Pusan Kyŏngnam Math J 12(1996), No 2, pp 155-162

## ON GENERALIZED HAMMING WEIGHTS OF CYCLIC LINEAR CODES GENERATED BY A WEIGHT 2 CODEWORD

SEON JEONG KIM AND MI JA YOO

## **1.** Introduction and Preliminaries

Let F be a field with two elements. A binary code is simply a linear subspace C of  $F^n$ . The elements of a code are called codewords, the integer n is called the length of the code. An [n,k]-code means the code of length n, and of dimension k. The weight w(v) of a codeword  $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n)$  is defined by  $w(v) = \operatorname{card} \{i \mid v_i \neq 0\}$ . The weight w(V) of a subcode V of a code C is defined by w(V) = $\operatorname{card} \{i \mid v_i \neq 0 \text{ for some } v \in V\}$ . In [W], Wei introduced the generalized Hamming weights which are defined as  $d_r(C) = \min\{w(V) \mid$ V is an r-dimensional subspace of  $C\}$ , for  $1 \leq r \leq \dim C$ . Also it has been shown in [W] that the weight hierarchy of a linear code completely characterizes the performance of the code on a type II wire-tap channel. Here  $d_1(C)$  is just the minimum distance of C which is one of important parameters of a code C.

A code C is said to be cyclic if  $(v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n, v_1) \in C$  for every  $(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in C$ . A cyclic code C is said to be generated by a codeword v if C is the smallest cyclic code containing v. In this paper, we find the generalized Hamming weights of a cyclic code C which is generated by single codeword of weight 2.

The following are well-known facts on the generalized Hamming weights.

Received September 10,1996

Partially supported by KOSEF-GARC and by the Basic Research Institute Program, Ministry of Education, 1995, Project No. BSRI-95-1406

THEOREM 1.1 (MONOTONICITY) [W]. Let C be an [n,k]-code, then

$$1 \leq d_1(C) < d_2(C) < \cdots < d_k(C) \leq n.$$

THEOREM 1.2 (DUALITY) [W]. Let C be an [n, k]-code and  $C^{\perp}$  be the dual code. Then

$$\{d_r(C) \mid 1 \le r \le k\} = \{1, 2, \cdots, n\} - \{n + 1 - d_r(C^{\perp}) \mid 1 \le r \le n - k\}.$$

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her comments and corrections to the previous version of this paper.

## 2. Main Results

Recall that there is a natural vector space homomorphism

$$\phi: F[x]/(x^n-1) \longrightarrow F^n$$

defined by

$$\phi(a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (x^n - 1)) = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}),$$

and there is a one-to-one correspondence induced by  $\phi$  between the set of ideals of  $F[x]/(x^n-1)$  and the set of cyclic codes in  $F^n$ . (See [L] for more detail.) Thus the cyclic code generated by a codeword  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  corresponds to the ideal in  $F[x]/(x^n-1)$  generated by  $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + (x^n-1)$ . This ideal is also generated by the coset whose representative element is the greatest common divisor of  $a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}$  and  $x^n - 1$ . Note that  $x^n - 1 = x^n + 1$  since we only deal with  $F = \{0, 1\}$ .

LEMMA 2.1. Let C be a cyclic code of length n generated by a codeword v of weight 2 Then it corresponds to the ideal in  $F[x]/(x^n-1)$  generated by  $1 + x^l + (x^n - 1)$  for some divisor l of the integer n.

**Proof.** By definition of cyclic code, we may assume that  $v = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$ , where  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_m = 1$ . By the above comment, C corresponds to the ideal of  $F[x]/(x^n-1)$  generated by  $1+x^m+(x^n-1)$ , then this ideal is also generated by a coset whose representative is the

156

greatest common divisor of  $1 + x^m$  and  $x^n - 1$ . Let n = mq + r with  $0 \le r \le m - 1$  Since

$$x^{n} - 1 = x^{mq+r} - 1$$
  
=  $(x^{mq} - 1)x^{r} + (x^{r} - 1),$ 

by Euclidean Algorithm, we see that  $gcd\{1 + x^m, x^n - 1\} = 1 + x^l$ , where  $l = gcd\{m, n\}$ . Thus the proof is complete

A matrix G is called a generator matrix of a code C if its rows form a basis of C. It is a well-known fact that a generator matrix of the cyclic code corresponding to the ideal generated by the coset with representative element  $1 + x^{l}$ , where l is a divisor of n, is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & & & & \ddots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the second 1 is in the l + 1th place in the first row.

We use the following lemma to prove our main theorem.

LEMMA 2.2 For  $l, a \ge 2$ , let G be a matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} & & & | & I_l \\ & & & | & I_l \\ I_{l(a-1)} & & | & \vdots \\ & & & | & I_l \\ & & & | & I_l \end{pmatrix}_{l(a-1) \times la}$$

where  $I_k$  denotes the  $k \times k$  identity matrix. Then for any ha - 1 $(1 \le h \le l)$  columns of G, there exist linearly independent ha - h columns

*Proof.* Let  $u_i$  denote the *i*-th column of G for  $1 \leq i \leq la$ , and let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be the sets of columns of G such that

$$B_1 = \{u_i \mid 1 \le i \le l(a-1)\},\$$
  
$$B_2 = \{u_i \mid l(a-1) + 1 \le i \le la\}.$$

Note that each vector in  $B_1$  has only one nonzero coordinate and that in  $B_2$  has exactly a-1 nonzero coordinates. Also note that the vectors in each  $B_i$ , i = 1, 2 are linearly independent.

First, we prove the case for h = 1. Let  $A = \{u_{i_j} \mid 1 \le j \le a-1\}$  be a set with a-1 columns of G. If  $A \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ , then the elements in A are linearly independent. Suppose that  $A \cap B_2 \ne \emptyset$ , and let

$$b_1 u_{i_1} + b_2 u_{i_2} + \dots + b_{a-1} u_{i_{a-1}} = 0, \quad b_i \in F,$$

where  $u_{i_j} \in B_1$  for  $1 \le j \le t$ ,  $u_{i_j} \in B_2$  for  $t+1 \le j \le a-1$ , and  $t \le a-2$ . Then we get

$$b_1 u_{i_1} + b_2 u_{i_2} + \dots + b_t u_{i_t} = b_{t+1} u_{i_{t+1}} + \dots + b_{a-1} u_{i_{a-1}}.$$
 (\*)

Suppose that both sides are not equal to 0. Then the number of nonzero coordinates in the left side is less than or equal to  $t \leq a-2$ , and that in the right side is greater than or equal to a-1, which is a contradiction. Thus both sides are equal to 0 and hence all coefficients  $b_j$  are zero, or equivalently the elements in A are linearly independent.

Now we prove the cases for  $2 \le h \le l$ . Let  $A = \{u_{i_j} \mid 1 \le j \le ha-1\}$  be a set of columns in G, and A' be the set of vectors in  $A \cap B_2$  which are expressed as linear combinations of the vectors in  $A \cap B_1$ . Note that each vector in  $B_2$  are expressed as a linear combination of the vectors in  $B_1$ ;

$$u_{l(a-1)+j} = \sum_{t=0}^{a-2} u_{j+tl} \quad \text{for } 1 \le j \le l.$$

Since the sets  $\{u_{j+tl} \mid 0 \le t \le a-2\}$  for  $1 \le j \le l$  are disjoint, A' has at most  $\lfloor \frac{ba-1}{l} \rfloor \le h-1$  vectors in  $A \cap B_2$ . Hence

$$\operatorname{card}(A - A') \ge ha - 1 - (h - 1) = ha - h.$$

Now we shall claim that any ha - h vectors in A - A' are linearly independent. Let  $u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}, \dots, u_{i_{h_a-h}}$  be elements in A - A' and suppose that

$$b_1u_{i_1} + b_2u_{i_2} + \dots + b_{ha-h}u_{i_{ha-h}} = 0, \ b_i \in F,$$

158

where  $u_{i_j} \in B_1$  for  $1 \leq j \leq t$ ,  $u_{i_j} \in B_2$  for  $t+1 \leq j \leq ha-h$ . For each j with  $t+1 \leq j \leq ha-h$ , there is at least one nonzero coordinate of  $u_j$  where the coordinates of the other vectors in A are 0. Because such  $u_j$  can not be expressed as a linear combination of vectors in  $A \cap B_1$  and all vectors in  $B_2$  has nonzero coordinates at distinct places. Hence the above equation implies that  $b_j = 0$  for all  $t+1 \leq j \leq ha-h$ . Since all vectors in  $B_1$  are linearly independent, the other coefficients are also zero. Thus  $u_{i_1}, u_{i_2}, \cdots, u_{i_{hc-h}}$  are linearly independent, and we have proved the lemma.

Finally we prove the main theorem.

THEOREM 2.3. Let C be a cyclic code of length n generated by weight 2 codeword  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1})$  with  $a_i = a_j = 1$ . Then the dimension of C is l(a-1) and the generalized Hamming weights are

$$d_r(C) = r + \lceil \frac{r}{a-1} \rceil$$
 for  $1 \le r \le l(a-1)$ ,

where  $l = \gcd\{j - i, n\}, \ a = \frac{n}{l}$ .

*Proof.* As in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we may assume that  $a_0 = a_l = 1$ . Hence a generator matrix of the cyclic code C is

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{l(a-1) \times la}$$

where the second 1 is in the l + 1th place in the first row.

We perform the following elementary row operation on the matrix G;

$$v'_i = v_i + (v_{i+1} + v_{i+2l} + v_{i+3l} + \cdots)$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, l(a-2)$ , where  $v_i$  denotes the *i*-th row of G. Then

we obtain another generator matrix G' whose rows are  $v'_i$ ;

$$G' = \begin{pmatrix} & | & I_l \\ & | & I_l \\ I_{l(a-1)} & | & \vdots \\ & | & I_l \\ & | & I_l \end{pmatrix}_{l(a-1) \times la}$$

Now we use induction on h to prove that for any h,  $0 \le h \le l-1$ ,

$$d_r(C) = r + (h+1)$$
 for  $h(a-1) + 1 \le r \le (h+1)(a-1)$ ,

which is equivalent to the theorem.

Let h = 0. Since the dimension of the code is less than n, clearly the minimum distance  $d_1(C) \ge 2$ . On the other hand we see  $w(v'_1) = 2$ , hence  $d_1(C) = 2$ . For  $1 < r \le a - 1$ , we have

$$w(D_r(1, l+1, 2l+1, \cdots, (r-1)l+1)) = r+1,$$

where the notation  $D_r(i_1, \dots, i_r)$  means r-dimensional subcode generated by the rows  $v'_{i_1}, \dots, v'_{i_r}$  of G'. Hence  $d_r(C) \leq r+1$ . Using Theorem 1.1, we conclude that  $d_r(C) = r+1$ .

Assume, as an induction hypothesis, that the following holds;

$$d_r(C) = r + (s+1)$$
 for  $s(a-1) + 1 \le r \le (s+1)(a-1)$ .

For r = (s + 1)(a - 1) + 1, by assumption, we have  $d_{r-1}(C) = (s + 1)a$ . So we have the inequality  $d_r(C) \ge (s + 1)a + 1$ , here we prove that the equality does not hold. If  $d_r(C) = (s + 1)a + 1$ , then there exists a subcode D of C such that w(D) = (s + 1)a + 1 and  $\dim(D) = (s + 1)(a - 1) + 1$ .

By definition of w(D), all vectors in D have zero coordinates at la - ((s+1)a + 1) = (l - s - 1)a - 1 places, simultaneously. That is, the following inclusion holds;

$$D \subset \{(c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_{la}) \in C \mid c_{i_j} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \cdots, (l-s-1)a-1\}, (*)$$

for fixed  $c_{ij} = 0$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, (l - s - 1)a - 1$ . Since the rows of G' form a basis of C, every element of D is also expressed as a linear combination of them. Since

$$a_{1}v'_{1} + \dots + a_{l(a-1)}v'_{l(a-1)} = (a_{1} \cdots a_{l(a-1)})\begin{pmatrix} v'_{1} \\ \vdots \\ v'_{l(a-1)} \end{pmatrix} = (a \cdot u_{1}, \cdots, a \cdot u_{la}),$$

where  $v'_i$ ,  $u_i$  are rows and columns of G' respectively, and  $a \cdot u_i$  means the usual scalar product of  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_{l(a-1)})$  and  $u_i$ , the above inclusion (\*) is equivalent to

$$D \subset \{a_1v'_1 + \dots + a_{l(a-1)}v'_{l(a-1)} \mid a \cdot u_{i_j} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, (l-s-1)a-1\}.$$

Hence we obtain

 $\dim D$ 

$$\leq \dim\{a_1v'_1 + \dots + a_{l(a-1)}v'_{l(a-1)} \mid a \cdot u_{i_j} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, (l-s-1)a-1\} \\ = \dim\{(a_1, \dots, a_{l(a-1)}) \mid a \cdot u_{i_j} = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, (l-s-1)a-1\}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, the rank of the matrix  $(u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_{(l-s-1)a-1}})$  is at least (l-s-1)a-(l-s-1), using the dimension theorem in Linear Algebra, we have

$$\dim D \le l(a-1) - ((l-s-1)a - (l-s-1)) = (s+1)(a-1),$$

which contradicts the fact that dim D = (s + 1)(a - 1) + 1. Thus  $d_r(C) \ge (s + 1)a + 2$ .

On the other hand, since

$$w(D_r(\{bl+c \mid 0 \le b \le a-2, \ 1 \le c \le s+1\} \cup \{s+2\})) = (s+1)a+2,$$

we conclude that  $d_r(C) = (s+1)a + 2$ .

For r,  $(s+1)a - s < r \le (s+2)a - (s+2)$ , we have  $w(D_r(\{bl+c \mid 0 \le b \le a-2, 1 \le c \le s+1\} \cup \{(s+2)+bl \mid 0 \le b \le r+s-(s+1)a\}))$ noindent = r + (s+2). Then, by Theorem 1.1, we have  $d_r(C) =$ 

r + (s + 2). Thus the proof is complete.

## References

- [L] R. F. Lax, Modern Algebra and Discrete Structures, Harper Collins Publishers Inc, 1991.
- [W] V K Wei, Generalized Hamming weights for linear codes, IEEE Trans Inform. Theory 37 (1991), 1412-1418.

Department of Mathematics and Research Institute of Natural Science Gyeongsang National University Chinju, 660-701, Korea *E-mail*: skim@nongae.gsnu.ac.kr