

## A BOUNDED CONVERGENCE THEOREM FOR THE OPERATOR-VALUED FEYNMAN INTEGRAL

BYUNG MOO AHN

### 1. Introduction

Fix  $t > 0$ . Denote by  $C^t$  the space of  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued continuous functions  $x$  on  $[0, t]$ . Let  $C_0^t$  be the Wiener space -  $C_0^t = \{x \in C^t : x(0) = 0\}$  - equipped with Wiener measure  $m$ . Let  $F$  be a function from  $C^t$  to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Given  $\lambda > 0, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ , let

$$(1.1) \quad (K_\lambda(F)\psi)(\xi) = \int_{C_0^t} F(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi)\psi(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(t) + \xi) dm(x).$$

DEFINITION. The operator- valued function space integral  $K_\lambda(F)$  exists for  $\lambda > 0$  if (1.1) defines  $K_\lambda(F)$  as a bounded linear operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . If, in addition, the operator-valued function  $K_\lambda(F)$ , as a function of  $\lambda$ , has an extension to an analytic function in  $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}\lambda > 0\}$  and a strongly continuous function in  $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+ = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Re}\lambda \geq 0, \lambda \neq 0\}$ , we say that  $K_\lambda(F)$  exists for  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+$ . When  $\lambda$  is purely imaginary,  $K_\lambda(F)$  is called the operator-valued Feynman integral of  $F$ .

For  $s > 0, \lambda \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+$  and  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , let

$$(1.2) \quad (\exp[-s(H_0/\lambda)]\psi)(\xi) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi s}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(u)\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda(u - \xi)^2}{2s}\right) du.$$

The integral in (1.2) exists as an ordinary Lebesgue integral for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_+$ , but, when  $\lambda$  is purely imaginary and  $\psi$  is not integrable, the integral

Received January 23, 1996. Revised May 12, 1996.

1991 AMS Subject Classification: 28C20.

Key words and phrases: operator-valued function space integral, operator-valued Feynman integral.

should be interpreted in the mean as in the theory of the Fourier-Plancherel transform.

In this paper,  $\theta$  is a bounded Borel measurable and everywhere defined real valued function on  $(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}$  and we will let  $M := \|\theta\|_\infty$ .

Let  $\eta$  be a finite signed Borel measure on  $(0, t)$ . Then  $\eta$  has a unique decomposition  $\eta = \mu + \eta_d$  into a continuous part  $\mu$  and a discrete part  $\eta_d$ [8]. The case where  $\eta_d$  has a finite support is most likely to be of interest. So, let

$$(1.3) \quad \eta_d = \sum_{j=1}^N \omega_j \delta_{\tau_j}$$

where  $\delta_{\tau_j}$  is as usual the Dirac measure at  $\tau_j \in (0, t)$ ,  $0 < \tau_1 < \dots < \tau_N < t$  and  $\omega_j \in \mathbb{R}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

Let  $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  be the space of complex Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ . The Fourier transform of  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  is the function  $\hat{\nu}$  defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \hat{\nu}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-iuv} d\nu(v), \quad u \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Consider the functional

$$(1.5) \quad F(x) = \hat{\nu}\left(\int_{(0,t)} \theta(s, x(s)) d\eta(s)\right), \quad x \in C^t.$$

Then, by [1],  $K_\lambda(F)$  exists for  $\lambda > 0$ . Also  $K_\lambda(F)$  exists for  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+$  and is given by the generalized Dyson series, provided that

$$(1.6) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{M\|\eta\|\|u\|} d|\nu|(u) < \infty,$$

i.e. for all  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+$ , the following expansion of  $K_\lambda(F)$  hold:

$$(1.7) \quad K_\lambda(F) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! a_n \sum_{q_0 + \dots + q_N = n} \frac{\omega_1^{q_1} \dots \omega_N^{q_N}}{q_1! \dots q_N!} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{N+1} = q_0} \int_{\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}} L_0 L_1 \dots L_N d\mu(s_1) \dots d\mu(s_{q_0})$$

where  $q_0, \dots, q_N, k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}$  are nonnegative integers,

(1.8)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}} = \{ & (s_1, \dots, s_{q_0}) \in (0, t)^{q_0} : 0 < s_1 < \dots < s_{k_1} \\ & < \tau_1 < s_{k_1+1} < \dots < s_{k_1+k_2} < \tau_2 < s_{k_1+k_2+1} < \dots \\ & < s_{k_1+\dots+k_N} < \tau_N < s_{k_1+\dots+k_{N+1}} < \dots < s_{q_0} < t \} \end{aligned}$$

and, for  $(s_1, \dots, s_{q_0}) \in \Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}$  and  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$

(1.9)

$$\begin{aligned} L_r = [\theta(\tau_r)]^{q_r} e^{-(s_{k_1+\dots+k_r+1}-\tau_r)(H_0/\lambda)} \theta(s_{k_1+\dots+k_r+1}) \\ e^{-(s_{k_1+\dots+k_r+2}-s_{k_1+\dots+k_r+1})(H_0/\lambda)} \theta(s_{k_1+\dots+k_r+2}) \dots \\ \theta(s_{k_1+\dots+k_{r+1}}) e^{-(\tau_{r+1}-s_{k_1+\dots+k_{r+1}})(H_0/\lambda)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1.10) \quad a_n = \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (-i)^n u^n d\nu(u).$$

We use the conventions  $\tau_0 = 0, \tau_{N+1} = t$  and  $[\theta(\tau_0)]^{q_0} = 1$ .

## 2. A stability theorem

We begin with a lemma which will be useful in the main theorems.

LEMMA. Let  $\{F_n(x)\}$  be a sequence of Borel measurable functions such that  $|F_n(x)| \leq B$  for some constant  $B > 0$  and for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . Further suppose that for every  $\lambda > 0$

$$(2.1) \quad F_n(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi) \rightarrow F(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for  $m \times \text{Leb.} - a.e. (x, \xi)$ . Then for every  $\lambda > 0$

$$K_\lambda(F_n) \rightarrow K_\lambda(F) \quad \text{strongly as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. By (2.1), for  $m \times \text{Leb.} - a.e. (x, \xi)$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad F_n(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi)\psi(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(t) + \xi) \rightarrow F(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi)\psi(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(t) + \xi).$$

Note that for every  $x \in C_0^t$ , for a.e.  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  and for all  $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ .

$$(2.3) \quad |F_n(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi)\psi(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(t) + \xi)| \leq B|\psi(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(t) + \xi)|.$$

In view of (2.2), (2.3), and the Dominated Convergence Theorem for Wiener integrals,

$$(2.4) \quad (K_\lambda(F_n)\psi)(\xi) \rightarrow (K_\lambda(F)\psi)(\xi) \text{ for } \text{Leb.} - a.e. \xi.$$

Moreover, by (2.3) and Wiener's integration formula

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |(K_\lambda(F_n)\psi)(\xi)| &\leq \int_{C_0^t} |F_n(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi)\psi(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(t) + \xi)| dm(x) \\ &\leq B \int_{C_0^t} |\psi(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi)| dm(x) \\ &= B(e^{-t(H_0/\lambda)}|\psi|)(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and a.e.  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Since  $e^{-t(H_0/\lambda)}|\psi| \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , using (2.4), (2.5) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$(2.6) \quad K_\lambda(F_n) \rightarrow K_\lambda(F)$$

in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ .

The first theorem treats the case  $\lambda > 0$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\eta$  be a finite signed Borel measure on  $(0, t)$  and let  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose that  $\theta$  and  $\theta_m$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  are all bounded by  $M$  on  $(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $F$  be defined as (1.5) and  $F_m$  be defined as (1.5) except with  $\theta$  replaced by  $\theta_m$ . Assume that

$$(2.7) \quad \theta_m \rightarrow \theta$$

at each point of  $(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then for all  $\lambda > 0$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad K_\lambda(F_m) \rightarrow K_\lambda(F) \quad \text{strongly as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda > 0, x \in C_0^t$  and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. Since  $\theta_m$  is bounded by  $M$  for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , by (2.7),

$$(2.9) \quad \int_{(0,t)} \theta_m(s, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(s) + \xi) d\eta(s) \rightarrow \int_{(0,t)} \theta(s, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(s) + \xi) d\eta(s)$$

Since  $\hat{\nu}$  is continuous,

$$(2.10) \quad \hat{\nu}\left(\int_{(0,t)} \theta_m(s, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(s) + \xi) d\eta(s)\right) \rightarrow \hat{\nu}\left(\int_{(0,t)} \theta(s, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x(s) + \xi) d\eta(s)\right)$$

i.e.  $F_m(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi) \rightarrow F(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x + \xi)$ . Note that

$$(2.11) \quad |F_m(x)| = \left| \hat{\nu}\left(\int_{(0,t)} \theta_m(s, x(s)) d\eta(s)\right) \right| \leq \|\hat{\nu}\|$$

for all  $x \in C^t$  and for all  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence (2.11) and Lemma give the result for  $\lambda > 0$ .

We now obtain a stability result for  $\lambda \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}}_+$  under the assumption that the measure  $|\nu|$  dies off rapidly at  $\infty$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $\theta$  and  $\theta^{(m)}$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  be everywhere defined  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued and Borel measurable functions bounded by  $M$  on all of  $(0, t) \times \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\eta = \mu + \eta_d$  be a finite signed Borel measure on  $(0, t)$  where  $\eta_d$  is given by (1.3), and let  $\nu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$  be such that*

$$(2.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{M\|\eta\|\|u\|} d|\nu|(u) < \infty.$$

Assume that

$$(2.13) \quad \theta^{(m)} \rightarrow \theta \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty \quad \eta \times \text{Leb.} - \text{a.e. on } (0, t) \times \mathbb{R}.$$

Let  $F$  and  $F^{(m)}$  be defined as in Theorem 1. Then for all  $\lambda \in \tilde{C}_+$

$$(2.14) \quad K_\lambda(F^{(m)}) \rightarrow K_\lambda(F) \quad \text{strongly as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Further, the operator  $K_\lambda(F)$  preserves the form of the operator  $K_\lambda(F^{(m)})$ ; to be more specific,

$$(2.15) \quad K_\lambda(F^{(m)}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! a_n \sum_{q_0 + \dots + q_N = n} \frac{\omega_1^{q_1} \dots \omega_N^{q_N}}{q_1! \dots q_N!} \\ \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{N+1} = q_0} \int_{\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}} L_0^{(m)} L_1^{(m)} \dots L_N^{(m)} d\mu(s_1) \dots d\mu(s_{q_0})$$

→

$$K_\lambda(F) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n! a_n \sum_{q_0 + \dots + q_N = n} \frac{\omega_1^{q_1} \dots \omega_N^{q_N}}{q_1! \dots q_N!} \\ \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{N+1} = q_0} \int_{\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}} L_0 L_1 \dots L_N d\mu(s_1) \dots d\mu(s_{q_0})$$

strongly as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ;

where  $q_0, \dots, q_N, k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}$  are nonnegative integers and  $\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}$  is given by (1.8) and, for  $(s_1, \dots, s_{q_0}) \in \Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}$  and  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ ,  $L_r$  is given by (1.9), and  $L_r^{(m)}$  is given as in (1.9) except with  $\theta$  replaced by  $\theta^{(m)}$  and  $a_n$  is given by (1.10).

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be given. Let  $\theta^{(m)}(s)$  denote the operator of multiplication by  $\theta^{(m)}(s, \cdot)$  so that  $(\theta^{(m)}(s)\psi)(\xi) = \theta^{(m)}(s, \xi)\psi(\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . So, by (2.13)

$$(2.16) \quad (\theta^{(m)}(s)\psi)(\xi) \rightarrow (\theta(s)\psi)(\xi) \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty$$

*Leb.* – a.e. for  $\eta$  – a.e.  $s \in (0, t)$ . But

$$(2.17) \quad |(\theta^{(m)}(s)\psi)(\xi) - (\theta(s)\psi)(\xi)|^2 \\ \leq (|\theta^{(m)}(s, \xi)| |\psi(\xi)| + |\theta(s, \xi)| |\psi(\xi)|)^2 \\ \leq 4M^2 |\psi(\xi)|^2.$$

Since  $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , next using (2.16) and the Lebesgue Dominated Convergence Theorem, we have

$$(2.18) \quad \|\theta^{(m)}(s)\psi - \theta(s)\psi\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty;$$

i.e.  $\theta^{(m)}(s) \rightarrow \theta(s)$  strongly as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  for  $\eta - a.e. s$ .

Using (2.18) and the fact that the composition of operator is jointly continuous in the strong operator topology when the operators involved are uniformly bounded we see that

$$(2.19) \quad L_0^{(m)} L_1^{(m)} \cdots L_N^{(m)} \rightarrow L_0 L_1 \cdots L_N$$

strongly  $\mu \times \cdots \times \mu - a.e.$  in  $\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}$ .

Note that  $L_0^{(m)} L_1^{(m)} \cdots L_N^{(m)}(\lambda; s_1, \dots, s_{q_0})$  is strongly measurable [7].

Since  $\theta^{(m)}$  is bounded by  $M$  and  $\|e^{-s(H_0/\lambda)}\| \leq 1$

$$(2.20) \quad \|L_0^{(m)} L_1^{(m)} \cdots L_N^{(m)} \psi\| \leq M^n \|\psi\|_2.$$

Further,

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k_1 + \dots + k_{N+1} = q_0} \int_{\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}} & \|L_0^{(m)} L_1^{(m)} \cdots L_N^{(m)} \psi\| d|\mu|(s_1) \cdots d|\mu|(s_{q_0}) \\ & \leq M^n \|\psi\|_2 \int_{\Delta_{q_0}} d|\mu|(s_1) \cdots d|\mu|(s_{q_0}) \\ & \leq M^n \|\psi\|_2 \frac{\|\mu\|^{q_0}}{q_0!} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $L_0^{(m)} L_1^{(m)} \cdots L_N^{(m)}$  is Bochner integrable over  $\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}$ . Note that since  $\mu$  is a finite signed Borel measure on  $(0, t)$

$$(2.22) \quad M^n \|\mu\| \in L_1(\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}, \mu \times \cdots \times \mu).$$

Therefore, using (2.19) and the Dominated Convergence Theorem for the Bochner integral [3], we have that  $L_0 L_1 \cdots L_N$  is Bochner integrable and

$$(2.23) \quad \int_{\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}} L_0^{(m)} L_1^{(m)} \cdots L_N^{(m)} d\mu(s_1) \cdots d\mu(s_{q_0})$$

→

$$\int_{\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}} L_0 L_1 \cdots L_N d\mu(s_1) \cdots d\mu(s_{q_0})$$

in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Set

$$(2.24) \quad \mathcal{L}_n^{(m)} := \sum_{q_0 + \cdots + q_N = n} \frac{\omega_1^{q_1} \cdots \omega_N^{q_N}}{q_1! \cdots q_N!} \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_{N+1} = q_0} \int_{\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}} L_0^{(m)} L_1^{(m)} \cdots L_N^{(m)} d\mu(s_1) \cdots d\mu(s_{q_0})$$

and

$$(2.25) \quad \mathcal{L}_n := \sum_{q_0 + \cdots + q_N = n} \frac{\omega_1^{q_1} \cdots \omega_N^{q_N}}{q_1! \cdots q_N!} \sum_{k_1 + \cdots + k_{N+1} = q_0} \int_{\Delta_{q_0; k_1, \dots, k_{N+1}}} L_0 L_1 \cdots L_N d\mu(s_1) \cdots d\mu(s_{q_0}).$$

Then

$$(2.26) \quad \mathcal{L}_n^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_n \text{ strongly as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore,

(2.27)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\mathcal{L}_n^{(m)}\psi\| &\leq \sum_{q_0+\dots+q_N=n} \frac{|\omega_1|^{q_1}\dots|\omega_N|^{q_N}}{q_1!\dots q_N!} \sum_{k_1+\dots+k_{N+1}=q_0} \\
 &\int_{\Delta_{q_0;k_1,\dots,k_{N+1}}} \|L_0^{(m)}L_1^{(m)}\dots L_N^{(m)}\psi\| d|\mu|(s_1)\dots d|\mu|(s_{q_0}) \\
 &\leq \sum_{q_0+\dots+q_N=n} \frac{|\omega_1|^{q_1}\dots|\omega_N|^{q_N}}{q_1!\dots q_N!} M^n \|\psi\| \int_{\Delta_{q_0}} d|\mu|(s_1)\dots d|\mu|(s_{q_0}) \\
 &= \sum_{q_0+\dots+q_N=n} \frac{|\omega_1|^{q_1}\dots|\omega_N|^{q_N}}{q_1!\dots q_N!} M^n \|\psi\| \frac{\|\mu\|^{q_0}}{q_0!} \\
 &= M^n \|\psi\| \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{q_0+\dots+q_N=n} \frac{n!}{q_0!\dots q_N!} \|\mu\|^{q_0} |\omega_1|^{q_1}\dots|\omega_N|^{q_N} \\
 &= M^n \|\psi\| \frac{(\|\mu\| + |\omega_1| + \dots + |\omega_N|)^n}{n!} \\
 &= M^n \frac{\|\eta\|^n}{n!} \|\psi\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$(2.28) \quad \|\mathcal{L}_n\psi\| \leq M^n \frac{\|\eta\|^n}{n!} \|\psi\|.$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. Using (2.12), take  $N_0$  so large that

$$(2.29) \quad \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} |a_n| M^n \|\eta\|^n \|\psi\| < \frac{\epsilon}{4}.$$

Now using (2.26), let  $N$  be so large that for  $m \geq N$

$$(2.30) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N_0} n! |a_n| \|\mathcal{L}_n^{(m)}\psi - \mathcal{L}_n\psi\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Now, let  $m \geq N$ . Then using (2.30), (2.27), (2.28) and (2.29), (2.31)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|K_\lambda(F^{(m)})\psi - K_\lambda(F)\psi\| \\
 &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!a_n\mathcal{L}_n^{(m)}\psi - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n!a_n\mathcal{L}_n\psi \right\| \\
 &= \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{N_0} (n!a_n\mathcal{L}_n^{(m)}\psi - n!a_n\mathcal{L}_n\psi) + \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} (n!a_n\mathcal{L}_n^{(m)}\psi - n!a_n\mathcal{L}_n\psi) \right\| \\
 &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{N_0} n!|a_n| \|\mathcal{L}_n^{(m)}\psi - \mathcal{L}_n\psi\| + \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} n!|a_n| \|\mathcal{L}_n^{(m)}\psi\| \\
 &+ \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} n!|a_n| \|\mathcal{L}_n\psi\| \\
 &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} n!|a_n| \frac{M^n \|\eta\|^n}{n!} \|\psi\| + \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} n!|a_n| \frac{M^n \|\eta\|^n}{n!} \|\psi\| \\
 &= \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2 \sum_{n=N_0+1}^{\infty} |a_n| M^n \|\eta\|^n \|\psi\| \\
 &< \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon \quad \text{as desired.}
 \end{aligned}$$

We can obtain a corollary immediately from a simple standard result of functional analysis.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let the hypotheses of Theorem 2 be satisfied and suppose that  $\|\psi_m - \psi\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Then*

$$\|K_\lambda(F^{(m)})\psi_m - K_\lambda(F)\psi\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

### References

1. B. M. Ahn, and G. W. Johnson, *Path Integrals, Fourier Transforms and Feynman's Operational Calculus*, Technical Report Center for Stochastic Processes Univ. of N.C. **470** (1995), 3-19.
2. R. H. Cameron and D. A. Stovick, *An operator valued function space integral and a related integral equations*, J. Math. Mech. **18** (1968), 517-552.
3. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and Semi-groups*, vol. XXXI rev.ed, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq., Providence, Amer. Math. Soc., 1957.

4. G. W. Johnson, *A bounded convergence theorem for the Feynman integral*, J. Math. Phys. **25** (1984), 1323-1326.
5. G. W. Johnson and M. L. Lapidus, *Generalized Dyson Series, generalized Feynman diagrams, Feynman integral, and Feynman's operational calculus*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **62** (No. **351**) (1986), 1-78.
6. G. W. Johnson and D. L. Skoug, *Stability Theorems for the Feynman Integral*, Supplemento ai Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo Serie II-Numero **8** (1985), 361-367.
7. ———, *Carmeron-Stovick function space integral: an  $\mathcal{L}(L_p, L_{p'})$  theory*, Nagoya Math. J. **60** (1976), 93-137.
8. M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics Vol. 1, Rev. and enl. ed.*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOONCHUNHYANG UNIVERSITY, ASHAN 337-880,  
KOREA