# A NOTE ON MEAN VALUE PROPERTY AND MONOTONICITY

#### INDRAJIT LAHIRI

# 1. Introduction and Definitions

The notion of approximate derivative was introduced by Denjoy in 1916 [3]. Khintchine [5] proved that Rolle's theorem holds for approximate derivatives and Tolstoff [8] proved that every approximate derivative is of Baire class 1 and has Darboux property. Goffman and Neugebauer [4] proved the above results of Tolstoff [8] in a different and simplified method. Also they [4] proved indirectly (via Darboux property) that approximate derivatives possess mean value property. The theorems of Goffman and Neugebauer [4] can be stated as follows:

THEOREM A. Assume that  $f:[0,1] \to R$  has an approximate derivative  $f'_{ap}$  everywhere on [0,1]. Then  $f'_{ap}$  possesses Darboux property.

THEOREM B. Let  $f:[0,1] \to R$  have an approximate derivative  $f'_{ap}$  everywhere on [0,1]. Then Darboux property and mean value property are equivalent for  $f'_{ap}$ .

The purpose of this note is to prove the mean value theorem for approximate derivatives under weaker hypotheses in a direct and simpler method. We also avoid Zorn's lemma as was used by Goffman and Neugebauer [4]. The key step of our proof is the use of a result on the approximate extremum due to O'Malley [6]. As a second application of this result of O'Malley we prove a theorem on monotonicity of functions which improves a result of [4].

Received March 3, 1995.

<sup>1991</sup> AMS Subject Classification: 26A24, 26A48.

Key words and phrases: Approximately continuous function, Approximate maximum or minimum, Approximate derivative.

When we call a set or a function to be measurable, we mean it is so in Lebesgue sense. Since every approximately continuous function on an interval is measurable {cf. p. 19[1]}, our purpose will be served if throughout the note we consider only measurable functions  $f, \phi$  etc. defined on E = [0, 1]. Also for a set A we denote by CA the complement of A.

DEFINITION 1.  $\{cf. [2]\}$  The upper right approximate limit of f at  $\xi$ , denoted by  $u^+(f,\xi)$  or simply  $u^+(\xi)$ , is the infimum of the numbers K for which the set  $E[f > K, x > \xi]$  has zero density at  $\xi$ .

DEFINITION 2.  $\{cf. [2]\}$  The lower right approximate limit of f at  $\xi$ , denoted by  $\ell^+(f,\xi)$  or simply  $\ell^+(\xi)$ , is the supremum of the numbers K for which the set  $E[f < K, x > \xi]$  has zero density at  $\xi$ .

The left approximate extreme limits are defined likewise.

DEFINITION 3.  $\{cf. [2]\}$  The upper right approximate limit of  $\frac{f(x)-f(\xi)}{x-\xi}$  at  $\xi$  is called upper right approximate derivative of f at  $\xi$  and is denoted by  $_{ap}D^+f(\xi)$ .

The other extreme derivatives are defined similarly and denoted by  $_{ap}D_{+}f(\xi), _{ap}D^{-}f(\xi), _{ap}D_{-}f(\xi)$ . When all the four extreme derivatives are equal at a point  $\xi$ , the common value  $f'_{ap}(\xi)$  is called the approximate derivative of f at  $\xi$ .

DEFINITION 4.  $\{cf. [6]\}$  The function f is said to have an approximate maximum at  $x_0 \in E$  if  $E[f > f(x_0)]$  has density zero at  $x_0$ .

An approximate minimum is defined similarly.

#### 2. Lemmas

In this section we present some lemmas which will be required in the next section.

LEMMA 1.  $u^+(\xi) = \inf\{\limsup_{x\to \xi+, x\in A} f(x): A\subset E \text{ is measurable and } d(A,\xi)=1\}.$ 

*Proof.* Let  $U^+(\xi)$  denote the right hand side. Now we consider the following cases.

Case I. 
$$-\infty < U^+(\xi) < \infty$$
.

Let  $\varepsilon(>0)$  be arbitrary. Then there exists a measurable set  $A\subset E$  with  $d(A,\xi)=1$  such that  $\limsup_{x\to\xi+,x\in A}f(x)< U^+(\xi)+\varepsilon$ . So there exists a  $\delta(>0)$  such that  $f(x)< U^+(\xi)+\varepsilon$  for all  $x\in A\cap(\xi,\xi+\delta)$ . Therefore,

$$A[f > U^{+}(\xi) + \varepsilon, x > \xi] \subset C[A \cap (\xi, \xi + \delta)] \cap (\xi, \infty)$$
$$= [CA \cap (\xi, \infty)] \cup [\xi + \delta, \infty).$$

Since

$$E[f > U^{+}(\xi) + \varepsilon, x > \xi]$$

$$= A[f > U^{+}(\xi) + \varepsilon, x > \xi] \cup \{E[f > U^{+}(\xi) + \varepsilon, x > \xi] \cap CA\}$$

$$\subset [CA \cap (\xi, \infty)] \cup CA \cup [\xi + \delta, \infty)$$

$$= CA \cup [\xi + \delta, \infty), \text{ the density of } E[f > U^{+}(\xi) + \varepsilon, x > \xi]$$
at  $\xi$  is zero. So  $u^{+}(\xi) \leq U^{+}(\xi) + \varepsilon$  and hence
$$u^{+}(\xi) \leq U^{+}(\xi).$$

Let K be a real number such that  $\mathrm{E}\,[f>K,x>\xi]$  has density zero at  $\xi$ . Let  $F=C\{E[f>K,x>\xi]\}\cap E$ . Then  $d(F,\xi)=1$  and for all  $x\in F, f(x)\leq K$ . So  $U^+(\xi)\leq \limsup_{x\to \xi+,x\in F} f(x)\leq K$  and since K is arbitrary it follows that

$$(2) U^+(\xi) \le u^+(\xi).$$

In this case the result follows from (1) and (2).

Case II. 
$$U^+(\xi) = +\infty$$
.

If possible, let  $u^+(\xi) < +\infty$ . Then there exists  $K < +\infty$  suth that  $E[f > K, x > \xi]$  has density zero at  $\xi$ . Let  $F = C\{E[f > K, x > \xi]\} \cap E$ . Then  $d(F, \xi) = 1$  and  $\limsup_{x \to \xi +, x \in F} f(x) \le K$  so that  $U^+(\xi) \le K < +\infty$ , a contradiction. So  $u^+(\xi) = +\infty$ .

Case III. 
$$U^+(\xi) = -\infty$$
.

Then for arbitrary M(>0) there exists a measurable set  $A \subset E$  with  $d(A,\xi)=1$  such that  $\limsup_{x\to \xi+,x\in A} f(x)<-M$ . So there exists

a  $\delta(>0)$  such that f(x)<-M for all  $x\in A\cap(\xi,\xi+\delta)$ . Since  $A[f>-M,x>\xi]\subset [CA\cap(\xi,\infty)]\cup [\xi+\delta,\infty)$ , it follows that  $E[f>-M,x>\xi]\subset CA\cup [\xi+\delta,\infty)$  so that the density of  $E[f>-M,x>\xi]$  at  $\xi$  is zero. Therefore,  $u^+(\xi)\leq -M$  which implies  $u^+(\xi)=-\infty$ .

From the above analysis the following cases are clear.

Case IV. If  $u^+(\xi) = \infty$  then  $U^+(\xi) = \infty$ .

Case V. If  $u^+(\xi) = -\infty$  then  $U^+(\xi) = -\infty$ .

Case VI. If  $-\infty < u^+(\xi) < \infty$  then  $-\infty < U^+(\xi) < \infty$ . and  $u^+(\xi) = U^+(\xi)$ .

This proves the lemma.

LEMMA 2.  $\ell^+(\xi) = \sup\{ \liminf_{x \to \xi^+, x \in A} f(x) : A \subset E' \text{ is measurable and } d(A, \xi) = 1 \}$ . The proof is omitted.

REMARK 1. Similar results are true for left hand extreme approximate limits.

LEMMA 3. {cf. Remark 2 [6]}. If f is approximately continuous and not monotone on  $[a,b] \subset E$  then there exists  $x_0, a < x_0 < b$ , at which f has an approximate maximum or minimum.

## 3. Theorems

THEOREM 1. Let f be approximately continuous on E and  $_{ap}D^+f$  =  $_{ap}D^-f$ ,  $_{ap}D_+f$  =  $_{ap}D_-f$  at every point of E. Then for each pair of points  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  with  $0 \le \alpha < \beta \le 1$  there exists a point  $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha < \gamma < \beta$ , such that  $f'_{ap}(\gamma) = \frac{f(\beta) - f(\alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\phi(x) = f(x) - f(\alpha) - \frac{x-\alpha}{\beta-\alpha} \{ f(\beta) - f(\alpha) \}$ . Then  $\phi$  is approximately continuous on E and  $\phi(\alpha) = \phi(\beta) = 0$ . If  $\phi$  is monotone on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  then  $\phi \equiv 0$  on  $[\alpha, \beta]$  and so  $\phi'_{ap}$  exists everywhere in  $(\alpha, \beta)$  and the theorem follows easily. So we suppose that  $\phi$  is not monotone on  $[\alpha, \beta]$ . Then by Lemma 3 there exist a point  $\gamma, \alpha < \gamma < \beta$ , at which

 $\phi$  has an approximate maximum or minimum. We suppose that  $\phi$  has an approximate maximum at  $\gamma$  because the other case is similar.

Since  $\phi$  has an approximate maximum at  $\gamma$ , the set  $A=E[\phi \leq \phi(\gamma)]$  has density 1 at  $\gamma$ . Then  $\limsup_{x \to \gamma +, x \in A} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(\gamma)}{x - \gamma} \leq 0$  and so by Lemma 1  $_{ap}D^+\phi(\gamma) \leq 0$ . Also we see that  $\liminf_{x \to \gamma -, x \in A} \frac{\phi(x) - \phi(\gamma)}{x - \gamma} \geq 0$  so that  $_{ap}D^-\phi(\gamma) \geq _{ap}D_-\phi(\gamma) \geq 0$ . Since  $_{ap}D^+\phi = _{ap}D^+f - \frac{f(\beta) - f(\alpha)}{\beta - \alpha}$  etc., it follows from above and the given condition that  $_{ap}D^+\phi(\gamma) = _{ap}D_+\phi(\gamma) = _{ap}D_-\phi(\gamma) = _{ap}D_-\phi(\gamma) = 0$  so that  $\phi'_{ap}(\gamma)$  exists and  $\phi'_{ap}(\gamma) = 0$  from which the theorem follows. This proves the theorem.

REMARK 2. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 approximate derivative of f exists on an everywhere dense subset of E.

REMARK 3. If we choose  $f(\alpha) = f(\beta)$ , a generalization of Rolle's theorem follows from Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. If f is approximately continuous and  $_{ap}D^-f \geq 0$ ,  $_{ap}D^+f \geq 0$  on E, then f is monotone increasing and so continuous on E.

*Proof.* First we suppose that  ${}_{ap}D^-f > 0$  and  ${}_{ap}D^+f > 0$  on E. If possible suppose that f is not monotone on E. Then by Lemma 3 there exists  $\xi, 0 < \xi < 1$ , such that f has an approximate maximum or minimum at  $\xi$ .

If f has an approximate maximum at  $\xi$ , the set  $A = E[f \le f(\xi)]$  has unit density at  $\xi$  and if f has an approximate minimum at  $\xi$ , the set  $B = E[f \ge f(\xi)]$  has unit density at  $\xi$ .

Since  $\limsup_{x \to \xi +, x \in A} \frac{f(x) - f(\xi)}{x - \xi} \le 0$  and  $\limsup_{x \to \xi -, x \in B} \frac{f(x) - f(\xi)}{x - \xi} \le 0$ , by Lemma 1 and Remark 1 either  $_{ap}D^+f(\xi) \le 0$  or  $_{ap}D^-f(\xi) \le 0$ , a contradiction. So f is monotone on E. If f is monotone decreasing on E then  $_{ap}D^+f \le D^+f \le 0$  {cf. p. 219 [7]} which is again a contradiction. Therefore, f is monotone increasing on E.

Now we suppose that  $_{ap}D^+f \geq 0$  and  $_{ap}D^-f \geq 0$  and we choose  $\psi(x) = f(x) + \varepsilon x$ , where  $\varepsilon(>0)$  is arbitrary. Then  $_{ap}D^+\psi > 0$  and  $_{ap}D^-\psi > 0$  on E so that  $\psi$  is monotone increasing on E. Since  $\varepsilon(>0)$  is arbitrary, f is also monotone increasing on E. This proves the theorem.

## Indrajit Lahiri

ACKNOWLEDGEMEMT. The author is thankful to Prof. B. K. Lahiri, University of Kalyani, for pointing out the problem to him.

#### References

- 1. A. M. Bruckner, Differentiation of Real Functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 659, Springer-Verlag, 1978.
- Shu-ER Chow, On approximate derivatives, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1948), 793-802.
- 3. A. Denjoy, Mémoir sur la totalisation des nombres dérivés nonsommables, Ann. Sci. Ecale Norm. Sup. 33 (1916), 127-236.
- 4. C. Goffman and C. J. Neugebauer, On approximate derivatives, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1960), 962-966.
- 5. A. Khintchine, Recherches Sur la structure des functions mesurables, Fund. Math. 9 (1927), 212-279.
- 6. R. J. O'Malley, Approximate maxima, Fund. Math. XCIV (1977), 75-81.
- 7. S. Saks, Theory of the Integrals, Dover Publications, Inc., 1937.
- 8. G. Tolstoff, Sur la dérivée approximative exacte, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S. 4 (1938), 499-504.

Department of Mathematics, Jadavpur University, Calcutta-700032, India.