 (1991), 379-394.
- [OSY] Y. Otsu, K. shiohama, T. Yamaguchi, a new version of differential sphere theorem, Invent. math. 98 (1989), 219-228.
- [P] G. Perelman, Manifolds of positive Ricci curvature with almost maximal volume, J. Amer. Soc 7 (1994), 299-305.
- [Wu] J. Y. Wu, The volume/diameter ratio for positively curved manifolds, Michigan Math. J. 37 (1990), 235-239.
- [Y] T. Yamaguchi, Lipschitz convergence of manifolds of positive Ricci curvature with large volume, Math. Ann. 284 (1989), 423-436.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SEOUL NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, SEOUL 151-742, KOREA

E-mail: jgyun@math.snu.ac.kr